1	INCLINE VILLAGE			1	APPEARANCES	2
2	GENERAL IMPROVEMENT [			2		
3	BOARD OF TRUSTEE			3	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT	
4	BOARD OF TRUSTEE	.0		4	MATTHEW DENT, CHAIR	
					-	
5				5	SARA SCHMITZ, VICE CHAIR	
6				6	DAVE NOBLE, SECRETARY	
7				7	RAY TULLOCH, TREASURER	
8	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARI	NG		8		
9	PUBLIC MEETING			9	ALSO PRESENT	
10	Live and Via Zoom			10	JOSH NELSON, LEGAL COUNSEL	
11				11		
12	Held at 893 Southwood Boulev	ard		12	-000-	
13	Incline Village, Nevada			13		
14				14		
15	Wednesday, July 12, 2023			15		
16				16		
17				17		
18				18		
19				19		
20				20		
21				21		
22				22		
23				23		
-	Reported by: Brandi Ann Vianney Smith			23		
	Job Number: 999220			25		
25	JOB Number: 999220			25		
1			3	1	INCLINE VILLAGE NEVADA - July 12 2023 - 6:00 P.M	4
1			3		INCLINE VILLAGE, NEVADA - July 12, 2023 - 6:00 P.M.	4
2	INDEX	PAGE	3	2	INCLINE VILLAGE, NEVADA - July 12, 2023 - 6:00 P.M. -000-	4
2 3		PAGE		2 3	• • •	4
2 3 4	A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	_	3	2 3 4	-000-	4
2 3 4 5	A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE B. ROLL CALL OF TRUSTEES	PAGE 4	4	2 3 4 5	-o0o-	4
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE</li><li>B. ROLL CALL OF TRUSTEES</li><li>C. INITIAL PUBLIC COMMENTS</li></ul>	_	4 5	2 3 4 5 6	-o0o- CHAIR DENT: All right. I'd like to call the regular meeting of Incline Village General	4
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE</li> <li>B. ROLL CALL OF TRUSTEES</li> <li>C. INITIAL PUBLIC COMMENTS</li> <li>D. APPROVAL OF AGENDA</li> </ul>	4	4	2 3 4 5 6 7	-o0o- CHAIR DENT: All right. I'd like to call the regular meeting of Incline Village General Improvement District to order. It is 6:00 P.M.,	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE</li> <li>B. ROLL CALL OF TRUSTEES</li> <li>C. INITIAL PUBLIC COMMENTS</li> <li>D. APPROVAL OF AGENDA</li> <li>E. REPORTS TO THE BOARD</li> </ul>	4	4 5	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	-o0o- CHAIR DENT: All right. I'd like to call the regular meeting of Incline Village General Improvement District to order. It is 6:00 P.M., July 12, 2023. We're being held at the boardroom at	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE</li> <li>B. ROLL CALL OF TRUSTEES</li> <li>C. INITIAL PUBLIC COMMENTS</li> <li>D. APPROVAL OF AGENDA</li> <li>E. REPORTS TO THE BOARD</li> <li>E 1/E 2</li> </ul>	4 26 26 <b>28</b>	4 5	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	-o0o- CHAIR DENT: All right. I'd like to call the regular meeting of Incline Village General Improvement District to order. It is 6:00 P.M., July 12, 2023. We're being held at the boardroom at 893 Southwood Boulevard, Incline Village, Nevada,	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE B. ROLL CALL OF TRUSTEES C. INITIAL PUBLIC COMMENTS D. APPROVAL OF AGENDA E. REPORTS TO THE BOARD $\stackrel{E}{=} 1 \stackrel{2}{=} 2$ F. CONSENT CALENDAR	4	4 5	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	-o0o- CHAIR DENT: All right. I'd like to call the regular meeting of Incline Village General Improvement District to order. It is 6:00 P.M., July 12, 2023. We're being held at the boardroom at 893 Southwood Boulevard, Incline Village, Nevada, and via zoom.	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE B. ROLL CALL OF TRUSTEES C. INITIAL PUBLIC COMMENTS D. APPROVAL OF AGENDA E. REPORTS TO THE BOARD $\stackrel{E}{=} 1$ F. CONSENT CALENDAR G. GENERAL BUSINESS	<b>4</b> 26 26 <b>28</b> 36 37	4 5	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	-o0o- CHAIR DENT: All right. I'd like to call the regular meeting of Incline Village General Improvement District to order. It is 6:00 P.M., July 12, 2023. We're being held at the boardroom at 893 Southwood Boulevard, Incline Village, Nevada, and via zoom. The first item on the list is the Pledge	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE B. ROLL CALL OF TRUSTEES C. INITIAL PUBLIC COMMENTS D. APPROVAL OF AGENDA E. REPORTS TO THE BOARD $\stackrel{E}{=} 1$ F. CONSENT CALENDAR G. GENERAL BUSINESS	4 26 28 36 37 37 41	4 5	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	-o0o- CHAIR DENT: All right. I'd like to call the regular meeting of Incline Village General Improvement District to order. It is 6:00 P.M., July 12, 2023. We're being held at the boardroom at 893 Southwood Boulevard, Incline Village, Nevada, and via zoom. The first item on the list is the Pledge of Allegiance.	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE B. ROLL CALL OF TRUSTEES C. INITIAL PUBLIC COMMENTS D. APPROVAL OF AGENDA E. REPORTS TO THE BOARD $\stackrel{E}{=} 1$ F. CONSENT CALENDAR G. GENERAL BUSINESS $\stackrel{G}{=} 1$ $\stackrel{G}{=} 2$ $\stackrel{G}{=} 3$ $\stackrel{G}{=} 4$	<b>4</b> 26 26 <b>28</b> 36 37 37 41 63 122	4 5	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	-o0o- CHAIR DENT: All right. I'd like to call the regular meeting of Incline Village General Improvement District to order. It is 6:00 P.M., July 12, 2023. We're being held at the boardroom at 893 Southwood Boulevard, Incline Village, Nevada, and via zoom. The first item on the list is the Pledge of Allegiance. A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE B. ROLL CALL OF TRUSTEES C. INITIAL PUBLIC COMMENTS D. APPROVAL OF AGENDA E. REPORTS TO THE BOARD $\stackrel{E}{E} \frac{1}{2}$ F. CONSENT CALENDAR G. GENERAL BUSINESS $\stackrel{G}{G} 1$ $\stackrel{G}{G} 2$ G 3	<b>4</b> 26 26 <b>28</b> 36 37 37 41 63	4 5	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	-o0o- CHAIR DENT: All right. I'd like to call the regular meeting of Incline Village General Improvement District to order. It is 6:00 P.M., July 12, 2023. We're being held at the boardroom at 893 Southwood Boulevard, Incline Village, Nevada, and via zoom. The first item on the list is the Pledge of Allegiance. A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE (Pledge of Allegiance.)	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE B. ROLL CALL OF TRUSTEES C. INITIAL PUBLIC COMMENTS D. APPROVAL OF AGENDA E. REPORTS TO THE BOARD $E_1^2$ F. CONSENT CALENDAR G. GENERAL BUSINESS G 1 G 2 G 3 G 4 G 5 G 6 H. REDACTIONS FOR PENDING PUBLIC	<b>4</b> 26 26 <b>28</b> 36 37 37 41 63 122	4 5	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	-o0o- CHAIR DENT: All right. I'd like to call the regular meeting of Incline Village General Improvement District to order. It is 6:00 P.M., July 12, 2023. We're being held at the boardroom at 893 Southwood Boulevard, Incline Village, Nevada, and via zoom. The first item on the list is the Pledge of Allegiance. A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE B. ROLL CALL OF TRUSTEES C. INITIAL PUBLIC COMMENTS D. APPROVAL OF AGENDA E. REPORTS TO THE BOARD $E \frac{1}{E2}$ F. CONSENT CALENDAR G. GENERAL BUSINESS G 1 G 2 G 3 G 4 G 5 G 6 H. REDACTIONS FOR PENDING PUBLIC RECORDS REQUESTS	<b>4</b> 26 26 <b>28</b> 36 37 37 41 63 122 142 <b>161</b> 176	4 5	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	-o0o- CHAIR DENT: All right. I'd like to call the regular meeting of Incline Village General Improvement District to order. It is 6:00 P.M., July 12, 2023. We're being held at the boardroom at 893 Southwood Boulevard, Incline Village, Nevada, and via zoom. The first item on the list is the Pledge of Allegiance. A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE (Pledge of Allegiance.)	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE B. ROLL CALL OF TRUSTEES C. INITIAL PUBLIC COMMENTS D. APPROVAL OF AGENDA E. REPORTS TO THE BOARD $E_1^2$ F. CONSENT CALENDAR G. GENERAL BUSINESS $G_1$ $G_2$ $G_3$ $G_4$ $G_5$ $G_6$ H. REDACTIONS FOR PENDING PUBLIC RECORDS REQUESTS I. LONG RANGE CALENDAR	<b>4</b> 26 26 <b>28</b> 36 37 37 41 63 122 1 <b>61</b> 176 176	4 5	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	-o0o- CHAIR DENT: All right. I'd like to call the regular meeting of Incline Village General Improvement District to order. It is 6:00 P.M., July 12, 2023. We're being held at the boardroom at 893 Southwood Boulevard, Incline Village, Nevada, and via zoom. The first item on the list is the Pledge of Allegiance. A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE (Pledge of Allegiance.) CHAIR DENT: Item B, roll call of	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE B. ROLL CALL OF TRUSTEES C. INITIAL PUBLIC COMMENTS D. APPROVAL OF AGENDA E. REPORTS TO THE BOARD $E_1^2$ F. CONSENT CALENDAR G. GENERAL BUSINESS G 1 G 2 G 3 G 4 G 5 G 6 H. REDACTIONS FOR PENDING PUBLIC RECORDS REQUESTS I. LONG RANGE CALENDAR J. BOARD OF TRUSTEES UPDATE	<b>4</b> 26 26 <b>28</b> 36 37 41 63 122 142 <b>161</b> 176 176 182	4 5	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	-o0o- CHAIR DENT: All right. I'd like to call the regular meeting of Incline Village General Improvement District to order. It is 6:00 P.M., July 12, 2023. We're being held at the boardroom at 893 Southwood Boulevard, Incline Village, Nevada, and via zoom. The first item on the list is the Pledge of Allegiance. A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE (Pledge of Allegiance.) CHAIR DENT: Item B, roll call of trustees.	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE B. ROLL CALL OF TRUSTEES C. INITIAL PUBLIC COMMENTS D. APPROVAL OF AGENDA E. REPORTS TO THE BOARD E = 1 F. CONSENT CALENDAR G. GENERAL BUSINESS G 1 G 2 G 3 G 4 G 5 H. REDACTIONS FOR PENDING PUBLIC RECORDS REQUESTS I. LONG RANGE CALENDAR J. BOARD OF TRUSTEES UPDATE K. FINAL PUBLIC COMMENTS	<b>4</b> 26 26 <b>28</b> 36 37 37 41 63 122 142 <b>161</b> 176 176 182 183	4 5	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	-o0o- CHAIR DENT: All right. I'd like to call the regular meeting of Incline Village General Improvement District to order. It is 6:00 P.M., July 12, 2023. We're being held at the boardroom at 893 Southwood Boulevard, Incline Village, Nevada, and via zoom. The first item on the list is the Pledge of Allegiance. A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE (Pledge of Allegiance.) CHAIR DENT: Item B, roll call of trustees. B. ROLL CALL OF TRUSTEES	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE B. ROLL CALL OF TRUSTEES C. INITIAL PUBLIC COMMENTS D. APPROVAL OF AGENDA E. REPORTS TO THE BOARD $E_1^2$ F. CONSENT CALENDAR G. GENERAL BUSINESS G 1 G 2 G 3 G 4 G 5 G 6 H. REDACTIONS FOR PENDING PUBLIC RECORDS REQUESTS I. LONG RANGE CALENDAR J. BOARD OF TRUSTEES UPDATE	<b>4</b> 26 26 <b>28</b> 36 37 41 63 122 142 <b>161</b> 176 176 182	4 5	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	-ooo- CHAIR DENT: All right. I'd like to call the regular meeting of Incline Village General Improvement District to order. It is 6:00 P.M., July 12, 2023. We're being held at the boardroom at 893 Southwood Boulevard, Incline Village, Nevada, and via zoom. The first item on the list is the Pledge of Allegiance. A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE (Pledge of Allegiance.) CHAIR DENT: Item B, roll call of trustees. B. ROLL CALL OF TRUSTEES CHAIR DENT: Trustee Schmitz?	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE B. ROLL CALL OF TRUSTEES C. INITIAL PUBLIC COMMENTS D. APPROVAL OF AGENDA E. REPORTS TO THE BOARD $E_1$ F. CONSENT CALENDAR G. GENERAL BUSINESS $G_1$ $G_2$ $G_3$ $G_4$ $G_5$ G6 H. REDACTIONS FOR PENDING PUBLIC RECORDS REQUESTS I. LONG RANGE CALENDAR J. BOARD OF TRUSTEES UPDATE K. FINAL PUBLIC COMMENTS L. ADJOURNMENT	<b>4</b> 26 26 <b>28</b> 36 37 37 41 63 122 142 <b>161</b> 176 176 182 183	4 5	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	-ooo- CHAIR DENT: All right. I'd like to call the regular meeting of Incline Village General Improvement District to order. It is 6:00 P.M., July 12, 2023. We're being held at the boardroom at 893 Southwood Boulevard, Incline Village, Nevada, and via zoom. The first item on the list is the Pledge of Allegiance. A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE (Pledge of Allegiance.) CHAIR DENT: Item B, roll call of trustees. B. ROLL CALL OF TRUSTEES CHAIR DENT: Trustee Schmitz? TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: Here.	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE B. ROLL CALL OF TRUSTEES C. INITIAL PUBLIC COMMENTS D. APPROVAL OF AGENDA E. REPORTS TO THE BOARD $E_1$ F. CONSENT CALENDAR G. GENERAL BUSINESS $G_1$ $G_2$ $G_3$ $G_4$ $G_5$ G6 H. REDACTIONS FOR PENDING PUBLIC RECORDS REQUESTS I. LONG RANGE CALENDAR J. BOARD OF TRUSTEES UPDATE K. FINAL PUBLIC COMMENTS L. ADJOURNMENT	<b>4</b> 26 26 <b>28</b> 36 37 37 41 63 122 142 <b>161</b> 176 176 182 183	4 5	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	-ooo- CHAIR DENT: All right. I'd like to call the regular meeting of Incline Village General Improvement District to order. It is 6:00 P.M., July 12, 2023. We're being held at the boardroom at 893 Southwood Boulevard, Incline Village, Nevada, and via zoom. The first item on the list is the Pledge of Allegiance. A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE (Pledge of Allegiance.) CHAIR DENT: Item B, roll call of trustees. B. ROLL CALL OF TRUSTEES CHAIR DENT: Trustee Schmitz? TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: Here. CHAIR DENT: Trustee Noble?	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE B. ROLL CALL OF TRUSTEES C. INITIAL PUBLIC COMMENTS D. APPROVAL OF AGENDA E. REPORTS TO THE BOARD $E_1$ F. CONSENT CALENDAR G. GENERAL BUSINESS $G_1$ $G_2$ $G_3$ $G_4$ $G_5$ G6 H. REDACTIONS FOR PENDING PUBLIC RECORDS REQUESTS I. LONG RANGE CALENDAR J. BOARD OF TRUSTEES UPDATE K. FINAL PUBLIC COMMENTS L. ADJOURNMENT	<b>4</b> 26 26 <b>28</b> 36 37 37 41 63 122 142 <b>161</b> 176 176 182 183	4 5	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	-oo- CHAIR DENT: All right. I'd like to call the regular meeting of Incline Village General Improvement District to order. It is 6:00 P.M., July 12, 2023. We're being held at the boardroom at 893 Southwood Boulevard, Incline Village, Nevada, and via zoom. The first item on the list is the Pledge of Allegiance. A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE (Pledge of Allegiance.) CHAIR DENT: Item B, roll call of trustees. B. ROLL CALL OF TRUSTEES CHAIR DENT: Trustee Schmitz? TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: Here.	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE B. ROLL CALL OF TRUSTEES C. INITIAL PUBLIC COMMENTS D. APPROVAL OF AGENDA E. REPORTS TO THE BOARD $E_1$ F. CONSENT CALENDAR G. GENERAL BUSINESS $G_1$ $G_2$ $G_3$ $G_4$ $G_5$ G6 H. REDACTIONS FOR PENDING PUBLIC RECORDS REQUESTS I. LONG RANGE CALENDAR J. BOARD OF TRUSTEES UPDATE K. FINAL PUBLIC COMMENTS L. ADJOURNMENT	<b>4</b> 26 26 <b>28</b> 36 37 37 41 63 122 142 <b>161</b> 176 176 182 183	4 5	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	-ooo- CHAIR DENT: All right. I'd like to call the regular meeting of Incline Village General Improvement District to order. It is 6:00 P.M., July 12, 2023. We're being held at the boardroom at 893 Southwood Boulevard, Incline Village, Nevada, and via zoom. The first item on the list is the Pledge of Allegiance. A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE (Pledge of Allegiance.) CHAIR DENT: Item B, roll call of trustees. B. ROLL CALL OF TRUSTEES CHAIR DENT: Trustee Schmitz? TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: Here. CHAIR DENT: Trustee Noble? TRUSTEE NOBLE: Here. CHAIR DENT: Trustee Tulloch?	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE B. ROLL CALL OF TRUSTEES C. INITIAL PUBLIC COMMENTS D. APPROVAL OF AGENDA E. REPORTS TO THE BOARD $E_1$ F. CONSENT CALENDAR G. GENERAL BUSINESS $G_1$ $G_2$ $G_3$ $G_4$ $G_5$ G6 H. REDACTIONS FOR PENDING PUBLIC RECORDS REQUESTS I. LONG RANGE CALENDAR J. BOARD OF TRUSTEES UPDATE K. FINAL PUBLIC COMMENTS L. ADJOURNMENT	<b>4</b> 26 26 <b>28</b> 36 37 37 41 63 122 142 <b>161</b> 176 176 182 183	4 5	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	-oo- CHAIR DENT: All right. I'd like to call the regular meeting of Incline Village General Improvement District to order. It is 6:00 P.M., July 12, 2023. We're being held at the boardroom at 893 Southwood Boulevard, Incline Village, Nevada, and via zoom. The first item on the list is the Pledge of Allegiance. A PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE (Pledge of Allegiance.) CHAIR DENT: Item B, roll call of trustees. B. ROLL CALL OF TRUSTEES CHAIR DENT: Trustee Schmitz? TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: Here. CHAIR DENT: Trustee Noble? TRUSTEE NOBLE: Here. CHAIR DENT: Trustee Tulloch? TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Present. CHAIR DENT: Trustee Tulloch?	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE B. ROLL CALL OF TRUSTEES C. INITIAL PUBLIC COMMENTS D. APPROVAL OF AGENDA E. REPORTS TO THE BOARD $E_1$ F. CONSENT CALENDAR G. GENERAL BUSINESS $G_1$ $G_2$ $G_3$ $G_4$ $G_5$ G6 H. REDACTIONS FOR PENDING PUBLIC RECORDS REQUESTS I. LONG RANGE CALENDAR J. BOARD OF TRUSTEES UPDATE K. FINAL PUBLIC COMMENTS L. ADJOURNMENT	<b>4</b> 26 26 <b>28</b> 36 37 37 41 63 122 142 <b>161</b> 176 176 182 183	4 5	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	-ooo- CHAIR DENT: All right. I'd like to call the regular meeting of Incline Village General Improvement District to order. It is 6:00 P.M., July 12, 2023. We're being held at the boardroom at 893 Southwood Boulevard, Incline Village, Nevada, and via zoom. The first item on the list is the Pledge of Allegiance. A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE (Pledge of Allegiance.) CHAIR DENT: Item B, roll call of trustees. B. ROLL CALL OF TRUSTEES CHAIR DENT: Trustee Schmitz? TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: Here. CHAIR DENT: Trustee Noble? TRUSTEE NOBLE: Here. CHAIR DENT: Trustee Tulloch?	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE B. ROLL CALL OF TRUSTEES C. INITIAL PUBLIC COMMENTS D. APPROVAL OF AGENDA E. REPORTS TO THE BOARD $E_1$ F. CONSENT CALENDAR G. GENERAL BUSINESS $G_1$ $G_2$ $G_3$ $G_4$ $G_5$ G6 H. REDACTIONS FOR PENDING PUBLIC RECORDS REQUESTS I. LONG RANGE CALENDAR J. BOARD OF TRUSTEES UPDATE K. FINAL PUBLIC COMMENTS L. ADJOURNMENT	<b>4</b> 26 26 <b>28</b> 36 37 37 41 63 122 142 <b>161</b> 176 176 182 183	4 5	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	-oo- CHAIR DENT: All right. I'd like to call the regular meeting of Incline Village General Improvement District to order. It is 6:00 P.M., July 12, 2023. We're being held at the boardroom at 893 Southwood Boulevard, Incline Village, Nevada, and via zoom. The first item on the list is the Pledge of Allegiance. A PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE (Pledge of Allegiance.) CHAIR DENT: Item B, roll call of trustees. B. ROLL CALL OF TRUSTEES CHAIR DENT: Trustee Schmitz? TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: Here. CHAIR DENT: Trustee Noble? TRUSTEE NOBLE: Here. CHAIR DENT: Trustee Tulloch? TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Present. CHAIR DENT: Trustee Tulloch?	4

5 I am Trustee Dent. Four out of the five trustees in the past." What does that mean? Sounds like 1 1 are present. back to the future to me. 2 2 And if you're abstaining from something, 3 That closes out item B. Moving on to item 3 4 C, initial public comment. 4 everything, or nothing, why isn't Ray Tulloch 5 C. INITIAL PUBLIC COMMENTS 5 abstaining from all things related to ski? He's 6 CHAIR DENT: I'll just remind everybody to 6 paid by Mt. Rose, that's where his allegiance is. 7 try and keep your public comments to us as the Board 7 So he gets to raise my pass 300 hundred percent and 8 and/or the District, and not necessarily to 8 never ski a run on Diamond Peak? 9 individuals. 9 And, Sara, I'm sorry. No matter how you 10 MS. WOLF: Holly Wolf, 515 Eagle Drive. spin the words, you voted no on the Duffield money. 10 11 It is such a shame to see this Board in 11 Only you. Why can't you own this? Why do you deny, 12 such disarray. Having board meetings on a Friday 12 change the words, claim, I didn't do it? You voted 13 night to fire the GM, having board meetings on a 13 no. 14 Thursdays night of 4th of July week to rescind a 14 And you all have changed the model of the 15 golf policy that never should have been used, and 15 town. The second you took away that rec fee and made each venue support itself by raising prices 16 now a new fact sheet has been put out. Is it on the 16 17 agenda, is it off the agenda? No one knows. instead of using rec fee money and sharing the 17 18 Whoever wrote that fact sheet should get 18 profits, the town model changed, and the punch cards 19 an A in fiction. No question is ever answered and 19 are virtually worthless. Fees getting into the beaches are so high, a pass might last three whole 20 everything is just glossed over. 20 21 So, Matthew, if you have not violated any 21 visits. 22 22 ethics matter, what exactly are you abstaining from? This whole firestorm started when you, 23 Budget, money, policy? And the fact sheet says, I 23 Sara, voted no. 24 quote, "In the future, Trustee Dent will abstain 24 And, Matthew, you still have no backbone 25 from voting when there's a conflict of interest like 25 to do what's right. 7 Hopefully soon both of you will be gone 1 report commissioned by IVGID. Before I discuss that 1 2 and new leadership in. 2 report, however, I'd like to note, on January 24th, 3 Now, Sara, I'm off to a golf event, you Bill Feast emailed this board, noting there's 3 4 know, the sport you've never tried but know unanimous alignment between the tennis-playing 4 5 everything about. If you need to come stand in the 5 community, IVGID management, and the tennis center doorway and watch us eat, like you did on June 12th, team that the tennis court conditions are dire, and 6 6 7 dinner is at 7:00. 7 not only negatively impacting play quality, but in MR. KLEIN: Good evening. John Klein, 321 8 8 many cases are unsafe and hazardous. The last thing 9 Woodridge Way. 9 we need is a lawsuit because someone gets injured on 10 I'm the head coach for the boy's and 10 these courts. We further noted the situation did not occur overnight, nor will it be remedied 11 girl's tennis team at Incline High School. 11 12 Additionally, I captain or cocaptain the USTA adult overnight. But it was, is imperative that 12 13 teams of the tennis center. I believe this tennis 13 short-term and long-term solutions be planned for in center is a valuable community asset. 14 this financial budget planning period. 14 15 I'm responding to a remark made by a 15 Now back to the report I mentioned, I 16 member of the community at the June 28th meeting, refer the Board to Incline Village Tennis Center 16 17 who questioned the need for capital improvements for 17 Facility Assessment and Master Plan, performed by 18 the tennis courts in the proposed budget in the five Lloyd Civil and Sports Engineering of Scottsdale, 18 year CIP. He said, and I quote, "My inspection on 19 Arizona, submitted August, 2016. I emailed each of 19 20 Monday indicates the courts are in good shape." 20 you a copy of this report. 21 21 While I acknowledge the gentleman's I'd like to highlight specific language 22 expertise on budgets and respect and appreciate his 22 directly from the report. Quote, page 7, number 3: 23 23 many hours of service to IVGID, I do not believe his "Establish an asset management 24 cursory examination of the courts is a substitute 24 plan to strategically manage the 25 for the professional inspections and subsequent 25 funding of future projects in

6

1	the continued replacement and	9	1	eight years since that report, and the courts, while
2	maintenance of existing		2	they may look good in a naked eye, are in poor
3	facilities."		3	structural shape. To use a simple analogy, our
4	This was never done. An asset management		4	courts look like a beautiful house with a shiny, new
5	plan was not established.		5	paint job. But what you can't see is that it's
6	Quote, section D, page 24:		6	infested with termites and is about to fall apart.
7	"As the facility continues to		7	The courts in question are approaching
8	age, it's imperative that		8	50 years old. They've had a good run, but it's
9	further brick and mortar		9	time, past time, in fact, to protect this asset.
10	investments be made. Courts 1		10	Thank you for your time and serious
11	through 7 are now over 35 years		11	
12	old, and even though the		12	MR. FEAST: Good evening, everybody.
13	District has done an exemplary		13	My name is Bill Feast, and my family and I
14	job in maintaining and extending		_	have been owners and residents of Incline Village
15	their service life, the reality			for 15 years. We've been consistent, frequent
16	is that tennis court pavement			members of the tennis and pickleball playing
17	systems are typically designed			community ever since. My wife and I both play
18	for a 30- to 40-year service			social and USTA league tennis, and our now adult
19	life. The drainage systems,		19	daughter participated in kids' programs, later
20	pavement, coatings, and		20	becoming an IVGID employee who taught children
21	structural aggregate base will		21	
22	need reconstruction in the very		22	I spoke before the Board initially on
23	foreseeable future, five to		23	
24	seven years."			written update to the Board members for the
25	Five to seven years. It's now almost		25	
1 2	My comments were submitted in writing and should be readily available for your review.	11	1 2	for the remaining seven courts to be professionally maintained and preserved now and for years to come.
3	Members of the tennis-playing community,		3	I am heartened to see on tonight's agenda
4	including myself and John, began meeting with Sheila			that the Board will be discussing the possibility of
5	and the tennis center team in summer of 2022, to		5	various advisory committees, one of which is tennis
6	represent the concerns and views of our fellow		6	and pickleball, as part of Trustee Tonking's
7	players and work together to find realistic		7	request. User-based input and perspective are
8	solutions, near and long term. It is an		8	essential to ensuring alignment on priorities,
9	understatement to say that the court conditions were		9	especially those focused on increasing usage,
	the number one concern.		10	revenue driving, and ultimately the user experience.
11	John has well represented the specific		11	Thanks to Michaela for previous engagement
	relevant language from the 2016 Lloyd report, you			with the tennis center, and we would look forward to
	can see I've been through it pretty throughly. I			that continuing.
	urge you to read it for yourself in your due		14	Our recreational assets are an integral
	diligence as you finalize the budget process. It is		15	part of our community and what makes Incline Village
	hard to escape the conclusion that, while some		16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	recommendations, such as expansion of pickleball and		17	
	the renovation of the office and restroom facility		18	
	and reflectuation of the enfort and reed contracting			assets.
	were completed, the most fundamental court renair		19	
19	were completed, the most fundamental court repair and reconstruction was not Bandaids will no longer		19 20	
19 20	and reconstruction was not. Bandaids will no longer		20	Before closing, I would like to thank
19 20 21	and reconstruction was not. Bandaids will no longer suffice.		20 21	Before closing, I would like to thank Sheila, Silvon (phonetic), and Basha (phonetic) for
19 20 21 22	and reconstruction was not. Bandaids will no longer suffice. I urge the Board to provide the funding		20 21 22	Before closing, I would like to thank Sheila, Silvon (phonetic), and Basha (phonetic) for their work and collaboration on all tennis center
19 20 21 22 23	and reconstruction was not. Bandaids will no longer suffice. I urge the Board to provide the funding for reconstruction to begin as per IVGID's		20 21 22 23	Before closing, I would like to thank Sheila, Silvon (phonetic), and Basha (phonetic) for their work and collaboration on all tennis center matters. The tennis-playing community looks forward
19 20 21 22 23 24	and reconstruction was not. Bandaids will no longer suffice. I urge the Board to provide the funding for reconstruction to begin as per IVGID's management's proposal. Given the conversion of		20 21 22 23 24	Before closing, I would like to thank Sheila, Silvon (phonetic), and Basha (phonetic) for their work and collaboration on all tennis center matters. The tennis-playing community looks forward to a great Incline Village open later this month to
19 20 21 22 23 24	and reconstruction was not. Bandaids will no longer suffice. I urge the Board to provide the funding for reconstruction to begin as per IVGID's		20 21 22 23 24	Before closing, I would like to thank Sheila, Silvon (phonetic), and Basha (phonetic) for their work and collaboration on all tennis center matters. The tennis-playing community looks forward

10

e professionally	
for years to come.	
n tonight's agenda	
e possibility of	
of which is tennis	
onking's	
spective are	
priorities,	
sing usage,	
user experience.	
previous engagement	

- fellow
- and
- cements of those
  - to thank
- (phonetic) for
- nnis center
- nity looks forward
- his month to
- of that

13 annual event. Please continue to avoid being distracted 1 1 2 In addition, we will start USTA league by shiny objects like the Parasol Building and the 2 3 play soon, with as many as five or six teams 3 false \$25 million for a gym. Also, as difficult as 4 representing Incline late this summer and fall. 4 it may be personally, please continue your good work 5 Less well known today is that tennis has 5 despite the recall effort. It is sad that a vocal grown by double digits nationally, and we know this 6 group wants to remove the choice voters made as part 6 7 locally as well. 7 of a legal process. I urge all Incline residents to 8 (Expiration of three minutes.) 8 not sign any recall petitions and/or vote no on any 9 MR. SMITH: My name is Paul Smith, and I 9 actual recall. 10 live at 1437 Tirol, here in Incline. 10 So, continue doing your duty to oversee 11 My purpose tonight is to address agenda 11 the operation of IVGID. I appreciate you digging in 12 item G 4, referencing the general manager search 12 and addressing long-festering issues, especially 13 committee. I just returned from five weeks of 13 related to beach access and the financial reporting 14 traveling, mostly in Europe and Asia, and clearly and need to complete the effluent pipeline. 14 15 missed quite a few developments in Incline. For 15 Second, the selection of a chief financial 16 that reason, I'm speaking tonight because, like the 16 officer and a general manager are critical to the 17 first speaker, I heard many speakers that presented current and future operational success of IVGID. A 17 18 one side only. 18 good, solid pick for each position will set our 19 First, I'm pleased to note that the 19 improvement district on track for many years of 20 current board majority is properly focusing on key focused progress and betterment. These are critical 20 21 matters related to the operation and sustainability 21 decisions that must be in a timely manner. Please 22 of IVGID. For example, the effluent pipeline, 22 be personally involved in the search and vetting of 23 financial records and reports to the State, 23 the candidates, and make certain that the final 24 operations at the resident-owned beaches, now 24 decisions are made by matching the operational needs 25 vacancy filling. Thank you. 25 of IVGID with the skills and documented experience 15 1 of the candidates. Incline Village needs nice would be so much easier, of course, to bow to the 1 2 people, but we also need key managers who have 2 critics. relevant and documented experience and understand 3 I just want to go over a few facts versus 3 4 how to work with an elected board that is overseen feelings. Anyone speaking against the restrictions 4 5 by a public in a rather regular manner. 5 of the beaches have no understanding that the 6 In the context of the selection process, 6 beaches could be taken away from parcel owners for 7 please do not use the IVGID HR director to manage 7 our exclusive use and turned over to the public use 8 this search. Instead, appoint a trustee to lead and 8 of Washoe County. How would that affect our home 9 form a search committee and give the committee a 9 values? How would that affect the nature of our 10 budget. Please also seek resident input and 10 quiet communities should that happen? 11 11 oversight at each level, e.g., recruitment level, Further facts versus feelings. Former GM 12 then the paring down or initial cut level, and then 12 was not forced to resign; he chose to resign. 13 the final selection level. I suggest that you 13 Otherwise, he would have been forced to a public 14 appoint a different resident for each level of the 14 review, which may have included inadequacies of his 15 process instead of leaving one resident for the 15 performance as general manager. It was his decision. Those are the facts, not the feelings. 16 entire process. 16 17 Finally, thank you to each trustee for all 17 Finally, I'm very disappointed to see 18 that you personally do for our community. However, 18 former trustees and members of public become attack critics to the current board and individuals on the 19 I offer special thanks to the majority three who 19 20 have truly put the community first. 20 current board by twisting seeming facts to bolster 21 Thank you. 21 their inadequate egos. I encourage you to keep up

22 MR. SCHULTZ: Joe Schultz, Putter Court.

23 I'd like to congratulate this current

24 board for their willingness to make the hard

25 decisions for the benefit of the community. It

24 MS. SHACKFORD: Kay Shackford, Donna

Thank you for all of us.

25 Drive.

what you're doing.

22

23

14

1 At the Board meetings on June 23rd and And what did they get? Participation in a 1 July 6th, Frank Wright attacked me three times in week-long, immersive, intense, executive-level 2 2 3 his public comments for "stealing from the District 3 workshop in business-to-business negotiation. 4 with her phony, let's-make-you-smile seminars." He 4 Learning from and with high-level participants from 5 said rather ungrammatically that "She doesn't want 5 aviation and semiconductor industries: Airbus, us to save that money, she wants to keep spending it 6 American Airlines, Boeing, Delta, Air Canada, Pratt 6 7 7 on herself through her company that she has." and Whitney, Horizon Airway, Lam Research, and 8 Because his words are now part of the 8 others. 9 Board record, and those who don't know him may Learning how to build the working 9 assume there may be some truth in what he's saying, relationships that deal with well with differences 10 10 11 I thought it best to introduce some data. Attached 11 and how to create value together to find those 12 to this public comment is my record of IVGID folks things better for you, not worse for me, better for 12 13 who attended our negotiating solutions workshop from 13 us, not worse for you. Lee Weber-Koch alone can 1996 the 2022, the tuition that was paid for for 14 point to hundreds of thousands of dollars she saved 14 15 their attendance, and the tuition-free scholarships 15 IVGID based on what she learned. Each graduate can point to increased effectiveness inside IVGID, and 16 we extended to them. Graduates included general 16 17 manager, director of utilities, maintenance manager, externally with residents, suppliers, regulators, 17 18 marketing manager, two directors of parks and 18 and politicians. recreation, two directors of golf, three engineering 19 My husband, Joe, and I started running 19 this workshop of my design in 1988. We have over 20 managers, mountain operations manager, two HR 20 21 managers. In total, 21 participants over 26 years. 21 4,000 graduates, each pays a lot more than 767 to 22 Fourteen tuition-free scholarships. Total tuition attend. Do I need IVGID's money? Give me break. 22 paid \$16,100 for an average cost for tuition of 23 But we gave those scholarships because we believe in 23 24 \$767. There was also a facility fee that went to 24 adding value. 25 25 Granlibakken, not to us. What do you believe in, Frank? The next 19 1 time you attack an 81-year-old widow in your public be to assist the staff and Board in optimizing all 1 2 comments, or for that matter, attack her at the 2 aspects of golf operations, including revenue growth recall petition table at Raley's, at least get the and cost-efficiency opportunities and expanded 3 3 4 name right. That's negotiatingsolutions.com, Frank. community participation. It should be focused on 4 5 Thank you. 5 both near-term and the five-year horizon, and that MR. HOLMAN: Mick Holman, Incline 6 6 combination will drive a more sustainable model. 7 7 resident. Second, I'd caution the Board on any 8 I've got a couple of comments. First, a 8 actions related to topic G 2, frequently asked 9 proposal to create the advisory committees. I 9 questions. While the concept is good, it should be 10 believe the divine goal for the golf committee is 10 focused on IVGID's policies and operations. Most of 11 too narrow and potentially divisive. The stated the topics included in the meeting materials can 11 goal is to formulate a five-year, sustainable plan easily be viewed as political in nature and most of 12 12 that provides fairness and equity to all recreation the topics have nothing to do IVGID policy and 13 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

pass holders, and protects the future of our

15 district golf courses and driving range.

14

16 In light of recent public comments, the

17 first part of the goal, providing fairness and18 equity to all pass holders, could be construed as an

19 attempt to reduce access for the clubs that utilize

20 the course. At a 70 to 75 percent occupancy rate,

21 this is both unwarranted and fiscally irresponsible.

22 It's also unclear what protecting the future of the

23 golf course and driving range means.

24 For the committee to drive value for the 25 District, I suggest broadening the scope. It should

25 of reducing rec fees and punch card values and the

22 commenting on individual trustees and their views

practices. In fact, most relate to concerns

the model. The question itself deals with the

Board's intentions, not plans -- excuse me --

intentions and plans, not past actions.

and actions, not the Board as a whole.

community members have raised about individual trustees. For example, questions 4 and 5 deal with

the Board's intent on privatizing venues or changing

Further, I believe residents are

Questions 7 and 8, dealing with the impact

18

20

21	
1 negative impact on home values and rights, there is	1 upcoming election. That would look like a direct
2 no factual answer for this. Combined with the	2 violation of Nevada ethics statutes, NRS 281A.520,
3 capital and punch card availability, I can easily	3 and I'd be surprised if general counsel would
4 see how residents believe their beach rights have	4 approve the materials if viewed through that lens.
5 declined and their home ownership may be worth less	5 Thank you.
6 as a result.	6 MR. KATZ: Good evening, trustees. Aaron
7 On question 10, the Board very clearly did	7 Katz, Box 3022. I have written statements to be
8 push for and approve the more punitive cancellation	8 added to the minutes of the meeting. I've submitted
9 policy that they just rescinded.	9 them on the side.
10 On question 2, related to Trustee Dent's	10 First, I request you remove item F 4 from
11 possible ethics violation, it's inappropriate to	11 the agenda. I sent an email on it. It does not
12 state this is false when there is an open	12 comply with policy 3.1.0.
13 investigation.	13 I want to speak about the requested trash
14 And on questions 12 and 13, dealing with	14 franchise extension. I resent the presentation,
15 the Duffield grant, the better question may be to	15 certainly on the grounds stated. It's premature. I
16 ask whether the project would have continued to	16 further object to our staff dealing with negotiating
17 proceed if Trustee Schmitz had voted yes on both	17 with Waste Management. Time and time again, they've
18 votes.	18 proved a lack of competence, and they sure have a
19 Under any circumstances, it's	19 lack of competence on this issue.
20 inappropriate to utilize District resources to	20 Instead, I submit that there should be
21 defend the actions of individual trustees. At	21 help in the form of a citizen's committee, which is
22 present, with the recall effort related to many of	22 on item G 4 of the agenda. I ask that that
23 the same topics included in the materials, it could	23 committee be established in addition to the other
24 easily be construed as utilizing District resources	24 two suggested.
25 to impact current ballot issues or candidates in an	25 If you let oh, let me strike that.
23	
23 1 Waste Management has made the assertion it	1 to warn you. NRS statutes, 306.210, if you
	<ol> <li>to warn you. NRS statutes, 306.210, if you</li> <li>misrepresent, attempt to misrepresent, assist or</li> </ol>
1 Waste Management has made the assertion it	<ul><li>2 misrepresent, attempt to misrepresent, assist or</li><li>3 conspire with others to misrepresent statements that</li></ul>
1Waste Management has made the assertion it2plans its upgrade on the transfer station will not	<ul><li>2 misrepresent, attempt to misrepresent, assist or</li><li>3 conspire with others to misrepresent statements that</li><li>4 you put on a petition, you are in violation of</li></ul>
<ol> <li>Waste Management has made the assertion it</li> <li>plans its upgrade on the transfer station will not</li> <li>increase or trash rates. This is a false statement,</li> </ol>	<ul><li>2 misrepresent, attempt to misrepresent, assist or</li><li>3 conspire with others to misrepresent statements that</li></ul>
<ol> <li>Waste Management has made the assertion it</li> <li>plans its upgrade on the transfer station will not</li> <li>increase or trash rates. This is a false statement,</li> <li>it's a disingenuous statement, and they</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 misrepresent, attempt to misrepresent, assist or</li> <li>3 conspire with others to misrepresent statements that</li> <li>4 you put on a petition, you are in violation of</li> <li>5 Nevada statutes, and you will be punished by Nevada</li> <li>6 Revised Statute 193.130.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>Waste Management has made the assertion it</li> <li>plans its upgrade on the transfer station will not</li> <li>increase or trash rates. This is a false statement,</li> <li>it's a disingenuous statement, and they</li> <li>intentionally know it's a false statement. And I've</li> <li>already submitted to you in an email the reasons why</li> <li>it's a false statement.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 misrepresent, attempt to misrepresent, assist or</li> <li>3 conspire with others to misrepresent statements that</li> <li>4 you put on a petition, you are in violation of</li> <li>5 Nevada statutes, and you will be punished by Nevada</li> <li>6 Revised Statute 193.130.</li> <li>7 I can tell you right now, Mr. Callicrate</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>Waste Management has made the assertion it</li> <li>plans its upgrade on the transfer station will not</li> <li>increase or trash rates. This is a false statement,</li> <li>it's a disingenuous statement, and they</li> <li>intentionally know it's a false statement. And I've</li> <li>already submitted to you in an email the reasons why</li> <li>it's a false statement.</li> <li>If you let Waste Management get away with</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 misrepresent, attempt to misrepresent, assist or</li> <li>3 conspire with others to misrepresent statements that</li> <li>4 you put on a petition, you are in violation of</li> <li>5 Nevada statutes, and you will be punished by Nevada</li> <li>6 Revised Statute 193.130.</li> <li>7 I can tell you right now, Mr. Callicrate</li> <li>8 does not have a written document, anywhere, to</li> </ul>
1Waste Management has made the assertion it2plans its upgrade on the transfer station will not3increase or trash rates. This is a false statement,4it's a disingenuous statement, and they5intentionally know it's a false statement. And I've6already submitted to you in an email the reasons why7it's a false statement.8If you let Waste Management get away with9this one, you will have, in essence, foreclosed our	<ul> <li>2 misrepresent, attempt to misrepresent, assist or</li> <li>3 conspire with others to misrepresent statements that</li> <li>4 you put on a petition, you are in violation of</li> <li>5 Nevada statutes, and you will be punished by Nevada</li> <li>6 Revised Statute 193.130.</li> <li>7 I can tell you right now, Mr. Callicrate</li> <li>8 does not have a written document, anywhere, to</li> <li>9 substantiate the allegations that Sara Schmitz did</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>Waste Management has made the assertion it</li> <li>plans its upgrade on the transfer station will not</li> <li>increase or trash rates. This is a false statement,</li> <li>it's a disingenuous statement, and they</li> <li>intentionally know it's a false statement. And I've</li> <li>already submitted to you in an email the reasons why</li> <li>it's a false statement.</li> <li>If you let Waste Management get away with</li> <li>this one, you will have, in essence, foreclosed our</li> <li>ability to ever, ever secure competitive, solid</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 misrepresent, attempt to misrepresent, assist or</li> <li>3 conspire with others to misrepresent statements that</li> <li>4 you put on a petition, you are in violation of</li> <li>5 Nevada statutes, and you will be punished by Nevada</li> <li>6 Revised Statute 193.130.</li> <li>7 I can tell you right now, Mr. Callicrate</li> <li>8 does not have a written document, anywhere, to</li> <li>9 substantiate the allegations that Sara Schmitz did</li> <li>10 anything wrong. Not having that document, not</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>Waste Management has made the assertion it</li> <li>plans its upgrade on the transfer station will not</li> <li>increase or trash rates. This is a false statement,</li> <li>it's a disingenuous statement, and they</li> <li>intentionally know it's a false statement. And I've</li> <li>already submitted to you in an email the reasons why</li> <li>it's a false statement.</li> <li>If you let Waste Management get away with</li> <li>this one, you will have, in essence, foreclosed our</li> <li>ability to ever, ever secure competitive, solid</li> <li>waste removal rates, so we have to stop it right</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 misrepresent, attempt to misrepresent, assist or</li> <li>3 conspire with others to misrepresent statements that</li> <li>4 you put on a petition, you are in violation of</li> <li>5 Nevada statutes, and you will be punished by Nevada</li> <li>6 Revised Statute 193.130.</li> <li>7 I can tell you right now, Mr. Callicrate</li> <li>8 does not have a written document, anywhere, to</li> <li>9 substantiate the allegations that Sara Schmitz did</li> <li>10 anything wrong. Not having that document, not</li> <li>11 having those facts, everything that Mr. Callicrate</li> </ul>
1Waste Management has made the assertion it2plans its upgrade on the transfer station will not3increase or trash rates. This is a false statement,4it's a disingenuous statement, and they5intentionally know it's a false statement. And I've6already submitted to you in an email the reasons why7it's a false statement.8If you let Waste Management get away with9this one, you will have, in essence, foreclosed our10ability to ever, ever secure competitive, solid11waste removal rates, so we have to stop it right12now. And in my view, the trash rates that we	<ul> <li>2 misrepresent, attempt to misrepresent, assist or</li> <li>3 conspire with others to misrepresent statements that</li> <li>4 you put on a petition, you are in violation of</li> <li>5 Nevada statutes, and you will be punished by Nevada</li> <li>6 Revised Statute 193.130.</li> <li>7 I can tell you right now, Mr. Callicrate</li> <li>8 does not have a written document, anywhere, to</li> <li>9 substantiate the allegations that Sara Schmitz did</li> <li>10 anything wrong. Not having that document, not</li> <li>11 having those facts, everything that Mr. Callicrate</li> <li>12 is purporting in this petition, along with his two</li> </ul>
1Waste Management has made the assertion it2plans its upgrade on the transfer station will not3increase or trash rates. This is a false statement,4it's a disingenuous statement, and they5intentionally know it's a false statement. And I've6already submitted to you in an email the reasons why7it's a false statement.8If you let Waste Management get away with9this one, you will have, in essence, foreclosed our10ability to ever, ever secure competitive, solid11waste removal rates, so we have to stop it right12now. And in my view, the trash rates that we13approve are some of most important things this Board	<ul> <li>2 misrepresent, attempt to misrepresent, assist or</li> <li>3 conspire with others to misrepresent statements that</li> <li>4 you put on a petition, you are in violation of</li> <li>5 Nevada statutes, and you will be punished by Nevada</li> <li>6 Revised Statute 193.130.</li> <li>7 I can tell you right now, Mr. Callicrate</li> <li>8 does not have a written document, anywhere, to</li> <li>9 substantiate the allegations that Sara Schmitz did</li> <li>10 anything wrong. Not having that document, not</li> <li>11 having those facts, everything that Mr. Callicrate</li> <li>12 is purporting in this petition, along with his two</li> <li>13 cosigners, is all word-of-mouth, hearsay, it's</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>Waste Management has made the assertion it</li> <li>plans its upgrade on the transfer station will not</li> <li>increase or trash rates. This is a false statement,</li> <li>it's a disingenuous statement, and they</li> <li>intentionally know it's a false statement. And I've</li> <li>already submitted to you in an email the reasons why</li> <li>it's a false statement.</li> <li>If you let Waste Management get away with</li> <li>this one, you will have, in essence, foreclosed our</li> <li>ability to ever, ever secure competitive, solid</li> <li>waste removal rates, so we have to stop it right</li> <li>now. And in my view, the trash rates that we</li> <li>approve are some of most important things this Board</li> <li>does. Yes, the pipeline, I would put as number one.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 misrepresent, attempt to misrepresent, assist or</li> <li>3 conspire with others to misrepresent statements that</li> <li>4 you put on a petition, you are in violation of</li> <li>5 Nevada statutes, and you will be punished by Nevada</li> <li>6 Revised Statute 193.130.</li> <li>7 I can tell you right now, Mr. Callicrate</li> <li>8 does not have a written document, anywhere, to</li> <li>9 substantiate the allegations that Sara Schmitz did</li> <li>10 anything wrong. Not having that document, not</li> <li>11 having those facts, everything that Mr. Callicrate</li> <li>12 is purporting in this petition, along with his two</li> <li>13 cosigners, is all word-of-mouth, hearsay, it's</li> <li>14 passed around on the internet with the social media.</li> </ul>
1Waste Management has made the assertion it2plans its upgrade on the transfer station will not3increase or trash rates. This is a false statement,4it's a disingenuous statement, and they5intentionally know it's a false statement. And I've6already submitted to you in an email the reasons why7it's a false statement.8If you let Waste Management get away with9this one, you will have, in essence, foreclosed our10ability to ever, ever secure competitive, solid11waste removal rates, so we have to stop it right12now. And in my view, the trash rates that we13approve are some of most important things this Board14does. Yes, the pipeline, I would put as number one.15Yes, I would put the storage pond or facility as	<ul> <li>2 misrepresent, attempt to misrepresent, assist or</li> <li>3 conspire with others to misrepresent statements that</li> <li>4 you put on a petition, you are in violation of</li> <li>5 Nevada statutes, and you will be punished by Nevada</li> <li>6 Revised Statute 193.130.</li> <li>7 I can tell you right now, Mr. Callicrate</li> <li>8 does not have a written document, anywhere, to</li> <li>9 substantiate the allegations that Sara Schmitz did</li> <li>10 anything wrong. Not having that document, not</li> <li>11 having those facts, everything that Mr. Callicrate</li> <li>12 is purporting in this petition, along with his two</li> <li>13 cosigners, is all word-of-mouth, hearsay, it's</li> <li>14 passed around on the internet with the social media.</li> <li>15 It's all garbage.</li> </ul>
1Waste Management has made the assertion it2plans its upgrade on the transfer station will not3increase or trash rates. This is a false statement,4it's a disingenuous statement, and they5intentionally know it's a false statement. And I've6already submitted to you in an email the reasons why7it's a false statement.8If you let Waste Management get away with9this one, you will have, in essence, foreclosed our10ability to ever, ever secure competitive, solid11waste removal rates, so we have to stop it right12now. And in my view, the trash rates that we13approve are some of most important things this Board14does. Yes, the pipeline, I would put as number one.15Yes, I would put the storage pond or facility as16number two. This one, I'd put as number three, so	<ul> <li>2 misrepresent, attempt to misrepresent, assist or</li> <li>3 conspire with others to misrepresent statements that</li> <li>4 you put on a petition, you are in violation of</li> <li>5 Nevada statutes, and you will be punished by Nevada</li> <li>6 Revised Statute 193.130.</li> <li>7 I can tell you right now, Mr. Callicrate</li> <li>8 does not have a written document, anywhere, to</li> <li>9 substantiate the allegations that Sara Schmitz did</li> <li>10 anything wrong. Not having that document, not</li> <li>11 having those facts, everything that Mr. Callicrate</li> <li>12 is purporting in this petition, along with his two</li> <li>13 cosigners, is all word-of-mouth, hearsay, it's</li> <li>14 passed around on the internet with the social media.</li> <li>15 It's all garbage.</li> <li>16 He better produce a document, and he</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>Waste Management has made the assertion it</li> <li>plans its upgrade on the transfer station will not</li> <li>increase or trash rates. This is a false statement,</li> <li>it's a disingenuous statement, and they</li> <li>intentionally know it's a false statement. And I've</li> <li>already submitted to you in an email the reasons why</li> <li>it's a false statement.</li> <li>If you let Waste Management get away with</li> <li>this one, you will have, in essence, foreclosed our</li> <li>ability to ever, ever secure competitive, solid</li> <li>waste removal rates, so we have to stop it right</li> <li>now. And in my view, the trash rates that we</li> <li>approve are some of most important things this Board</li> <li>does. Yes, the pipeline, I would put as number one.</li> <li>Yes, I would put the storage pond or facility as</li> <li>number two. This one, I'd put as number three, so</li> <li>it's far more important. We have opportunity to do</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 misrepresent, attempt to misrepresent, assist or</li> <li>3 conspire with others to misrepresent statements that</li> <li>4 you put on a petition, you are in violation of</li> <li>5 Nevada statutes, and you will be punished by Nevada</li> <li>6 Revised Statute 193.130.</li> <li>7 I can tell you right now, Mr. Callicrate</li> <li>8 does not have a written document, anywhere, to</li> <li>9 substantiate the allegations that Sara Schmitz did</li> <li>10 anything wrong. Not having that document, not</li> <li>11 having those facts, everything that Mr. Callicrate</li> <li>12 is purporting in this petition, along with his two</li> <li>13 cosigners, is all word-of-mouth, hearsay, it's</li> <li>14 passed around on the internet with the social media.</li> <li>15 It's all garbage.</li> <li>16 He better produce a document, and he</li> <li>17 better produce it pretty quickly, that shows that</li> </ul>
1Waste Management has made the assertion it2plans its upgrade on the transfer station will not3increase or trash rates. This is a false statement,4it's a disingenuous statement, and they5intentionally know it's a false statement. And I've6already submitted to you in an email the reasons why7it's a false statement.8If you let Waste Management get away with9this one, you will have, in essence, foreclosed our10ability to ever, ever secure competitive, solid11waste removal rates, so we have to stop it right12now. And in my view, the trash rates that we13approve are some of most important things this Board14does. Yes, the pipeline, I would put as number one.15Yes, I would put the storage pond or facility as16number two. This one, I'd put as number three, so17it's far more important. We have opportunity to do18something for the benefit of the community, and	<ul> <li>2 misrepresent, attempt to misrepresent, assist or</li> <li>3 conspire with others to misrepresent statements that</li> <li>4 you put on a petition, you are in violation of</li> <li>5 Nevada statutes, and you will be punished by Nevada</li> <li>6 Revised Statute 193.130.</li> <li>7 I can tell you right now, Mr. Callicrate</li> <li>8 does not have a written document, anywhere, to</li> <li>9 substantiate the allegations that Sara Schmitz did</li> <li>10 anything wrong. Not having that document, not</li> <li>11 having those facts, everything that Mr. Callicrate</li> <li>12 is purporting in this petition, along with his two</li> <li>13 cosigners, is all word-of-mouth, hearsay, it's</li> <li>14 passed around on the internet with the social media.</li> <li>15 It's all garbage.</li> <li>16 He better produce a document, and he</li> <li>17 better produce it pretty quickly, that shows that</li> <li>18 what he is contending on that petition is actual and</li> </ul>
1Waste Management has made the assertion it2plans its upgrade on the transfer station will not3increase or trash rates. This is a false statement,4it's a disingenuous statement, and they5intentionally know it's a false statement. And I've6already submitted to you in an email the reasons why7it's a false statement.8If you let Waste Management get away with9this one, you will have, in essence, foreclosed our10ability to ever, ever secure competitive, solid11waste removal rates, so we have to stop it right12now. And in my view, the trash rates that we13approve are some of most important things this Board14does. Yes, the pipeline, I would put as number one.15Yes, I would put the storage pond or facility as16number two. This one, I'd put as number three, so17it's far more important. We have opportunity to do18something for the benefit of the community, and19now's the time to do it.	<ul> <li>2 misrepresent, attempt to misrepresent, assist or</li> <li>3 conspire with others to misrepresent statements that</li> <li>4 you put on a petition, you are in violation of</li> <li>5 Nevada statutes, and you will be punished by Nevada</li> <li>6 Revised Statute 193.130.</li> <li>7 I can tell you right now, Mr. Callicrate</li> <li>8 does not have a written document, anywhere, to</li> <li>9 substantiate the allegations that Sara Schmitz did</li> <li>10 anything wrong. Not having that document, not</li> <li>11 having those facts, everything that Mr. Callicrate</li> <li>12 is purporting in this petition, along with his two</li> <li>13 cosigners, is all word-of-mouth, hearsay, it's</li> <li>14 passed around on the internet with the social media.</li> <li>15 It's all garbage.</li> <li>16 He better produce a document, and he</li> <li>17 better produce it pretty quickly, that shows that</li> <li>18 what he is contending on that petition is actual and</li> <li>19 factual. He can't. It doesn't exist. The grant</li> </ul>
1Waste Management has made the assertion it2plans its upgrade on the transfer station will not3increase or trash rates. This is a false statement,4it's a disingenuous statement, and they5intentionally know it's a false statement. And I've6already submitted to you in an email the reasons why7it's a false statement.8If you let Waste Management get away with9this one, you will have, in essence, foreclosed our10ability to ever, ever secure competitive, solid11waste removal rates, so we have to stop it right12now. And in my view, the trash rates that we13approve are some of most important things this Board14does. Yes, the pipeline, I would put as number one.15Yes, I would put the storage pond or facility as16number two. This one, I'd put as number three, so17it's far more important. We have opportunity to do18something for the benefit of the community, and19now's the time to do it.20Thank you.	<ul> <li>2 misrepresent, attempt to misrepresent, assist or</li> <li>3 conspire with others to misrepresent statements that</li> <li>4 you put on a petition, you are in violation of</li> <li>5 Nevada statutes, and you will be punished by Nevada</li> <li>6 Revised Statute 193.130.</li> <li>7 I can tell you right now, Mr. Callicrate</li> <li>8 does not have a written document, anywhere, to</li> <li>9 substantiate the allegations that Sara Schmitz did</li> <li>10 anything wrong. Not having that document, not</li> <li>11 having those facts, everything that Mr. Callicrate</li> <li>12 is purporting in this petition, along with his two</li> <li>13 cosigners, is all word-of-mouth, hearsay, it's</li> <li>14 passed around on the internet with the social media.</li> <li>15 It's all garbage.</li> <li>16 He better produce a document, and he</li> <li>17 better produce it pretty quickly, that shows that</li> <li>18 what he is contending on that petition is actual and</li> <li>19 factual. He can't. It doesn't exist. The grant</li> <li>20 never existed. It was never written anywhere.</li> </ul>
1Waste Management has made the assertion it2plans its upgrade on the transfer station will not3increase or trash rates. This is a false statement,4it's a disingenuous statement, and they5intentionally know it's a false statement. And I've6already submitted to you in an email the reasons why7it's a false statement.8If you let Waste Management get away with9this one, you will have, in essence, foreclosed our10ability to ever, ever secure competitive, solid11waste removal rates, so we have to stop it right12now. And in my view, the trash rates that we13approve are some of most important things this Board14does. Yes, the pipeline, I would put as number one.15Yes, I would put the storage pond or facility as16number two. This one, I'd put as number three, so17it's far more important. We have opportunity to do18something for the benefit of the community, and19now's the time to do it.20Thank you.21CHAIR DENT: Matt, can we go to Zoom,	<ul> <li>2 misrepresent, attempt to misrepresent, assist or</li> <li>3 conspire with others to misrepresent statements that</li> <li>4 you put on a petition, you are in violation of</li> <li>5 Nevada statutes, and you will be punished by Nevada</li> <li>6 Revised Statute 193.130.</li> <li>7 I can tell you right now, Mr. Callicrate</li> <li>8 does not have a written document, anywhere, to</li> <li>9 substantiate the allegations that Sara Schmitz did</li> <li>10 anything wrong. Not having that document, not</li> <li>11 having those facts, everything that Mr. Callicrate</li> <li>12 is purporting in this petition, along with his two</li> <li>13 cosigners, is all word-of-mouth, hearsay, it's</li> <li>14 passed around on the internet with the social media.</li> <li>15 It's all garbage.</li> <li>16 He better produce a document, and he</li> <li>17 better produce it pretty quickly, that shows that</li> <li>18 what he is contending on that petition is actual and</li> <li>19 factual. He can't. It doesn't exist. The grant</li> <li>20 never existed. It was never written anywhere.</li> <li>21 But that's not the only falsity. Most of</li> </ul>
1Waste Management has made the assertion it2plans its upgrade on the transfer station will not3increase or trash rates. This is a false statement,4it's a disingenuous statement, and they5intentionally know it's a false statement. And I've6already submitted to you in an email the reasons why7it's a false statement.8If you let Waste Management get away with9this one, you will have, in essence, foreclosed our10ability to ever, ever secure competitive, solid11waste removal rates, so we have to stop it right12now. And in my view, the trash rates that we13approve are some of most important things this Board14does. Yes, the pipeline, I would put as number one.15Yes, I would put the storage pond or facility as16number two. This one, I'd put as number three, so17it's far more important. We have opportunity to do18something for the benefit of the community, and19now's the time to do it.20Thank you.21CHAIR DENT: Matt, can we go to Zoom,22please.	<ul> <li>2 misrepresent, attempt to misrepresent, assist or</li> <li>3 conspire with others to misrepresent statements that</li> <li>4 you put on a petition, you are in violation of</li> <li>5 Nevada statutes, and you will be punished by Nevada</li> <li>6 Revised Statute 193.130.</li> <li>7 I can tell you right now, Mr. Callicrate</li> <li>8 does not have a written document, anywhere, to</li> <li>9 substantiate the allegations that Sara Schmitz did</li> <li>10 anything wrong. Not having that document, not</li> <li>11 having those facts, everything that Mr. Callicrate</li> <li>12 is purporting in this petition, along with his two</li> <li>13 cosigners, is all word-of-mouth, hearsay, it's</li> <li>14 passed around on the internet with the social media.</li> <li>15 It's all garbage.</li> <li>16 He better produce a document, and he</li> <li>17 better produce it pretty quickly, that shows that</li> <li>18 what he is contending on that petition is actual and</li> <li>19 factual. He can't. It doesn't exist. The grant</li> <li>20 never existed. It was never written anywhere.</li> <li>21 But that's not the only falsity. Most of</li> <li>22 it is all hearsay, and he stuck his name on it and</li> </ul>
1Waste Management has made the assertion it2plans its upgrade on the transfer station will not3increase or trash rates. This is a false statement,4it's a disingenuous statement, and they5intentionally know it's a false statement. And I've6already submitted to you in an email the reasons why7it's a false statement.8If you let Waste Management get away with9this one, you will have, in essence, foreclosed our10ability to ever, ever secure competitive, solid11waste removal rates, so we have to stop it right12now. And in my view, the trash rates that we13approve are some of most important things this Board14does. Yes, the pipeline, I would put as number one.15Yes, I would put the storage pond or facility as16number two. This one, I'd put as number three, so17it's far more important. We have opportunity to do18something for the benefit of the community, and19now's the time to do it.20Thank you.21CHAIR DENT: Matt, can we go to Zoom,22please.23MR. WRIGHT: Frank Wright, Crystal Bay.	<ul> <li>2 misrepresent, attempt to misrepresent, assist or</li> <li>3 conspire with others to misrepresent statements that</li> <li>4 you put on a petition, you are in violation of</li> <li>5 Nevada statutes, and you will be punished by Nevada</li> <li>6 Revised Statute 193.130.</li> <li>7 I can tell you right now, Mr. Callicrate</li> <li>8 does not have a written document, anywhere, to</li> <li>9 substantiate the allegations that Sara Schmitz did</li> <li>10 anything wrong. Not having that document, not</li> <li>11 having those facts, everything that Mr. Callicrate</li> <li>12 is purporting in this petition, along with his two</li> <li>13 cosigners, is all word-of-mouth, hearsay, it's</li> <li>14 passed around on the internet with the social media.</li> <li>15 It's all garbage.</li> <li>16 He better produce a document, and he</li> <li>17 better produce it pretty quickly, that shows that</li> <li>18 what he is contending on that petition is actual and</li> <li>19 factual. He can't. It doesn't exist. The grant</li> <li>20 never existed. It was never written anywhere.</li> <li>21 But that's not the only falsity. Most of</li> <li>22 it is all hearsay, and he stuck his name on it and</li> <li>23 got two other people to go along with him. You can</li> </ul>
1Waste Management has made the assertion it2plans its upgrade on the transfer station will not3increase or trash rates. This is a false statement,4it's a disingenuous statement, and they5intentionally know it's a false statement. And I've6already submitted to you in an email the reasons why7it's a false statement.8If you let Waste Management get away with9this one, you will have, in essence, foreclosed our10ability to ever, ever secure competitive, solid11waste removal rates, so we have to stop it right12now. And in my view, the trash rates that we13approve are some of most important things this Board14does. Yes, the pipeline, I would put as number one.15Yes, I would put the storage pond or facility as16number two. This one, I'd put as number three, so17it's far more important. We have opportunity to do18something for the benefit of the community, and19now's the time to do it.20Thank you.21CHAIR DENT: Matt, can we go to Zoom,22please.	<ul> <li>2 misrepresent, attempt to misrepresent, assist or</li> <li>3 conspire with others to misrepresent statements that</li> <li>4 you put on a petition, you are in violation of</li> <li>5 Nevada statutes, and you will be punished by Nevada</li> <li>6 Revised Statute 193.130.</li> <li>7 I can tell you right now, Mr. Callicrate</li> <li>8 does not have a written document, anywhere, to</li> <li>9 substantiate the allegations that Sara Schmitz did</li> <li>10 anything wrong. Not having that document, not</li> <li>11 having those facts, everything that Mr. Callicrate</li> <li>12 is purporting in this petition, along with his two</li> <li>13 cosigners, is all word-of-mouth, hearsay, it's</li> <li>14 passed around on the internet with the social media.</li> <li>15 It's all garbage.</li> <li>16 He better produce a document, and he</li> <li>17 better produce it pretty quickly, that shows that</li> <li>18 what he is contending on that petition is actual and</li> <li>19 factual. He can't. It doesn't exist. The grant</li> <li>20 never existed. It was never written anywhere.</li> <li>21 But that's not the only falsity. Most of</li> <li>22 it is all hearsay, and he stuck his name on it and</li> </ul>

	25	26
1 I guarantee you, there's going to be an	1 I'm just giving advice to you,	20
2 investigation, and something is going to happen.	2 Mr. Callicrate, you better have your	
3 As far as Ms. Shackford's comments, she	3 (Expiration of three minutes.)	
4 seems to fail to take into account all the	4 MATT: There are no other public comments	
5 employee's wages that we were spending while they	5 in the queue at this time.	
6 were at this goofy conference that she puts on	6 CHAIR DENT: That will close out item C,	
7 teaching them how to smile. A week? What's the	7 initial public comment. Moving on to item D,	
8 wages for all those employees to be gone from their	8 approval of agenda.	
9 jobs for a whole week to learn how to smile? Sure,	9 D. APPROVAL OF AGENDA	
10 she's getting a ton of money, so she's coming on,	10 CHAIR DENT: I think the only item that we	
11 and she's going to try to give you a spin that you	11 needed to pull from the agenda was item F 4 or	
12 really need her. We need her like we need a hole in	12 not pull from the agenda. Item F 4, I believe there	
13 the head. Take the money and put it in the tennis	13 was a quick presentation our director of human	
14 courts.	14 resources was going to do on this item. I'd ask	
15 As far as the other statements she made, I	15 that this item just get moved to the new item G 1.	
16 attacked her in front of Raley's, I didn't even talk	16 Are there any other changes to the agenda?	
17 to her. I walked by and looked at her.	17 All right. Seeing none, the agenda is approved as	
18 You know, the people in this town that are	18 is. Moving on to item E.	
19 listening to these people trying to get this	19 E. REPORTS TO THE BOARD	
20 petition going, really ought to sit up and pay	20 E 1.	
21 attention. These people all have a special interest	21 CHAIR DENT: E 1, treasurer's report,	
22 in something. I'm not sure what it is all the time,	22 payment of bills. Requesting trustee, Treasurer Ray	
23 but I'm guaranteeing it's either golf, people like	23 Tulloch. This can be found on page 5 of your board	
24 Kay Shackford who are trying to rip us off. It's	24 packet. And then next up will be item E 2, the	
25 amazing. It's absolutely amazing.	25 general manager's report for July 12th, 2023.	
1 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Just reporting on the		28
1 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Just reporting on the 2 checks on the payments over 50.000 over the last	1 \$726,000, plus broker service fees of \$20,000.	28
2 checks on the payments over 50,000 over the last	<ol> <li>\$726,000, plus broker service fees of \$20,000.</li> <li>That was the summary of the payments</li> </ol>	28
<ul><li>2 checks on the payments over 50,000 over the last</li><li>3 month. Here's a summary of them:</li></ul>	<ol> <li>\$726,000, plus broker service fees of \$20,000.</li> <li>That was the summary of the payments</li> <li>the major payments for last month.</li> </ol>	28
<ul><li>2 checks on the payments over 50,000 over the last</li><li>3 month. Here's a summary of them:</li></ul>	<ol> <li>\$726,000, plus broker service fees of \$20,000.</li> <li>That was the summary of the payments</li> <li>the major payments for last month.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> </ol>	28
<ol> <li>checks on the payments over 50,000 over the last</li> <li>month. Here's a summary of them:</li> <li>Tyler Technologies for software</li> <li>maintenance, \$120,000 for annual maintenance and</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>\$726,000, plus broker service fees of \$20,000.</li> <li>That was the summary of the payments</li> <li>the major payments for last month.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any questions regarding bill</li> </ol>	28
<ul> <li>2 checks on the payments over 50,000 over the last</li> <li>3 month. Here's a summary of them:</li> <li>4 Tyler Technologies for software</li> <li>5 maintenance, \$120,000 for annual maintenance and</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>\$726,000, plus broker service fees of \$20,000.</li> <li>That was the summary of the payments</li> <li>the major payments for last month.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any questions regarding bill</li> <li>pay? All right. Seeing none, that will close out</li> </ol>	28
<ul> <li>checks on the payments over 50,000 over the last</li> <li>month. Here's a summary of them:</li> <li>Tyler Technologies for software</li> <li>maintenance, \$120,000 for annual maintenance and</li> <li>\$5,000 for annual maintenance of the touch screen</li> <li>biometric reader.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>\$726,000, plus broker service fees of \$20,000.</li> <li>That was the summary of the payments</li> <li>the major payments for last month.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any questions regarding bill</li> <li>pay? All right. Seeing none, that will close out</li> <li>that item. E 2, general manager's report for</li> </ol>	28
<ul> <li>checks on the payments over 50,000 over the last</li> <li>month. Here's a summary of them:</li> <li>Tyler Technologies for software</li> <li>maintenance, \$120,000 for annual maintenance and</li> <li>\$5,000 for annual maintenance of the touch screen</li> <li>biometric reader.</li> <li>Rockwood Tree Service, two \$27,000</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>\$726,000, plus broker service fees of \$20,000.</li> <li>That was the summary of the payments</li> <li>the major payments for last month.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any questions regarding bill</li> <li>pay? All right. Seeing none, that will close out</li> <li>that item. E 2, general manager's report for</li> </ol>	28
<ul> <li>2 checks on the payments over 50,000 over the last</li> <li>3 month. Here's a summary of them:</li> <li>4 Tyler Technologies for software</li> <li>5 maintenance, \$120,000 for annual maintenance and</li> <li>6 \$5,000 for annual maintenance of the touch screen</li> <li>7 biometric reader.</li> <li>8 Rockwood Tree Service, two \$27,000</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>\$726,000, plus broker service fees of \$20,000.</li> <li>That was the summary of the payments</li> <li>the major payments for last month.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any questions regarding bill</li> <li>pay? All right. Seeing none, that will close out</li> <li>that item. E 2, general manager's report for</li> <li>July 12, 2023.</li> </ol>	28
<ul> <li>2 checks on the payments over 50,000 over the last</li> <li>3 month. Here's a summary of them:</li> <li>4 Tyler Technologies for software</li> <li>5 maintenance, \$120,000 for annual maintenance and</li> <li>6 \$5,000 for annual maintenance of the touch screen</li> <li>7 biometric reader.</li> <li>8 Rockwood Tree Service, two \$27,000</li> <li>9 payments for takedown and takedown chipping and</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>\$726,000, plus broker service fees of \$20,000.</li> <li>That was the summary of the payments</li> <li>the major payments for last month.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any questions regarding bill</li> <li>pay? All right. Seeing none, that will close out</li> <li>that item. E 2, general manager's report for</li> <li>July 12, 2023.</li> <li>E 2.</li> </ol>	28
<ul> <li>2 checks on the payments over 50,000 over the last</li> <li>3 month. Here's a summary of them:</li> <li>4 Tyler Technologies for software</li> <li>5 maintenance, \$120,000 for annual maintenance and</li> <li>6 \$5,000 for annual maintenance of the touch screen</li> <li>7 biometric reader.</li> <li>8 Rockwood Tree Service, two \$27,000</li> <li>9 payments for takedown and takedown chipping and</li> <li>10 hauling of large conifers at the Mountain Golf</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>\$726,000, plus broker service fees of \$20,000.</li> <li>That was the summary of the payments</li> <li>the major payments for last month.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any questions regarding bill</li> <li>pay? All right. Seeing none, that will close out</li> <li>that item. E 2, general manager's report for</li> <li>July 12, 2023.</li> <li>E 2.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Acting District General</li> </ol>	28
<ul> <li>2 checks on the payments over 50,000 over the last</li> <li>3 month. Here's a summary of them:</li> <li>4 Tyler Technologies for software</li> <li>5 maintenance, \$120,000 for annual maintenance and</li> <li>6 \$5,000 for annual maintenance of the touch screen</li> <li>7 biometric reader.</li> <li>8 Rockwood Tree Service, two \$27,000</li> <li>9 payments for takedown and takedown chipping and</li> <li>10 hauling of large conifers at the Mountain Golf</li> <li>11 Course.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>\$726,000, plus broker service fees of \$20,000.</li> <li>That was the summary of the payments</li> <li>the major payments for last month.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any questions regarding bill</li> <li>pay? All right. Seeing none, that will close out</li> <li>that item. E 2, general manager's report for</li> <li>July 12, 2023.</li> <li>E 2.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Acting District General</li> <li>Manager Mike Bandelin. This can be found on pages 6</li> </ol>	28
<ul> <li>2 checks on the payments over 50,000 over the last</li> <li>3 month. Here's a summary of them:</li> <li>4 Tyler Technologies for software</li> <li>5 maintenance, \$120,000 for annual maintenance and</li> <li>6 \$5,000 for annual maintenance of the touch screen</li> <li>7 biometric reader.</li> <li>8 Rockwood Tree Service, two \$27,000</li> <li>9 payments for takedown and takedown chipping and</li> <li>10 hauling of large conifers at the Mountain Golf</li> <li>11 Course.</li> <li>12 Western Nevada Supply, nine invoices in</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>\$726,000, plus broker service fees of \$20,000.</li> <li>That was the summary of the payments</li> <li>the major payments for last month.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any questions regarding bill</li> <li>pay? All right. Seeing none, that will close out</li> <li>that item. E 2, general manager's report for</li> <li>July 12, 2023.</li> <li>E 2.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Acting District General</li> <li>Manager Mike Bandelin. This can be found on pages 6</li> <li>through 44 of our board packet.</li> </ol>	28
<ul> <li>checks on the payments over 50,000 over the last</li> <li>month. Here's a summary of them:</li> <li>Tyler Technologies for software</li> <li>maintenance, \$120,000 for annual maintenance and</li> <li>\$5,000 for annual maintenance of the touch screen</li> <li>biometric reader.</li> <li>Rockwood Tree Service, two \$27,000</li> <li>payments for takedown and takedown chipping and</li> <li>hauling of large conifers at the Mountain Golf</li> <li>Course.</li> <li>Western Nevada Supply, nine invoices in</li> <li>total, three totaling \$397,000 for the effluent</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>\$726,000, plus broker service fees of \$20,000.</li> <li>That was the summary of the payments</li> <li>the major payments for last month.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any questions regarding bill</li> <li>pay? All right. Seeing none, that will close out</li> <li>that item. E 2, general manager's report for</li> <li>July 12, 2023.</li> <li>E 2.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Acting District General</li> <li>Manager Mike Bandelin. This can be found on pages 6</li> <li>through 44 of our board packet.</li> <li>Mr. Bandelin?</li> </ol>	28
<ul> <li>checks on the payments over 50,000 over the last</li> <li>month. Here's a summary of them:</li> <li>Tyler Technologies for software</li> <li>maintenance, \$120,000 for annual maintenance and</li> <li>\$5,000 for annual maintenance of the touch screen</li> <li>biometric reader.</li> <li>Rockwood Tree Service, two \$27,000</li> <li>payments for takedown and takedown chipping and</li> <li>hauling of large conifers at the Mountain Golf</li> <li>Course.</li> <li>Western Nevada Supply, nine invoices in</li> <li>total, three totaling \$397,000 for the effluent</li> <li>pipeline project, and six totaling \$2,800 for</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>\$726,000, plus broker service fees of \$20,000.</li> <li>That was the summary of the payments</li> <li>the major payments for last month.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any questions regarding bill</li> <li>pay? All right. Seeing none, that will close out</li> <li>that item. E 2, general manager's report for</li> <li>July 12, 2023.</li> <li>E 2.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Acting District General</li> <li>Manager Mike Bandelin. This can be found on pages 6</li> <li>through 44 of our board packet.</li> <li>Mr. Bandelin?</li> <li>MR. BANDELIN: This report reflects the</li> </ol>	28
<ul> <li>checks on the payments over 50,000 over the last</li> <li>month. Here's a summary of them:</li> <li>Tyler Technologies for software</li> <li>maintenance, \$120,000 for annual maintenance and</li> <li>\$5,000 for annual maintenance of the touch screen</li> <li>biometric reader.</li> <li>Rockwood Tree Service, two \$27,000</li> <li>payments for takedown and takedown chipping and</li> <li>hauling of large conifers at the Mountain Golf</li> <li>Course.</li> <li>Western Nevada Supply, nine invoices in</li> <li>total, three totaling \$397,000 for the effluent</li> <li>pipeline project, and six totaling \$2,800 for</li> <li>miscellaneous supplies and inventory stock for</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>\$726,000, plus broker service fees of \$20,000.</li> <li>That was the summary of the payments</li> <li>the major payments for last month.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any questions regarding bill</li> <li>pay? All right. Seeing none, that will close out</li> <li>that item. E 2, general manager's report for</li> <li>July 12, 2023.</li> <li>E 2.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Acting District General</li> <li>Manager Mike Bandelin. This can be found on pages 6</li> <li>through 44 of our board packet.</li> <li>Mr. Bandelin?</li> <li>MR. BANDELIN: This report reflects the</li> <li>action items updates for review and discussion as</li> </ol>	28
<ul> <li>checks on the payments over 50,000 over the last</li> <li>month. Here's a summary of them:</li> <li>Tyler Technologies for software</li> <li>maintenance, \$120,000 for annual maintenance and</li> <li>\$5,000 for annual maintenance of the touch screen</li> <li>biometric reader.</li> <li>Rockwood Tree Service, two \$27,000</li> <li>payments for takedown and takedown chipping and</li> <li>hauling of large conifers at the Mountain Golf</li> <li>Course.</li> <li>Western Nevada Supply, nine invoices in</li> <li>total, three totaling \$397,000 for the effluent</li> <li>pipeline project, and six totaling \$2,800 for</li> <li>miscellaneous supplies and inventory stock for</li> <li>Public Works.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>\$726,000, plus broker service fees of \$20,000.</li> <li>That was the summary of the payments</li> <li>the major payments for last month.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any questions regarding bill</li> <li>pay? All right. Seeing none, that will close out</li> <li>that item. E 2, general manager's report for</li> <li>July 12, 2023.</li> <li>E 2.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Acting District General</li> <li>Manager Mike Bandelin. This can be found on pages 6</li> <li>through 44 of our board packet.</li> <li>Mr. Bandelin?</li> <li>MR. BANDELIN: This report reflects the</li> <li>action items updates for review and discussion as</li> <li>needed. I'll note that we have included in the</li> </ol>	28
<ul> <li>checks on the payments over 50,000 over the last</li> <li>month. Here's a summary of them:</li> <li>Tyler Technologies for software</li> <li>maintenance, \$120,000 for annual maintenance and</li> <li>\$5,000 for annual maintenance of the touch screen</li> <li>biometric reader.</li> <li>Rockwood Tree Service, two \$27,000</li> <li>payments for takedown and takedown chipping and</li> <li>hauling of large conifers at the Mountain Golf</li> <li>Course.</li> <li>Western Nevada Supply, nine invoices in</li> <li>total, three totaling \$397,000 for the effluent</li> <li>pipeline project, and six totaling \$2,800 for</li> <li>miscellaneous supplies and inventory stock for</li> <li>Public Works.</li> <li>NV Energy, our regular monthly billing for</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>\$726,000, plus broker service fees of \$20,000.</li> <li>That was the summary of the payments</li> <li>the major payments for last month.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any questions regarding bill</li> <li>pay? All right. Seeing none, that will close out</li> <li>that item. E 2, general manager's report for</li> <li>July 12, 2023.</li> <li>E 2.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Acting District General</li> <li>Manager Mike Bandelin. This can be found on pages 6</li> <li>through 44 of our board packet.</li> <li>Mr. Bandelin?</li> <li>MR. BANDELIN: This report reflects the</li> <li>action items updates for review and discussion as</li> <li>needed. I'll note that we have included in the</li> <li>report a June golf venue for review, although our</li> </ol>	28
<ul> <li>checks on the payments over 50,000 over the last</li> <li>month. Here's a summary of them:</li> <li>Tyler Technologies for software</li> <li>maintenance, \$120,000 for annual maintenance and</li> <li>\$5,000 for annual maintenance of the touch screen</li> <li>biometric reader.</li> <li>Rockwood Tree Service, two \$27,000</li> <li>payments for takedown and takedown chipping and</li> <li>hauling of large conifers at the Mountain Golf</li> <li>Course.</li> <li>Western Nevada Supply, nine invoices in</li> <li>total, three totaling \$397,000 for the effluent</li> <li>pipeline project, and six totaling \$2,800 for</li> <li>miscellaneous supplies and inventory stock for</li> <li>Public Works.</li> <li>NV Energy, our regular monthly billing for</li> <li>all the venues, \$102,513.34, offset by \$4,000 a</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>\$726,000, plus broker service fees of \$20,000.</li> <li>That was the summary of the payments</li> <li>the major payments for last month.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any questions regarding bill</li> <li>pay? All right. Seeing none, that will close out</li> <li>that item. E 2, general manager's report for</li> <li>July 12, 2023.</li> <li>E 2.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Acting District General</li> <li>Manager Mike Bandelin. This can be found on pages 6</li> <li>through 44 of our board packet.</li> <li>Mr. Bandelin?</li> <li>MR. BANDELIN: This report reflects the</li> <li>action items updates for review and discussion as</li> <li>needed. I'll note that we have included in the</li> <li>report a June golf venue for review, although our</li> <li>venue director Howard is not present this evening to</li> </ol>	28
<ul> <li>checks on the payments over 50,000 over the last</li> <li>month. Here's a summary of them:</li> <li>Tyler Technologies for software</li> <li>maintenance, \$120,000 for annual maintenance and</li> <li>\$5,000 for annual maintenance of the touch screen</li> <li>biometric reader.</li> <li>Rockwood Tree Service, two \$27,000</li> <li>payments for takedown and takedown chipping and</li> <li>hauling of large conifers at the Mountain Golf</li> <li>Course.</li> <li>Western Nevada Supply, nine invoices in</li> <li>total, three totaling \$397,000 for the effluent</li> <li>pipeline project, and six totaling \$2,800 for</li> <li>miscellaneous supplies and inventory stock for</li> <li>Public Works.</li> <li>NV Energy, our regular monthly billing for</li> <li>all the venues, \$102,513.34, offset by \$4,000 a</li> <li>month Mr. Bandelin has generated for the lay-down</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>\$726,000, plus broker service fees of \$20,000.</li> <li>That was the summary of the payments</li> <li>the major payments for last month.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any questions regarding bill</li> <li>pay? All right. Seeing none, that will close out</li> <li>that item. E 2, general manager's report for</li> <li>July 12, 2023.</li> <li>E 2.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Acting District General</li> <li>Manager Mike Bandelin. This can be found on pages 6</li> <li>through 44 of our board packet.</li> <li>Mr. Bandelin?</li> <li>MR. BANDELIN: This report reflects the</li> <li>action items updates for review and discussion as</li> <li>needed. I'll note that we have included in the</li> <li>report a June golf venue for review, although our</li> <li>venue director Howard is not present this evening to</li> <li>answer any questions, but I can certainly try.</li> </ul>	28
<ul> <li>checks on the payments over 50,000 over the last</li> <li>month. Here's a summary of them:</li> <li>Tyler Technologies for software</li> <li>maintenance, \$120,000 for annual maintenance and</li> <li>\$5,000 for annual maintenance of the touch screen</li> <li>biometric reader.</li> <li>Rockwood Tree Service, two \$27,000</li> <li>payments for takedown and takedown chipping and</li> <li>hauling of large conifers at the Mountain Golf</li> <li>Course.</li> <li>Western Nevada Supply, nine invoices in</li> <li>total, three totaling \$397,000 for the effluent</li> <li>pipeline project, and six totaling \$2,800 for</li> <li>miscellaneous supplies and inventory stock for</li> <li>Public Works.</li> <li>NV Energy, our regular monthly billing for</li> <li>all the venues, \$102,513.34, offset by \$4,000 a</li> <li>month Mr. Bandelin has generated for the lay-down</li> <li>area. Thank you, Mr. Bandelin.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>\$726,000, plus broker service fees of \$20,000.</li> <li>That was the summary of the payments</li> <li>the major payments for last month.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any questions regarding bill</li> <li>pay? All right. Seeing none, that will close out</li> <li>that item. E 2, general manager's report for</li> <li>July 12, 2023.</li> <li>E 2.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Acting District General</li> <li>Manager Mike Bandelin. This can be found on pages 6</li> <li>through 44 of our board packet.</li> <li>Mr. Bandelin?</li> <li>MR. BANDELIN: This report reflects the</li> <li>action items updates for review and discussion as</li> <li>needed. I'll note that we have included in the</li> <li>report a June golf venue for review, although our</li> <li>venue director Howard is not present this evening to</li> <li>answer any questions, but I can certainly try.</li> <li>I'll note that our next report of the golf</li> </ul>	28
<ul> <li>checks on the payments over 50,000 over the last</li> <li>month. Here's a summary of them:</li> <li>Tyler Technologies for software</li> <li>maintenance, \$120,000 for annual maintenance and</li> <li>\$5,000 for annual maintenance of the touch screen</li> <li>biometric reader.</li> <li>Rockwood Tree Service, two \$27,000</li> <li>payments for takedown and takedown chipping and</li> <li>hauling of large conifers at the Mountain Golf</li> <li>Course.</li> <li>Western Nevada Supply, nine invoices in</li> <li>total, three totaling \$397,000 for the effluent</li> <li>pipeline project, and six totaling \$2,800 for</li> <li>miscellaneous supplies and inventory stock for</li> <li>Public Works.</li> <li>NV Energy, our regular monthly billing for</li> <li>all the venues, \$102,513.34, offset by \$4,000 a</li> <li>month Mr. Bandelin has generated for the lay-down</li> <li>uSDA Forest Service, two special permits</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>\$726,000, plus broker service fees of \$20,000.</li> <li>That was the summary of the payments</li> <li>the major payments for last month.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any questions regarding bill</li> <li>pay? All right. Seeing none, that will close out</li> <li>that item. E 2, general manager's report for</li> <li>July 12, 2023.</li> <li>E 2.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Acting District General</li> <li>Manager Mike Bandelin. This can be found on pages 6</li> <li>through 44 of our board packet.</li> <li>Mr. Bandelin?</li> <li>MR. BANDELIN: This report reflects the</li> <li>action items updates for review and discussion as</li> <li>needed. I'll note that we have included in the</li> <li>report a June golf venue for review, although our</li> <li>venue director Howard is not present this evening to</li> <li>answer any questions, but I can certainly try.</li> <li>I'll note that our next report of the golf</li> <li>venue, staff will provide a chart of related</li> </ul>	28
<ul> <li>checks on the payments over 50,000 over the last</li> <li>month. Here's a summary of them:</li> <li>Tyler Technologies for software</li> <li>maintenance, \$120,000 for annual maintenance and</li> <li>\$5,000 for annual maintenance of the touch screen</li> <li>biometric reader.</li> <li>Rockwood Tree Service, two \$27,000</li> <li>payments for takedown and takedown chipping and</li> <li>hauling of large conifers at the Mountain Golf</li> <li>Course.</li> <li>Western Nevada Supply, nine invoices in</li> <li>total, three totaling \$397,000 for the effluent</li> <li>pipeline project, and six totaling \$2,800 for</li> <li>miscellaneous supplies and inventory stock for</li> <li>Public Works.</li> <li>NV Energy, our regular monthly billing for</li> <li>all the venues, \$102,513.34, offset by \$4,000 a</li> <li>month Mr. Bandelin has generated for the lay-down</li> <li>area. Thank you, Mr. Bandelin.</li> <li>USDA Forest Service, two special permits</li> <li>for ski slopes at Diamond Peak, \$53,000 for calendar</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>\$726,000, plus broker service fees of \$20,000.</li> <li>That was the summary of the payments</li> <li>the major payments for last month.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any questions regarding bill</li> <li>pay? All right. Seeing none, that will close out</li> <li>that item. E 2, general manager's report for</li> <li>July 12, 2023.</li> <li>E 2.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Acting District General</li> <li>Manager Mike Bandelin. This can be found on pages 6</li> <li>through 44 of our board packet.</li> <li>Mr. Bandelin?</li> <li>MR. BANDELIN: This report reflects the</li> <li>action items updates for review and discussion as</li> <li>needed. I'll note that we have included in the</li> <li>report a June golf venue for review, although our</li> <li>venue director Howard is not present this evening to</li> <li>answer any questions, but I can certainly try.</li> <li>I'll note that our next report of the golf</li> <li>venue, staff will provide a chart of related</li> <li>costs/expenses related to the operation. I think it</li> </ul>	28
<ul> <li>checks on the payments over 50,000 over the last</li> <li>month. Here's a summary of them:</li> <li>Tyler Technologies for software</li> <li>maintenance, \$120,000 for annual maintenance and</li> <li>\$5,000 for annual maintenance of the touch screen</li> <li>biometric reader.</li> <li>Rockwood Tree Service, two \$27,000</li> <li>payments for takedown and takedown chipping and</li> <li>hauling of large conifers at the Mountain Golf</li> <li>Course.</li> <li>Western Nevada Supply, nine invoices in</li> <li>total, three totaling \$397,000 for the effluent</li> <li>pipeline project, and six totaling \$2,800 for</li> <li>miscellaneous supplies and inventory stock for</li> <li>Public Works.</li> <li>NV Energy, our regular monthly billing for</li> <li>all the venues, \$102,513.34, offset by \$4,000 a</li> <li>month Mr. Bandelin has generated for the lay-down</li> <li>area. Thank you, Mr. Bandelin.</li> <li>USDA Forest Service, two special permits</li> <li>year 2021, and \$35,000 for calendar year 2022.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>\$726,000, plus broker service fees of \$20,000.</li> <li>That was the summary of the payments</li> <li>the major payments for last month.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any questions regarding bill</li> <li>pay? All right. Seeing none, that will close out</li> <li>that item. E 2, general manager's report for</li> <li>July 12, 2023.</li> <li>E 2.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Acting District General</li> <li>Manager Mike Bandelin. This can be found on pages 6</li> <li>through 44 of our board packet.</li> <li>Mr. Bandelin?</li> <li>MR. BANDELIN: This report reflects the</li> <li>action items updates for review and discussion as</li> <li>needed. I'll note that we have included in the</li> <li>report a June golf venue for review, although our</li> <li>venue director Howard is not present this evening to</li> <li>answer any questions, but I can certainly try.</li> <li>I'll note that our next report of the golf</li> <li>venue, staff will provide a chart of related</li> <li>costs/expenses related to the operation. I think it</li> <li>would be helpful for viewing and discussing the</li> </ul>	28

		29		30
1	the facilities and programs, consistent with	20	1 people up to the main lodge. Usually busiest about	00
2	Resolution 141-1895.		2 2:00 on Saturday for spectators and support crews.	
3	Also, as we begin to close out 2022/'23		3 We also have some latest information	
4	fiscal year, we thought it would be a good idea to		4 related to the viewing of the District OpenGov	
5	provide the staff's updates to the District's		5 financial platform. We did have one remaining,	
6	strategic plan. And as noted, the plan updates were		6 hopefully, final remaining ticket in with the vendor	
7	last provided to the District and Board of Trustees		7 as we continue to check financial accuracy, and	
8	in December of 2022, and the current report		8 we've been told the correction should be completed	
9	contained staff progress updates as of June 2023.		9 by the end of week. But I did speak to a member of	
10	Also wanted to note, not in the report,		10 our team today that that ticket has made	
11	share with the Board, that the annual Tahoe Rim		11 corrections, and we're viewing and we're hoping that	
12	Trail Endurance Run is happening this weekend at		12 the OpenGov platform will be complete, ready for	
13	the they race from Spooner along the Rim Trail,		13 viewing probably by tomorrow.	
14	up the cross, and they use Diamond Peak main lodge		14 What I will share is the fact that we're a	
15	as an aide station and/or the top of Diamond Peak as		15 little bit behind and slow, so it's going to reflect	
16	a water station. We've been doing this, probably,		16 financial data to the end of April. Eventually,	
	20 years with the Bull Wheel station up top, that		17 we'll get caught up to where it's really you know	
	I've been a part of, and then at base lodge about		18 how we do the financial reporting, that we wait	
	five or six years. This is a we have a full		19 until it's closed so it's audited and completely	
	agreement for paid use of the lodge, so this isn't		20 closed, that should be towards the end of the month	
21	just like something's kind of under the radar.		21 that you would see the previous month within	
22	I just wanted to let you know because this		22 OpenGov.	
23	does provide quite a few cars parked in the lower		23 Happy to answer any questions.	
24	parking lot and along Ski Way down to Big Water.		24 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I have a question. If I	
25	They will be using shuttle vans to shuttle the		25 look at the facilities report, the use of the	
1		31	1 the Resolution 1895 that you'll have a better	32
1	facilities report at discounted facility fees on	31	<ol> <li>the Resolution 1895, that you'll have a better</li> <li>understanding.</li> </ol>	32
2	facilities report at discounted facility fees on page 12 and 13. As we discussed earlier, I'm a	31	2 understanding.	32
2 3	facilities report at discounted facility fees on page 12 and 13. As we discussed earlier, I'm a little bit confused here how some organizations get	31	<ol> <li>2 understanding.</li> <li>3 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Just particularly, I</li> </ol>	32
2 3 4	facilities report at discounted facility fees on page 12 and 13. As we discussed earlier, I'm a little bit confused here how some organizations get a full discount on venue, particularly in the golf	31	<ol> <li>understanding.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Just particularly, I</li> <li>want to see that we're being fair to all</li> </ol>	32
2 3 4 5	facilities report at discounted facility fees on page 12 and 13. As we discussed earlier, I'm a little bit confused here how some organizations get a full discount on venue, particularly in the golf organizations, then others like that seem to be	31	<ol> <li>understanding.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Just particularly, I</li> <li>want to see that we're being fair to all</li> <li>organizations, being if we're providing free use of</li> </ol>	32
2 3 4 5 6	facilities report at discounted facility fees on page 12 and 13. As we discussed earlier, I'm a little bit confused here how some organizations get a full discount on venue, particularly in the golf organizations, then others like that seem to be registered 501 C3 charities do not get a full	31	<ul> <li>2 understanding.</li> <li>3 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Just particularly, I</li> <li>4 want to see that we're being fair to all</li> <li>5 organizations, being if we're providing free use of</li> <li>6 the facilities, we should make sure that we're doing</li> </ul>	32
2 3 4 5 6 7	facilities report at discounted facility fees on page 12 and 13. As we discussed earlier, I'm a little bit confused here how some organizations get a full discount on venue, particularly in the golf organizations, then others like that seem to be registered 501 C3 charities do not get a full discount.	31	<ul> <li>2 understanding.</li> <li>3 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Just particularly, I</li> <li>4 want to see that we're being fair to all</li> <li>5 organizations, being if we're providing free use of</li> <li>6 the facilities, we should make sure that we're doing</li> <li>7 that to everyone. It should not just be to</li> </ul>	32
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	facilities report at discounted facility fees on page 12 and 13. As we discussed earlier, I'm a little bit confused here how some organizations get a full discount on venue, particularly in the golf organizations, then others like that seem to be registered 501 C3 charities do not get a full discount. We've heard in recent months a huge outcry	31	<ul> <li>2 understanding.</li> <li>3 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Just particularly, I</li> <li>4 want to see that we're being fair to all</li> <li>5 organizations, being if we're providing free use of</li> <li>6 the facilities, we should make sure that we're doing</li> <li>7 that to everyone. It should not just be to</li> <li>8 preferred partners.</li> </ul>	32
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	facilities report at discounted facility fees on page 12 and 13. As we discussed earlier, I'm a little bit confused here how some organizations get a full discount on venue, particularly in the golf organizations, then others like that seem to be registered 501 C3 charities do not get a full discount. We've heard in recent months a huge outcry from the golf community saying, "Why are we not	31	<ul> <li>2 understanding.</li> <li>3 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Just particularly, I</li> <li>4 want to see that we're being fair to all</li> <li>5 organizations, being if we're providing free use of</li> <li>6 the facilities, we should make sure that we're doing</li> <li>7 that to everyone. It should not just be to</li> <li>8 preferred partners.</li> <li>9 If we don't have a Board policy on it,</li> </ul>	32
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	facilities report at discounted facility fees on page 12 and 13. As we discussed earlier, I'm a little bit confused here how some organizations get a full discount on venue, particularly in the golf organizations, then others like that seem to be registered 501 C3 charities do not get a full discount. We've heard in recent months a huge outcry from the golf community saying, "Why are we not making more money from the facilities? Why is the		<ul> <li>2 understanding.</li> <li>3 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Just particularly, I</li> <li>4 want to see that we're being fair to all</li> <li>5 organizations, being if we're providing free use of</li> <li>6 the facilities, we should make sure that we're doing</li> <li>7 that to everyone. It should not just be to</li> <li>8 preferred partners.</li> <li>9 If we don't have a Board policy on it,</li> <li>10 perhaps there is no Board policy on this, I think</li> </ul>	32
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	facilities report at discounted facility fees on page 12 and 13. As we discussed earlier, I'm a little bit confused here how some organizations get a full discount on venue, particularly in the golf organizations, then others like that seem to be registered 501 C3 charities do not get a full discount. We've heard in recent months a huge outcry from the golf community saying, "Why are we not making more money from the facilities? Why is the golf clubs not getting the benefit of that?"		<ul> <li>2 understanding.</li> <li>3 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Just particularly, I</li> <li>4 want to see that we're being fair to all</li> <li>5 organizations, being if we're providing free use of</li> <li>6 the facilities, we should make sure that we're doing</li> <li>7 that to everyone. It should not just be to</li> <li>8 preferred partners.</li> <li>9 If we don't have a Board policy on it,</li> <li>10 perhaps there is no Board policy on this, I think</li> <li>11 it's something the Board, obviously, needs to pick</li> </ul>	32
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	facilities report at discounted facility fees on page 12 and 13. As we discussed earlier, I'm a little bit confused here how some organizations get a full discount on venue, particularly in the golf organizations, then others like that seem to be registered 501 C3 charities do not get a full discount. We've heard in recent months a huge outcry from the golf community saying, "Why are we not making more money from the facilities? Why is the golf clubs not getting the benefit of that?" Yet I go through every golf club function		<ul> <li>2 understanding.</li> <li>3 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Just particularly, I</li> <li>4 want to see that we're being fair to all</li> <li>5 organizations, being if we're providing free use of</li> <li>6 the facilities, we should make sure that we're doing</li> <li>7 that to everyone. It should not just be to</li> <li>8 preferred partners.</li> <li>9 If we don't have a Board policy on it,</li> <li>10 perhaps there is no Board policy on this, I think</li> <li>11 it's something the Board, obviously, needs to pick</li> <li>12 up on.</li> </ul>	32
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	facilities report at discounted facility fees on page 12 and 13. As we discussed earlier, I'm a little bit confused here how some organizations get a full discount on venue, particularly in the golf organizations, then others like that seem to be registered 501 C3 charities do not get a full discount. We've heard in recent months a huge outcry from the golf community saying, "Why are we not making more money from the facilities? Why is the golf clubs not getting the benefit of that?" Yet I go through every golf club function here, and the facility fee has been discounted to		<ul> <li>2 understanding.</li> <li>3 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Just particularly, I</li> <li>4 want to see that we're being fair to all</li> <li>5 organizations, being if we're providing free use of</li> <li>6 the facilities, we should make sure that we're doing</li> <li>7 that to everyone. It should not just be to</li> <li>8 preferred partners.</li> <li>9 If we don't have a Board policy on it,</li> <li>10 perhaps there is no Board policy on this, I think</li> <li>11 it's something the Board, obviously, needs to pick</li> <li>12 up on.</li> <li>13 My next comment, and it's not so much</li> </ul>	32
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	facilities report at discounted facility fees on page 12 and 13. As we discussed earlier, I'm a little bit confused here how some organizations get a full discount on venue, particularly in the golf organizations, then others like that seem to be registered 501 C3 charities do not get a full discount. We've heard in recent months a huge outcry from the golf community saying, "Why are we not making more money from the facilities? Why is the golf clubs not getting the benefit of that?" Yet I go through every golf club function here, and the facility fee has been discounted to zero for them. I'm not maybe there's something		<ul> <li>2 understanding.</li> <li>3 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Just particularly, I</li> <li>4 want to see that we're being fair to all</li> <li>5 organizations, being if we're providing free use of</li> <li>6 the facilities, we should make sure that we're doing</li> <li>7 that to everyone. It should not just be to</li> <li>8 preferred partners.</li> <li>9 If we don't have a Board policy on it,</li> <li>10 perhaps there is no Board policy on this, I think</li> <li>11 it's something the Board, obviously, needs to pick</li> <li>12 up on.</li> <li>13 My next comment, and it's not so much</li> <li>14 directed at you. I see the updates to the strategic</li> </ul>	32
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	facilities report at discounted facility fees on page 12 and 13. As we discussed earlier, I'm a little bit confused here how some organizations get a full discount on venue, particularly in the golf organizations, then others like that seem to be registered 501 C3 charities do not get a full discount. We've heard in recent months a huge outcry from the golf community saying, "Why are we not making more money from the facilities? Why is the golf clubs not getting the benefit of that?" Yet I go through every golf club function here, and the facility fee has been discounted to zero for them. I'm not maybe there's something I'm misunderstanding here, but I would have thought		<ul> <li>2 understanding.</li> <li>3 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Just particularly, I</li> <li>4 want to see that we're being fair to all</li> <li>5 organizations, being if we're providing free use of</li> <li>6 the facilities, we should make sure that we're doing</li> <li>7 that to everyone. It should not just be to</li> <li>8 preferred partners.</li> <li>9 If we don't have a Board policy on it,</li> <li>10 perhaps there is no Board policy on this, I think</li> <li>11 it's something the Board, obviously, needs to pick</li> <li>12 up on.</li> <li>13 My next comment, and it's not so much</li> <li>14 directed at you. I see the updates to the strategic</li> <li>15 plan. Now, I may have misunderstood, but all the</li> </ul>	32
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	facilities report at discounted facility fees on page 12 and 13. As we discussed earlier, I'm a little bit confused here how some organizations get a full discount on venue, particularly in the golf organizations, then others like that seem to be registered 501 C3 charities do not get a full discount. We've heard in recent months a huge outcry from the golf community saying, "Why are we not making more money from the facilities? Why is the golf clubs not getting the benefit of that?" Yet I go through every golf club function here, and the facility fee has been discounted to zero for them. I'm not maybe there's something I'm misunderstanding here, but I would have thought if we have a policy for discounting for 501 C3s or		<ul> <li>2 understanding.</li> <li>3 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Just particularly, I</li> <li>4 want to see that we're being fair to all</li> <li>5 organizations, being if we're providing free use of</li> <li>6 the facilities, we should make sure that we're doing</li> <li>7 that to everyone. It should not just be to</li> <li>8 preferred partners.</li> <li>9 If we don't have a Board policy on it,</li> <li>10 perhaps there is no Board policy on this, I think</li> <li>11 it's something the Board, obviously, needs to pick</li> <li>12 up on.</li> <li>13 My next comment, and it's not so much</li> <li>14 directed at you. I see the updates to the strategic</li> <li>15 plan. Now, I may have misunderstood, but all the</li> <li>16 reports I got previously from previous general</li> </ul>	32
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	facilities report at discounted facility fees on page 12 and 13. As we discussed earlier, I'm a little bit confused here how some organizations get a full discount on venue, particularly in the golf organizations, then others like that seem to be registered 501 C3 charities do not get a full discount. We've heard in recent months a huge outcry from the golf community saying, "Why are we not making more money from the facilities? Why is the golf clubs not getting the benefit of that?" Yet I go through every golf club function here, and the facility fee has been discounted to zero for them. I'm not maybe there's something I'm misunderstanding here, but I would have thought if we have a policy for discounting for 501 C3s or for IVGID-affiliated organizations, that would be		<ul> <li>understanding.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Just particularly, I</li> <li>want to see that we're being fair to all</li> <li>organizations, being if we're providing free use of</li> <li>the facilities, we should make sure that we're doing</li> <li>that to everyone. It should not just be to</li> <li>preferred partners.</li> <li>If we don't have a Board policy on it,</li> <li>perhaps there is no Board policy on this, I think</li> <li>it's something the Board, obviously, needs to pick</li> <li>up on.</li> <li>My next comment, and it's not so much</li> <li>directed at you. I see the updates to the strategic</li> <li>plan. Now, I may have misunderstood, but all the</li> <li>reports I got previously from previous general</li> <li>manager was that he was going to bring an updated</li> </ul>	32
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	facilities report at discounted facility fees on page 12 and 13. As we discussed earlier, I'm a little bit confused here how some organizations get a full discount on venue, particularly in the golf organizations, then others like that seem to be registered 501 C3 charities do not get a full discount. We've heard in recent months a huge outcry from the golf community saying, "Why are we not making more money from the facilities? Why is the golf clubs not getting the benefit of that?" Yet I go through every golf club function here, and the facility fee has been discounted to zero for them. I'm not maybe there's something I'm misunderstanding here, but I would have thought if we have a policy for discounting for 501 C3s or for IVGID-affiliated organizations, that would be one thing, but I can see no rhyme nor reason in		<ul> <li>understanding.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Just particularly, I</li> <li>want to see that we're being fair to all</li> <li>organizations, being if we're providing free use of</li> <li>the facilities, we should make sure that we're doing</li> <li>that to everyone. It should not just be to</li> <li>preferred partners.</li> <li>If we don't have a Board policy on it,</li> <li>perhaps there is no Board policy on this, I think</li> <li>it's something the Board, obviously, needs to pick</li> <li>up on.</li> <li>My next comment, and it's not so much</li> <li>directed at you. I see the updates to the strategic</li> <li>plan. Now, I may have misunderstood, but all the</li> <li>reports I got previously from previous general</li> <li>manager was that he was going to bring an updated</li> <li>revisions to the strategic plan to the Board. All I</li> </ul>	32
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	facilities report at discounted facility fees on page 12 and 13. As we discussed earlier, I'm a little bit confused here how some organizations get a full discount on venue, particularly in the golf organizations, then others like that seem to be registered 501 C3 charities do not get a full discount. We've heard in recent months a huge outcry from the golf community saying, "Why are we not making more money from the facilities? Why is the golf clubs not getting the benefit of that?" Yet I go through every golf club function here, and the facility fee has been discounted to zero for them. I'm not maybe there's something I'm misunderstanding here, but I would have thought if we have a policy for discounting for 501 C3s or for IVGID-affiliated organizations, that would be one thing, but I can see no rhyme nor reason in these discounts.		<ul> <li>understanding.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Just particularly, I</li> <li>want to see that we're being fair to all</li> <li>organizations, being if we're providing free use of</li> <li>the facilities, we should make sure that we're doing</li> <li>that to everyone. It should not just be to</li> <li>preferred partners.</li> <li>If we don't have a Board policy on it,</li> <li>perhaps there is no Board policy on this, I think</li> <li>it's something the Board, obviously, needs to pick</li> <li>up on.</li> <li>My next comment, and it's not so much</li> <li>directed at you. I see the updates to the strategic</li> <li>plan. Now, I may have misunderstood, but all the</li> <li>reports I got previously from previous general</li> <li>manager was that he was going to bring an updated</li> <li>revisions to the strategic plan to the Board. All I</li> <li>see is a few updates on the plan here, rather</li> </ul>	32
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	facilities report at discounted facility fees on page 12 and 13. As we discussed earlier, I'm a little bit confused here how some organizations get a full discount on venue, particularly in the golf organizations, then others like that seem to be registered 501 C3 charities do not get a full discount. We've heard in recent months a huge outcry from the golf community saying, "Why are we not making more money from the facilities? Why is the golf clubs not getting the benefit of that?" Yet I go through every golf club function here, and the facility fee has been discounted to zero for them. I'm not maybe there's something I'm misunderstanding here, but I would have thought if we have a policy for discounting for 501 C3s or for IVGID-affiliated organizations, that would be one thing, but I can see no rhyme nor reason in these discounts. MR. BANDELIN: Yes. It became pretty		<ul> <li>understanding.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Just particularly, I</li> <li>want to see that we're being fair to all</li> <li>organizations, being if we're providing free use of</li> <li>the facilities, we should make sure that we're doing</li> <li>that to everyone. It should not just be to</li> <li>preferred partners.</li> <li>If we don't have a Board policy on it,</li> <li>perhaps there is no Board policy on this, I think</li> <li>it's something the Board, obviously, needs to pick</li> <li>up on.</li> <li>My next comment, and it's not so much</li> <li>directed at you. I see the updates to the strategic</li> <li>plan. Now, I may have misunderstood, but all the</li> <li>reports I got previously from previous general</li> <li>manager was that he was going to bring an updated</li> <li>revisions to the strategic plan to the Board. All I</li> <li>see is a few updates on the plan here, rather</li> <li>than my understanding is the plan was going to</li> </ul>	32
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	facilities report at discounted facility fees on page 12 and 13. As we discussed earlier, I'm a little bit confused here how some organizations get a full discount on venue, particularly in the golf organizations, then others like that seem to be registered 501 C3 charities do not get a full discount. We've heard in recent months a huge outcry from the golf community saying, "Why are we not making more money from the facilities? Why is the golf clubs not getting the benefit of that?" Yet I go through every golf club function here, and the facility fee has been discounted to zero for them. I'm not maybe there's something I'm misunderstanding here, but I would have thought if we have a policy for discounting for 501 C3s or for IVGID-affiliated organizations, that would be one thing, but I can see no rhyme nor reason in these discounts. MR. BANDELIN: Yes. It became pretty clear to me as well. I think what I would ask the		<ul> <li>understanding.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Just particularly, I</li> <li>want to see that we're being fair to all</li> <li>organizations, being if we're providing free use of</li> <li>the facilities, we should make sure that we're doing</li> <li>that to everyone. It should not just be to</li> <li>preferred partners.</li> <li>If we don't have a Board policy on it,</li> <li>perhaps there is no Board policy on this, I think</li> <li>it's something the Board, obviously, needs to pick</li> <li>up on.</li> <li>My next comment, and it's not so much</li> <li>directed at you. I see the updates to the strategic</li> <li>plan. Now, I may have misunderstood, but all the</li> <li>reports I got previously from previous general</li> <li>manager was that he was going to bring an updated</li> <li>revisions to the strategic plan to the Board. All I</li> <li>see is a few updates on the plan here, rather</li> <li>tome to the Board for review and see actually</li> </ul>	32
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	facilities report at discounted facility fees on page 12 and 13. As we discussed earlier, I'm a little bit confused here how some organizations get a full discount on venue, particularly in the golf organizations, then others like that seem to be registered 501 C3 charities do not get a full discount. We've heard in recent months a huge outcry from the golf community saying, "Why are we not making more money from the facilities? Why is the golf clubs not getting the benefit of that?" Yet I go through every golf club function here, and the facility fee has been discounted to zero for them. I'm not maybe there's something I'm misunderstanding here, but I would have thought if we have a policy for discounting for 501 C3s or for IVGID-affiliated organizations, that would be one thing, but I can see no rhyme nor reason in these discounts. MR. BANDELIN: Yes. It became pretty clear to me as well. I think what I would ask the Board is to provide myself a little bit of time to		<ul> <li>understanding.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Just particularly, I</li> <li>want to see that we're being fair to all</li> <li>organizations, being if we're providing free use of</li> <li>the facilities, we should make sure that we're doing</li> <li>that to everyone. It should not just be to</li> <li>preferred partners.</li> <li>If we don't have a Board policy on it,</li> <li>perhaps there is no Board policy on this, I think</li> <li>it's something the Board, obviously, needs to pick</li> <li>up on.</li> <li>My next comment, and it's not so much</li> <li>directed at you. I see the updates to the strategic</li> <li>plan. Now, I may have misunderstood, but all the</li> <li>reports I got previously from previous general</li> <li>manager was that he was going to bring an updated</li> <li>revisions to the strategic plan to the Board. All I</li> <li>see is a few updates on the plan here, rather</li> <li>than my understanding is the plan was going to</li> <li>whether the plan itself needed updating, rather than</li> </ul>	32
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	facilities report at discounted facility fees on page 12 and 13. As we discussed earlier, I'm a little bit confused here how some organizations get a full discount on venue, particularly in the golf organizations, then others like that seem to be registered 501 C3 charities do not get a full discount. We've heard in recent months a huge outcry from the golf community saying, "Why are we not making more money from the facilities? Why is the golf clubs not getting the benefit of that?" Yet I go through every golf club function here, and the facility fee has been discounted to zero for them. I'm not maybe there's something I'm misunderstanding here, but I would have thought if we have a policy for discounting for 501 C3s or for IVGID-affiliated organizations, that would be one thing, but I can see no rhyme nor reason in these discounts. MR. BANDELIN: Yes. It became pretty clear to me as well. I think what I would ask the Board is to provide myself a little bit of time to reflect on the policy and come back with a report on		<ul> <li>understanding.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Just particularly, I</li> <li>want to see that we're being fair to all</li> <li>organizations, being if we're providing free use of</li> <li>the facilities, we should make sure that we're doing</li> <li>that to everyone. It should not just be to</li> <li>preferred partners.</li> <li>If we don't have a Board policy on it,</li> <li>perhaps there is no Board policy on this, I think</li> <li>it's something the Board, obviously, needs to pick</li> <li>up on.</li> <li>My next comment, and it's not so much</li> <li>directed at you. I see the updates to the strategic</li> <li>plan. Now, I may have misunderstood, but all the</li> <li>revisions to the strategic plan to the Board. All I</li> <li>see is a few updates on the plan here, rather</li> <li>than my understanding is the plan was going to</li> <li>come to the Board for review and see actually</li> <li>whether the plan itself needed updating, rather than</li> <li>just updates and actions against the plan.</li> </ul>	32
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	facilities report at discounted facility fees on page 12 and 13. As we discussed earlier, I'm a little bit confused here how some organizations get a full discount on venue, particularly in the golf organizations, then others like that seem to be registered 501 C3 charities do not get a full discount. We've heard in recent months a huge outcry from the golf community saying, "Why are we not making more money from the facilities? Why is the golf clubs not getting the benefit of that?" Yet I go through every golf club function here, and the facility fee has been discounted to zero for them. I'm not maybe there's something I'm misunderstanding here, but I would have thought if we have a policy for discounting for 501 C3s or for IVGID-affiliated organizations, that would be one thing, but I can see no rhyme nor reason in these discounts. MR. BANDELIN: Yes. It became pretty clear to me as well. I think what I would ask the Board is to provide myself a little bit of time to reflect on the policy and come back with a report on exactly what they are and what that means, as we		<ul> <li>understanding.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Just particularly, I</li> <li>want to see that we're being fair to all</li> <li>organizations, being if we're providing free use of</li> <li>the facilities, we should make sure that we're doing</li> <li>that to everyone. It should not just be to</li> <li>preferred partners.</li> <li>If we don't have a Board policy on it,</li> <li>perhaps there is no Board policy on this, I think</li> <li>it's something the Board, obviously, needs to pick</li> <li>up on.</li> <li>My next comment, and it's not so much</li> <li>directed at you. I see the updates to the strategic</li> <li>plan. Now, I may have misunderstood, but all the</li> <li>reports I got previously from previous general</li> <li>manager was that he was going to bring an updated</li> <li>revisions to the strategic plan to the Board. All I</li> <li>see is a few updates on the plan here, rather</li> <li>than my understanding is the plan was going to</li> <li>come to the Board for review and see actually</li> <li>whether the plan itself needed updating, rather than</li> <li>just updates and actions against the plan.</li> </ul>	32
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	facilities report at discounted facility fees on page 12 and 13. As we discussed earlier, I'm a little bit confused here how some organizations get a full discount on venue, particularly in the golf organizations, then others like that seem to be registered 501 C3 charities do not get a full discount. We've heard in recent months a huge outcry from the golf community saying, "Why are we not making more money from the facilities? Why is the golf clubs not getting the benefit of that?" Yet I go through every golf club function here, and the facility fee has been discounted to zero for them. I'm not maybe there's something I'm misunderstanding here, but I would have thought if we have a policy for discounting for 501 C3s or for IVGID-affiliated organizations, that would be one thing, but I can see no rhyme nor reason in these discounts. MR. BANDELIN: Yes. It became pretty clear to me as well. I think what I would ask the Board is to provide myself a little bit of time to reflect on the policy and come back with a report on		<ul> <li>understanding.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Just particularly, I</li> <li>want to see that we're being fair to all</li> <li>organizations, being if we're providing free use of</li> <li>the facilities, we should make sure that we're doing</li> <li>that to everyone. It should not just be to</li> <li>preferred partners.</li> <li>If we don't have a Board policy on it,</li> <li>perhaps there is no Board policy on this, I think</li> <li>it's something the Board, obviously, needs to pick</li> <li>up on.</li> <li>My next comment, and it's not so much</li> <li>directed at you. I see the updates to the strategic</li> <li>plan. Now, I may have misunderstood, but all the</li> <li>revisions to the strategic plan to the Board. All I</li> <li>see is a few updates on the plan here, rather</li> <li>than my understanding is the plan was going to</li> <li>come to the Board for review and see actually</li> <li>whether the plan itself needed updating, rather than</li> <li>just updates and actions against the plan.</li> </ul>	32

		22	24
1	discussion on. But, yeah, it's my concern. I	33	34 1 Trustee Tulloch said. I'm exited to see what Moss
2	understood that the plan itself was going to be		2 Adams, what value they can add to the plan that we
3	updated, not just comments on actions taken against		3 already put together. I feel like those are that
4	the existing plan.		4 is one of the tasks they were given, so however we
5	MR. BANDELIN: I think it would be fair if		5 can improve on as a district, I'm excited to see
6	we all had the discussion that there the Moss		6 where that goes.
7	Adams RFP refers to, kind of, look at the strategic		7 Lastly, do you have an update on any hires
8	plan and to make sure that we're in line, per their		8 that we have in the last couple weeks? Do you have
9	contract or agreement, to be able to provide that		9 anything to report on that? Or would you like me to
10	expertise to see if and share that insight with		10 rattle off a few?
11			11 MR. BANDELIN: I do not, but I think that
12	Staff has not or did not write a plan for		12 would be a good section to have in the report that
13	the next three years beginning fiscal year of		13 we provide to the Board of Trustees.
	'23/'24. And so this was just kind of like our		14 CHAIR DENT: Okay. I do too. I think
	final update. And so that's why you haven't seen		15 it's important, even if it is a temporary role, such
	any sort of new plan with new initiatives or		16 as Bobby McGee, that we elevate that and we are
	long-range principles or so forth.		17 working on a press release for that, as well as you
18	TRUSTEE TULLOCH: And an update on the		18 stepping into the role as the acting general
	Moss Adams. They're expecting a first draft to		19 manager. Thank you for that.
	report to us at the end of the month or early		20 I do maybe someone else could chime in,
	August. So, yes, we will take that into account.		21 but I know there is a lady that has stepped into a
22	I just wanted to clarify that for the		22 role when it comes to the audit, in a controller
	benefit of the community as well, because I've had		23 position. I cannot think of her first name,
	some questions, what's happened to the plan?		24 Ms. Day, I think. So we thank her for being on the
25	CHAIR DENT: Then piggybacking on what		25 team. We also extended an offer to the controller,
			,
1	and that position is supposed to I believe start	35	36 1 instead of just looking at things that are being
1	and that position is supposed to, I believe, start	35	1 instead of just looking at things that are being
2	the first or second week of August.	35	<ol> <li>instead of just looking at things that are being</li> <li>done for free, to be looking at it holistically, to</li> </ol>
2 3	the first or second week of August. I don't have other questions, but I thank	35	<ol> <li>instead of just looking at things that are being</li> <li>done for free, to be looking at it holistically, to</li> <li>show a report of what we're also receiving as it</li> </ol>
2 3 4	the first or second week of August. I don't have other questions, but I thank you for the update on OpenGov.	35	<ol> <li>instead of just looking at things that are being</li> <li>done for free, to be looking at it holistically, to</li> <li>show a report of what we're also receiving as it</li> <li>relates to revenues from other events.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5	the first or second week of August. I don't have other questions, but I thank you for the update on OpenGov. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I just wanted to also	35	<ol> <li>instead of just looking at things that are being</li> <li>done for free, to be looking at it holistically, to</li> <li>show a report of what we're also receiving as it</li> <li>relates to revenues from other events.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> </ol>
2 3 4	the first or second week of August. I don't have other questions, but I thank you for the update on OpenGov. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I just wanted to also ask, as it relates to public record requests, there	35	<ol> <li>instead of just looking at things that are being</li> <li>done for free, to be looking at it holistically, to</li> <li>show a report of what we're also receiving as it</li> <li>relates to revenues from other events.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: That will close out item E 2.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7	the first or second week of August. I don't have other questions, but I thank you for the update on OpenGov. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I just wanted to also ask, as it relates to public record requests, there was a gentleman a month or so ago who I believe	35	<ol> <li>instead of just looking at things that are being</li> <li>done for free, to be looking at it holistically, to</li> <li>show a report of what we're also receiving as it</li> <li>relates to revenues from other events.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: That will close out item E 2.</li> <li>Moving on to the consent calendar.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the first or second week of August. I don't have other questions, but I thank you for the update on OpenGov. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I just wanted to also ask, as it relates to public record requests, there was a gentleman a month or so ago who I believe wrote all of us requesting weekly reports as it	35	<ol> <li>instead of just looking at things that are being</li> <li>done for free, to be looking at it holistically, to</li> <li>show a report of what we're also receiving as it</li> <li>relates to revenues from other events.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: That will close out item E 2.</li> <li>Moving on to the consent calendar.</li> <li>F. CONSENT CALENDAR</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the first or second week of August. I don't have other questions, but I thank you for the update on OpenGov. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I just wanted to also ask, as it relates to public record requests, there was a gentleman a month or so ago who I believe wrote all of us requesting weekly reports as it relates to golf. He was wanting information on	35	<ol> <li>instead of just looking at things that are being</li> <li>done for free, to be looking at it holistically, to</li> <li>show a report of what we're also receiving as it</li> <li>relates to revenues from other events.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: That will close out item E 2.</li> <li>Moving on to the consent calendar.</li> <li>F. CONSENT CALENDAR</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Is there a motion to accept</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the first or second week of August. I don't have other questions, but I thank you for the update on OpenGov. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I just wanted to also ask, as it relates to public record requests, there was a gentleman a month or so ago who I believe wrote all of us requesting weekly reports as it relates to golf. He was wanting information on utilization and whatnot. And I don't see his	35	<ol> <li>instead of just looking at things that are being</li> <li>done for free, to be looking at it holistically, to</li> <li>show a report of what we're also receiving as it</li> <li>relates to revenues from other events.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: That will close out item E 2.</li> <li>Moving on to the consent calendar.</li> <li>F. CONSENT CALENDAR</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Is there a motion to accept</li> <li>the consent calendar?</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the first or second week of August. I don't have other questions, but I thank you for the update on OpenGov. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I just wanted to also ask, as it relates to public record requests, there was a gentleman a month or so ago who I believe wrote all of us requesting weekly reports as it relates to golf. He was wanting information on utilization and whatnot. And I don't see his request being listed in the public record requests.	35	<ol> <li>instead of just looking at things that are being</li> <li>done for free, to be looking at it holistically, to</li> <li>show a report of what we're also receiving as it</li> <li>relates to revenues from other events.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: That will close out item E 2.</li> <li>Moving on to the consent calendar.</li> <li>F. CONSENT CALENDAR</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Is there a motion to accept</li> <li>the consent calendar?</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I'll make a motion to</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the first or second week of August. I don't have other questions, but I thank you for the update on OpenGov. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I just wanted to also ask, as it relates to public record requests, there was a gentleman a month or so ago who I believe wrote all of us requesting weekly reports as it relates to golf. He was wanting information on utilization and whatnot. And I don't see his request being listed in the public record requests. If need be, I will go back and find that	35	<ol> <li>instead of just looking at things that are being</li> <li>done for free, to be looking at it holistically, to</li> <li>show a report of what we're also receiving as it</li> <li>relates to revenues from other events.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: That will close out item E 2.</li> <li>Moving on to the consent calendar.</li> <li>F. CONSENT CALENDAR</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Is there a motion to accept</li> <li>the consent calendar?</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I'll make a motion to</li> <li>accept the consent calendar, items 1, 2, and 3.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the first or second week of August. I don't have other questions, but I thank you for the update on OpenGov. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I just wanted to also ask, as it relates to public record requests, there was a gentleman a month or so ago who I believe wrote all of us requesting weekly reports as it relates to golf. He was wanting information on utilization and whatnot. And I don't see his request being listed in the public record requests. If need be, I will go back and find that email, because that's missing.	35	<ol> <li>instead of just looking at things that are being</li> <li>done for free, to be looking at it holistically, to</li> <li>show a report of what we're also receiving as it</li> <li>relates to revenues from other events.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: That will close out item E 2.</li> <li>Moving on to the consent calendar.</li> <li>F. CONSENT CALENDAR</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Is there a motion to accept</li> <li>the consent calendar?</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I'll make a motion to</li> <li>accept the consent calendar, items 1, 2, and 3.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made. Is there</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the first or second week of August. I don't have other questions, but I thank you for the update on OpenGov. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I just wanted to also ask, as it relates to public record requests, there was a gentleman a month or so ago who I believe wrote all of us requesting weekly reports as it relates to golf. He was wanting information on utilization and whatnot. And I don't see his request being listed in the public record requests. If need be, I will go back and find that email, because that's missing. And another thing that I had asked for a	35	<ol> <li>instead of just looking at things that are being</li> <li>done for free, to be looking at it holistically, to</li> <li>show a report of what we're also receiving as it</li> <li>relates to revenues from other events.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: That will close out item E 2.</li> <li>Moving on to the consent calendar.</li> <li>F. CONSENT CALENDAR</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Is there a motion to accept</li> <li>the consent calendar?</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I'll make a motion to</li> <li>accept the consent calendar, items 1, 2, and 3.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made. Is there</li> <li>a second?</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the first or second week of August. I don't have other questions, but I thank you for the update on OpenGov. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I just wanted to also ask, as it relates to public record requests, there was a gentleman a month or so ago who I believe wrote all of us requesting weekly reports as it relates to golf. He was wanting information on utilization and whatnot. And I don't see his request being listed in the public record requests. If need be, I will go back and find that email, because that's missing. And another thing that I had asked for a couple of times, probably a couple of months ago,	35	<ol> <li>instead of just looking at things that are being</li> <li>done for free, to be looking at it holistically, to</li> <li>show a report of what we're also receiving as it</li> <li>relates to revenues from other events.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: That will close out item E 2.</li> <li>Moving on to the consent calendar.</li> <li>F. CONSENT CALENDAR</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Is there a motion to accept</li> <li>the consent calendar?</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I'll make a motion to</li> <li>accept the consent calendar, items 1, 2, and 3.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made. Is there</li> <li>a second?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'll second.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the first or second week of August. I don't have other questions, but I thank you for the update on OpenGov. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I just wanted to also ask, as it relates to public record requests, there was a gentleman a month or so ago who I believe wrote all of us requesting weekly reports as it relates to golf. He was wanting information on utilization and whatnot. And I don't see his request being listed in the public record requests. If need be, I will go back and find that email, because that's missing. And another thing that I had asked for a couple of times, probably a couple of months ago, was to be able to review a report on the financial	35	<ol> <li>instead of just looking at things that are being</li> <li>done for free, to be looking at it holistically, to</li> <li>show a report of what we're also receiving as it</li> <li>relates to revenues from other events.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: That will close out item E 2.</li> <li>Moving on to the consent calendar.</li> <li>F. CONSENT CALENDAR</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Is there a motion to accept</li> <li>the consent calendar?</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I'll make a motion to</li> <li>accept the consent calendar, items 1, 2, and 3.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made. Is there</li> <li>a second?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'll second.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made and</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the first or second week of August. I don't have other questions, but I thank you for the update on OpenGov. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I just wanted to also ask, as it relates to public record requests, there was a gentleman a month or so ago who I believe wrote all of us requesting weekly reports as it relates to golf. He was wanting information on utilization and whatnot. And I don't see his request being listed in the public record requests. If need be, I will go back and find that email, because that's missing. And another thing that I had asked for a couple of times, probably a couple of months ago, was to be able to review a report on the financial performance of the various events. I was told that	35	<ol> <li>instead of just looking at things that are being</li> <li>done for free, to be looking at it holistically, to</li> <li>show a report of what we're also receiving as it</li> <li>relates to revenues from other events.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: That will close out item E 2.</li> <li>Moving on to the consent calendar.</li> <li>F. CONSENT CALENDAR</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Is there a motion to accept</li> <li>the consent calendar?</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I'll make a motion to</li> <li>accept the consent calendar, items 1, 2, and 3.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made. Is there</li> <li>a second?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'll second.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made and</li> <li>seconded. Any further discussion by the Board?</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the first or second week of August. I don't have other questions, but I thank you for the update on OpenGov. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I just wanted to also ask, as it relates to public record requests, there was a gentleman a month or so ago who I believe wrote all of us requesting weekly reports as it relates to golf. He was wanting information on utilization and whatnot. And I don't see his request being listed in the public record requests. If need be, I will go back and find that email, because that's missing. And another thing that I had asked for a couple of times, probably a couple of months ago, was to be able to review a report on the financial performance of the various events. I was told that	35	<ol> <li>instead of just looking at things that are being</li> <li>done for free, to be looking at it holistically, to</li> <li>show a report of what we're also receiving as it</li> <li>relates to revenues from other events.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: That will close out item E 2.</li> <li>Moving on to the consent calendar.</li> <li>F. CONSENT CALENDAR</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Is there a motion to accept</li> <li>the consent calendar?</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I'll make a motion to</li> <li>accept the consent calendar, items 1, 2, and 3.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made. Is there</li> <li>a second?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'll second.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made and</li> <li>seconded. Any further discussion by the Board?</li> <li>Seeing none, all those in favor, please</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the first or second week of August. I don't have other questions, but I thank you for the update on OpenGov. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I just wanted to also ask, as it relates to public record requests, there was a gentleman a month or so ago who I believe wrote all of us requesting weekly reports as it relates to golf. He was wanting information on utilization and whatnot. And I don't see his request being listed in the public record requests. If need be, I will go back and find that email, because that's missing. And another thing that I had asked for a couple of times, probably a couple of months ago, was to be able to review a report on the financial performance of the various events. I was told that staff keeps records of each event and the financial performance of that event, like a wedding, so that	35	<ol> <li>instead of just looking at things that are being</li> <li>done for free, to be looking at it holistically, to</li> <li>show a report of what we're also receiving as it</li> <li>relates to revenues from other events.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: That will close out item E 2.</li> <li>Moving on to the consent calendar.</li> <li>F. CONSENT CALENDAR</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Is there a motion to accept</li> <li>the consent calendar?</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I'll make a motion to</li> <li>accept the consent calendar, items 1, 2, and 3.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made. Is there</li> <li>a second?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'll second.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made and</li> <li>seconded. Any further discussion by the Board?</li> <li>Seeing none, all those in favor, please</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the first or second week of August. I don't have other questions, but I thank you for the update on OpenGov. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I just wanted to also ask, as it relates to public record requests, there was a gentleman a month or so ago who I believe wrote all of us requesting weekly reports as it relates to golf. He was wanting information on utilization and whatnot. And I don't see his request being listed in the public record requests. If need be, I will go back and find that email, because that's missing. And another thing that I had asked for a couple of times, probably a couple of months ago, was to be able to review a report on the financial performance of the various events. I was told that staff keeps records of each event and the financial performance of that event, like a wedding, so that they know how much profit they make per wedding,	35	<ol> <li>instead of just looking at things that are being</li> <li>done for free, to be looking at it holistically, to</li> <li>show a report of what we're also receiving as it</li> <li>relates to revenues from other events.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: That will close out item E 2.</li> <li>Moving on to the consent calendar.</li> <li>F. CONSENT CALENDAR</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Is there a motion to accept</li> <li>the consent calendar?</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I'll make a motion to</li> <li>accept the consent calendar, items 1, 2, and 3.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made. Is there</li> <li>a second?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'll second.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made and</li> <li>seconded. Any further discussion by the Board?</li> <li>Seeing none, all those in favor, please</li> <li>state aye.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Aye.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the first or second week of August. I don't have other questions, but I thank you for the update on OpenGov. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I just wanted to also ask, as it relates to public record requests, there was a gentleman a month or so ago who I believe wrote all of us requesting weekly reports as it relates to golf. He was wanting information on utilization and whatnot. And I don't see his request being listed in the public record requests. If need be, I will go back and find that email, because that's missing. And another thing that I had asked for a couple of times, probably a couple of months ago, was to be able to review a report on the financial performance of the various events. I was told that staff keeps records of each event and the financial performance of that event, like a wedding, so that they know how much profit they make per wedding, what have you. I have not been provided that	35	<ol> <li>instead of just looking at things that are being</li> <li>done for free, to be looking at it holistically, to</li> <li>show a report of what we're also receiving as it</li> <li>relates to revenues from other events.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: That will close out item E 2.</li> <li>Moving on to the consent calendar.</li> <li>F. CONSENT CALENDAR</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Is there a motion to accept</li> <li>the consent calendar?</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I'll make a motion to</li> <li>accept the consent calendar, items 1, 2, and 3.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made. Is there</li> <li>a second?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'll second.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made and</li> <li>seconded. Any further discussion by the Board?</li> <li>Seeing none, all those in favor, please</li> <li>state aye.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Aye.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Aye.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the first or second week of August. I don't have other questions, but I thank you for the update on OpenGov. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I just wanted to also ask, as it relates to public record requests, there was a gentleman a month or so ago who I believe wrote all of us requesting weekly reports as it relates to golf. He was wanting information on utilization and whatnot. And I don't see his request being listed in the public record requests. If need be, I will go back and find that email, because that's missing. And another thing that I had asked for a couple of times, probably a couple of months ago, was to be able to review a report on the financial performance of the various events. I was told that staff keeps records of each event and the financial performance of that event, like a wedding, so that they know how much profit they make per wedding, what have you. I have not been provided that information. I've asked a couple times.	35	<ol> <li>instead of just looking at things that are being</li> <li>done for free, to be looking at it holistically, to</li> <li>show a report of what we're also receiving as it</li> <li>relates to revenues from other events.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: That will close out item E 2.</li> <li>Moving on to the consent calendar.</li> <li>F. CONSENT CALENDAR</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Is there a motion to accept</li> <li>the consent calendar?</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I'll make a motion to</li> <li>accept the consent calendar, items 1, 2, and 3.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made. Is there</li> <li>a second?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'll second.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made and</li> <li>seeing none, all those in favor, please</li> <li>state aye.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Aye.</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: Aye.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the first or second week of August. I don't have other questions, but I thank you for the update on OpenGov. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I just wanted to also ask, as it relates to public record requests, there was a gentleman a month or so ago who I believe wrote all of us requesting weekly reports as it relates to golf. He was wanting information on utilization and whatnot. And I don't see his request being listed in the public record requests. If need be, I will go back and find that email, because that's missing. And another thing that I had asked for a couple of times, probably a couple of months ago, was to be able to review a report on the financial performance of the various events. I was told that staff keeps records of each event and the financial performance of that event, like a wedding, so that they know how much profit they make per wedding, what have you. I have not been provided that information. I've asked a couple times. I think it would be important for the	35	<ol> <li>instead of just looking at things that are being</li> <li>done for free, to be looking at it holistically, to</li> <li>show a report of what we're also receiving as it</li> <li>relates to revenues from other events.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: That will close out item E 2.</li> <li>Moving on to the consent calendar.</li> <li>F. CONSENT CALENDAR</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Is there a motion to accept</li> <li>the consent calendar?</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I'll make a motion to</li> <li>accept the consent calendar, items 1, 2, and 3.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made. Is there</li> <li>a second?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'll second.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made and</li> <li>seeing none, all those in favor, please</li> <li>state aye.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Aye.</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: Aye.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Aye.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the first or second week of August. I don't have other questions, but I thank you for the update on OpenGov. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I just wanted to also ask, as it relates to public record requests, there was a gentleman a month or so ago who I believe wrote all of us requesting weekly reports as it relates to golf. He was wanting information on utilization and whatnot. And I don't see his request being listed in the public record requests. If need be, I will go back and find that email, because that's missing. And another thing that I had asked for a couple of times, probably a couple of months ago, was to be able to review a report on the financial performance of the various events. I was told that staff keeps records of each event and the financial performance of that event, like a wedding, so that they know how much profit they make per wedding, what have you. I have not been provided that information. I've asked a couple times. I think it would be important for the Board, and maybe we need to just have an agenda item	35	<ol> <li>instead of just looking at things that are being</li> <li>done for free, to be looking at it holistically, to</li> <li>show a report of what we're also receiving as it</li> <li>relates to revenues from other events.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: That will close out item E 2.</li> <li>Moving on to the consent calendar.</li> <li>F. CONSENT CALENDAR</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Is there a motion to accept</li> <li>the consent calendar?</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I'll make a motion to</li> <li>accept the consent calendar, items 1, 2, and 3.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made. Is there</li> <li>a second?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'll second.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made and</li> <li>seeing none, all those in favor, please</li> <li>state aye.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Aye.</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: Aye.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Aye.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Aye.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the first or second week of August. I don't have other questions, but I thank you for the update on OpenGov. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I just wanted to also ask, as it relates to public record requests, there was a gentleman a month or so ago who I believe wrote all of us requesting weekly reports as it relates to golf. He was wanting information on utilization and whatnot. And I don't see his request being listed in the public record requests. If need be, I will go back and find that email, because that's missing. And another thing that I had asked for a couple of times, probably a couple of months ago, was to be able to review a report on the financial performance of the various events. I was told that staff keeps records of each event and the financial performance of that event, like a wedding, so that they know how much profit they make per wedding, what have you. I have not been provided that information. I've asked a couple times. I think it would be important for the	35	<ol> <li>instead of just looking at things that are being</li> <li>done for free, to be looking at it holistically, to</li> <li>show a report of what we're also receiving as it</li> <li>relates to revenues from other events.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: That will close out item E 2.</li> <li>Moving on to the consent calendar.</li> <li>F. CONSENT CALENDAR</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Is there a motion to accept</li> <li>the consent calendar?</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I'll make a motion to</li> <li>accept the consent calendar, items 1, 2, and 3.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made. Is there</li> <li>a second?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'll second.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made and</li> <li>seeing none, all those in favor, please</li> <li>state aye.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Aye.</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: Aye.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Aye.</li> </ol>

become item G 1. 1 therefore have always been eligible for the category 1 2 G. GENERAL BUSINESS one privileges. I mean, I think Mr. Bandelin can 2 3 G 1. 3 attest to this, we have a lot of seasonal staff that 4 CHAIR DENT: Review, discuss, and approve 4 can work anywhere from 50 to 60 hours per week 5 recreation privileges offered to the District 5 during the height of the season. Again, sincerely employees. This can be found on page 917 through 6 apologize for the confusion and the concern I 6 7 7 921 of our board packet. Requesting staff member caused. 8 Director of Human Resources Erin Feore. 8 9 MS. FEORE: I'm just bringing back to 9 10 you -- this is more procedural, but bringing back to 10 11 you some of the changes that we have discussed from 11 12 many, many meetings ago. 12 13 Very quickly -- and I've had a chance to 13 14 speak with most of you, but I want to publicly 14 15 apologize for an error that I made on the document. 15 16 I was moving way too quickly, and as a result, it 16 17 did cause some concern within the community. And I 17 18 deeply apologize for this. I'm not good at making 18 19 19 mistakes, so I try not to. 20 Just, again, to reiterate, I had 20 21 accidentally coded seasonal full-time as being 22 category one. I was looking at an old document that 23 literally came of I don't know where. It magically 23 24 appeared on my desk. That said, seasonal full-time 25 staff generally work 40 hours per week, and

1 here that states that the trustees are not 1 this means. 2 MS. FEORE: What I can tell you in 2 3 practice, Mr. Bandelin can help me out, as I benefit we receive is the opportunity to visit a 3 understand it, when -- I'll use myself as an 4 4 5 example. If I am a category one employee and I'm 5 going to go and attend the Rec Center, I'm going to 6 6 any of these categories? 7 7 get category one privileges. If I am an employee 8 who works at the Rec Center -- this is just the best 8 9 to kind of -- this is how I visualize it in my head. 9 policy that outlines trustee privileges, it was 10 Let's say I work at the front desk, and I'm going to 10 never added to this. It's a little funky, but, I 11 take a quick meal break, but instead of eating my 11 guess, for all intents and purposes with this 12 lunch, I'm going to go and hit a treadmill because 12 13 it's an off time and we're not interrupting customer 13 wouldn't be applicable. 14 14 use, then the department manager would have an 15 opportunity to approve that because they'd have the 15 little bit different. authority to approve these specific things. 16 16 17 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Okay. So, it's not 17 footnote referring it to the trustee policy? 18 approving changes or different discounts to the 18 policies? It's just purely incidentals like that? 19 19 that. 20 MS. FEORE: Absolutely. 20 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Maybe we can look at the 21 22 text of that just to clarify. 23 MS. FEORE: Sure. 23 TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I was wondering for 24 time. And I have an email from the former HR 24 25 clarification purposes if we should add something 25 director regarding the item that kind of steered

39

38

## Just -- I don't know if you'd like me to kind of go over my memo, or if I can just answer questions. However you would like me to address, I'm here.

TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: We are all human. We

understand. I think you updated this, and it's much

more clear and precise and concise. Hopefully this

document will help to minimize confusion.

TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Good job here. Anytime

you want training on making mistakes, I can help you

out there.

Just one question, I still see -- I'm a

little bit confused here because I see we've got a

21 very clear chart of what the employee privileges

22 are. Then I see in the small print

"department-specific only privileges are determined

24 by venue director or designee." I'm not quite sure

25 I understand if we have a clear policy here of what

considered any of these, we are not. The only venue, once a week. I am just am wondering if it's important to denote that trustees don't fall into MS. FEORE: That actually had been indicated once before, and because of the specific document, you're not considered employees, so this That's that reason why your policies are a TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Why don't we just put a MS. FEORE: Yeah. I can definitely do CHAIR DENT: There was a guestion on this 21 a couple years ago, and I think I might have been 22 one of the only trustees who remembered where it was going, if trustees could even access at any one

		41			. 4
1	where that was going.		1	CHAIR DENT: Waste Management presenta	ition
2	I think it would be helpful just to have		2	of proposed improvements and request for contract	
3	something to refer back to.		3	extension. Requesting staff member Director of	
4	MS. FEORE: Sure. I have space. I can		4	Public Works Brad Underwood. This can be found on	
5	definitely put that in. Just so that I have clear		5	pages 922 through 986 of your board packet.	
6	direction, am I okay to go ahead and add that		6	Mr. Underwood?	
7	footnote, knowing that this document is approved?		7	MR. UNDERWOOD: Yes. Thank you. We're	е
8	(Inaudible response.)		8	here tonight because Waste Management had sent the	
9	MS. FEORE: Perfect.		9	general manager a letter requesting, potentially,	
10	CHAIR DENT: I will entertain a motion.		10	some changes and additions at their facility here in	
11	TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'll make a motion to		11	town, the transfer station, and also consideration	
12	approve the document presented by Director of Human		12	by the Board of a five-year extension that's	
13	Resources Feore.		13	actually in the contract that would be allowed.	
14	TRUSTEE NOBLE: Second.		14	So staff brought this to the Board for	
15	CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made and		15	direction. There's no decision tonight by the	
16	seconded. Any further discussion on this item?		16	Board, except for whether you want to pursue this,	
17	Seeing none, I'll call for the questions.		17	and then if you want to pursue it, how do you want	
18	All those in favor, state aye.		18	to do that? What's the process you want to go	
19	TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Aye.		19	through? And are there other aspects of the	
20	TRUSTEE NOBLE: Aye.		20	contract or whatnot that you'd like to discuss? And	
21	TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: Aye.		21	that can also happen later as well.	
22	CHAIR DENT: Aye.		22	With me tonight is Kendra from Waste	
23	Motion passes 4/0. Thank you. All right.		23	Management. She has a presentation to provide to	
24	Moving on to item G 2, formerly G 1.			you, and we'll just walk you through that.	
25			25	MS. COSTA: Chairman, members of the	
		43			л
1	Board, I'm Kendra Costa with Waste Management.	40	1	services for residents and businesses here in	4
2	This presentation is intended to help		2	Incline Village, and that transfer station was	
			1	= 1	

3 answer any questions that you have may have or you 4 may get from the community. As always, you're 5 welcome to call me if you have any follow-up 6 questions, we can discuss it tonight too. 7 Starting with the first page, as Brad 8 mentioned, WM has sent a letter asking to activate 9 the five-year extension on the contract that exists. 10 So, this is per section 3 of Franchise Agreement. 11 It is required that there is written notification, 12 both from WM and from IVGID, that they want to 13 continue this contract. It's standard practice for 14 us to send a letter when we've got an extension 15 because generally we like our contracts and we want 16 to stay there. In this case, we did address it to 17 the manager of the municipality, which would be the 18 district manager for IVGID. 19 So why are -- we are asking a little bit 20 earlier than usual this time. The reason we're 21 asking a little bit earlier is because we are 22 looking ahead to do some capital improvements. It's 23 pretty substantial improvement that we'd like to do 24 up there. It's going to continue to -- our transfer 25 station at 1076 Tahoe Boulevard provides disposable

4 we'll take a look at in some later slides. The5 anticipated cost for all of these improvements is

3 erected in 1995. We have several improvements that

42

11

6 4.5 to \$5 million, and additional time will allow

7 for construction to be scheduled during Incline

8 Village's abbreviated building season and allow WM

9 to amortize costs while maintaining stable rates at

10 the transfer station.

11 There are some benefits to customers.

12 There will be no direct impact to collection rates.

13 When I say "collection rates," I mean the rates at

14 the curb or the rates that businesses are charged to

15 have their waste hauled away from their property.

16 That's what our contract secures, so we're not

17 seeking that collection rate increase. And

18 extending the contract retains the same menu of

19 services and rate mechanism, which is found in

20 section 11 of the contract. The current rate

21 mechanism caps WM's profits at nine percent. The

22 cap does not apply -- sorry. If the cap does not

23 apply, the rate increase is determined by the

24 average consumer price index for garbage and trash,

25 which is published and by the Federal Bureau of

45	
1 Labor Statistics. Any increase is capped at	1 Additional benefits to customers,
2 six percent. So if the CPI is higher than	2 facilities that better fit the character of
3 six percent, the highest that it can go under this	3 community. Again, the customer service office,
4 contract is six percent.	4 which is right there against Tahoe Boulevard, that
5 Franchise rates in Incline Village have	5 was built in 1975, the driver dispatch building,
6 not increased since 2021. Trash collection rates in	6 that's the small building up above, was built in
7 Incline Village are generally lower than other basin	7 1992, and the transfer building, the big building
8 communities.	8 was built in 1995. New plans will improve the
9 Additional benefits to customers, expanded	9 appearance of Tahoe Boulevard from Tahoe Boulevard
10 green waste collection period. Waste Not and WM	10 and are compliant with TRPA requirements.
11 staff meet monthly to discuss service needs and	11 Here's an overview of what we're trying to
12 concerns. We have a very close relationship. Both	12 do with the site improvements. We want to replace
13 agencies routinely hear from customers that they	13 the existing pavement with new pavement and
14 would like to have a 16-week yard debris collection	14 striping. I'm sure all of you who have been there
15 program, which is currently broken into 12 weeks in	15 can see that that pavement is in need of some love
16 the spring and four weeks in the fall, extended to	16 and care. Partial replacement of existing perimeter
17 eliminate the summer break, which will begin this	17 fence, expanding existing driver dispatch building,
18 year at the end of July.	18 expand the existing administration building, upgrade
19 As this is a top item for contract	19 existing transfer station, demolish and add a new
20 improvement, WM proposes doubling the timeframe to	20 transaction booth the pay shack that the public
21 32 weeks as part of the extension agreement. And	21 stops and pays before they go into the transfer
22 again working with our staff at Waste Not, so	22 station add a new maintenance building to the
23 contract improvements can happen at any time. We're	23 west exit transfer station building west of the
24 just looking at this as a window of opportunity	24 existing transfer station building.
25 right now.	25 Right now, when they're doing truck
47	
47 1 maintenance, they're either doing it outside or	1 feet to the fire, but this is the result of that.
2 they're taking the truck down to our Reno facility,	2 We when somebody contacts WM for help
3 which kind of takes it out of service up here. You	3 with their account, they're asked to stay on the
4 are never short trucks, but it just makes it easier	4 line or to fill out an online survey after they've
	, , ,

- 4 are never short trucks, but it just makes it easier
- 5 and keeps the trucks up here where they're available 6 to you.
- 7 We want to remove and replace the existing 8 storm water management and BMP facilities per
- current code, replace existing propane with natural 9
- 10 gas. We've got some pictures here. I'm happy to
- 11 answer any questions or get additional information
- 12 for you if you have questions about that. And this 13 building will be added. This is the new maintenance
- 14 building. This is the building that will be added,
- 15 the new maintenance one, the one that says
- "maintenance shop." 16

17 All right. I did want to also add some 18 things. As I mentioned before, we work really 19 closely with the Waste Not staff. And when this 20 contract was rolled out in 2016, I was working on 21 the communication side of things, not on the 22 contract side of things. And I can tell you, it was 23 not always comfortable to go and have these 24 meetings. They really held our feet to the fire.

25 Madonna, Brad, the team has continued to hold our

- 5 interacted with one of our staff to give some
- 6 feedback about they feel about their services.
- 7 I like to share this because I will only

46

- 8 fill out a survey if I've had a poor customer
- 9 service experience. It's says a lot to me that the
- 10 people who contact WM stay on the line to fill out
- 11 these surveys and give these answers.
- 12 So this is out of 10, you can see on the
- 13 graph there, this is looking at customers who
- 14 responded to the survey from January to June. We
- 15 don't get a ton of people staying on. Generally,
- 16 only 20 percent hang on and fill it out. This is
- 17 roughly 250 surveys total, people providing answers.
- 18 The questions: Likely to recommend? We're getting
- 19 a score of above 9. For likely to recommend overall
- 20 on your services? Also a score above 9.
- 21 Residential service? Above 9. Commercial service?
- 22 They don't like us quite as much, they're giving us
- 23 an 8. We didn't collect scores for roll off, the
- 24 bins that kind of look -- the very big bins that
- 25 look like a railroad car. Do they find WM

49 1 trustworthy? Almost a score of 9 there, not quite. 1 Do they feel that we're a waste solutions partner? 2 2 Scoring above 9. And do they feel like they're 3 3 4 getting a good value? Above 9. 4 5 And of course billing is always a hot 5 issue. So what are we hearing as far as feedback on 6 6 7 7 billing? You can see, this one has a little more 8 information because it's a dive on a single 8 question. This was answered by 241 people of 290. 9 9 10 Did they call with a concern about their bill? 11 Six percent of people called with a concern about 11 12 their bill. Most people did not have a concern 12 13 about their bill. 13 14 And so that's the information that I 15 wanted to share with you tonight. I'm happy to answer any other questions that you may have. 16 16 17 I do want to point out that this is the 17 18 contact information that is specific to our office 18 19 here in Incline Village. Oftentimes Google can be 19 20 beautiful, but Google can be ugly too. If you have 20 21 a second home and you spend a lot of time in 21 22 22 California and you go to Google Waste Management, it 23 will think you live there, and they're going to send 23 24 you to a different office. So if you Google our 25 number, you may not get the correct information. 51 Company for charges to customers; is that correct? 1 1 2 MS. COSTA: Yes. Let me --2 3 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Thank you. 3 4 MS. COSTA: Can I clarify? 4 5 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Sure. 5 MS. COSTA: So, Waste Management is a 6 6 7 holding company, and even though they all have 7 8 different names, they are all Waste Management 8 9 companies. But as I said at the beginning of the 9 10 presentation, the contract is just for hauling, and 10 11 the transfer station is a separate business. 11 12 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: So, perhaps, you can 12 13 13 explain why repairs and maintenance of the transfer 14 station, which is owned by a separate entity, should

15 be subject to IVGID signing an extension of the

16 contract? Since they are two separate entities, the

17 costs for the transfer station are a straight

18 passthrough. There's no visibility of these costs

19 to IVGID when they're reviewing the costs. So we 20 have no idea of whether these costs are correct or

21 not.

If you spend five million on the transfer 22 23 station, that will go into the capital costs of the 24 transfer station, so that would also be passed 25 through, increasing costs; isn't that correct?

Anybody who is with us tonight, please take a look. We encourage you to go to 775-831-2971, that dials direct to our office here on Tahoe Boulevard. These are our hours, our office location, and the website where you will find all the services and pricing, publicly available all the time at inclinevillageatWM.com. CHAIR DENT: Any questions? TRUSTEE TULLOCH: First, let's clarify 10 some information here. This letter, this request has come from Waste Management. IVGID's contract is with Reno Disposal Company; is that correct? MS. COSTA: Yeah. The contract itself, 14 and I didn't bring a copy of the contract, I think 15 it's Reno Disposal, doing business as Capitol Sanitation -- excuse me -- Incline Sanitation. TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Correct. But this request has come from Waste Management. It's also requesting an extension of the contract so Waste Management can do work on the transfer station, which is also a separate company; is that correct? MS. COSTA: Yeah. So --TRUSTEE TULLOCH: So the transfer station 24 is not part of the agreement here. The transfer 25 station costs are passed through to Reno Disposal

52 MS. COSTA: I understand what you're trying to say, but I'd like to clarify that we're not asking you to cover the cost of the improvements of the transfer station. We're letting you know that we want to continue our hauling contract because that's the business that we do up here. But we're looking at how we're going to finance that out over time, our other piece of the business. So makes sense that both of the pieces of business stay together. We will keep that transfer station regardless of the hauling contract, but TRUSTEE TULLOCH: So, thank you. Yes, I 14 understand they're separate companies. I'm just clarifying the points here for the audience, because there's a number of claims made in your statement 17 there I just want to clarify with you.

18 If you're a responsible owner of the 19 transfer station, you would be making these

20 modifications and repairs, if they are necessary, as

21 a responsible owner. It should not be dependent on

22 a contract extension, not in a contract that still

23 has three years to run.

it's --

15

16

24

MS. COSTA: Right.

25 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: So that's correct.

53 1 You also made a statement that your price 1 actually been over-collecting that period, so you increases are capped at nine percent. Perhaps you must be making at least your nine percent return 2 2 3 can refer to which page the contract shows that cap? 3 over the last two years; is that correct? 4 Because I have -- I have not found that language. 4 MS. COSTA: So I want to back up again, 5 You are entitled to nine percent return. If I go to 5 and can we see that 15 percent that you're talking 6 6 about? page --7 7 MS. COSTA: Can I clarify that? Because TRUSTEE TULLOCH: On page 12 of the 8 that's an incorrect statement. 8 contract, section B, 11 B, 11.1 B at the top of the 9 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Perhaps you can show me 9 page. And I'm not making this up. I'm reading this from the contract. 10 where the cap is? 10 11 MS. COSTA: The increases are capped at 11 MS. COSTA: I understand. 12 six percent, and it's in section 11 of the contract 12 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I just want to correct 13 that outlines the rate increase. 13 the factual inaccuracies. 14 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Okay. Perhaps I can 14 MS. COSTA: I will have to get you 15 correct you further. The CPI increases are capped 15 additional information on that, because my 16 at six percent. If I go to page 12 of the contract, understanding is that it is capped at nine, that we 16 17 section 11.1, beginning with the rate adjustment 17 have a --18 schedule for July 1st, 2020, if the collector's 18 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Perhaps you can go 19 return on revenue for the prior calendar year 19 through the contract. I've been through it, and I 20 exceeds 15 percent, the collector should not be see there's nothing of that cap there. There is a 20 21 entitled to the annual CPI adjustment for that year. 21 minimum, you're entitled to a minimum of 22 22 nine percent. If you don't get nine percent, you You also stated that you haven't increased 23 rates for the last two years or three years. That 23 are entitled to increase it. And we've had this 24 tells me that because you're entitled to 24 discussion before, so I have actually checked it. 25 25 nine percent return, that tells me that you've And if we can now move on to the next 55 1 thing. You stated that you're prepared to increase MS. COSTA: No. No. I'm -- no. I'm not 1 2 the green waste collection to 32 weeks a year, and 2 going to -- I mean, here's what I can --3 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'm not renegotiating 3 there would be no increase in costs for that. Does 4 that mean that you'll be stripping out, you'll be 4 the contract. I'm just clarifying some things 5 identifying these costs for the extra 16 weeks, and 5 because you made some statements that don't appear 6 you'll be stripping that out of your net costs and 6 to be borne out with the contract. That's why I'm 7 calculating the cost to customers? Because that 7 asking. 8 would --8 MS. COSTA: Okay. So I can't --9 9 MS. COSTA: We're not renegotiating TRUSTEE TULLOCH: You can't say there is 10 anything. We're not changing the rate mechanism. 10 no increase in costs, because there could be an 11 We're not changing the services at all. We're just 11 increase in costs. 12 opening the -- we're proposing, because we've heard 12 MS. COSTA: What I can say is that we're 13 from customers what they would like to see, to open 13 not going to change the hauling contract. What it 14 that window. And the reason they want to open that 14 is is what it is. We're not going to change the 15 window is because the weather is unpredictable, so 15 rate-making mechanism, we're not going to change anything about that. 16 they may or may not be here --16 17 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I understand that. I'm 17 So, an extension, basically, locks down 18 not disputing that, Kendra. But you stated that 18 what we're doing. We've been providing rolling 19 there would be no increase in costs for that. If 19 proof of our calculations, so you know what we've 20 there's no increase in costs for that, that -- these 20 been doing and you know that we're not trying to do 21 anything sneaky. 21 costs would be deducted by -- I'm assuming that 22 22 you're going to include these in your gross costs; It is hard for me to answer what you think 23 is that correct? And if your gross costs increase, 23 the company may do in the future, because I can only 24 your total costs to customers can increase; isn't 24 go by what the company has been doing in the past. 25 that correct? 25 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yes, just to clarify,

54

	7
1 I'm not doing anything sneaky. I'm reading from the	7 58 1 with Waste Not and the Public Works Department
2 contract.	2 regularly, and are willing, as we look if we go
3 MS. COSTA: No. I'm not saying you	3 into an extension, we are willing to add in some
4 accused me of wanting to do something sneaky.	4 language that will cap any passthrough at CPI, and
5 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Excuse me. Excuse me.	5 then any extraordinary rate increase, which can
6 I'm clarifying the contract terms. You stated,	6 happen. We all just saw a shutdown. That can
7 publicly, on the record, there was a cap in the	7 happen. We can put in some language that anything
8 contract; there's no cap on the return.	8 above that would have to go to the Board for
9 Excuse me. You also stated that there	9 approval.
10 would be no increase in costs for these things, but	10 Beyond that, I can't say what will happen
11 then you're stating that you're going to go with the	11 in the future.
12 terms of contract. The terms of contract would	12 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: But you have said there
13 allow you to pass through extra costs for extra	13 would be no increase from these extra services and
14 services; isn't that correct? Yes or no?	14 things. That was in your presentation.
15 MS. COSTA: So, no. I want to back up and	15 MS. COSTA: Yes.
16 be really clear. I mean, you say a lot, and it's	16 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: But there would be
17 hard for me to catch everything you're saying.	17 additional costs for doing an extra 16 weeks of
18 I do want to say that section 11 of the	18 collection; isn't that correct?
19 contract is how we do rates. This is a publicly	19 MS. COSTA: Not necessarily. Because it
20 available contract, so it's all there. I'm not	20 opens the window to allow us more time to get to
21 intending to misstate anything. And it's available	21 those some people don't get here in time to use
22 for you and for any member of the public to see.	22 the stickers that they have. We anticipate that
23 I'm being as transparent as I can possibly be with	23 they would be here and would be using those
24 you. Okay?	24 stickers. The stickers are still the same, just the
25 What I can tell you is, again, we work	25 window to use them is larger so that people can take
	9 60
1 advantage of that program.	1 back and check the live stream, you'll find I did
<ol> <li>advantage of that program.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: So you would have no</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>back and check the live stream, you'll find I did</li> <li>not say you said that. I suggested if you came here</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>advantage of that program.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: So you would have no</li> <li>extra costs? You wouldn't be rolling any extra</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>back and check the live stream, you'll find I did</li> <li>not say you said that. I suggested if you came here</li> <li>with a suggestion to hold the rate for eight years,</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>advantage of that program.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: So you would have no</li> <li>extra costs? You wouldn't be rolling any extra</li> <li>trucks or extra staff to do that extra 16 weeks of</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>back and check the live stream, you'll find I did</li> <li>not say you said that. I suggested if you came here</li> <li>with a suggestion to hold the rate for eight years,</li> <li>yes, we would be quite happy to sign the five-year</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>advantage of that program.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: So you would have no</li> <li>extra costs? You wouldn't be rolling any extra</li> <li>trucks or extra staff to do that extra 16 weeks of</li> <li>pickup?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>back and check the live stream, you'll find I did</li> <li>not say you said that. I suggested if you came here</li> <li>with a suggestion to hold the rate for eight years,</li> <li>yes, we would be quite happy to sign the five-year</li> <li>extension. But that was all.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>advantage of that program.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: So you would have no</li> <li>extra costs? You wouldn't be rolling any extra</li> <li>trucks or extra staff to do that extra 16 weeks of</li> <li>pickup?</li> <li>MS. COSTA: Again, I can't tell you what's</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>back and check the live stream, you'll find I did</li> <li>not say you said that. I suggested if you came here</li> <li>with a suggestion to hold the rate for eight years,</li> <li>yes, we would be quite happy to sign the five-year</li> <li>extension. But that was all.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: I did receive a text from</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>advantage of that program.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: So you would have no</li> <li>extra costs? You wouldn't be rolling any extra</li> <li>trucks or extra staff to do that extra 16 weeks of</li> <li>pickup?</li> <li>MS. COSTA: Again, I can't tell you what's</li> <li>going to happen in the future, but I can tell you</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>back and check the live stream, you'll find I did</li> <li>not say you said that. I suggested if you came here</li> <li>with a suggestion to hold the rate for eight years,</li> <li>yes, we would be quite happy to sign the five-year</li> <li>extension. But that was all.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: I did receive a text from</li> <li>Trustee Tonking. Her I'll just read it. She</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>advantage of that program.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: So you would have no</li> <li>extra costs? You wouldn't be rolling any extra</li> <li>trucks or extra staff to do that extra 16 weeks of</li> <li>pickup?</li> <li>MS. COSTA: Again, I can't tell you what's</li> <li>going to happen in the future, but I can tell you</li> <li>what our intention is.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>back and check the live stream, you'll find I did</li> <li>not say you said that. I suggested if you came here</li> <li>with a suggestion to hold the rate for eight years,</li> <li>yes, we would be quite happy to sign the five-year</li> <li>extension. But that was all.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: I did receive a text from</li> <li>Trustee Tonking. Her I'll just read it. She</li> <li>says she would be willing for a three-year extension</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>advantage of that program.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: So you would have no</li> <li>extra costs? You wouldn't be rolling any extra</li> <li>trucks or extra staff to do that extra 16 weeks of</li> <li>pickup?</li> <li>MS. COSTA: Again, I can't tell you what's</li> <li>going to happen in the future, but I can tell you</li> <li>what our intention is.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I understand your</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>back and check the live stream, you'll find I did</li> <li>not say you said that. I suggested if you came here</li> <li>with a suggestion to hold the rate for eight years,</li> <li>yes, we would be quite happy to sign the five-year</li> <li>extension. But that was all.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: I did receive a text from</li> <li>Trustee Tonking. Her I'll just read it. She</li> <li>says she would be willing for a three-year extension</li> <li>and have the same disposal rate charged as the same</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>advantage of that program.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: So you would have no</li> <li>extra costs? You wouldn't be rolling any extra</li> <li>trucks or extra staff to do that extra 16 weeks of</li> <li>pickup?</li> <li>MS. COSTA: Again, I can't tell you what's</li> <li>going to happen in the future, but I can tell you</li> <li>what our intention is.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I understand your</li> <li>intentions, and I'm sure the intentions are</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>back and check the live stream, you'll find I did</li> <li>not say you said that. I suggested if you came here</li> <li>with a suggestion to hold the rate for eight years,</li> <li>yes, we would be quite happy to sign the five-year</li> <li>extension. But that was all.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: I did receive a text from</li> <li>Trustee Tonking. Her I'll just read it. She</li> <li>says she would be willing for a three-year extension</li> <li>and have the same disposal rate charged as the same</li> <li>as everywhere else in Northern Nevada. And if Waste</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>advantage of that program.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: So you would have no</li> <li>extra costs? You wouldn't be rolling any extra</li> <li>trucks or extra staff to do that extra 16 weeks of</li> <li>pickup?</li> <li>MS. COSTA: Again, I can't tell you what's</li> <li>going to happen in the future, but I can tell you</li> <li>what our intention is.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I understand your</li> <li>intentions, and I'm sure the intentions are</li> <li>honorable. I'm just reading the terms of the</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>back and check the live stream, you'll find I did</li> <li>not say you said that. I suggested if you came here</li> <li>with a suggestion to hold the rate for eight years,</li> <li>yes, we would be quite happy to sign the five-year</li> <li>extension. But that was all.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: I did receive a text from</li> <li>Trustee Tonking. Her I'll just read it. She</li> <li>says she would be willing for a three-year extension</li> <li>and have the same disposal rate charged as the same</li> <li>as everywhere else in Northern Nevada. And if Waste</li> <li>Management raises the rates in Incline, they'd also</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>advantage of that program.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: So you would have no</li> <li>extra costs? You wouldn't be rolling any extra</li> <li>trucks or extra staff to do that extra 16 weeks of</li> <li>pickup?</li> <li>MS. COSTA: Again, I can't tell you what's</li> <li>going to happen in the future, but I can tell you</li> <li>what our intention is.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I understand your</li> <li>intentions, and I'm sure the intentions are</li> <li>honorable. I'm just reading the terms of the</li> <li>contract. I've have lots of comments made in the</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>back and check the live stream, you'll find I did</li> <li>not say you said that. I suggested if you came here</li> <li>with a suggestion to hold the rate for eight years,</li> <li>yes, we would be quite happy to sign the five-year</li> <li>extension. But that was all.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: I did receive a text from</li> <li>Trustee Tonking. Her I'll just read it. She</li> <li>says she would be willing for a three-year extension</li> <li>and have the same disposal rate charged as the same</li> <li>as everywhere else in Northern Nevada. And if Waste</li> <li>Management raises the rates in Incline, they'd also</li> <li>raise them, say, in Reno. So that's Trustee</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>advantage of that program.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: So you would have no</li> <li>extra costs? You wouldn't be rolling any extra</li> <li>trucks or extra staff to do that extra 16 weeks of</li> <li>pickup?</li> <li>MS. COSTA: Again, I can't tell you what's</li> <li>going to happen in the future, but I can tell you</li> <li>what our intention is.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I understand your</li> <li>intentions, and I'm sure the intentions are</li> <li>honorable. I'm just reading the terms of the</li> <li>contract. I've have lots of comments made in the</li> <li>community for on the terms. I just wanted to make</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>back and check the live stream, you'll find I did</li> <li>not say you said that. I suggested if you came here</li> <li>with a suggestion to hold the rate for eight years,</li> <li>yes, we would be quite happy to sign the five-year</li> <li>extension. But that was all.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: I did receive a text from</li> <li>Trustee Tonking. Her I'll just read it. She</li> <li>says she would be willing for a three-year extension</li> <li>and have the same disposal rate charged as the same</li> <li>as everywhere else in Northern Nevada. And if Waste</li> <li>Management raises the rates in Incline, they'd also</li> <li>raise them, say, in Reno. So that's Trustee</li> <li>Tonking's feedback on that.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>advantage of that program.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: So you would have no</li> <li>extra costs? You wouldn't be rolling any extra</li> <li>trucks or extra staff to do that extra 16 weeks of</li> <li>pickup?</li> <li>MS. COSTA: Again, I can't tell you what's</li> <li>going to happen in the future, but I can tell you</li> <li>what our intention is.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I understand your</li> <li>intentions, and I'm sure the intentions are</li> <li>honorable. I'm just reading the terms of the</li> <li>contract. I've have lots of comments made in the</li> <li>community for on the terms. I just wanted to make</li> <li>sure there was some clarification. Because, I mean,</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>back and check the live stream, you'll find I did</li> <li>not say you said that. I suggested if you came here</li> <li>with a suggestion to hold the rate for eight years,</li> <li>yes, we would be quite happy to sign the five-year</li> <li>extension. But that was all.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: I did receive a text from</li> <li>Trustee Tonking. Her I'll just read it. She</li> <li>says she would be willing for a three-year extension</li> <li>and have the same disposal rate charged as the same</li> <li>as everywhere else in Northern Nevada. And if Waste</li> <li>Management raises the rates in Incline, they'd also</li> <li>raise them, say, in Reno. So that's Trustee</li> <li>Tonking's feedback on that.</li> <li>Do any of other trustees want to weigh-in</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>advantage of that program.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: So you would have no</li> <li>extra costs? You wouldn't be rolling any extra</li> <li>trucks or extra staff to do that extra 16 weeks of</li> <li>pickup?</li> <li>MS. COSTA: Again, I can't tell you what's</li> <li>going to happen in the future, but I can tell you</li> <li>what our intention is.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I understand your</li> <li>intentions, and I'm sure the intentions are</li> <li>honorable. I'm just reading the terms of the</li> <li>contract. I've have lots of comments made in the</li> <li>community for on the terms. I just wanted to make</li> <li>sure there was some clarification. Because, I mean,</li> <li>if you're coming here and saying, yes, you want a</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>back and check the live stream, you'll find I did</li> <li>not say you said that. I suggested if you came here</li> <li>with a suggestion to hold the rate for eight years,</li> <li>yes, we would be quite happy to sign the five-year</li> <li>extension. But that was all.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: I did receive a text from</li> <li>Trustee Tonking. Her I'll just read it. She</li> <li>says she would be willing for a three-year extension</li> <li>and have the same disposal rate charged as the same</li> <li>as everywhere else in Northern Nevada. And if Waste</li> <li>Management raises the rates in Incline, they'd also</li> <li>raise them, say, in Reno. So that's Trustee</li> <li>Tonking's feedback on that.</li> <li>Do any of other trustees want to weigh-in</li> <li>on this at this time?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>advantage of that program.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: So you would have no</li> <li>extra costs? You wouldn't be rolling any extra</li> <li>trucks or extra staff to do that extra 16 weeks of</li> <li>pickup?</li> <li>MS. COSTA: Again, I can't tell you what's</li> <li>going to happen in the future, but I can tell you</li> <li>what our intention is.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I understand your</li> <li>intentions, and I'm sure the intentions are</li> <li>honorable. I'm just reading the terms of the</li> <li>contract. I've have lots of comments made in the</li> <li>community for on the terms. I just wanted to make</li> <li>sure there was some clarification. Because, I mean,</li> <li>if you're coming here and saying, yes, you want a</li> <li>five-year extension now, and you're going to say,</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>back and check the live stream, you'll find I did</li> <li>not say you said that. I suggested if you came here</li> <li>with a suggestion to hold the rate for eight years,</li> <li>yes, we would be quite happy to sign the five-year</li> <li>extension. But that was all.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: I did receive a text from</li> <li>Trustee Tonking. Her I'll just read it. She</li> <li>says she would be willing for a three-year extension</li> <li>and have the same disposal rate charged as the same</li> <li>as everywhere else in Northern Nevada. And if Waste</li> <li>Management raises the rates in Incline, they'd also</li> <li>raise them, say, in Reno. So that's Trustee</li> <li>Tonking's feedback on that.</li> <li>Do any of other trustees want to weigh-in</li> <li>on this at this time?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Yeah. I think I'd like to</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>advantage of that program.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: So you would have no</li> <li>extra costs? You wouldn't be rolling any extra</li> <li>trucks or extra staff to do that extra 16 weeks of</li> <li>pickup?</li> <li>MS. COSTA: Again, I can't tell you what's</li> <li>going to happen in the future, but I can tell you</li> <li>what our intention is.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I understand your</li> <li>intentions, and I'm sure the intentions are</li> <li>honorable. I'm just reading the terms of the</li> <li>contract. I've have lots of comments made in the</li> <li>community for on the terms. I just wanted to make</li> <li>sure there was some clarification. Because, I mean,</li> <li>if you're coming here and saying, yes, you want a</li> <li>five-year extension now, and you're going to say,</li> <li>well, we'll hold rates for five years, for</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>back and check the live stream, you'll find I did</li> <li>not say you said that. I suggested if you came here</li> <li>with a suggestion to hold the rate for eight years,</li> <li>yes, we would be quite happy to sign the five-year</li> <li>extension. But that was all.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: I did receive a text from</li> <li>Trustee Tonking. Her I'll just read it. She</li> <li>says she would be willing for a three-year extension</li> <li>and have the same disposal rate charged as the same</li> <li>as everywhere else in Northern Nevada. And if Waste</li> <li>Management raises the rates in Incline, they'd also</li> <li>raise them, say, in Reno. So that's Trustee</li> <li>Tonking's feedback on that.</li> <li>Do any of other trustees want to weigh-in</li> <li>on this at this time?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Yeah. I think I'd like to</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>advantage of that program.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: So you would have no</li> <li>extra costs? You wouldn't be rolling any extra</li> <li>trucks or extra staff to do that extra 16 weeks of</li> <li>pickup?</li> <li>MS. COSTA: Again, I can't tell you what's</li> <li>going to happen in the future, but I can tell you</li> <li>what our intention is.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I understand your</li> <li>intentions, and I'm sure the intentions are</li> <li>honorable. I'm just reading the terms of the</li> <li>contract. I've have lots of comments made in the</li> <li>community for on the terms. I just wanted to make</li> <li>sure there was some clarification. Because, I mean,</li> <li>if you're coming here and saying, yes, you want a</li> <li>five-year extension now, and you're going to say,</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>back and check the live stream, you'll find I did</li> <li>not say you said that. I suggested if you came here</li> <li>with a suggestion to hold the rate for eight years,</li> <li>yes, we would be quite happy to sign the five-year</li> <li>extension. But that was all.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: I did receive a text from</li> <li>Trustee Tonking. Her I'll just read it. She</li> <li>says she would be willing for a three-year extension</li> <li>and have the same disposal rate charged as the same</li> <li>as everywhere else in Northern Nevada. And if Waste</li> <li>Management raises the rates in Incline, they'd also</li> <li>raise them, say, in Reno. So that's Trustee</li> <li>Tonking's feedback on that.</li> <li>Do any of other trustees want to weigh-in</li> <li>on this at this time?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Yeah. I think I'd like to</li> <li>see staff enter discussions with Reno Disposal to</li> <li>explore a five-year extension, but also look at what</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>advantage of that program.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: So you would have no</li> <li>extra costs? You wouldn't be rolling any extra</li> <li>trucks or extra staff to do that extra 16 weeks of</li> <li>pickup?</li> <li>MS. COSTA: Again, I can't tell you what's</li> <li>going to happen in the future, but I can tell you</li> <li>what our intention is.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I understand your</li> <li>intentions, and I'm sure the intentions are</li> <li>honorable. I'm just reading the terms of the</li> <li>contract. I've have lots of comments made in the</li> <li>community for on the terms. I just wanted to make</li> <li>sure there was some clarification. Because, I mean,</li> <li>if you're coming here and saying, yes, you want a</li> <li>five-year extension now, and you're going to say,</li> <li>well, we'll hold rates for five years, for</li> <li>eight years, that would be wonderful.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 back and check the live stream, you'll find I did</li> <li>2 not say you said that. I suggested if you came here</li> <li>3 with a suggestion to hold the rate for eight years,</li> <li>4 yes, we would be quite happy to sign the five-year</li> <li>5 extension. But that was all.</li> <li>6 CHAIR DENT: I did receive a text from</li> <li>7 Trustee Tonking. Her I'll just read it. She</li> <li>8 says she would be willing for a three-year extension</li> <li>9 and have the same disposal rate charged as the same</li> <li>10 as everywhere else in Northern Nevada. And if Waste</li> <li>11 Management raises the rates in Incline, they'd also</li> <li>12 raise them, say, in Reno. So that's Trustee</li> <li>13 Tonking's feedback on that.</li> <li>14 Do any of other trustees want to weigh-in</li> <li>15 on this at this time?</li> <li>16 TRUSTEE NOBLE: Yeah. I think I'd like to</li> <li>17 see staff enter discussions with Reno Disposal to</li> <li>18 explore a five-year extension, but also look at what</li> <li>19 the potential impacts of an expansion of the green</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>advantage of that program.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: So you would have no</li> <li>extra costs? You wouldn't be rolling any extra</li> <li>trucks or extra staff to do that extra 16 weeks of</li> <li>pickup?</li> <li>MS. COSTA: Again, I can't tell you what's</li> <li>going to happen in the future, but I can tell you</li> <li>what our intention is.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I understand your</li> <li>intentions, and I'm sure the intentions are</li> <li>honorable. I'm just reading the terms of the</li> <li>contract. I've have lots of comments made in the</li> <li>community for on the terms. I just wanted to make</li> <li>sure there was some clarification. Because, I mean,</li> <li>if you're coming here and saying, yes, you want a</li> <li>five-year extension now, and you're going to say,</li> <li>well, we'll hold rates for five years, for</li> <li>eight years, that would be wonderful.</li> <li>MS. COSTA: I did not.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>back and check the live stream, you'll find I did</li> <li>not say you said that. I suggested if you came here</li> <li>with a suggestion to hold the rate for eight years,</li> <li>yes, we would be quite happy to sign the five-year</li> <li>extension. But that was all.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: I did receive a text from</li> <li>Trustee Tonking. Her I'll just read it. She</li> <li>says she would be willing for a three-year extension</li> <li>and have the same disposal rate charged as the same</li> <li>as everywhere else in Northern Nevada. And if Waste</li> <li>Management raises the rates in Incline, they'd also</li> <li>raise them, say, in Reno. So that's Trustee</li> <li>Tonking's feedback on that.</li> <li>Do any of other trustees want to weigh-in</li> <li>on this at this time?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Yeah. I think I'd like to</li> <li>see staff enter discussions with Reno Disposal to</li> <li>explore a five-year extension, but also look at what</li> <li>the potential impacts of an expansion of the green</li> <li>waste program would have on the collector's return</li> </ul>
1       advantage of that program.         2       TRUSTEE TULLOCH: So you would have no         3       extra costs? You wouldn't be rolling any extra         4       trucks or extra staff to do that extra 16 weeks of         5       pickup?         6       MS. COSTA: Again, I can't tell you what's         7       going to happen in the future, but I can tell you         8       what our intention is.         9       TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I understand your         10       intentions, and I'm sure the intentions are         11       honorable. I'm just reading the terms of the         12       contract. I've have lots of comments made in the         13       community for on the terms. I just wanted to make         14       sure there was some clarification. Because, I mean,         15       if you're coming here and saying, yes, you want a         16       five-year extension now, and you're going to say,         17       well, we'll hold rates for five years, for         18       eight years, that would be wonderful.         19       MS. COSTA: I did not.         20       TRUSTEE TULLOCH: But I don't think that's         21       the case.	<ul> <li>back and check the live stream, you'll find I did</li> <li>not say you said that. I suggested if you came here</li> <li>with a suggestion to hold the rate for eight years,</li> <li>yes, we would be quite happy to sign the five-year</li> <li>extension. But that was all.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: I did receive a text from</li> <li>Trustee Tonking. Her I'll just read it. She</li> <li>says she would be willing for a three-year extension</li> <li>and have the same disposal rate charged as the same</li> <li>as everywhere else in Northern Nevada. And if Waste</li> <li>Management raises the rates in Incline, they'd also</li> <li>raise them, say, in Reno. So that's Trustee</li> <li>Tonking's feedback on that.</li> <li>Do any of other trustees want to weigh-in</li> <li>on this at this time?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Yeah. I think I'd like to</li> <li>see staff enter discussions with Reno Disposal to</li> <li>explore a five-year extension, but also look at what</li> <li>the potential impacts of an expansion of the green</li> <li>waste program would have on the collector's return</li> <li>on revenue. Potentially, that would implicate some</li> </ul>
1       advantage of that program.         2       TRUSTEE TULLOCH: So you would have no         3       extra costs? You wouldn't be rolling any extra         4       trucks or extra staff to do that extra 16 weeks of         5       pickup?         6       MS. COSTA: Again, I can't tell you what's         7       going to happen in the future, but I can tell you         8       what our intention is.         9       TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I understand your         10       intentions, and I'm sure the intentions are         11       honorable. I'm just reading the terms of the         12       contract. I've have lots of comments made in the         13       community for on the terms. I just wanted to make         14       sure there was some clarification. Because, I mean,         15       if you're coming here and saying, yes, you want a         16       five-year extension now, and you're going to say,         17       well hold rates for five years, for         18       eight years, that would be wonderful.         19       MS. COSTA: I did not.         20       TRUSTEE TULLOCH: But I don't think that's         21       the case.         22       MS. COSTA: I did not say we would hold	<ul> <li>back and check the live stream, you'll find I did</li> <li>not say you said that. I suggested if you came here</li> <li>with a suggestion to hold the rate for eight years,</li> <li>yes, we would be quite happy to sign the five-year</li> <li>extension. But that was all.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: I did receive a text from</li> <li>Trustee Tonking. Her I'll just read it. She</li> <li>says she would be willing for a three-year extension</li> <li>and have the same disposal rate charged as the same</li> <li>as everywhere else in Northern Nevada. And if Waste</li> <li>Management raises the rates in Incline, they'd also</li> <li>raise them, say, in Reno. So that's Trustee</li> <li>Tonking's feedback on that.</li> <li>Do any of other trustees want to weigh-in</li> <li>on this at this time?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Yeah. I think I'd like to</li> <li>see staff enter discussions with Reno Disposal to</li> <li>explore a five-year extension, but also look at what</li> <li>the potential impacts of an expansion of the green</li> <li>waste program would have on the collector's return</li> <li>on revenue. Potentially, that would implicate some</li> </ul>
1       advantage of that program.         2       TRUSTEE TULLOCH: So you would have no         3       extra costs? You wouldn't be rolling any extra         4       trucks or extra staff to do that extra 16 weeks of         5       pickup?         6       MS. COSTA: Again, I can't tell you what's         7       going to happen in the future, but I can tell you         8       what our intention is.         9       TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I understand your         10       intentions, and I'm sure the intentions are         11       honorable. I'm just reading the terms of the         12       contract. I've have lots of comments made in the         13       community for on the terms. I just wanted to make         14       sure there was some clarification. Because, I mean,         15       if you're coming here and saying, yes, you want a         16       five-year extension now, and you're going to say,         17       well, we'll hold rates for five years, for         18       eight years, that would be wonderful.         19       MS. COSTA: I did not.         20       TRUSTEE TULLOCH: But I don't think that's         21       the case.	<ul> <li>back and check the live stream, you'll find I did</li> <li>not say you said that. I suggested if you came here</li> <li>with a suggestion to hold the rate for eight years,</li> <li>yes, we would be quite happy to sign the five-year</li> <li>extension. But that was all.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: I did receive a text from</li> <li>Trustee Tonking. Her I'll just read it. She</li> <li>says she would be willing for a three-year extension</li> <li>and have the same disposal rate charged as the same</li> <li>as everywhere else in Northern Nevada. And if Waste</li> <li>Management raises the rates in Incline, they'd also</li> <li>raise them, say, in Reno. So that's Trustee</li> <li>Tonking's feedback on that.</li> <li>Do any of other trustees want to weigh-in</li> <li>on this at this time?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Yeah. I think I'd like to</li> <li>see staff enter discussions with Reno Disposal to</li> <li>explore a five-year extension, but also look at what</li> <li>the potential impacts of an expansion of the green</li> <li>waste program would have on the collector's return</li> <li>on revenue. Potentially, that would implicate some</li> <li>of the CPI increases in section 11.1 A, B, and C.</li> </ul>
1       advantage of that program.         2       TRUSTEE TULLOCH: So you would have no         3       extra costs? You wouldn't be rolling any extra         4       trucks or extra staff to do that extra 16 weeks of         5       pickup?         6       MS. COSTA: Again, I can't tell you what's         7       going to happen in the future, but I can tell you         8       what our intention is.         9       TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I understand your         10       intentions, and I'm sure the intentions are         11       honorable. I'm just reading the terms of the         12       contract. I've have lots of comments made in the         13       community for on the terms. I just wanted to make         14       sure there was some clarification. Because, I mean,         15       if you're coming here and saying, yes, you want a         16       five-year extension now, and you're going to say,         17       well hold rates for five years, for         18       eight years, that would be wonderful.         19       MS. COSTA: I did not.         20       TRUSTEE TULLOCH: But I don't think that's         21       the case.         22       MS. COSTA: I did not say we would hold         23       rates. We did	<ul> <li>back and check the live stream, you'll find I did</li> <li>not say you said that. I suggested if you came here</li> <li>with a suggestion to hold the rate for eight years,</li> <li>yes, we would be quite happy to sign the five-year</li> <li>extension. But that was all.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: I did receive a text from</li> <li>Trustee Tonking. Her I'll just read it. She</li> <li>says she would be willing for a three-year extension</li> <li>and have the same disposal rate charged as the same</li> <li>as everywhere else in Northern Nevada. And if Waste</li> <li>Management raises the rates in Incline, they'd also</li> <li>raise them, say, in Reno. So that's Trustee</li> <li>Tonking's feedback on that.</li> <li>Do any of other trustees want to weigh-in</li> <li>on this at this time?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Yeah. I think I'd like to</li> <li>see staff enter discussions with Reno Disposal to</li> <li>explore a five-year extension, but also look at what</li> <li>the potential impacts of an expansion of the green</li> <li>waste program would have on the collector's return</li> <li>on revenue. Potentially, that would implicate some</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay.</li> </ul>
1       advantage of that program.         2       TRUSTEE TULLOCH: So you would have no         3       extra costs? You wouldn't be rolling any extra         4       trucks or extra staff to do that extra 16 weeks of         5       pickup?         6       MS. COSTA: Again, I can't tell you what's         7       going to happen in the future, but I can tell you         8       what our intention is.         9       TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I understand your         10       intentions, and I'm sure the intentions are         11       honorable. I'm just reading the terms of the         12       contract. I've have lots of comments made in the         13       community for on the terms. I just wanted to make         14       sure there was some clarification. Because, I mean,         15       if you're coming here and saying, yes, you want a         16       five-year extension now, and you're going to say,         17       well hold rates for five years, for         18       eight years, that would be wonderful.         19       MS. COSTA: I did not.         20       TRUSTEE TULLOCH: But I don't think that's         21       the case.         22       MS. COSTA: I did not say we would hold         23       rates. We did	<ul> <li>back and check the live stream, you'll find I did</li> <li>not say you said that. I suggested if you came here</li> <li>with a suggestion to hold the rate for eight years,</li> <li>yes, we would be quite happy to sign the five-year</li> <li>extension. But that was all.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: I did receive a text from</li> <li>Trustee Tonking. Her I'll just read it. She</li> <li>says she would be willing for a three-year extension</li> <li>and have the same disposal rate charged as the same</li> <li>as everywhere else in Northern Nevada. And if Waste</li> <li>Management raises the rates in Incline, they'd also</li> <li>raise them, say, in Reno. So that's Trustee</li> <li>Tonking's feedback on that.</li> <li>Do any of other trustees want to weigh-in</li> <li>on this at this time?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Yeah. I think I'd like to</li> <li>see staff enter discussions with Reno Disposal to</li> <li>explore a five-year extension, but also look at what</li> <li>the potential impacts of an expansion of the green</li> <li>waste program would have on the collector's return</li> <li>on revenue. Potentially, that would implicate some</li> <li>of the CPI increases in section 11.1 A, B, and C.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay.</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I observe and concur</li> </ul>

		04		~
1	about the costs. There will be increased costs, and	61	62 1 any negotiations at all, but we would welcome that	2
2	the contract allows a certain rate of return and if		2 approach, and also the legal counsel approach as	
З	3 the rate of return falls, then there's the		3 well.	
4			4 CHAIR DENT: I understand that. I'm just	
5			5 throwing that in from a historical knowledge	
6	because, right now, I just sense with the		6 standpoint. I think it's important for the Board to	
7	effluent pipeline project and other projects going		7 kind of be aware where things are going and be	
ε			8 involved early on. Not a board-appointed committee,	
ç			9 by all means, but I think a trustee, maybe a	
1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		10 liaison, to the department could work.	
1			11 But as far as this, I do think there are a	
1	2 But from my perspective, I think that we		12 lot of other pressing issues that we have.	
1	3 should have legal counsel involved in negotiating		13 Kendra, thank you for bringing this	
	4 the terms of the contract, if we're going to make		14 forward. We do have some time on this. When it	
1	5 some changes. And I will defer to staff, but would		15 comes maybe I skipped over it, but as far as	
1	6 be supportive if staff would prefer to delay this,		16 Trustee Tonking's comments and having our disposal	
1	7 perhaps, until fall.		17 rate being the same as the rest of Northern Nevada,	
1	8 CHAIR DENT: I guess I would agree with		18 how do we compare right now with that?	
1	9 some of that.		19 MS. COSTA: I believe that you're right	
2	0 Who the last time we negotiated this,		20 on. We use an inner-company departmental	
2	1 director Underwood, many years ago, and there was a		21 inter-company rate, so when we haul and we own	
2	2 board member involved in this process. Is there a		22 disposal, it's the some same rate.	
2	3 board member currently involved with you in these		23 CHAIR DENT: Okay. That's fine. Thank	
2	4 negotiations?		24 you.	
2	5 MR. UNDERWOOD: Well, we haven't started		25 Yeah. I think kicking this off for a few	
		63	64	1
1	months, bringing it back, but at least we've seen	63	64 1 As we discussed at the last meeting, the,	4
1		63		4
	2 the presentation, kind of started to have those	63	1 As we discussed at the last meeting, the,	1
2	<ul><li>2 the presentation, kind of started to have those</li><li>3 conversations.</li></ul>	63	1As we discussed at the last meeting, the,2I would say, concept of all of this was to speak to	1
2	<ul><li>2 the presentation, kind of started to have those</li><li>3 conversations.</li></ul>	63	<ol> <li>As we discussed at the last meeting, the,</li> <li>I would say, concept of all of this was to speak to</li> <li>the rumors that are out there, I would say, and try</li> </ol>	1
2	<ul> <li>the presentation, kind of started to have those</li> <li>conversations.</li> <li>It sounds like you do have a couple things</li> <li>you were going to bring back to us or clarify, so I</li> </ul>	63	<ol> <li>As we discussed at the last meeting, the,</li> <li>I would say, concept of all of this was to speak to</li> <li>the rumors that are out there, I would say, and try</li> <li>and address some of these. And also, I think the</li> </ol>	1
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>2 the presentation, kind of started to have those</li> <li>3 conversations.</li> <li>It sounds like you do have a couple things</li> <li>5 you were going to bring back to us or clarify, so I</li> <li>5 think having a little time to do that could be</li> </ul>	63	<ol> <li>As we discussed at the last meeting, the,</li> <li>I would say, concept of all of this was to speak to</li> <li>the rumors that are out there, I would say, and try</li> <li>and address some of these. And also, I think the</li> <li>intent is to address some of the operational ideas.</li> </ol>	1
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>the presentation, kind of started to have those</li> <li>conversations.</li> <li>It sounds like you do have a couple things</li> <li>you were going to bring back to us or clarify, so I</li> <li>think having a little time to do that could be</li> <li>helpful for everybody. Then we can discuss putting</li> </ul>	63	<ol> <li>As we discussed at the last meeting, the,</li> <li>I would say, concept of all of this was to speak to</li> <li>the rumors that are out there, I would say, and try</li> <li>and address some of these. And also, I think the</li> <li>intent is to address some of the operational ideas.</li> <li>We did talk about that as well. I think I threw out</li> </ol>	1
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>the presentation, kind of started to have those</li> <li>conversations.</li> <li>It sounds like you do have a couple things</li> <li>you were going to bring back to us or clarify, so I</li> <li>think having a little time to do that could be</li> <li>helpful for everybody. Then we can discuss putting</li> <li>this on the long range calendar for later this fall.</li> </ul>	63	<ol> <li>As we discussed at the last meeting, the,</li> <li>I would say, concept of all of this was to speak to</li> <li>the rumors that are out there, I would say, and try</li> <li>and address some of these. And also, I think the</li> <li>intent is to address some of the operational ideas.</li> <li>We did talk about that as well. I think I threw out</li> <li>the snowplow idea, and given that it's in the middle</li> </ol>	1
2 3 4 5 7 8 9	<ul> <li>the presentation, kind of started to have those</li> <li>conversations.</li> <li>It sounds like you do have a couple things</li> <li>you were going to bring back to us or clarify, so I</li> <li>think having a little time to do that could be</li> <li>helpful for everybody. Then we can discuss putting</li> <li>this on the long range calendar for later this fall.</li> </ul>	63	<ol> <li>As we discussed at the last meeting, the,</li> <li>I would say, concept of all of this was to speak to</li> <li>the rumors that are out there, I would say, and try</li> <li>and address some of these. And also, I think the</li> <li>intent is to address some of the operational ideas.</li> <li>We did talk about that as well. I think I threw out</li> <li>the snowplow idea, and given that it's in the middle</li> <li>of the summer, I just said we can wait on the</li> </ol>	1
2 3 4 5 7 8 9	<ul> <li>the presentation, kind of started to have those</li> <li>conversations.</li> <li>It sounds like you do have a couple things</li> <li>you were going to bring back to us or clarify, so I</li> <li>think having a little time to do that could be</li> <li>helpful for everybody. Then we can discuss putting</li> <li>this on the long range calendar for later this fall.</li> <li>MR. UNDERWOOD: Thank you for the</li> <li>direction. I can work with Trustee Tulloch on some</li> </ul>	63	<ol> <li>As we discussed at the last meeting, the,</li> <li>I would say, concept of all of this was to speak to</li> <li>the rumors that are out there, I would say, and try</li> <li>and address some of these. And also, I think the</li> <li>intent is to address some of the operational ideas.</li> <li>We did talk about that as well. I think I threw out</li> <li>the snowplow idea, and given that it's in the middle</li> <li>of the summer, I just said we can wait on the</li> <li>snowplow idea for a few months.</li> </ol>	1
22 33 44 55 66 77 8 8 9 9 9	<ul> <li>the presentation, kind of started to have those</li> <li>conversations.</li> <li>It sounds like you do have a couple things</li> <li>you were going to bring back to us or clarify, so I</li> <li>think having a little time to do that could be</li> <li>helpful for everybody. Then we can discuss putting</li> <li>this on the long range calendar for later this fall.</li> <li>MR. UNDERWOOD: Thank you for the</li> <li>direction. I can work with Trustee Tulloch on some</li> <li>timing and so forth going forward.</li> </ul>	63	<ol> <li>As we discussed at the last meeting, the,</li> <li>I would say, concept of all of this was to speak to</li> <li>the rumors that are out there, I would say, and try</li> <li>and address some of these. And also, I think the</li> <li>intent is to address some of the operational ideas.</li> <li>We did talk about that as well. I think I threw out</li> <li>the snowplow idea, and given that it's in the middle</li> <li>of the summer, I just said we can wait on the</li> <li>snowplow idea for a few months.</li> <li>But some of these, I think, are really</li> </ol>	1
2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 1 1 1	<ul> <li>the presentation, kind of started to have those</li> <li>conversations.</li> <li>It sounds like you do have a couple things</li> <li>you were going to bring back to us or clarify, so I</li> <li>think having a little time to do that could be</li> <li>helpful for everybody. Then we can discuss putting</li> <li>this on the long range calendar for later this fall.</li> <li>MR. UNDERWOOD: Thank you for the</li> <li>direction. I can work with Trustee Tulloch on some</li> <li>timing and so forth going forward.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this,</li> </ul>	63	<ol> <li>As we discussed at the last meeting, the,</li> <li>I would say, concept of all of this was to speak to</li> <li>the rumors that are out there, I would say, and try</li> <li>and address some of these. And also, I think the</li> <li>intent is to address some of the operational ideas.</li> <li>We did talk about that as well. I think I threw out</li> <li>the snowplow idea, and given that it's in the middle</li> <li>of the summer, I just said we can wait on the</li> <li>snowplow idea for a few months.</li> <li>But some of these, I think, are really</li> <li>important to address. They've been around for many</li> </ol>	1
2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 10 11 1	<ul> <li>the presentation, kind of started to have those</li> <li>conversations.</li> <li>It sounds like you do have a couple things</li> <li>you were going to bring back to us or clarify, so I</li> <li>think having a little time to do that could be</li> <li>helpful for everybody. Then we can discuss putting</li> <li>this on the long range calendar for later this fall.</li> <li>MR. UNDERWOOD: Thank you for the</li> <li>direction. I can work with Trustee Tulloch on some</li> <li>timing and so forth going forward.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this,</li> <li>guys? Nope. Thank you.</li> </ul>	63	<ol> <li>As we discussed at the last meeting, the,</li> <li>I would say, concept of all of this was to speak to</li> <li>the rumors that are out there, I would say, and try</li> <li>and address some of these. And also, I think the</li> <li>intent is to address some of the operational ideas.</li> <li>We did talk about that as well. I think I threw out</li> <li>the snowplow idea, and given that it's in the middle</li> <li>of the summer, I just said we can wait on the</li> <li>snowplow idea for a few months.</li> <li>But some of these, I think, are really</li> <li>important to address. They've been around for many</li> <li>months, if not almost years, and I think it's</li> </ol>	1
22 33 44 56 66 77 78 89 99 10 11 11 11	<ul> <li>the presentation, kind of started to have those</li> <li>conversations.</li> <li>It sounds like you do have a couple things</li> <li>you were going to bring back to us or clarify, so I</li> <li>think having a little time to do that could be</li> <li>helpful for everybody. Then we can discuss putting</li> <li>this on the long range calendar for later this fall.</li> <li>MR. UNDERWOOD: Thank you for the</li> <li>direction. I can work with Trustee Tulloch on some</li> <li>timing and so forth going forward.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this,</li> <li>guys? Nope. Thank you.</li> </ul>	63	<ol> <li>As we discussed at the last meeting, the,</li> <li>I would say, concept of all of this was to speak to</li> <li>the rumors that are out there, I would say, and try</li> <li>and address some of these. And also, I think the</li> <li>intent is to address some of the operational ideas.</li> <li>We did talk about that as well. I think I threw out</li> <li>the snowplow idea, and given that it's in the middle</li> <li>of the summer, I just said we can wait on the</li> <li>snowplow idea for a few months.</li> <li>But some of these, I think, are really</li> <li>important to address. They've been around for many</li> <li>months, if not almost years, and I think it's</li> </ol>	1
22 33 44 55 66 77 78 89 99 10 11 11 11 11 11	<ul> <li>the presentation, kind of started to have those</li> <li>conversations.</li> <li>It sounds like you do have a couple things</li> <li>you were going to bring back to us or clarify, so I</li> <li>think having a little time to do that could be</li> <li>helpful for everybody. Then we can discuss putting</li> <li>this on the long range calendar for later this fall.</li> <li>MR. UNDERWOOD: Thank you for the</li> <li>direction. I can work with Trustee Tulloch on some</li> <li>timing and so forth going forward.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this,</li> <li>guys? Nope. Thank you.</li> <li>How about we take let's take a</li> <li>seven-minute break. We'll come back at 7:25.</li> </ul>	63	<ol> <li>As we discussed at the last meeting, the,</li> <li>I would say, concept of all of this was to speak to</li> <li>the rumors that are out there, I would say, and try</li> <li>and address some of these. And also, I think the</li> <li>intent is to address some of the operational ideas.</li> <li>We did talk about that as well. I think I threw out</li> <li>the snowplow idea, and given that it's in the middle</li> <li>of the summer, I just said we can wait on the</li> <li>snowplow idea for a few months.</li> <li>But some of these, I think, are really</li> <li>important to address. They've been around for many</li> <li>months, if not almost years, and I think it's</li> <li>important for us to work through each one. But also</li> <li>for the individual trustees Trustee Tulloch, you</li> </ol>	1
22 33 44 55 66 77 88 89 91 11 11 11 11 11 14 14	<ul> <li>the presentation, kind of started to have those</li> <li>conversations.</li> <li>It sounds like you do have a couple things</li> <li>you were going to bring back to us or clarify, so I</li> <li>think having a little time to do that could be</li> <li>helpful for everybody. Then we can discuss putting</li> <li>this on the long range calendar for later this fall.</li> <li>MR. UNDERWOOD: Thank you for the</li> <li>direction. I can work with Trustee Tulloch on some</li> <li>timing and so forth going forward.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this,</li> <li>guys? Nope. Thank you.</li> <li>How about we take let's take a</li> <li>seven-minute break. We'll come back at 7:25.</li> <li>(Recess from 7:18 to 7:28 P.M.)</li> </ul>	63	<ol> <li>As we discussed at the last meeting, the,</li> <li>I would say, concept of all of this was to speak to</li> <li>the rumors that are out there, I would say, and try</li> <li>and address some of these. And also, I think the</li> <li>intent is to address some of the operational ideas.</li> <li>We did talk about that as well. I think I threw out</li> <li>the snowplow idea, and given that it's in the middle</li> <li>of the summer, I just said we can wait on the</li> <li>snowplow idea for a few months.</li> <li>But some of these, I think, are really</li> <li>important to address. They've been around for many</li> <li>months, if not almost years, and I think it's</li> <li>important for us to work through each one. But also</li> <li>for the individual trustees Trustee Tulloch, you</li> <li>sent me a text with an idea of, hey, this would be</li> </ol>	1
22 33 44 55 66 77 8 9 9 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	<ul> <li>the presentation, kind of started to have those</li> <li>conversations.</li> <li>It sounds like you do have a couple things</li> <li>you were going to bring back to us or clarify, so I</li> <li>think having a little time to do that could be</li> <li>helpful for everybody. Then we can discuss putting</li> <li>this on the long range calendar for later this fall.</li> <li>MR. UNDERWOOD: Thank you for the</li> <li>direction. I can work with Trustee Tulloch on some</li> <li>timing and so forth going forward.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this,</li> <li>guys? Nope. Thank you.</li> <li>How about we take let's take a</li> <li>seven-minute break. We'll come back at 7:25.</li> <li>(Recess from 7:18 to 7:28 P.M.)</li> </ul>	63	<ol> <li>As we discussed at the last meeting, the,</li> <li>I would say, concept of all of this was to speak to</li> <li>the rumors that are out there, I would say, and try</li> <li>and address some of these. And also, I think the</li> <li>intent is to address some of the operational ideas.</li> <li>We did talk about that as well. I think I threw out</li> <li>the snowplow idea, and given that it's in the middle</li> <li>of the summer, I just said we can wait on the</li> <li>snowplow idea for a few months.</li> <li>But some of these. I think, are really</li> <li>important to address. They've been around for many</li> <li>months, if not almost years, and I think it's</li> <li>important for us to work through each one. But also</li> <li>for the individual trustees Trustee Tulloch, you</li> <li>sent me a text with an idea of, hey, this would be</li> <li>a I heard this rumor, this would be a good thing</li> </ol>	1
22 33 44 55 66 77 8 9 9 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	<ul> <li>the presentation, kind of started to have those</li> <li>conversations.</li> <li>It sounds like you do have a couple things</li> <li>you were going to bring back to us or clarify, so I</li> <li>think having a little time to do that could be</li> <li>helpful for everybody. Then we can discuss putting</li> <li>this on the long range calendar for later this fall.</li> <li>MR. UNDERWOOD: Thank you for the</li> <li>direction. I can work with Trustee Tulloch on some</li> <li>timing and so forth going forward.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this,</li> <li>guys? Nope. Thank you.</li> <li>How about we take let's take a</li> <li>seven-minute break. We'll come back at 7:25.</li> <li>(Recess from 7:18 to 7:28 P.M.)</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: All right. Welcome back.</li> <li>We're moving on to item G 3, formerly G 2.</li> </ul>	63	<ol> <li>As we discussed at the last meeting, the,</li> <li>I would say, concept of all of this was to speak to</li> <li>the rumors that are out there, I would say, and try</li> <li>and address some of these. And also, I think the</li> <li>intent is to address some of the operational ideas.</li> <li>We did talk about that as well. I think I threw out</li> <li>the snowplow idea, and given that it's in the middle</li> <li>of the summer, I just said we can wait on the</li> <li>snowplow idea for a few months.</li> <li>But some of these, I think, are really</li> <li>important to address. They've been around for many</li> <li>months, if not almost years, and I think it's</li> <li>important for us to work through each one. But also</li> <li>for the individual trustees Trustee Tulloch, you</li> <li>sent me a text with an idea of, hey, this would be</li> <li>a I heard this rumor, this would be a good thing</li> </ol>	1
22 33 44 55 67 77 88 9 9 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	<ul> <li>the presentation, kind of started to have those</li> <li>conversations.</li> <li>It sounds like you do have a couple things</li> <li>you were going to bring back to us or clarify, so I</li> <li>think having a little time to do that could be</li> <li>helpful for everybody. Then we can discuss putting</li> <li>this on the long range calendar for later this fall.</li> <li>MR. UNDERWOOD: Thank you for the</li> <li>direction. I can work with Trustee Tulloch on some</li> <li>timing and so forth going forward.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this,</li> <li>guys? Nope. Thank you.</li> <li>How about we take let's take a</li> <li>seven-minute break. We'll come back at 7:25.</li> <li>(Recess from 7:18 to 7:28 P.M.)</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: All right. Welcome back.</li> <li>We're moving on to item G 3, formerly G 2.</li> <li>G 3.</li> </ul>	63	1As we discussed at the last meeting, the,2I would say, concept of all of this was to speak to3the rumors that are out there, I would say, and try4and address some of these. And also, I think the5intent is to address some of the operational ideas.6We did talk about that as well. I think I threw out7the snowplow idea, and given that it's in the middle8of the summer, I just said we can wait on the9snowplow idea for a few months.10But some of these, I think, are really11important to address. They've been around for many12months, if not almost years, and I think it's13important for us to work through each one. But also14for the individual trustees Trustee Tulloch, you15sent me a text with an idea of, hey, this would be16a I heard this rumor, this would be a good thing17for us to discuss. Trustee Tonking, same thing, put18forward some of these or says she has some	1
22 33 4 5 6 7 7 7 8 8 9 9 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	<ul> <li>the presentation, kind of started to have those</li> <li>conversations.</li> <li>It sounds like you do have a couple things</li> <li>you were going to bring back to us or clarify, so I</li> <li>think having a little time to do that could be</li> <li>helpful for everybody. Then we can discuss putting</li> <li>this on the long range calendar for later this fall.</li> <li>MR. UNDERWOOD: Thank you for the</li> <li>direction. I can work with Trustee Tulloch on some</li> <li>timing and so forth going forward.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this,</li> <li>guys? Nope. Thank you.</li> <li>How about we take let's take a</li> <li>seven-minute break. We'll come back at 7:25.</li> <li>(Recess from 7:18 to 7:28 P.M.)</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: All right. Welcome back.</li> <li>We're moving on to item G 3, formerly G 2.</li> <li>G 3.</li> </ul>	63	<ol> <li>As we discussed at the last meeting, the,</li> <li>I would say, concept of all of this was to speak to</li> <li>the rumors that are out there, I would say, and try</li> <li>and address some of these. And also, I think the</li> <li>intent is to address some of the operational ideas.</li> <li>We did talk about that as well. I think I threw out</li> <li>the snowplow idea, and given that it's in the middle</li> <li>of the summer, I just said we can wait on the</li> <li>snowplow idea for a few months.</li> <li>But some of these, I think, are really</li> <li>important to address. They've been around for many</li> <li>months, if not almost years, and I think it's</li> <li>important for us to work through each one. But also</li> <li>for the individual trustees Trustee Tulloch, you</li> <li>sent me a text with an idea of, hey, this would be</li> <li>a I heard this rumor, this would be a good thing</li> <li>for us to discuss. Trustee Tonking, same thing, put</li> <li>forward some of these or says she has some</li> <li>questions, rumors we should answer.</li> </ol>	1
22 33 4 5 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	<ul> <li>the presentation, kind of started to have those conversations.</li> <li>It sounds like you do have a couple things you were going to bring back to us or clarify, so I</li> <li>think having a little time to do that could be</li> <li>helpful for everybody. Then we can discuss putting</li> <li>this on the long range calendar for later this fall.</li> <li>MR. UNDERWOOD: Thank you for the</li> <li>direction. I can work with Trustee Tulloch on some</li> <li>timing and so forth going forward.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this,</li> <li>guys? Nope. Thank you.</li> <li>How about we take let's take a</li> <li>seven-minute break. We'll come back at 7:25.</li> <li>(Recess from 7:18 to 7:28 P.M.)</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: All right. Welcome back.</li> <li>We're moving on to item G 3, formerly G 2.</li> <li>G 3.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Review, discuss, and provide</li> </ul>	63	1As we discussed at the last meeting, the,2I would say, concept of all of this was to speak to3the rumors that are out there, I would say, and try4and address some of these. And also, I think the5intent is to address some of the operational ideas.6We did talk about that as well. I think I threw out7the snowplow idea, and given that it's in the middle8of the summer, I just said we can wait on the9snowplow idea for a few months.10But some of these, I think, are really11important to address. They've been around for many12months, if not almost years, and I think it's13important for us to work through each one. But also14for the individual trustees Trustee Tulloch, you15sent me a text with an idea of, hey, this would be16a I heard this rumor, this would be a good thing17for us to discuss. Trustee Tonking, same thing, put18forward some of these or says she has some19questions, rumors we should answer.20I think using this as a starting point to	1
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 9\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\$	<ul> <li>the presentation, kind of started to have those</li> <li>conversations.</li> <li>It sounds like you do have a couple things</li> <li>you were going to bring back to us or clarify, so I</li> <li>think having a little time to do that could be</li> <li>helpful for everybody. Then we can discuss putting</li> <li>this on the long range calendar for later this fall.</li> <li>MR. UNDERWOOD: Thank you for the</li> <li>direction. I can work with Trustee Tulloch on some</li> <li>timing and so forth going forward.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this,</li> <li>guys? Nope. Thank you.</li> <li>How about we take let's take a</li> <li>seven-minute break. We'll come back at 7:25.</li> <li>(Recess from 7:18 to 7:28 P.M.)</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: All right. Welcome back.</li> <li>We're moving on to item G 3, formerly G 2.</li> <li>G 3.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Review, discuss, and provide</li> <li>feedback regarding Board of Trustees frequently</li> </ul>	63	1As we discussed at the last meeting, the,2I would say, concept of all of this was to speak to3the rumors that are out there, I would say, and try4and address some of these. And also, I think the5intent is to address some of the operational ideas.6We did talk about that as well. I think I threw out7the snowplow idea, and given that it's in the middle8of the summer, I just said we can wait on the9snowplow idea for a few months.10But some of these, I think, are really11important to address. They've been around for many12months, if not almost years, and I think it's13important for us to work through each one. But also14for the individual trustees Trustee Tulloch, you15sent me a text with an idea of, hey, this would be16a I heard this rumor, this would be a good thing17for us to discuss. Trustee Tonking, same thing, put18forward some of these or says she has some19questions, rumors we should answer.20I think using this as a starting point to21work through that process, I took a stab at this.	1
22 33 4 5 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	<ul> <li>the presentation, kind of started to have those</li> <li>conversations.</li> <li>It sounds like you do have a couple things</li> <li>you were going to bring back to us or clarify, so I</li> <li>think having a little time to do that could be</li> <li>helpful for everybody. Then we can discuss putting</li> <li>this on the long range calendar for later this fall.</li> <li>MR. UNDERWOOD: Thank you for the</li> <li>direction. I can work with Trustee Tulloch on some</li> <li>timing and so forth going forward.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this,</li> <li>guys? Nope. Thank you.</li> <li>How about we take let's take a</li> <li>seven-minute break. We'll come back at 7:25.</li> <li>(Recess from 7:18 to 7:28 P.M.)</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: All right. Welcome back.</li> <li>We're moving on to item G 3, formerly G 2.</li> <li>G 3.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Review, discuss, and provide</li> <li>feedback regarding Board of Trustees frequently</li> <li>asked questions to be shared with the community on</li> <li>the District website. Requesting trustee</li> <li>Chairman Matthew Dent. Can be found on pages 987</li> </ul>	63	1As we discussed at the last meeting, the,2I would say, concept of all of this was to speak to3the rumors that are out there, I would say, and try4and address some of these. And also, I think the5intent is to address some of the operational ideas.6We did talk about that as well. I think I threw out7the snowplow idea, and given that it's in the middle8of the summer, I just said we can wait on the9snowplow idea for a few months.10But some of these, I think, are really11important to address. They've been around for many12months, if not almost years, and I think it's13important for us to work through each one. But also14for the individual trustees Trustee Tulloch, you15sent me a text with an idea of, hey, this would be16a I heard this rumor, this would be a good thing17for us to discuss. Trustee Tonking, same thing, put18forward some of these or says she has some19questions, rumors we should answer.20I think using this as a starting point to21work through that process, I took a stab at this.22Are these perfect? Absolutely not. Could we23improve them? Absolutely, and that is why we're24having this discussion tonight. We can't do this	1
22 33 4 5 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	<ul> <li>the presentation, kind of started to have those</li> <li>conversations.</li> <li>It sounds like you do have a couple things</li> <li>you were going to bring back to us or clarify, so I</li> <li>think having a little time to do that could be</li> <li>helpful for everybody. Then we can discuss putting</li> <li>this on the long range calendar for later this fall.</li> <li>MR. UNDERWOOD: Thank you for the</li> <li>direction. I can work with Trustee Tulloch on some</li> <li>timing and so forth going forward.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this,</li> <li>guys? Nope. Thank you.</li> <li>How about we take let's take a</li> <li>seven-minute break. We'll come back at 7:25.</li> <li>(Recess from 7:18 to 7:28 P.M.)</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: All right. Welcome back.</li> <li>We're moving on to item G 3, formerly G 2.</li> <li>G 3.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Review, discuss, and provide</li> <li>feedback regarding Board of Trustees frequently</li> <li>asked questions to be shared with the community on</li> <li>the District website. Requesting trustee</li> </ul>	63	1As we discussed at the last meeting, the,2I would say, concept of all of this was to speak to3the rumors that are out there, I would say, and try4and address some of these. And also, I think the5intent is to address some of the operational ideas.6We did talk about that as well. I think I threw out7the snowplow idea, and given that it's in the middle8of the summer, I just said we can wait on the9snowplow idea for a few months.10But some of these, I think, are really11important to address. They've been around for many12months, if not almost years, and I think it's13important for us to work through each one. But also14for the individual trustees Trustee Tulloch, you15sent me a text with an idea of, hey, this would be16a I heard this rumor, this would be a good thing17for us to discuss. Trustee Tonking, same thing, put18forward some of these or says she has some19questions, rumors we should answer.20I think using this as a starting point to21work through that process, I took a stab at this.22Are these perfect? Absolutely not. Could we23improve them? Absolutely, and that is why we're	1
22 33 4 5 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	<ul> <li>the presentation, kind of started to have those</li> <li>conversations.</li> <li>It sounds like you do have a couple things</li> <li>you were going to bring back to us or clarify, so I</li> <li>think having a little time to do that could be</li> <li>helpful for everybody. Then we can discuss putting</li> <li>this on the long range calendar for later this fall.</li> <li>MR. UNDERWOOD: Thank you for the</li> <li>direction. I can work with Trustee Tulloch on some</li> <li>timing and so forth going forward.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this,</li> <li>guys? Nope. Thank you.</li> <li>How about we take let's take a</li> <li>seven-minute break. We'll come back at 7:25.</li> <li>(Recess from 7:18 to 7:28 P.M.)</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: All right. Welcome back.</li> <li>We're moving on to item G 3, formerly G 2.</li> <li>G 3.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Review, discuss, and provide</li> <li>feedback regarding Board of Trustees frequently</li> <li>asked questions to be shared with the community on</li> <li>the District website. Requesting trustee</li> <li>Chairman Matthew Dent. Can be found on pages 987</li> </ul>	63	1As we discussed at the last meeting, the,2I would say, concept of all of this was to speak to3the rumors that are out there, I would say, and try4and address some of these. And also, I think the5intent is to address some of the operational ideas.6We did talk about that as well. I think I threw out7the snowplow idea, and given that it's in the middle8of the summer, I just said we can wait on the9snowplow idea for a few months.10But some of these, I think, are really11important to address. They've been around for many12months, if not almost years, and I think it's13important for us to work through each one. But also14for the individual trustees Trustee Tulloch, you15sent me a text with an idea of, hey, this would be16a I heard this rumor, this would be a good thing17for us to discuss. Trustee Tonking, same thing, put18forward some of these or says she has some19questions, rumors we should answer.20I think using this as a starting point to21work through that process, I took a stab at this.22Are these perfect? Absolutely not. Could we23improve them? Absolutely, and that is why we're24having this discussion tonight. We can't do this	1

65	
1 to have that discussion.	1 This idea was born from me when I was
2 Rather than just say, hey, what's everyone	2 asked to speak at a community member's house, and
3 think about this, I just want to run through each	3 started kind of jotting down, well, that's a good
4 and every item, then we can tweak them as we go or	4 question, don't know where you're coming up with
5 decide to table it for the next meeting. There is	5 that, but let's try and address it.
6 one that I want to table for the next meeting, and	6 I'll just start with the first one. One
7 it just has to do with a report to the Board at the	7 thing I want to note on here for each one of these
8 next meeting. So when we get to, let's say, item	8 items, it says "question." I don't want it to say
9 11, regarding the hostile work environment, we will	9 "question," I want it to say "rumor." That's what I
10 just hold off on that one. Then after we have a	10 had when I originally submitted the board packet, so
11 report from the Board, then we can put our FAQ up	11 that's what I would prefer it to be. Not all of
12 there.	12 these are a question.
13 This is nothing more than good governance	13 The first one, I changed the first one,
14 as far as addressing some of these ideas that are	14 I'll just say that. Rather than addressing each of
15 out there that aren't true. In most cities and	15 the, like, say, rather than using Trustee Tonking's
16 organizations, you have a PIO officer that is	16 name in this, I would rather say, "Are there
17 actively out there addressing this stuff. Incline	17 trustees that do not live in Incline Village?" I'd
18 Village, we don't have that. And I don't think it's	18 rather say something like that, rather than be
19 fair for us, as individual trustees, to be out there	19 pointed and actually name an individual trustee.
20 trying to fight any of this or get involved with	20 I first publicly addressed this, I want to
21 what's going on in social media or some of these	21 say three or four months ago, and we did it in a
22 public comments that come forward. That's where I	22 meeting. We've heard the rumor since, so I feel
23 would say these come from, social media and public	23 like it's important. And I would just say this
24 comment and going to forums where we're asked to	24 claim is false. All trustees live here. Some
25 speak and community members ask you a question.	25 travel for work slash vacation. And the Chair's
1 been informed as to when folks will be attending	1 TRUSTEE NOBLE: Lwould think I
1 been informed as to when folks will be attending	1 TRUSTEE NOBLE: I would think I 2 wouldn't do it so much as addressing rumors, but
<ol> <li>been informed as to when folks will be attending</li> <li>remotely.</li> </ol>	2 wouldn't do it so much as addressing rumors, but
<ol> <li>been informed as to when folks will be attending</li> <li>remotely.</li> <li>Does anyone have any issues with those</li> </ol>	<ul><li>2 wouldn't do it so much as addressing rumors, but</li><li>3 what are the facts? Must a trustee live in Incline</li></ul>
<ol> <li>been informed as to when folks will be attending</li> <li>remotely.</li> <li>Does anyone have any issues with those</li> <li>changes?</li> </ol>	<ul><li>2 wouldn't do it so much as addressing rumors, but</li><li>3 what are the facts? Must a trustee live in Incline</li><li>4 Village in order to be on the Board of Trustees?</li></ul>
<ol> <li>been informed as to when folks will be attending</li> <li>remotely.</li> <li>Does anyone have any issues with those</li> <li>changes?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Yeah. I would think if</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 wouldn't do it so much as addressing rumors, but</li> <li>3 what are the facts? Must a trustee live in Incline</li> <li>4 Village in order to be on the Board of Trustees?</li> <li>5 And the answer is yes.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>been informed as to when folks will be attending</li> <li>remotely.</li> <li>Does anyone have any issues with those</li> <li>changes?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Yeah. I would think if</li> <li>you're going to include that in there and, first</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 wouldn't do it so much as addressing rumors, but</li> <li>3 what are the facts? Must a trustee live in Incline</li> <li>4 Village in order to be on the Board of Trustees?</li> <li>5 And the answer is yes.</li> <li>6 So, I just don't want to get into the</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>been informed as to when folks will be attending</li> <li>remotely.</li> <li>Does anyone have any issues with those</li> <li>changes?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Yeah. I would think if</li> <li>you're going to include that in there and, first</li> <li>off, going back to my comments from the last meeting</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 wouldn't do it so much as addressing rumors, but</li> <li>3 what are the facts? Must a trustee live in Incline</li> <li>4 Village in order to be on the Board of Trustees?</li> <li>5 And the answer is yes.</li> <li>6 So, I just don't want to get into the</li> <li>7 rumor mill.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>been informed as to when folks will be attending</li> <li>remotely.</li> <li>Does anyone have any issues with those</li> <li>changes?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Yeah. I would think if</li> <li>you're going to include that in there and, first</li> <li>off, going back to my comments from the last meeting</li> <li>where I thought we black a white stuff, real</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 wouldn't do it so much as addressing rumors, but</li> <li>3 what are the facts? Must a trustee live in Incline</li> <li>4 Village in order to be on the Board of Trustees?</li> <li>5 And the answer is yes.</li> <li>6 So, I just don't want to get into the</li> <li>7 rumor mill.</li> <li>8 CHAIR DENT: Why?</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>been informed as to when folks will be attending</li> <li>remotely.</li> <li>Does anyone have any issues with those</li> <li>changes?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Yeah. I would think if</li> <li>you're going to include that in there and, first</li> <li>off, going back to my comments from the last meeting</li> <li>where I thought we black a white stuff, real</li> <li>easy, facts and figures dealing with the District's</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 wouldn't do it so much as addressing rumors, but</li> <li>3 what are the facts? Must a trustee live in Incline</li> <li>4 Village in order to be on the Board of Trustees?</li> <li>5 And the answer is yes.</li> <li>6 So, I just don't want to get into the</li> <li>7 rumor mill.</li> <li>8 CHAIR DENT: Why?</li> <li>9 TRUSTEE NOBLE: Well, actually no.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>been informed as to when folks will be attending</li> <li>remotely.</li> <li>Does anyone have any issues with those</li> <li>changes?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Yeah. I would think if</li> <li>you're going to include that in there and, first</li> <li>off, going back to my comments from the last meeting</li> <li>where I thought we black a white stuff, real</li> <li>easy, facts and figures dealing with the District's</li> <li>operations. And I didn't say the District's</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 wouldn't do it so much as addressing rumors, but</li> <li>3 what are the facts? Must a trustee live in Incline</li> <li>4 Village in order to be on the Board of Trustees?</li> <li>5 And the answer is yes.</li> <li>6 So, I just don't want to get into the</li> <li>7 rumor mill.</li> <li>8 CHAIR DENT: Why?</li> <li>9 TRUSTEE NOBLE: Well, actually no.</li> <li>10 Because some of the fact and figures deal with</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>been informed as to when folks will be attending</li> <li>remotely.</li> <li>Does anyone have any issues with those</li> <li>changes?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Yeah. I would think if</li> <li>you're going to include that in there and, first</li> <li>off, going back to my comments from the last meeting</li> <li>where I thought we black a white stuff, real</li> <li>easy, facts and figures dealing with the District's</li> <li>operations. And I didn't say the District's</li> <li>operations, but that's where I have landed on.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 wouldn't do it so much as addressing rumors, but</li> <li>3 what are the facts? Must a trustee live in Incline</li> <li>4 Village in order to be on the Board of Trustees?</li> <li>5 And the answer is yes.</li> <li>6 So, I just don't want to get into the</li> <li>7 rumor mill.</li> <li>8 CHAIR DENT: Why?</li> <li>9 TRUSTEE NOBLE: Well, actually no.</li> <li>10 Because some of the fact and figures deal with</li> <li>11 rumors, so I take that back. But I think keeping it</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>been informed as to when folks will be attending</li> <li>remotely.</li> <li>Does anyone have any issues with those</li> <li>changes?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Yeah. I would think if</li> <li>you're going to include that in there and, first</li> <li>off, going back to my comments from the last meeting</li> <li>where I thought we black a white stuff, real</li> <li>easy, facts and figures dealing with the District's</li> <li>operations. And I didn't say the District's</li> <li>operations, but that's where I have landed on.</li> <li>I don't know if we need to put that in</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 wouldn't do it so much as addressing rumors, but</li> <li>3 what are the facts? Must a trustee live in Incline</li> <li>4 Village in order to be on the Board of Trustees?</li> <li>5 And the answer is yes.</li> <li>6 So, I just don't want to get into the</li> <li>7 rumor mill.</li> <li>8 CHAIR DENT: Why?</li> <li>9 TRUSTEE NOBLE: Well, actually no.</li> <li>10 Because some of the fact and figures deal with</li> <li>11 rumors, so I take that back. But I think keeping it</li> <li>12 black and white, and there's no if ands or buts.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>been informed as to when folks will be attending</li> <li>remotely.</li> <li>Does anyone have any issues with those</li> <li>changes?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Yeah. I would think if</li> <li>you're going to include that in there and, first</li> <li>off, going back to my comments from the last meeting</li> <li>where I thought we black a white stuff, real</li> <li>easy, facts and figures dealing with the District's</li> <li>operations, but that's where I have landed on.</li> <li>I don't know if we need to put that in</li> <li>there. If you want to put something there, it could</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 wouldn't do it so much as addressing rumors, but</li> <li>3 what are the facts? Must a trustee live in Incline</li> <li>4 Village in order to be on the Board of Trustees?</li> <li>5 And the answer is yes.</li> <li>6 So, I just don't want to get into the</li> <li>7 rumor mill.</li> <li>8 CHAIR DENT: Why?</li> <li>9 TRUSTEE NOBLE: Well, actually no.</li> <li>10 Because some of the fact and figures deal with</li> <li>11 rumors, so I take that back. But I think keeping it</li> <li>12 black and white, and there's no if ands or buts.</li> <li>13 You must live in the community or you can't be on</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>been informed as to when folks will be attending</li> <li>remotely.</li> <li>Does anyone have any issues with those</li> <li>changes?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Yeah. I would think if</li> <li>you're going to include that in there and, first</li> <li>off, going back to my comments from the last meeting</li> <li>where I thought we black a white stuff, real</li> <li>easy, facts and figures dealing with the District's</li> <li>operations. And I didn't say the District's</li> <li>operations, but that's where I have landed on.</li> <li>I don't know if we need to put that in</li> <li>there. If you want to put something there, it could</li> <li>be along the lines of a trustee must reside in</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 wouldn't do it so much as addressing rumors, but</li> <li>3 what are the facts? Must a trustee live in Incline</li> <li>4 Village in order to be on the Board of Trustees?</li> <li>5 And the answer is yes.</li> <li>6 So, I just don't want to get into the</li> <li>7 rumor mill.</li> <li>8 CHAIR DENT: Why?</li> <li>9 TRUSTEE NOBLE: Well, actually no.</li> <li>10 Because some of the fact and figures deal with</li> <li>11 rumors, so I take that back. But I think keeping it</li> <li>12 black and white, and there's no if ands or buts.</li> <li>13 You must live in the community or you can't be on</li> <li>14 the Board.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>been informed as to when folks will be attending</li> <li>remotely.</li> <li>Does anyone have any issues with those</li> <li>changes?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Yeah. I would think if</li> <li>you're going to include that in there and, first</li> <li>off, going back to my comments from the last meeting</li> <li>where I thought we black a white stuff, real</li> <li>easy, facts and figures dealing with the District's</li> <li>operations. And I didn't say the District's</li> <li>operations, but that's where I have landed on.</li> <li>I don't know if we need to put that in</li> <li>there. If you want to put something there, it could</li> <li>be along the lines of a trustee must reside in</li> <li>Incline Village to be on the Board of Trustees.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>wouldn't do it so much as addressing rumors, but</li> <li>what are the facts? Must a trustee live in Incline</li> <li>Village in order to be on the Board of Trustees?</li> <li>And the answer is yes.</li> <li>So, I just don't want to get into the</li> <li>rumor mill.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Why?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Well, actually no.</li> <li>Because some of the fact and figures deal with</li> <li>rumors, so I take that back. But I think keeping it</li> <li>black and white, and there's no if ands or buts.</li> <li>You must live in the community or you can't be on</li> <li>the Board.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Well, here's the</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>been informed as to when folks will be attending</li> <li>remotely.</li> <li>Does anyone have any issues with those</li> <li>changes?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Yeah. I would think if</li> <li>you're going to include that in there and, first</li> <li>off, going back to my comments from the last meeting</li> <li>where I thought we black a white stuff, real</li> <li>easy, facts and figures dealing with the District's</li> <li>operations, but that's where I have landed on.</li> <li>I don't know if we need to put that in</li> <li>there. If you want to put something there, it could</li> <li>be along the lines of a trustee must reside in</li> <li>Incline Village to be on the Board of Trustees.</li> <li>Something like that. And that's a requirement.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 wouldn't do it so much as addressing rumors, but</li> <li>3 what are the facts? Must a trustee live in Incline</li> <li>4 Village in order to be on the Board of Trustees?</li> <li>5 And the answer is yes.</li> <li>6 So, I just don't want to get into the</li> <li>7 rumor mill.</li> <li>8 CHAIR DENT: Why?</li> <li>9 TRUSTEE NOBLE: Well, actually no.</li> <li>10 Because some of the fact and figures deal with</li> <li>11 rumors, so I take that back. But I think keeping it</li> <li>12 black and white, and there's no if ands or buts.</li> <li>13 You must live in the community or you can't be on</li> <li>14 the Board.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>been informed as to when folks will be attending</li> <li>remotely.</li> <li>Does anyone have any issues with those</li> <li>changes?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Yeah. I would think if</li> <li>you're going to include that in there and, first</li> <li>off, going back to my comments from the last meeting</li> <li>where I thought we black a white stuff, real</li> <li>easy, facts and figures dealing with the District's</li> <li>operations, but that's where I have landed on.</li> <li>I don't know if we need to put that in</li> <li>there. If you want to put something there, it could</li> <li>be along the lines of a trustee must reside in</li> <li>Incline Village to be on the Board of Trustees.</li> <li>Something like that. And that's a requirement.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>wouldn't do it so much as addressing rumors, but</li> <li>what are the facts? Must a trustee live in Incline</li> <li>Village in order to be on the Board of Trustees?</li> <li>And the answer is yes.</li> <li>So, I just don't want to get into the</li> <li>rumor mill.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Why?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Well, actually no.</li> <li>Because some of the fact and figures deal with</li> <li>rumors, so I take that back. But I think keeping it</li> <li>black and white, and there's no if ands or buts.</li> <li>You must live in the community or you can't be on</li> <li>the Board.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Well, here's the</li> <li>reason why I think it's important to add a little</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>been informed as to when folks will be attending</li> <li>remotely.</li> <li>Does anyone have any issues with those</li> <li>changes?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Yeah. I would think if</li> <li>you're going to include that in there and, first</li> <li>off, going back to my comments from the last meeting</li> <li>where I thought we black a white stuff, real</li> <li>easy, facts and figures dealing with the District's</li> <li>operations. And I didn't say the District's</li> <li>operations, but that's where I have landed on.</li> <li>I don't know if we need to put that in</li> <li>there. If you want to put something there, it could</li> <li>be along the lines of a trustee must reside in</li> <li>Incline Village to be on the Board of Trustees.</li> <li>Something like that. And that's a requirement.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: As far as a response?</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>wouldn't do it so much as addressing rumors, but</li> <li>what are the facts? Must a trustee live in Incline</li> <li>Village in order to be on the Board of Trustees?</li> <li>And the answer is yes.</li> <li>So, I just don't want to get into the</li> <li>rumor mill.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Why?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Well, actually no.</li> <li>Because some of the fact and figures deal with</li> <li>rumors, so I take that back. But I think keeping it</li> <li>black and white, and there's no if ands or buts.</li> <li>You must live in the community or you can't be on</li> <li>the Board.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Well, here's the</li> <li>reason why I think it's important to add a little</li> <li>bit more detail to this is we had a former board</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>been informed as to when folks will be attending</li> <li>remotely.</li> <li>Does anyone have any issues with those</li> <li>changes?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Yeah. I would think if</li> <li>you're going to include that in there and, first</li> <li>off, going back to my comments from the last meeting</li> <li>where I thought we black a white stuff, real</li> <li>easy, facts and figures dealing with the District's</li> <li>operations. And I didn't say the District's</li> <li>operations, but that's where I have landed on.</li> <li>I don't know if we need to put that in</li> <li>there. If you want to put something there, it could</li> <li>be along the lines of a trustee must reside in</li> <li>Incline Village to be on the Board of Trustees.</li> <li>Something like that. And that's a requirement.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: As far as a response?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Yeah.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>wouldn't do it so much as addressing rumors, but</li> <li>what are the facts? Must a trustee live in Incline</li> <li>Village in order to be on the Board of Trustees?</li> <li>And the answer is yes.</li> <li>So, I just don't want to get into the</li> <li>rumor mill.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Why?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Well, actually no.</li> <li>Because some of the fact and figures deal with</li> <li>rumors, so I take that back. But I think keeping it</li> <li>black and white, and there's no if ands or buts.</li> <li>You must live in the community or you can't be on</li> <li>the Board.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Well, here's the</li> <li>reason why I think it's important to add a little</li> <li>bit more detail to this is we had a former board</li> <li>member that, for two years, didn't show up to</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>been informed as to when folks will be attending</li> <li>remotely.</li> <li>Does anyone have any issues with those</li> <li>changes?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Yeah. I would think if</li> <li>you're going to include that in there and, first</li> <li>off, going back to my comments from the last meeting</li> <li>where I thought we black a white stuff, real</li> <li>easy, facts and figures dealing with the District's</li> <li>operations. And I didn't say the District's</li> <li>operations, but that's where I have landed on.</li> <li>I don't know if we need to put that in</li> <li>there. If you want to put something there, it could</li> <li>be along the lines of a trustee must reside in</li> <li>Incline Village to be on the Board of Trustees.</li> <li>Something like that. And that's a requirement.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: As far as a response?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Yeah.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 wouldn't do it so much as addressing rumors, but</li> <li>3 what are the facts? Must a trustee live in Incline</li> <li>4 Village in order to be on the Board of Trustees?</li> <li>5 And the answer is yes.</li> <li>6 So, I just don't want to get into the</li> <li>7 rumor mill.</li> <li>8 CHAIR DENT: Why?</li> <li>9 TRUSTEE NOBLE: Well, actually no.</li> <li>10 Because some of the fact and figures deal with</li> <li>11 rumors, so I take that back. But I think keeping it</li> <li>12 black and white, and there's no if ands or buts.</li> <li>13 You must live in the community or you can't be on</li> <li>14 the Board.</li> <li>15 CHAIR DENT: Okay. Well, here's the</li> <li>16 reason why I think it's important to add a little</li> <li>17 bit more detail to this is we had a former board</li> <li>18 member that, for two years, didn't show up to</li> <li>19 meetings, and we Trustee Tonking was coming in</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>been informed as to when folks will be attending</li> <li>remotely.</li> <li>Does anyone have any issues with those</li> <li>changes?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Yeah. I would think if</li> <li>you're going to include that in there and, first</li> <li>off, going back to my comments from the last meeting</li> <li>where I thought we black a white stuff, real</li> <li>easy, facts and figures dealing with the District's</li> <li>operations. And I didn't say the District's</li> <li>operations, but that's where I have landed on.</li> <li>I don't know if we need to put that in</li> <li>there. If you want to put something there, it could</li> <li>be along the lines of a trustee must reside in</li> <li>Incline Village to be on the Board of Trustees.</li> <li>Something like that. And that's a requirement.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: As far as a response?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Yeah.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Just keep it very simple</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 wouldn't do it so much as addressing rumors, but</li> <li>3 what are the facts? Must a trustee live in Incline</li> <li>4 Village in order to be on the Board of Trustees?</li> <li>5 And the answer is yes.</li> <li>6 So, I just don't want to get into the</li> <li>7 rumor mill.</li> <li>8 CHAIR DENT: Why?</li> <li>9 TRUSTEE NOBLE: Well, actually no.</li> <li>10 Because some of the fact and figures deal with</li> <li>11 rumors, so I take that back. But I think keeping it</li> <li>12 black and white, and there's no if ands or buts.</li> <li>13 You must live in the community or you can't be on</li> <li>14 the Board.</li> <li>15 CHAIR DENT: Okay. Well, here's the</li> <li>16 reason why I think it's important to add a little</li> <li>17 bit more detail to this is we had a former board</li> <li>18 member that, for two years, didn't show up to</li> <li>19 meetings, and we Trustee Tonking was coming in</li> <li>20 remotely to begin with, so that's why I'm saying</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>been informed as to when folks will be attending</li> <li>remotely.</li> <li>Does anyone have any issues with those</li> <li>changes?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Yeah. I would think if</li> <li>you're going to include that in there and, first</li> <li>off, going back to my comments from the last meeting</li> <li>where I thought we black a white stuff, real</li> <li>easy, facts and figures dealing with the District's</li> <li>operations. And I didn't say the District's</li> <li>operations, but that's where I have landed on.</li> <li>I don't know if we need to put that in</li> <li>there. If you want to put something there, it could</li> <li>be along the lines of a trustee must reside in</li> <li>Incline Village to be on the Board of Trustees.</li> <li>Something like that. And that's a requirement.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: As far as a response?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Yeah.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Just keep it very simple</li> <li>and because that is a requirement, it's black and</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 wouldn't do it so much as addressing rumors, but</li> <li>3 what are the facts? Must a trustee live in Incline</li> <li>4 Village in order to be on the Board of Trustees?</li> <li>5 And the answer is yes.</li> <li>6 So, I just don't want to get into the</li> <li>7 rumor mill.</li> <li>8 CHAIR DENT: Why?</li> <li>9 TRUSTEE NOBLE: Well, actually no.</li> <li>10 Because some of the fact and figures deal with</li> <li>11 rumors, so I take that back. But I think keeping it</li> <li>12 black and white, and there's no if ands or buts.</li> <li>13 You must live in the community or you can't be on</li> <li>14 the Board.</li> <li>15 CHAIR DENT: Okay. Well, here's the</li> <li>16 reason why I think it's important to add a little</li> <li>17 bit more detail to this is we had a former board</li> <li>18 member that, for two years, didn't show up to</li> <li>19 meetings, and we Trustee Tonking was coming in</li> <li>20 remotely to begin with, so that's why I'm saying</li> <li>21 some of us work, some of us go on vacation, some of</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>been informed as to when folks will be attending</li> <li>remotely.</li> <li>Does anyone have any issues with those</li> <li>changes?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Yeah. I would think if</li> <li>you're going to include that in there and, first</li> <li>off, going back to my comments from the last meeting</li> <li>where I thought we black a white stuff, real</li> <li>easy, facts and figures dealing with the District's</li> <li>operations. And I didn't say the District's</li> <li>operations, but that's where I have landed on.</li> <li>I don't know if we need to put that in</li> <li>there. If you want to put something there, it could</li> <li>be along the lines of a trustee must reside in</li> <li>Incline Village to be on the Board of Trustees.</li> <li>Something like that. And that's a requirement.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: As far as a response?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Yeah.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Just keep it very simple</li> <li>and because that is a requirement, it's black and</li> <li>white.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 wouldn't do it so much as addressing rumors, but</li> <li>3 what are the facts? Must a trustee live in Incline</li> <li>4 Village in order to be on the Board of Trustees?</li> <li>5 And the answer is yes.</li> <li>6 So, I just don't want to get into the</li> <li>7 rumor mill.</li> <li>8 CHAIR DENT: Why?</li> <li>9 TRUSTEE NOBLE: Well, actually no.</li> <li>10 Because some of the fact and figures deal with</li> <li>11 rumors, so I take that back. But I think keeping it</li> <li>12 black and white, and there's no if ands or buts.</li> <li>13 You must live in the community or you can't be on</li> <li>14 the Board.</li> <li>15 CHAIR DENT: Okay. Well, here's the</li> <li>16 reason why I think it's important to add a little</li> <li>17 bit more detail to this is we had a former board</li> <li>18 member that, for two years, didn't show up to</li> <li>19 meetings, and we Trustee Tonking was coming in</li> <li>20 remotely to begin with, so that's why I'm saying</li> <li>21 some of us work, some of us go on vacation, some of</li> <li>22 us are going to attend remotely. And I feel like if</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>been informed as to when folks will be attending</li> <li>remotely.</li> <li>Does anyone have any issues with those</li> <li>changes?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Yeah. I would think if</li> <li>you're going to include that in there and, first</li> <li>off, going back to my comments from the last meeting</li> <li>where I thought we black a white stuff, real</li> <li>easy, facts and figures dealing with the District's</li> <li>operations. And I didn't say the District's</li> <li>operations, but that's where I have landed on.</li> <li>I don't know if we need to put that in</li> <li>there. If you want to put something there, it could</li> <li>be along the lines of a trustee must reside in</li> <li>Incline Village to be on the Board of Trustees.</li> <li>Something like that. And that's a requirement.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: As far as a response?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Yeah.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Just keep it very simple</li> <li>and because that is a requirement, it's black and</li> <li>white.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: 1 like that. The new</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>wouldn't do it so much as addressing rumors, but</li> <li>what are the facts? Must a trustee live in Incline</li> <li>Village in order to be on the Board of Trustees?</li> <li>And the answer is yes.</li> <li>So, I just don't want to get into the</li> <li>rumor mill.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Why?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Well, actually no.</li> <li>Because some of the fact and figures deal with</li> <li>rumors, so I take that back. But I think keeping it</li> <li>black and white, and there's no if ands or buts.</li> <li>You must live in the community or you can't be on</li> <li>the Board.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Well, here's the</li> <li>reason why I think it's important to add a little</li> <li>bit more detail to this is we had a former board</li> <li>member that, for two years, didn't show up to</li> <li>meetings, and we Trustee Tonking was coming in</li> <li>remotely to begin with, so that's why I'm saying</li> <li>some of us work, some of us go on vacation, some of</li> <li>us are going to attend remotely. And I feel like if</li> <li>none of the if no one's informed of it, then I</li> </ul>

that was going to be happening, so I'm saying, hey, 1 this does happen from time to time. Board members 2 3 don't attend. It's not a habitual thing. It's not 4 going to be going on for years, but some members are 5 not going to be able to attend every meeting in 6 person. 7 TRUSTEE NOBLE: So maybe put in there that 8 trustees may participate remotely if they are unable 9 to attend in person due to work or personal 10 conflicts. 11 CHAIR DENT: Okay. 12 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Didn't we actually pass 13 a board policy on that, where trustees are, that 14 coming in remotely, they need to be live, on video 15 to ensure it's the same person. I know in the past, 16 we have a former trustee that just kept a picture 17 up, but now it's -- I think we passed a motion 18 earlier this year that they should be on video. 19 CHAIR DENT: Okay. 20 TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I think it's perfectly 21 valid to say it is a requirement of a trustee. That 22 doesn't really address the issue at hand and the 23 rumor at hand. And it's not only come with this 24 board; it's come up with past boards as well. 25 And I think that putting some notation to

23 25 71 1 Trustee Dent will abstain from voting when and if 1 2 any conflict of interest presents itself. 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 12 13 14 15

69

I think we should broaden it a little bit. 4 rather than to just -- I know there's an issue regarding my stuff, but to just leave it more at the Board level. And I would say: Trustees on this Board have not -- do not have any ethics violations or have not -- and have not properly -- or and have properly dis- -- and have not property disclosed a So, do any trustees on this Board -- have 12 any trustees on this Board violated ethics or not 13 properly disclosed a conflict? Is that okay with changing that to make it 15 more board-based? Because there's no ethics 16 violations, that I'm aware of with any of our Board 16 violation. 17 member, and, as far as I'm understanding, all of us 17 18 have disclosed when there's been a conflict. MR. NELSON: I do think that before we 20 make those types of statements, we may wish, in an 20 21 abundance of caution, to get an ethics opinion from

22 the Ethics Commission on that just to clarify that 23 that type of disclosure wouldn't be seen as a 24 personal benefit to a trustee that's outside of the

25 ethics law itself.

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

14

19

10 conflict.

say, we're addressing it, and here's how. Making 1 people be on video and whatnot. But I do appreciate 2 all of you not requiring me to be on video when I 3 4 was recovering from surgery. 5 CHAIR DENT: So, all trustees live in 6 Incline Village, may attend remotely. Anything else 7 to say on that item? 8 MR. NELSON: Did you want -- I thought I 9 heard there might also be a desire to have a reference to the policy about on-video attendance. 10 11 CHAIR DENT: Are you taking notes on this? 12 MR. NELSON: Yes. 13 CHAIR DENT: So I don't have to. Great. 14 All right. Does anyone have any issues 15 with that if we adjust those changes? Okay. 16 Moving on to item 2. Item 2, rumor, did Trustee Dent violate ethics or not properly disclose 17 18 a conflict? So, I'll just read what's in here, and 19 then I'll put: No. This is false. Both Nevada 20 Ethics Commission, Secretary of State Office has 21 confirmed Trustee Dent has correctly managed his 22 disclosures, and there's no improprieties. The guestion circulated through the community for many 24 years since first addressed publicly in 2017. And then I put in here: In the future,

72

70

CHAIR DENT: For the whole Board? MR. NELSON: Even for the whole Board. I think we would want to -- we want to be a little careful too because sometimes there may be investigations ongoing that we're not aware of or others that are working their way through. We wouldn't want to overstate it. CHAIR DENT: Good point. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: On that point, I think 10 it's -- might be valuable to have a notation or have 11 a clarification that when specifically an ethics complaint is filed, the person for which the complaint has been filed has no knowledge of it. They have no knowledge until such time they determine that there actually was an ethics So if something was submitted and they 18 didn't rule on it, the person who has been accused 19 has no knowledge of it whatsoever. MR. NELSON: To the extent that the Board 21 wanted to do something like that, that talked about 22 the process and clarify that, which is absolutely 23 true, there would be no issues on the legal end at 24 all. 25 CHAIR DENT: I think that would be the

73 proper response. Yeah, you do not get notified by can state on the record that there -- whatever it 1 1 the Ethics Commission unless there is an is, has there been anything that we are aware of? 2 2 investigation, that they decided they're not going 3 3 Yes or no. 4 to be investing it, they don't notify you. 4 MR. NELSON: Yeah. And if the Board was 5 So, there could be plenty of ethics 5 comfortable, I also think we may wish to link to the complaints filed against us, and none of us ever 6 Ethics Commission explanation of their process at 6 7 7 made aware of it until there's public comment about the end of the FAQ, just to provide additional 8 it. 8 transparency for the public, because the Ethics 9 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Full disclosure there as 9 Commission is very explicit on all these points on 10 well, there is no checking, there is no verification their website. 10 11 or validation. People can file ethics complaints 11 CHAIR DENT: I think that's a good point. 12 with absolutely no evidence whatsoever. They can 12 TRUSTEE NOBLE: Are we tabling this one 13 file all sorts of frivolous complaints. There is no 13 until we get guidance from the Ethics Commission? MR. NELSON: I think I heard that the 14 checks or balances in terms of that. They can file 14 15 them, as you say, there can be violations filed that 15 discussion would be to, rather than go as currently are not violations, and there's no checks against phrased, really more pivot to a discussion of the 16 16 17 frivolous filings. process, and a reminder that individual trustees may 17 18 CHAIR DENT: Understood. 18 not even be aware of ethics complaints until there's 19 TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: To add to that, that's 19 a determination by the Commission that they're 20 why ethics complaints are not public records, so the 20 taking jurisdiction. 21 public can't go and say, show me every ethics 21 CHAIR DENT: To the point, though, it may 22 complaint that has been filed, because the risk of 22 be valuable as far as where that boundary is for the 23 potential slander, what have you, would be possible. 23 Board to be able to address stuff like that, or 24 I think it's really worth doing a bit of 24 individual Board members. I mean, if we took it how 25 explanation about how the process works, and that we 25 it's written and we offered that up to the Ethics 75 1 Commission as far as an opinion on that for us, just CHAIR DENT: Anything else on item 2? All 1 2 so we are informed, the community's informed as to 2 right. Item 3, -where line is, I think that could be helpful. 3 TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I'm sorry. If this 3 4 MR. NELSON: That's absolutely the Board's 4 language is, perhaps, going to stay in there, based 5 prerogative, and we can get that request to the 5 on public comment and now that I read it, it Commission this week. shouldn't say "in the future" because if there was 6 6 7 CHAIR DENT: I like the idea of making it 7 ever anything in the past, you would have abstained, 8 more general, though, for this purpose now, and I do 8 and you didn't have a need -- this goes back to the like the idea of learning more from our friends at 9 9 audit committee vote and that sort of thing. I just 10 the Ethics Commission. 10 think that by stating "in the future," it's sort of 11 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Just on that, Josh, I'm 11 looking like, well, maybe it happened in the past. 12 not sure the Ethics Commission would give us 12 And I think wording it that way does open it up to 13 speculation. That's all. 13 anything other than the process, referring us to the 14 CHAIR DENT: I'm fine with improving my 14 process. 15 MR. NELSON: No. No. I think, Trustee 15 grammar. If that's more clear for everybody, then 16 Tulloch, what I heard was to take the current 16 I'm all for it. 17 guestion that's proposed in the packet and tweak it 17 Item 3, rumor, the District has a 30 to 18 so it's not necessarily related to one trustee, but 18 40 percent employee vacancy rate. I put: No. This 19 then ask the Ethics Commission to opine on whether 19 is false. Current rate is 8.57 percent. I have an 20 we could, if we wanted to, put something like this 20 email from human resources, and then we were making 21 on an FAQ without it running afoul of the Ethics 21 a few hires and we anticipated that number going 22 Commission prohibition on IVGID resources for 22 down closer to 7 percent. There's 149 full-time 23 personal or electionary purpose. They will weigh-in 23 positions at the District, and we had 12 vacancies 24 on that stuff. It will be a very focused question 24 when this was put forward. This is full-time, 25 to them. 25 benefited employees.

74

	77		70
1 TRUSTEE NOBLE: And that	/s where, if we're 1		78
2 going to address, I think it would be appro			
3 have all the positions, so seasonal, full tin			
4 time, everything we're currently hiring for,	-		
5 the vacancy rate of that? Because without			
6 clarification, it's just a snippet but not a	6		
7 complete picture. I would think and it co	ould be 7		
8 broken out that, year round, full time, here			
9 rate; seasonal full time, this is the rate; se			
10 part time, here's the rate.	10		
11 If you wanted to have those br			
12 think it would be appropriate to have it bro		2 they're not vacancies.	
13 so we could have a complete picture.	13	-	
14 CHAIR DENT: I agree with ye		far as comparing to where we are at the same time	
15 asked the question. This is also not high		5 the year prior.	
16 for HR to have worked through for us, but			
17 And I think it's important, may		,	
18 what the vacancy rate is for the part time,		3 summer seasonal is directly relevant right now. Do	
<ul><li>19 be how are we trending with our hiring practice.</li></ul>			
20 versus last year, because if we're at 30 pe		We have enough lifeguards, do we have enough golf personnel to be operating running our operations?	
21 this year or 40 percent, and last year we way		I I wouldn't even report winter seasonal 2 until it's time or it makes no sense to have	
22 60 percent, well, then we're doing someth	5		
23 I think it's important to understand where		5	
24 and where we're going. 25 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah,		and have an explanation, we don't even operations of don't start until December X.	
25 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah,			
	79		80
1 CHAIR DENT: Correct. I wo	uld agree. I 1	3? All right.	80
2 don't think there's value add there. I don't	uld agree. I 1 t see 2	3? All right. Item 4, rumor, the Board's intending to	80
<ul><li>2 don't think there's value add there. I don'</li><li>3 this list as being one and done, and we're</li></ul>	uld agree. I1t see2not doing3	3? All right. Item 4, rumor, the Board's intending to privatize the venues. I put: No. This is false.	80
<ul><li>2 don't think there's value add there. I don'</li><li>3 this list as being one and done, and we're</li><li>4 this. Like I said, as questions arise and the</li></ul>	uld agree. I1t see2not doing3nere's4	<ul><li>3? All right.</li><li>Item 4, rumor, the Board's intending to</li><li>privatize the venues. I put: No. This is false.</li><li>The Board has never had these discussions or</li></ul>	80
<ul> <li>2 don't think there's value add there. I don't</li> <li>3 this list as being one and done, and we're</li> <li>4 this. Like I said, as questions arise and th</li> <li>5 comments out there, I think this is somether</li> </ul>	uld agree. I1t see2not doing3nere's4ning we5	<ul> <li>3? All right.</li> <li>Item 4, rumor, the Board's intending to privatize the venues. I put: No. This is false.</li> <li>The Board has never had these discussions or strategy to privatize the venues. The Board</li> </ul>	80
<ul> <li>2 don't think there's value add there. I don't</li> <li>3 this list as being one and done, and we're</li> <li>4 this. Like I said, as questions arise and th</li> <li>5 comments out there, I think this is somethe</li> <li>6 need to address and put it forward.</li> </ul>	uld agree. I1t see2not doing3here's4hing we56	<ul> <li>3? All right.</li> <li>Item 4, rumor, the Board's intending to privatize the venues. I put: No. This is false.</li> <li>The Board has never had these discussions or strategy to privatize the venues. The Board directed staff through the budget process to reduce</li> </ul>	80
<ul> <li>2 don't think there's value add there. I don't</li> <li>3 this list as being one and done, and we're</li> <li>4 this. Like I said, as questions arise and th</li> <li>5 comments out there, I think this is someth</li> <li>6 need to address and put it forward.</li> <li>7 TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I think</li> </ul>	uld agree. I1t see2not doing3here's4hing we566this is all7	<ul> <li>3? All right.</li> <li>Item 4, rumor, the Board's intending to privatize the venues. I put: No. This is false.</li> <li>The Board has never had these discussions or strategy to privatize the venues. The Board directed staff through the budget process to reduce budgets and stop the over-budgeting. The goal is</li> </ul>	80
<ul> <li>2 don't think there's value add there. I don't</li> <li>3 this list as being one and done, and we're</li> <li>4 this. Like I said, as questions arise and th</li> <li>5 comments out there, I think this is someth</li> <li>6 need to address and put it forward.</li> <li>7 TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I think</li> <li>8 really great discussion. And I think that w</li> </ul>	uld agree. I1t see2not doing3nere's4ning we566this is all7re've8	<ul> <li>3? All right.</li> <li>Item 4, rumor, the Board's intending to privatize the venues. I put: No. This is false.</li> <li>The Board has never had these discussions or strategy to privatize the venues. The Board directed staff through the budget process to reduce budgets and stop the over-budgeting. The goal is truly to understand what is needed for operations</li> </ul>	80
<ul> <li>2 don't think there's value add there. I don't</li> <li>3 this list as being one and done, and we're</li> <li>4 this. Like I said, as questions arise and th</li> <li>5 comments out there, I think this is someth</li> <li>6 need to address and put it forward.</li> <li>7 TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I think</li> <li>8 really great discussion. And I think that w</li> <li>9 identified something that, perhaps, should</li> </ul>	uld agree. I1t see2not doing3nere's4ning we566this is all7re've8b be in a9	<ul> <li>3? All right.</li> <li>Item 4, rumor, the Board's intending to privatize the venues. I put: No. This is false.</li> <li>The Board has never had these discussions or strategy to privatize the venues. The Board directed staff through the budget process to reduce budgets and stop the over-budgeting. The goal is truly to understand what is needed for operations and capital, and the Board views the venues as an</li> </ul>	80
<ul> <li>2 don't think there's value add there. I don't</li> <li>3 this list as being one and done, and we're</li> <li>4 this. Like I said, as questions arise and the</li> <li>5 comments out there, I think this is somethe</li> <li>6 need to address and put it forward.</li> <li>7 TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I think</li> <li>8 really great discussion. And I think that we</li> <li>9 identified something that, perhaps, should</li> <li>10 monthly report to the Board so that we are</li> </ul>	uld agree. I1t see2not doing3here's4hing we56this is all7re've8be in a9e aware of10	<ul> <li>3? All right.</li> <li>Item 4, rumor, the Board's intending to privatize the venues. I put: No. This is false.</li> <li>The Board has never had these discussions or strategy to privatize the venues. The Board directed staff through the budget process to reduce budgets and stop the over-budgeting. The goal is truly to understand what is needed for operations and capital, and the Board views the venues as an 0 asset to the community and to property values.</li> </ul>	80
<ul> <li>2 don't think there's value add there. I don't</li> <li>3 this list as being one and done, and we're</li> <li>4 this. Like I said, as questions arise and th</li> <li>5 comments out there, I think this is someth</li> <li>6 need to address and put it forward.</li> <li>7 TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I think</li> <li>8 really great discussion. And I think that w</li> <li>9 identified something that, perhaps, should</li> <li>10 monthly report to the Board so that we are</li> <li>11 where are the challenges and whatnot and</li> </ul>	uld agree. I1t see2not doing3nere's4ning we566this is all7re've8d be in a9e aware of10d how are we11	<ul> <li>3? All right.</li> <li>Item 4, rumor, the Board's intending to privatize the venues. I put: No. This is false.</li> <li>The Board has never had these discussions or strategy to privatize the venues. The Board directed staff through the budget process to reduce budgets and stop the over-budgeting. The goal is truly to understand what is needed for operations and capital, and the Board views the venues as an asset to the community and to property values.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah, this is a very</li> </ul>	80
<ul> <li>2 don't think there's value add there. I don't</li> <li>3 this list as being one and done, and we're</li> <li>4 this. Like I said, as questions arise and th</li> <li>5 comments out there, I think this is someth</li> <li>6 need to address and put it forward.</li> <li>7 TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I think</li> <li>8 really great discussion. And I think that w</li> <li>9 identified something that, perhaps, should</li> <li>10 monthly report to the Board so that we are</li> <li>11 where are the challenges and whatnot and</li> <li>12 trending to next year?</li> </ul>	uld agree.1t see2not doing3here's4ing we56this is all7re've8d be in a9e aware of10d how are we1112	<ul> <li>3? All right.</li> <li>Item 4, rumor, the Board's intending to privatize the venues. I put: No. This is false.</li> <li>The Board has never had these discussions or strategy to privatize the venues. The Board directed staff through the budget process to reduce budgets and stop the over-budgeting. The goal is truly to understand what is needed for operations and capital, and the Board views the venues as an</li> <li>asset to the community and to property values.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah, this is a very</li> <li>important one. I think it's unfortunate that an</li> </ul>	80
<ul> <li>2 don't think there's value add there. I don't</li> <li>3 this list as being one and done, and we're</li> <li>4 this. Like I said, as questions arise and th</li> <li>5 comments out there, I think this is someth</li> <li>6 need to address and put it forward.</li> <li>7 TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I think</li> <li>8 really great discussion. And I think that w</li> <li>9 identified something that, perhaps, should</li> <li>10 monthly report to the Board so that we are</li> <li>11 where are the challenges and whatnot and</li> <li>12 trending to next year?</li> <li>13 So, perhaps, our director of HF</li> </ul>	uld agree.1t see2not doing3here's4hing we566this is all7re've8be in a9e aware of10d how are we12R might be13	<ul> <li>3? All right.</li> <li>Item 4, rumor, the Board's intending to privatize the venues. I put: No. This is false.</li> <li>The Board has never had these discussions or strategy to privatize the venues. The Board directed staff through the budget process to reduce budgets and stop the over-budgeting. The goal is truly to understand what is needed for operations and capital, and the Board views the venues as an</li> <li>asset to the community and to property values.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah, this is a very</li> <li>important one. I think it's unfortunate that an</li> <li>ex-chair of the Board is pushing this rumor,</li> </ul>	80
<ul> <li>2 don't think there's value add there. I don't</li> <li>3 this list as being one and done, and we're</li> <li>4 this. Like I said, as questions arise and th</li> <li>5 comments out there, I think this is someth</li> <li>6 need to address and put it forward.</li> <li>7 TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I think</li> <li>8 really great discussion. And I think that w</li> <li>9 identified something that, perhaps, should</li> <li>10 monthly report to the Board so that we are</li> <li>11 where are the challenges and whatnot and</li> <li>12 trending to next year?</li> <li>13 So, perhaps, our director of Hf</li> <li>14 able to put together some sort of just a me</li> </ul>	uld agree.1t see2not doing3nere's4ning we566this is all7re've8d be in a9e aware of10d how are we12R might be13onthly14	<ul> <li>3? All right.</li> <li>Item 4, rumor, the Board's intending to privatize the venues. I put: No. This is false.</li> <li>The Board has never had these discussions or strategy to privatize the venues. The Board directed staff through the budget process to reduce budgets and stop the over-budgeting. The goal is truly to understand what is needed for operations and capital, and the Board views the venues as an</li> <li>asset to the community and to property values.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah, this is a very</li> <li>important one. I think it's unfortunate that an</li> <li>ex-chair of the Board is pushing this rumor,</li> <li>specifically, accusing me of wanted to privatize</li> </ul>	80
<ul> <li>2 don't think there's value add there. I don't</li> <li>3 this list as being one and done, and we're</li> <li>4 this. Like I said, as questions arise and th</li> <li>5 comments out there, I think this is someth</li> <li>6 need to address and put it forward.</li> <li>7 TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I think</li> <li>8 really great discussion. And I think that w</li> <li>9 identified something that, perhaps, should</li> <li>10 monthly report to the Board so that we are</li> <li>11 where are the challenges and whatnot and</li> <li>12 trending to next year?</li> <li>13 So, perhaps, our director of HF</li> <li>14 able to put together some sort of just a me</li> <li>15 report for us. And if there are any statistice</li> </ul>	uld agree.1t see2not doing3here's4ing we566this is all7re've8d be in a9e aware of10d how are we11C might be13onthly14cs to15	<ul> <li>3? All right.</li> <li>Item 4, rumor, the Board's intending to privatize the venues. I put: No. This is false.</li> <li>The Board has never had these discussions or strategy to privatize the venues. The Board directed staff through the budget process to reduce budgets and stop the over-budgeting. The goal is truly to understand what is needed for operations and capital, and the Board views the venues as an</li> <li>asset to the community and to property values.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah, this is a very</li> <li>important one. I think it's unfortunate that an</li> <li>ex-chair of the Board is pushing this rumor,</li> <li>specifically, accusing me of wanted to privatize</li> <li>everything. I challenge ex-chair Callicrate to come</li> </ul>	80
<ul> <li>2 don't think there's value add there. I don't</li> <li>3 this list as being one and done, and we're</li> <li>4 this. Like I said, as questions arise and th</li> <li>5 comments out there, I think this is someth</li> <li>6 need to address and put it forward.</li> <li>7 TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I think</li> <li>8 really great discussion. And I think that w</li> <li>9 identified something that, perhaps, should</li> <li>10 monthly report to the Board so that we are</li> <li>11 where are the challenges and whatnot and</li> <li>12 trending to next year?</li> <li>13 So, perhaps, our director of HF</li> <li>14 able to put together some sort of just a me</li> <li>15 report for us. And if there are any statistic</li> <li>16 refer to, like the US labor index for Wester</li> </ul>	uld agree.       1         t see       2         not doing       3         here's       4         hing we       5         this is all       7         re've       8         d be in a       9         e aware of       10         d how are we       11         R might be       13         onthly       14         cs to       15         rn       16	<ul> <li>3? All right.</li> <li>Item 4, rumor, the Board's intending to privatize the venues. I put: No. This is false.</li> <li>The Board has never had these discussions or strategy to privatize the venues. The Board directed staff through the budget process to reduce budgets and stop the over-budgeting. The goal is truly to understand what is needed for operations and capital, and the Board views the venues as an</li> <li>asset to the community and to property values.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah, this is a very</li> <li>important one. I think it's unfortunate that an</li> <li>ex-chair of the Board is pushing this rumor,</li> <li>specifically, accusing me of wanted to privatize</li> <li>o up with anything on the record that I've said about</li> </ul>	80
<ul> <li>2 don't think there's value add there. I don't</li> <li>3 this list as being one and done, and we're</li> <li>4 this. Like I said, as questions arise and th</li> <li>5 comments out there, I think this is someth</li> <li>6 need to address and put it forward.</li> <li>7 TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I think</li> <li>8 really great discussion. And I think that w</li> <li>9 identified something that, perhaps, should</li> <li>10 monthly report to the Board so that we are</li> <li>11 where are the challenges and whatnot and</li> <li>12 trending to next year?</li> <li>13 So, perhaps, our director of HF</li> <li>14 able to put together some sort of just a me</li> <li>15 report for us. And if there are any statistic</li> <li>16 refer to, like the US labor index for Wester</li> <li>17 Nevada, to sort of compare how we are done</li> </ul>	uld agree.1t see2not doing3nere's4ning we566this is all7re've8d be in a9e aware of10d how are we12R might be13onthly14cs to15rn16oing compared17	<ul> <li>3? All right.</li> <li>Item 4, rumor, the Board's intending to privatize the venues. I put: No. This is false.</li> <li>The Board has never had these discussions or strategy to privatize the venues. The Board directed staff through the budget process to reduce budgets and stop the over-budgeting. The goal is truly to understand what is needed for operations and capital, and the Board views the venues as an asset to the community and to property values.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah, this is a very</li> <li>important one. I think it's unfortunate that an</li> <li>ex-chair of the Board is pushing this rumor,</li> <li>specifically, accusing me of wanted to privatize</li> <li>everything. I challenge ex-chair Callicrate to come</li> <li>up with anything on the record that I've said about</li> <li>privatizing venues. If he can, I'll put a hundred</li> </ul>	80
<ul> <li>2 don't think there's value add there. I don't</li> <li>3 this list as being one and done, and we're</li> <li>4 this. Like I said, as questions arise and th</li> <li>5 comments out there, I think this is someth</li> <li>6 need to address and put it forward.</li> <li>7 TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I think</li> <li>8 really great discussion. And I think that w</li> <li>9 identified something that, perhaps, should</li> <li>10 monthly report to the Board so that we are</li> <li>11 where are the challenges and whatnot and</li> <li>12 trending to next year?</li> <li>13 So, perhaps, our director of HF</li> <li>14 able to put together some sort of just a me</li> <li>15 report for us. And if there are any statistic</li> <li>16 refer to, like the US labor index for Weste</li> <li>17 Nevada, to sort of compare how we are de</li> <li>18 to our regional area. I know those statistic</li> </ul>	uld agree.1t see2not doing3here's4ing we566this is all7re've8d be in a9e aware of10d how are we11c might be13onthly14cs to15rn16oing compared17cs are18	<ul> <li>3? All right.</li> <li>Item 4, rumor, the Board's intending to privatize the venues. I put: No. This is false.</li> <li>The Board has never had these discussions or strategy to privatize the venues. The Board directed staff through the budget process to reduce budgets and stop the over-budgeting. The goal is truly to understand what is needed for operations and capital, and the Board views the venues as an asset to the community and to property values.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah, this is a very</li> <li>important one. I think it's unfortunate that an</li> <li>ex-chair of the Board is pushing this rumor, specifically, accusing me of wanted to privatize</li> <li>everything. I challenge ex-chair Callicrate to come</li> <li>up with anything on the record that I've said about</li> <li>privatizing venues. If he can, I'll put a hundred</li> <li>bucks to a charity of his choice.</li> </ul>	80
<ul> <li>2 don't think there's value add there. I don't</li> <li>3 this list as being one and done, and we're</li> <li>4 this. Like I said, as questions arise and th</li> <li>5 comments out there, I think this is someth</li> <li>6 need to address and put it forward.</li> <li>7 TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I think</li> <li>8 really great discussion. And I think that w</li> <li>9 identified something that, perhaps, should</li> <li>10 monthly report to the Board so that we are</li> <li>11 where are the challenges and whatnot and</li> <li>12 trending to next year?</li> <li>13 So, perhaps, our director of Hf</li> <li>14 able to put together some sort of just a me</li> <li>15 report for us. And if there are any statistic</li> <li>16 refer to, like the US labor index for Wester</li> <li>17 Nevada, to sort of compare how we are de</li> <li>18 to our regional area. I know those statistic</li> <li>19 out there. I don't know if they're broken de</li> </ul>	uld agree.1t see2not doing3here's4ing we5this is all7re've8d be in a9e aware of10d how are we12R might be13conthly14cs to15rn16oing compared17cs are18own to19	<ul> <li>3? All right.</li> <li>Item 4, rumor, the Board's intending to privatize the venues. I put: No. This is false.</li> <li>The Board has never had these discussions or strategy to privatize the venues. The Board directed staff through the budget process to reduce budgets and stop the over-budgeting. The goal is truly to understand what is needed for operations and capital, and the Board views the venues as an asset to the community and to property values.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah, this is a very important one. I think it's unfortunate that an ex-chair of the Board is pushing this rumor, specifically, accusing me of wanted to privatize to come up with anything on the record that I've said about privatizing venues. If he can, I'll put a hundred bucks to a charity of his choice.</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I think it would be good</li> </ul>	80
<ul> <li>2 don't think there's value add there. I don't</li> <li>3 this list as being one and done, and we're</li> <li>4 this. Like I said, as questions arise and th</li> <li>5 comments out there, I think this is someth</li> <li>6 need to address and put it forward.</li> <li>7 TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I think</li> <li>8 really great discussion. And I think that w</li> <li>9 identified something that, perhaps, should</li> <li>10 monthly report to the Board so that we are</li> <li>11 where are the challenges and whatnot and</li> <li>12 trending to next year?</li> <li>13 So, perhaps, our director of HF</li> <li>14 able to put together some sort of just a me</li> <li>15 report for us. And if there are any statistic</li> <li>16 refer to, like the US labor index for Weste</li> <li>17 Nevada, to sort of compare how we are de</li> <li>18 to our regional area. I know those statistic</li> <li>19 out there. I don't know if they're broken did</li> </ul>	uld agree.1t see2not doing3here's4ing we566this is all7re've8d be in a9e aware of10d how are we12c might be13onthly14cs to15rn16oing compared17cs are18own to19atnot.20	<ul> <li>3? All right. Item 4, rumor, the Board's intending to privatize the venues. I put: No. This is false. The Board has never had these discussions or strategy to privatize the venues. The Board directed staff through the budget process to reduce budgets and stop the over-budgeting. The goal is truly to understand what is needed for operations and capital, and the Board views the venues as an asset to the community and to property values. TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah, this is a very important one. I think it's unfortunate that an secifically, accusing me of wanted to privatize everything. I challenge ex-chair Callicrate to come up with anything on the record that I've said about privatizing venues. If he can, I'll put a hundred bucks to a charity of his choice. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I think it would be good to understand what privatizing even means. I mean,</li></ul>	80
<ul> <li>2 don't think there's value add there. I don't</li> <li>3 this list as being one and done, and we're</li> <li>4 this. Like I said, as questions arise and th</li> <li>5 comments out there, I think this is someth</li> <li>6 need to address and put it forward.</li> <li>7 TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I think</li> <li>8 really great discussion. And I think that w</li> <li>9 identified something that, perhaps, should</li> <li>10 monthly report to the Board so that we are</li> <li>11 where are the challenges and whatnot and</li> <li>12 trending to next year?</li> <li>13 So, perhaps, our director of Hf</li> <li>14 able to put together some sort of just a me</li> <li>15 report for us. And if there are any statistic</li> <li>16 refer to, like the US labor index for Wester</li> <li>17 Nevada, to sort of compare how we are de</li> <li>18 to our regional area. I know those statistic</li> <li>19 out there. I don't know if they're broken de</li> </ul>	uld agree.1t see2not doing3here's4ing we566this is all7re've8d be in a9e aware of10d how are we12c might be13onthly14cs to15rn16oing compared17cs are18own to19atnot.20	<ul> <li>3? All right.</li> <li>Item 4, rumor, the Board's intending to privatize the venues. I put: No. This is false.</li> <li>The Board has never had these discussions or strategy to privatize the venues. The Board directed staff through the budget process to reduce budgets and stop the over-budgeting. The goal is truly to understand what is needed for operations and capital, and the Board views the venues as an asset to the community and to property values.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah, this is a very</li> <li>important one. I think it's unfortunate that an</li> <li>ex-chair of the Board is pushing this rumor, specifically, accusing me of wanted to privatize to come</li> <li>up with anything on the record that I've said about privatizing venues. If he can, I'll put a hundred</li> <li>bucks to a charity of his choice.</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I think it would be good</li> <li>to understand what privatizing even means. I mean, is this meaning that we are intending to outsource?</li> </ul>	80
<ul> <li>2 don't think there's value add there. I don't</li> <li>3 this list as being one and done, and we're</li> <li>4 this. Like I said, as questions arise and th</li> <li>5 comments out there, I think this is someth</li> <li>6 need to address and put it forward.</li> <li>7 TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I think</li> <li>8 really great discussion. And I think that w</li> <li>9 identified something that, perhaps, should</li> <li>10 monthly report to the Board so that we are</li> <li>11 where are the challenges and whatnot and</li> <li>12 trending to next year?</li> <li>13 So, perhaps, our director of Hf</li> <li>14 able to put together some sort of just a me</li> <li>15 report for us. And if there are any statistic</li> <li>16 refer to, like the US labor index for Wester</li> <li>17 Nevada, to sort of compare how we are d</li> <li>18 to our regional area. I know those statistic</li> <li>19 out there. I don't know if they're broken d</li> <li>20 the level of seasonal and full time and wh</li> <li>21 I think that would be helpful for</li> <li>22 us to know and be informed, as well as th</li> </ul>	uld agree.1t see2not doing3here's4ing we5this is all7re've8d be in a9e aware of10d how are we11c might be13onthly14cs to15ong compared17cs are18own to19atnot.20e community24	<ul> <li>3? All right. Item 4, rumor, the Board's intending to privatize the venues. I put: No. This is false. The Board has never had these discussions or strategy to privatize the venues. The Board directed staff through the budget process to reduce budgets and stop the over-budgeting. The goal is truly to understand what is needed for operations and capital, and the Board views the venues as an asset to the community and to property values. TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah, this is a very important one. I think it's unfortunate that an ex-chair of the Board is pushing this rumor, specifically, accusing me of wanted to privatize everything. I challenge ex-chair Callicrate to come up with anything on the record that I've said about privatizing venues. If he can, I'll put a hundred bucks to a charity of his choice. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I think it would be good to understand what privatizing even means. I mean, is this meaning that we are intending to outsource? What does that mean? I don't know. I don't know</li></ul>	80
<ul> <li>2 don't think there's value add there. I don't</li> <li>3 this list as being one and done, and we're</li> <li>4 this. Like I said, as questions arise and th</li> <li>5 comments out there, I think this is someth</li> <li>6 need to address and put it forward.</li> <li>7 TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I think</li> <li>8 really great discussion. And I think that w</li> <li>9 identified something that, perhaps, should</li> <li>10 monthly report to the Board so that we are</li> <li>11 where are the challenges and whatnot and</li> <li>12 trending to next year?</li> <li>13 So, perhaps, our director of HF</li> <li>14 able to put together some sort of just a me</li> <li>15 report for us. And if there are any statistic</li> <li>16 refer to, like the US labor index for Wester</li> <li>17 Nevada, to sort of compare how we are d</li> <li>18 to our regional area. I know those statistic</li> <li>19 out there. I don't know if they're broken d</li> <li>20 the level of seasonal and full time and wh</li> <li>21 I think that would be helpful for</li> <li>22 us to know and be informed, as well as th</li> <li>23 be informed. So if it's not too burdensome</li> </ul>	uld agree.1t see2not doing3here's4ing we5this is all7re've8d be in a9e aware of10d how are we12c might be13onthly14cs to15oring compared17cs are18own to19atnot.20c all of22e, I think23	<ul> <li>3? All right.</li> <li>Item 4, rumor, the Board's intending to privatize the venues. I put: No. This is false.</li> <li>The Board has never had these discussions or strategy to privatize the venues. The Board directed staff through the budget process to reduce budgets and stop the over-budgeting. The goal is truly to understand what is needed for operations and capital, and the Board views the venues as an asset to the community and to property values.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah, this is a very</li> <li>important one. I think it's unfortunate that an</li> <li>ex-chair of the Board is pushing this rumor,</li> <li>specifically, accusing me of wanted to privatize</li> <li>everything. I challenge ex-chair Callicrate to come</li> <li>up with anything on the record that I've said about</li> <li>privatizing venues. If he can, I'll put a hundred</li> <li>bucks to a charity of his choice.</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I think it would be good</li> <li>to understand what privatizing even means. I mean,</li> <li>is this meaning that we are intending to outsource?</li> <li>What does that mean? I don't know. I don't know</li> </ul>	80
<ul> <li>2 don't think there's value add there. I don't</li> <li>3 this list as being one and done, and we're</li> <li>4 this. Like I said, as questions arise and th</li> <li>5 comments out there, I think this is someth</li> <li>6 need to address and put it forward.</li> <li>7 TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I think</li> <li>8 really great discussion. And I think that w</li> <li>9 identified something that, perhaps, should</li> <li>10 monthly report to the Board so that we are</li> <li>11 where are the challenges and whatnot and</li> <li>12 trending to next year?</li> <li>13 So, perhaps, our director of HF</li> <li>14 able to put together some sort of just a mail report for us. And if there are any statistic</li> <li>16 refer to, like the US labor index for Weste</li> <li>17 Nevada, to sort of compare how we are d</li> <li>18 to our regional area. I know those statistic</li> <li>19 out there. I don't know if they're broken d</li> <li>20 the level of seasonal and full time and wh</li> <li>21 I think that would be helpful for</li> <li>22 us to know and be informed, as well as th</li> <li>23 be informed. So if it's not too burdensome</li> </ul>	uld agree.1t see2not doing3here's4hing we566this is all7re've8d be in a9e aware of10d how are we11c might be13conthly14cs to15rn16oing compared17cs are18own to19atnot.20r all of21e, I think2224	<ul> <li>3? All right.</li> <li>Item 4, rumor, the Board's intending to privatize the venues. I put: No. This is false.</li> <li>The Board has never had these discussions or strategy to privatize the venues. The Board directed staff through the budget process to reduce budgets and stop the over-budgeting. The goal is truly to understand what is needed for operations and capital, and the Board views the venues as an</li> <li>asset to the community and to property values.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah, this is a very</li> <li>important one. I think it's unfortunate that an</li> <li>ex-chair of the Board is pushing this rumor,</li> <li>specifically, accusing me of wanted to privatize</li> <li>everything. I challenge ex-chair Callicrate to come</li> <li>up with anything on the record that I've said about</li> <li>privatizing venues. If he can, I'll put a hundred</li> <li>bucks to a charity of his choice.</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I think it would be good</li> <li>to understand what privatizing even means. I mean,</li> <li>is this meaning that we are intending to outsource?</li> <li>What does that mean? I don't know. I don't know</li> <li>how this word ever came about because we've not</li> <li>talked about outsourcing, we've not talked about</li> </ul>	80
<ul> <li>2 don't think there's value add there. I don't</li> <li>3 this list as being one and done, and we're</li> <li>4 this. Like I said, as questions arise and th</li> <li>5 comments out there, I think this is someth</li> <li>6 need to address and put it forward.</li> <li>7 TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I think</li> <li>8 really great discussion. And I think that w</li> <li>9 identified something that, perhaps, should</li> <li>10 monthly report to the Board so that we are</li> <li>11 where are the challenges and whatnot and</li> <li>12 trending to next year?</li> <li>13 So, perhaps, our director of HF</li> <li>14 able to put together some sort of just a me</li> <li>15 report for us. And if there are any statistic</li> <li>16 refer to, like the US labor index for Wester</li> <li>17 Nevada, to sort of compare how we are d</li> <li>18 to our regional area. I know those statistic</li> <li>19 out there. I don't know if they're broken d</li> <li>20 the level of seasonal and full time and wh</li> <li>21 I think that would be helpful for</li> <li>22 us to know and be informed, as well as th</li> <li>23 be informed. So if it's not too burdensome</li> </ul>	uld agree.1t see2not doing3here's4hing we566this is all7re've8d be in a9e aware of10d how are we11c might be13conthly14cs to15rn16oing compared17cs are18own to19atnot.20r all of21e, I think2224	<ul> <li>3? All right.</li> <li>Item 4, rumor, the Board's intending to privatize the venues. I put: No. This is false.</li> <li>The Board has never had these discussions or strategy to privatize the venues. The Board directed staff through the budget process to reduce budgets and stop the over-budgeting. The goal is truly to understand what is needed for operations and capital, and the Board views the venues as an asset to the community and to property values.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah, this is a very</li> <li>important one. I think it's unfortunate that an</li> <li>ex-chair of the Board is pushing this rumor,</li> <li>specifically, accusing me of wanted to privatize</li> <li>everything. I challenge ex-chair Callicrate to come</li> <li>up with anything on the record that I've said about</li> <li>privatizing venues. If he can, I'll put a hundred</li> <li>bucks to a charity of his choice.</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I think it would be good</li> <li>to understand what privatizing even means. I mean,</li> <li>is this meaning that we are intending to outsource?</li> <li>What does that mean? I don't know. I don't know</li> </ul>	80

	81		
1	it means, and how it ever became an objective of	1	I came back from vacation at the end of April or
2	this Board. I have no idea.	2	March, when we were talking about golf. And I had
3	CHAIR DENT: I would agree. I would say	3	no clue what everyone was talking about when we were
4	maybe we define privatizing in the response	4	getting all these emails about the model changing,
5	somewhere. I think that could be helpful, because I	5	because all I asked for was a deep dive into the
6	don't know. I was just asked this question. I have	6	costs and the revenues at golf to help us understand
7	never made a comment about privatizing, but I don't	7	how we're doing and how we can do better.
8	know what that means.	8	And so that's my only experience with this
9	I know past boards have privatized the	9	term "the model," and it came from asking about
10	golf courses and brought in an outside source to run	10	over-budgeting at venues and a deep dive into our
11	them, but that's not something this Board's	11	financials to understand what's going on. And
12	discussed with any of the venues.	12	through that process, we learned that we were losing
13	All right. Any other discussion on this	13	a thousand dollars at day, now \$900 a day, at The
14	item? Okay.	14	Grill for last year, and \$1,000 the year before.
15	Number 5, rumor, the Board changed or is	15	So, I think it was an important process.
16	changing the model. No, the model is not and has	16	Does anyone want to comment on the model
17	not changed. The model is for all community service	17	or try and define what the model is? Because that's
18	venues, including Diamond Peak, golf courses,	18	all I know about the model is it came up regarding
19	Recreation Center, and the Tennis Center to be	19	golf.
20	funded through the venue charges for services and,	20	TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: So, I wrote down during
21	if needed, the recreation fee assessed to the parcel	21	public comment, because I am trying to understand
22	owners on an annual basis. The profits from one	22	what this a changed model is. And I wrote down:
23	venue help support the operations of other venues.	23	Comment, change the model that each venue was to
24	This is how it's been done historically.	24	support itself.
25	I learned about the term "the model" when	25	We have never talked about I mean, the
	00		
1	83 Rec Center supporting itself, that's unrealistic.	1	discussion on the Board at least with some venues
2	The Tennis Center. We've never talked about each	2	needing to pick up the slack, that's perceived by at
3	venue supporting itself. We've talked about	3	least some Board members, and start paying more.
4	community services as whole, which, to me, the	4	And that's where I keep going back I

6

7

8

9

12

18

venues as a whole, it's a portfolio of venues, and

they all have value. We need to take care of all of

charges for services result in substantial profits.

10 other places, we have never stated we want every

13 as you were running through that, I had a thought,

15 standpoint of taking parks out of the community

16 services fund and moving to the general fund. I

19 looking at golf, there was an inordinate amount of

20 discussion about making sure that operations were

21 fiscally prudent and are the rates correct and need22 to -- because golf has got to, essentially, be

24 Center and the parks and the skate park, bike park,

23 holding its own. And we know that the Rec

25 everything else can't. But there has been

17 guess, maybe that's changing the model.

14 and I would say we change the model, maybe, from the

11 venue to be self-supporting and self-funded.

them. Some, we can charge like at Diamond Peak, the

But if we -- if that doesn't make sense in

CHAIR DENT: I'll make one note, because

TRUSTEE NOBLE: For the past few months

- 5 think these -- trying to chase after these
  - 6 rumors and address them FAQs, I think we're starting
  - 7 to get down that rabbit hole of -- the last one,

8 what does privatize mean? This one, what does the

- 9 model mean? We're chasing after something that
- 10 isn't concrete and anybody can point to, and I don't
- 11 know if that's actually appropriate to be trying to
- 12 address in the FAQs.

13 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'm glad I'm not the

- 14 only one that's struggling to find this mythical
- 15 model. I can't find any reference to it in any of
- 16 our documentation or policies anywhere.
- 17 In terms of increasing charges at venues,
- 18 I think what this Board has tried to do is implement
- 19 the pricing policy passed by the previous board in
- 20 May 2022, as I recall. In terms of golf, we've

21 tried to do that.

- 22 I think it's -- we've also been -- some of
- 23 us have been accused of suddenly introducing the
- 24 pricing pyramid. I've never actually heard of the
- 25 pricing pyramid. I believe ex-general manager

1	85 Winquest actually introduced that several years ago.	1	because we value the asset. The assets needs to
2	I don't think the Board has ever discussed in terms	2	maintained. But we have the pricing policy that was
3	of where different venues should appear on the	3	implemented, and we've been monitoring to that.
4	pricing pyramid, if we still want to keep the	4	The thing that I discovered when we went
5	pricing pyramid in terms of that. I may be missing	5	through the budgeting process is while we spent time
6	that.	6	talking about that at great length, relative to
7	But, yeah, I think this to me, this	7	golf, we didn't really touch on it for the other
	is if somebody can point me to the model or show	8	venues.
8	me where the model is documented and whose model	9	
9 10	this is. Is this the model that's used to sell	-	I will tell you, though, that when I was
10			working with Director of Finance Navazio, and we
	things? Is it some model that's used for fiscal		were looking at the charts and the numbers about the
	responsibility? What is it? Which model is it?		Mountain Course, and I said, you know, in years
	I've yet to find any description of the model		past, the community has said they view the Mountain
	anywhere in our policies and procedures.		Course as truly a community asset.
15	TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I think you're correct	15	So, we actually were doing the
16		16	calculations to say what amount of subsidy is being
17		17	
	as it relates to revenue per round, for rounds of		that, like, 33 percent.
	golf.	19	So I said, okay, now we have a good
20	We've not talked about capital	20	explanation. We're still tied back to that pricing
21		21	policy and the pyramid.
22		22	But I think as we go forward, I think we
23	record and said we're all fine with subsidizing		should put on the long range calendar to discuss
24	capital improvements for the golf courses, our	24	that pricing pyramid, and say, do we want to target
25	actions have done that. We've been fine with it	25	and say, gosh, you know, certain venues are like
25	actions have done that. We've been fine with it	25	and say, gosh, you know, certain venues are like
	87		
1	this, certain things are here. The Rec Center's	1	TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah. I'm glad I'm not
1 2	87 this, certain things are here. The Rec Center's here. The Tennis Center's there. So that we do	1	TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah. I'm glad I'm not the only one who couldn't find the model. I think
1 2 3	87 this, certain things are here. The Rec Center's here. The Tennis Center's there. So that we do have some element of standardization.	1 2 3	TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah. I'm glad I'm not the only one who couldn't find the model. I think that's absolutely correct because every venue user
1 2	87 this, certain things are here. The Rec Center's here. The Tennis Center's there. So that we do have some element of standardization. But the intent isn't to change; it's just	1 2 3 4	TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah. I'm glad I'm not the only one who couldn't find the model. I think that's absolutely correct because every venue user wants to see their venue be a little bit higher up
1 2 3	87 this, certain things are here. The Rec Center's here. The Tennis Center's there. So that we do have some element of standardization. But the intent isn't to change; it's just to bring clarity and to bring acknowledgement to	1 2 3 4	TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah. I'm glad I'm not the only one who couldn't find the model. I think that's absolutely correct because every venue user wants to see their venue be a little bit higher up the subsidy chart in terms of that. And, yes, some
1 2 3 4	87 this, certain things are here. The Rec Center's here. The Tennis Center's there. So that we do have some element of standardization. But the intent isn't to change; it's just to bring clarity and to bring acknowledgement to what it is that we're doing and how is it that we're	1 2 3 4	TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah. I'm glad I'm not the only one who couldn't find the model. I think that's absolutely correct because every venue user wants to see their venue be a little bit higher up the subsidy chart in terms of that. And, yes, some venues do that.
1 2 3 4 5	87 this, certain things are here. The Rec Center's here. The Tennis Center's there. So that we do have some element of standardization. But the intent isn't to change; it's just to bring clarity and to bring acknowledgement to what it is that we're doing and how is it that we're doing it.	1 2 3 4 5	TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah. I'm glad I'm not the only one who couldn't find the model. I think that's absolutely correct because every venue user wants to see their venue be a little bit higher up the subsidy chart in terms of that. And, yes, some venues do that. We've also been guilty as a Board. We've
1 2 3 4 5 6	87 this, certain things are here. The Rec Center's here. The Tennis Center's there. So that we do have some element of standardization. But the intent isn't to change; it's just to bring clarity and to bring acknowledgement to what it is that we're doing and how is it that we're doing it. But it all ties back to the pricing policy	1 2 3 4 5 6	TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah. I'm glad I'm not the only one who couldn't find the model. I think that's absolutely correct because every venue user wants to see their venue be a little bit higher up the subsidy chart in terms of that. And, yes, some venues do that. We've also been guilty as a Board. We've made some changes to pricing policy. I seem to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	87 this, certain things are here. The Rec Center's here. The Tennis Center's there. So that we do have some element of standardization. But the intent isn't to change; it's just to bring clarity and to bring acknowledgement to what it is that we're doing and how is it that we're doing it.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah. I'm glad I'm not the only one who couldn't find the model. I think that's absolutely correct because every venue user wants to see their venue be a little bit higher up the subsidy chart in terms of that. And, yes, some venues do that. We've also been guilty as a Board. We've made some changes to pricing policy. I seem to recall when we suddenly introduced something that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	87 this, certain things are here. The Rec Center's here. The Tennis Center's there. So that we do have some element of standardization. But the intent isn't to change; it's just to bring clarity and to bring acknowledgement to what it is that we're doing and how is it that we're doing it. But it all ties back to the pricing policy	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah. I'm glad I'm not the only one who couldn't find the model. I think that's absolutely correct because every venue user wants to see their venue be a little bit higher up the subsidy chart in terms of that. And, yes, some venues do that. We've also been guilty as a Board. We've made some changes to pricing policy. I seem to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	87 this, certain things are here. The Rec Center's here. The Tennis Center's there. So that we do have some element of standardization. But the intent isn't to change; it's just to bring clarity and to bring acknowledgement to what it is that we're doing and how is it that we're doing it. But it all ties back to the pricing policy that was implemented. And I think it's critically	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah. I'm glad I'm not the only one who couldn't find the model. I think that's absolutely correct because every venue user wants to see their venue be a little bit higher up the subsidy chart in terms of that. And, yes, some venues do that. We've also been guilty as a Board. We've made some changes to pricing policy. I seem to recall when we suddenly introduced something that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	87 this, certain things are here. The Rec Center's here. The Tennis Center's there. So that we do have some element of standardization. But the intent isn't to change; it's just to bring clarity and to bring acknowledgement to what it is that we're doing and how is it that we're doing it. But it all ties back to the pricing policy that was implemented. And I think it's critically important to get these things clarified, because when we have people coming and saying you're changing the model, and us are sitting here going,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah. I'm glad I'm not the only one who couldn't find the model. I think that's absolutely correct because every venue user wants to see their venue be a little bit higher up the subsidy chart in terms of that. And, yes, some venues do that. We've also been guilty as a Board. We've made some changes to pricing policy. I seem to recall when we suddenly introduced something that pass holders should be 50 percent of non-pass holders and things like that. Just also to correct the record that was
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	87 this, certain things are here. The Rec Center's here. The Tennis Center's there. So that we do have some element of standardization. But the intent isn't to change; it's just to bring clarity and to bring acknowledgement to what it is that we're doing and how is it that we're doing it. But it all ties back to the pricing policy that was implemented. And I think it's critically important to get these things clarified, because when we have people coming and saying you're changing the model, and us are sitting here going,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah. I'm glad I'm not the only one who couldn't find the model. I think that's absolutely correct because every venue user wants to see their venue be a little bit higher up the subsidy chart in terms of that. And, yes, some venues do that. We've also been guilty as a Board. We've made some changes to pricing policy. I seem to recall when we suddenly introduced something that pass holders should be 50 percent of non-pass holders and things like that.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	87 this, certain things are here. The Rec Center's here. The Tennis Center's there. So that we do have some element of standardization. But the intent isn't to change; it's just to bring clarity and to bring acknowledgement to what it is that we're doing and how is it that we're doing it. But it all ties back to the pricing policy that was implemented. And I think it's critically important to get these things clarified, because when we have people coming and saying you're changing the model, and us are sitting here going, what is the model, somebody tell me what this model	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah. I'm glad I'm not the only one who couldn't find the model. I think that's absolutely correct because every venue user wants to see their venue be a little bit higher up the subsidy chart in terms of that. And, yes, some venues do that. We've also been guilty as a Board. We've made some changes to pricing policy. I seem to recall when we suddenly introduced something that pass holders should be 50 percent of non-pass holders and things like that. Just also to correct the record that was
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	87 this, certain things are here. The Rec Center's here. The Tennis Center's there. So that we do have some element of standardization. But the intent isn't to change; it's just to bring clarity and to bring acknowledgement to what it is that we're doing and how is it that we're doing it. But it all ties back to the pricing policy that was implemented. And I think it's critically important to get these things clarified, because when we have people coming and saying you're changing the model, and us are sitting here going, what is the model, somebody tell me what this model is, I think it's critically important in order to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah. I'm glad I'm not the only one who couldn't find the model. I think that's absolutely correct because every venue user wants to see their venue be a little bit higher up the subsidy chart in terms of that. And, yes, some venues do that. We've also been guilty as a Board. We've made some changes to pricing policy. I seem to recall when we suddenly introduced something that pass holders should be 50 percent of non-pass holders and things like that. Just also to correct the record that was made in public comment: I actually voted against
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	87 this, certain things are here. The Rec Center's here. The Tennis Center's there. So that we do have some element of standardization. But the intent isn't to change; it's just to bring clarity and to bring acknowledgement to what it is that we're doing and how is it that we're doing it. But it all ties back to the pricing policy that was implemented. And I think it's critically important to get these things clarified, because when we have people coming and saying you're changing the model, and us are sitting here going, what is the model, somebody tell me what this model is, I think it's critically important in order to have common understanding and common language	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah. I'm glad I'm not the only one who couldn't find the model. I think that's absolutely correct because every venue user wants to see their venue be a little bit higher up the subsidy chart in terms of that. And, yes, some venues do that. We've also been guilty as a Board. We've made some changes to pricing policy. I seem to recall when we suddenly introduced something that pass holders should be 50 percent of non-pass holders and things like that. Just also to correct the record that was made in public comment: I actually voted against the pricing increases at Diamond Peak. Just to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	87 this, certain things are here. The Rec Center's here. The Tennis Center's there. So that we do have some element of standardization. But the intent isn't to change; it's just to bring clarity and to bring acknowledgement to what it is that we're doing and how is it that we're doing it. But it all ties back to the pricing policy that was implemented. And I think it's critically important to get these things clarified, because when we have people coming and saying you're changing the model, and us are sitting here going, what is the model, somebody tell me what this model is, I think it's critically important in order to have common understanding and common language	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah. I'm glad I'm not the only one who couldn't find the model. I think that's absolutely correct because every venue user wants to see their venue be a little bit higher up the subsidy chart in terms of that. And, yes, some venues do that. We've also been guilty as a Board. We've made some changes to pricing policy. I seem to recall when we suddenly introduced something that pass holders should be 50 percent of non-pass holders and things like that. Just also to correct the record that was made in public comment: I actually voted against the pricing increases at Diamond Peak. Just to clarify the record.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	87 this, certain things are here. The Rec Center's here. The Tennis Center's there. So that we do have some element of standardization. But the intent isn't to change; it's just to bring clarity and to bring acknowledgement to what it is that we're doing and how is it that we're doing it. But it all ties back to the pricing policy that was implemented. And I think it's critically important to get these things clarified, because when we have people coming and saying you're changing the model, and us are sitting here going, what is the model, somebody tell me what this model is, I think it's critically important in order to have common understanding and common language between the Board and the community. And if we can't address the issues, then	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah. I'm glad I'm not the only one who couldn't find the model. I think that's absolutely correct because every venue user wants to see their venue be a little bit higher up the subsidy chart in terms of that. And, yes, some venues do that. We've also been guilty as a Board. We've made some changes to pricing policy. I seem to recall when we suddenly introduced something that pass holders should be 50 percent of non-pass holders and things like that. Just also to correct the record that was made in public comment: I actually voted against the pricing increases at Diamond Peak. Just to clarify the record. CHAIR DENT: Okay. Do we need to define
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	87 this, certain things are here. The Rec Center's here. The Tennis Center's there. So that we do have some element of standardization. But the intent isn't to change; it's just to bring clarity and to bring acknowledgement to what it is that we're doing and how is it that we're doing it. But it all ties back to the pricing policy that was implemented. And I think it's critically important to get these things clarified, because when we have people coming and saying you're changing the model, and us are sitting here going, what is the model, somebody tell me what this model is, I think it's critically important in order to have common understanding and common language between the Board and the community. And if we can't address the issues, then	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah. I'm glad I'm not the only one who couldn't find the model. I think that's absolutely correct because every venue user wants to see their venue be a little bit higher up the subsidy chart in terms of that. And, yes, some venues do that. We've also been guilty as a Board. We've made some changes to pricing policy. I seem to recall when we suddenly introduced something that pass holders should be 50 percent of non-pass holders and things like that. Just also to correct the record that was made in public comment: I actually voted against the pricing increases at Diamond Peak. Just to clarify the record. CHAIR DENT: Okay. Do we need to define the model in this process? I mean, I'm still I
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	87 this, certain things are here. The Rec Center's here. The Tennis Center's there. So that we do have some element of standardization. But the intent isn't to change; it's just to bring clarity and to bring acknowledgement to what it is that we're doing and how is it that we're doing it. But it all ties back to the pricing policy that was implemented. And I think it's critically important to get these things clarified, because when we have people coming and saying you're changing the model, and us are sitting here going, what is the model, somebody tell me what this model is, I think it's critically important in order to have common understanding and common language between the Board and the community. And if we can't address the issues, then we will never bridge that communication gap. And we have to bridge that communication gap because we are	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah. I'm glad I'm not the only one who couldn't find the model. I think that's absolutely correct because every venue user wants to see their venue be a little bit higher up the subsidy chart in terms of that. And, yes, some venues do that. We've also been guilty as a Board. We've made some changes to pricing policy. I seem to recall when we suddenly introduced something that pass holders should be 50 percent of non-pass holders and things like that. Just also to correct the record that was made in public comment: I actually voted against the pricing increases at Diamond Peak. Just to clarify the record. CHAIR DENT: Okay. Do we need to define the model in this process? I mean, I'm still I asked for budgets to be cut during the whole budget
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	87 this, certain things are here. The Rec Center's here. The Tennis Center's there. So that we do have some element of standardization. But the intent isn't to change; it's just to bring clarity and to bring acknowledgement to what it is that we're doing and how is it that we're doing it. But it all ties back to the pricing policy that was implemented. And I think it's critically important to get these things clarified, because when we have people coming and saying you're changing the model, and us are sitting here going, what is the model, somebody tell me what this model is, I think it's critically important in order to have common understanding and common language between the Board and the community. And if we can't address the issues, then we will never bridge that communication gap. And we have to bridge that communication gap because we are here to serve the community, and the community	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah. I'm glad I'm not the only one who couldn't find the model. I think that's absolutely correct because every venue user wants to see their venue be a little bit higher up the subsidy chart in terms of that. And, yes, some venues do that. We've also been guilty as a Board. We've made some changes to pricing policy. I seem to recall when we suddenly introduced something that pass holders should be 50 percent of non-pass holders and things like that. Just also to correct the record that was made in public comment: I actually voted against the pricing increases at Diamond Peak. Just to clarify the record. CHAIR DENT: Okay. Do we need to define the model in this process? I mean, I'm still I asked for budgets to be cut during the whole budget season, not for expenses to be cut. So, if we were over-budgeting, which was making us seem like we
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	87 this, certain things are here. The Rec Center's here. The Tennis Center's there. So that we do have some element of standardization. But the intent isn't to change; it's just to bring clarity and to bring acknowledgement to what it is that we're doing and how is it that we're doing it. But it all ties back to the pricing policy that was implemented. And I think it's critically important to get these things clarified, because when we have people coming and saying you're changing the model, and us are sitting here going, what is the model, somebody tell me what this model is, I think it's critically important in order to have common understanding and common language between the Board and the community. And if we can't address the issues, then we will never bridge that communication gap. And we have to bridge that communication gap because we are here to serve the community, and the community	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah. I'm glad I'm not the only one who couldn't find the model. I think that's absolutely correct because every venue user wants to see their venue be a little bit higher up the subsidy chart in terms of that. And, yes, some venues do that. We've also been guilty as a Board. We've made some changes to pricing policy. I seem to recall when we suddenly introduced something that pass holders should be 50 percent of non-pass holders and things like that. Just also to correct the record that was made in public comment: I actually voted against the pricing increases at Diamond Peak. Just to clarify the record. CHAIR DENT: Okay. Do we need to define the model in this process? I mean, I'm still I asked for budgets to be cut during the whole budget season, not for expenses to be cut. So, if we were over-budgeting, which was making us seem like we

23 I think it's absolutely critically

24 important that we have this kind of information for25 the public.

25 over-budgeted, so we were over-collecting. So,

23 that went into our reserves and now we have

24 \$18 million in the one reserve only because we

86

1 maybe if over-collecting, over-budgeting is the	89 1 and if you
2 model, then maybe we change the CS, we change the	2 Dave, if you have a little bit more
3 model because we're not over-budgeting. I don't	3 context to it, please, because I truly do not
4 know.	4 understand what this is.
5 Do we define what the model is somehow?	5 TRUSTEE NOBLE: I think you need to I
6 do think it's important to address because we're	6 still don't think we should be addressing this
7 going to have someone come up here next meeting, and	7 because it is all over the board. But I think
8 they're going to rattle on one of these again. And	8 pricing policy and how the Board has addressed
9 I think it's important for us to as least have a	9 pricing this year is probably and show what the
10 discussion around all of these because if I don't	10 Board has done different from years past and how
11 know what it is. I asked the former general manager	11 that pricing policy has been applied to the budget
12 what it was when I came back from vacation. I was	12 this year.
13 gone for ten days. I had no clue. I asked him what	13 CHAIR DENT: We didn't have it in years
14 he did. I said, "What did you do? You really upset	14 past.
15 the community. What did you guys do? This model,	15 TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: We did last year.
16 what is it that everyone's talking about?"	16 CHAIR DENT: Oh, okay. How this board
17 And then I walked in and we had lines out	17 followed the pricing policy compared to last year's
18 the door for public comment about some model I had	18 board, because we didn't have it before that, I
19 never heard of. I think it's an important thing to	19 guess is what I'm getting at.
20 discuss because, don't know what it was, but all the	20 TRUSTEE NOBLE: I wouldn't do a compare
21 script writers, they put the same word in their	21 and contrast, because you're going to get it's
22 script, and that's how we learned about this.	22 just going to come right back. Again, you've got to
23 So I think it's important to at least	23 tie it right to what has the Board done? And so
24 address this and do it, maybe, in a way that this is	24 here's what we did at Diamond Peak, here's what we
25 our understanding of what people think the model is,	25 did with golf with regards to the pricing policies,
	91
1 and what we implement and stuff.	91 1 But, to me, this to a good effort to
2 The problem is, again, you guys are going	1But, to me, this to a good effort to2respond to that, a request was made in some of these
<ul> <li>2 The problem is, again, you guys are going</li> <li>3 down this rabbit hole that it's no matter what</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>But, to me, this to a good effort to</li> <li>respond to that, a request was made in some of these</li> <li>public comments, why are you not responding to all</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>2 The problem is, again, you guys are going</li> <li>3 down this rabbit hole that it's no matter what</li> <li>4 you put in here, it's going to be just as bad or if</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>But, to me, this to a good effort to</li> <li>respond to that, a request was made in some of these</li> <li>public comments, why are you not responding to all</li> <li>those things we're asking, and this is a good</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>2 The problem is, again, you guys are going</li> <li>3 down this rabbit hole that it's no matter what</li> <li>4 you put in here, it's going to be just as bad or if</li> <li>5 not worse people coming back and just saying you're</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>But, to me, this to a good effort to</li> <li>respond to that, a request was made in some of these</li> <li>public comments, why are you not responding to all</li> <li>those things we're asking, and this is a good</li> <li>attempt to do it.</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>2 The problem is, again, you guys are going</li> <li>3 down this rabbit hole that it's no matter what</li> <li>4 you put in here, it's going to be just as bad or if</li> <li>5 not worse people coming back and just saying you're</li> <li>6 deflecting off of this. I don't envy anybody trying</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>But, to me, this to a good effort to</li> <li>respond to that, a request was made in some of these</li> <li>public comments, why are you not responding to all</li> <li>those things we're asking, and this is a good</li> <li>attempt to do it.</li> <li>Yes, it's going to be a rabbit hole,</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>2 The problem is, again, you guys are going</li> <li>3 down this rabbit hole that it's no matter what</li> <li>4 you put in here, it's going to be just as bad or if</li> <li>5 not worse people coming back and just saying you're</li> <li>6 deflecting off of this. I don't envy anybody trying</li> <li>7 to address this, I just don't know if this is an FAQ</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>But, to me, this to a good effort to</li> <li>respond to that, a request was made in some of these</li> <li>public comments, why are you not responding to all</li> <li>those things we're asking, and this is a good</li> <li>attempt to do it.</li> <li>Yes, it's going to be a rabbit hole,</li> <li>because people view information different ways. I</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>2 The problem is, again, you guys are going</li> <li>3 down this rabbit hole that it's no matter what</li> <li>4 you put in here, it's going to be just as bad or if</li> <li>5 not worse people coming back and just saying you're</li> <li>6 deflecting off of this. I don't envy anybody trying</li> <li>7 to address this, I just don't know if this is an FAQ</li> <li>8 on a government agency's website dealing with stuff</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>But, to me, this to a good effort to</li> <li>respond to that, a request was made in some of these</li> <li>public comments, why are you not responding to all</li> <li>those things we're asking, and this is a good</li> <li>attempt to do it.</li> <li>Yes, it's going to be a rabbit hole,</li> <li>because people view information different ways. I</li> <li>mean, the common term is "malinformation." To me,</li> </ol>
2 The problem is, again, you guys are going 3 down this rabbit hole that it's no matter what 4 you put in here, it's going to be just as bad or if 5 not worse people coming back and just saying you're 6 deflecting off of this. I don't envy anybody trying 7 to address this, I just don't know if this is an FAQ 8 on a government agency's website dealing with stuff 9 on this that is out there, I don't know if this is	<ol> <li>But, to me, this to a good effort to</li> <li>respond to that, a request was made in some of these</li> <li>public comments, why are you not responding to all</li> <li>those things we're asking, and this is a good</li> <li>attempt to do it.</li> <li>Yes, it's going to be a rabbit hole,</li> <li>because people view information different ways. I</li> <li>mean, the common term is "malinformation." To me,</li> <li>there's no malinformation; there's information out</li> </ol>
2 The problem is, again, you guys are going 3 down this rabbit hole that it's no matter what 4 you put in here, it's going to be just as bad or if 5 not worse people coming back and just saying you're 6 deflecting off of this. I don't envy anybody trying 7 to address this, I just don't know if this is an FAQ 8 on a government agency's website dealing with stuff 9 on this that is out there, I don't know if this is 10 actually the appropriate venue for it.	<ol> <li>But, to me, this to a good effort to</li> <li>respond to that, a request was made in some of these</li> <li>public comments, why are you not responding to all</li> <li>those things we're asking, and this is a good</li> <li>attempt to do it.</li> <li>Yes, it's going to be a rabbit hole,</li> <li>because people view information different ways. I</li> <li>mean, the common term is "malinformation." To me,</li> <li>there's no malinformation; there's information out</li> <li>there. Critical thinking, you can make you own</li> </ol>
2 The problem is, again, you guys are going 3 down this rabbit hole that it's no matter what 4 you put in here, it's going to be just as bad or if 5 not worse people coming back and just saying you're 6 deflecting off of this. I don't envy anybody trying 7 to address this, I just don't know if this is an FAQ 8 on a government agency's website dealing with stuff 9 on this that is out there, I don't know if this is 10 actually the appropriate venue for it. 11 CHAIR DENT: Okay.	1But, to me, this to a good effort to2respond to that, a request was made in some of these3public comments, why are you not responding to all4those things we're asking, and this is a good5attempt to do it.6Yes, it's going to be a rabbit hole,7because people view information different ways. I8mean, the common term is "malinformation." To me,9there's no malinformation; there's information out10there. Critical thinking, you can make you own11decisions on whether information is true or not.
<ul> <li>2 The problem is, again, you guys are going</li> <li>3 down this rabbit hole that it's no matter what</li> <li>4 you put in here, it's going to be just as bad or if</li> <li>5 not worse people coming back and just saying you're</li> <li>6 deflecting off of this. I don't envy anybody trying</li> <li>7 to address this, I just don't know if this is an FAQ</li> <li>8 on a government agency's website dealing with stuff</li> <li>9 on this that is out there, I don't know if this is</li> <li>10 actually the appropriate venue for it.</li> <li>11 CHAIR DENT: Okay.</li> <li>12 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'll disagree with</li> </ul>	1But, to me, this to a good effort to2respond to that, a request was made in some of these3public comments, why are you not responding to all4those things we're asking, and this is a good5attempt to do it.6Yes, it's going to be a rabbit hole,7because people view information different ways. I8mean, the common term is "malinformation." To me,9there's no malinformation; there's information out10there. Critical thinking, you can make you own11decisions on whether information is true or not.12I think it's appropriate for the Board to
<ul> <li>2 The problem is, again, you guys are going</li> <li>3 down this rabbit hole that it's no matter what</li> <li>4 you put in here, it's going to be just as bad or if</li> <li>5 not worse people coming back and just saying you're</li> <li>6 deflecting off of this. I don't envy anybody trying</li> <li>7 to address this, I just don't know if this is an FAQ</li> <li>8 on a government agency's website dealing with stuff</li> <li>9 on this that is out there, I don't know if this is</li> <li>10 actually the appropriate venue for it.</li> <li>11 CHAIR DENT: Okay.</li> <li>12 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'll disagree with</li> <li>13 Trustee Noble. It's not so much going down a rabbit</li> </ul>	1But, to me, this to a good effort to2respond to that, a request was made in some of these3public comments, why are you not responding to all4those things we're asking, and this is a good5attempt to do it.6Yes, it's going to be a rabbit hole,7because people view information different ways. I8mean, the common term is "malinformation." To me,9there's no malinformation; there's information out10there. Critical thinking, you can make you own11decisions on whether information is true or not.12I think it's appropriate for the Board to13answer some of these things. It's like all these
<ul> <li>The problem is, again, you guys are going</li> <li>down this rabbit hole that it's no matter what</li> <li>you put in here, it's going to be just as bad or if</li> <li>not worse people coming back and just saying you're</li> <li>deflecting off of this. I don't envy anybody trying</li> <li>to address this, I just don't know if this is an FAQ</li> <li>on a government agency's website dealing with stuff</li> <li>on this that is out there, I don't know if this is</li> <li>actually the appropriate venue for it.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'll disagree with</li> <li>Trustee Noble. It's not so much going down a rabbit</li> <li>hole. In recent months, recent weeks, there's been</li> </ul>	1But, to me, this to a good effort to2respond to that, a request was made in some of these3public comments, why are you not responding to all4those things we're asking, and this is a good5attempt to do it.6Yes, it's going to be a rabbit hole,7because people view information different ways. I8mean, the common term is "malinformation." To me,9there's no malinformation; there's information out10there. Critical thinking, you can make you own11decisions on whether information is true or not.12I think it's appropriate for the Board to13answer some of these things. It's like all these14alligations out there, particularly the one we just
<ul> <li>2 The problem is, again, you guys are going</li> <li>3 down this rabbit hole that it's no matter what</li> <li>4 you put in here, it's going to be just as bad or if</li> <li>5 not worse people coming back and just saying you're</li> <li>6 deflecting off of this. I don't envy anybody trying</li> <li>7 to address this, I just don't know if this is an FAQ</li> <li>8 on a government agency's website dealing with stuff</li> <li>9 on this that is out there, I don't know if this is</li> <li>10 actually the appropriate venue for it.</li> <li>11 CHAIR DENT: Okay.</li> <li>12 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'll disagree with</li> <li>13 Trustee Noble. It's not so much going down a rabbit</li> <li>14 hole. In recent months, recent weeks, there's been</li> <li>15 lots of public comment made and accusations made</li> </ul>	1But, to me, this to a good effort to2respond to that, a request was made in some of these3public comments, why are you not responding to all4those things we're asking, and this is a good5attempt to do it.6Yes, it's going to be a rabbit hole,7because people view information different ways. I8mean, the common term is "malinformation." To me,9there's no malinformation; there's information out10there. Critical thinking, you can make you own11decisions on whether information is true or not.12I think it's appropriate for the Board to13answer some of these things. It's like all these14alligations out there, particularly the one we just15discussed, the privatization, that somehow we're
<ul> <li>The problem is, again, you guys are going</li> <li>down this rabbit hole that it's no matter what</li> <li>you put in here, it's going to be just as bad or if</li> <li>not worse people coming back and just saying you're</li> <li>deflecting off of this. I don't envy anybody trying</li> <li>to address this, I just don't know if this is an FAQ</li> <li>on a government agency's website dealing with stuff</li> <li>on this that is out there, I don't know if this is</li> <li>actually the appropriate venue for it.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'll disagree with</li> <li>Trustee Noble. It's not so much going down a rabbit</li> <li>hole. In recent months, recent weeks, there's been</li> <li>lots of public comment made and accusations made</li> <li>that people are making public comments and the Board</li> </ul>	1But, to me, this to a good effort to2respond to that, a request was made in some of these3public comments, why are you not responding to all4those things we're asking, and this is a good5attempt to do it.6Yes, it's going to be a rabbit hole,7because people view information different ways. I8mean, the common term is "malinformation." To me,9there's no malinformation; there's information out10there. Critical thinking, you can make you own11decisions on whether information is true or not.12I think it's appropriate for the Board to13answer some of these things. It's like all these14alligations out there, particularly the one we just15discussed, the privatization, that somehow we're16going to privatize everything. I think that is only
<ul> <li>The problem is, again, you guys are going</li> <li>down this rabbit hole that it's no matter what</li> <li>you put in here, it's going to be just as bad or if</li> <li>not worse people coming back and just saying you're</li> <li>deflecting off of this. I don't envy anybody trying</li> <li>to address this, I just don't know if this is an FAQ</li> <li>on a government agency's website dealing with stuff</li> <li>on this that is out there, I don't know if this is</li> <li>actually the appropriate venue for it.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'll disagree with</li> <li>Trustee Noble. It's not so much going down a rabbit</li> <li>hole. In recent months, recent weeks, there's been</li> <li>lots of public comment made and accusations made</li> <li>that people are making public comments and the Board</li> <li>is not responding to them.</li> </ul>	1But, to me, this to a good effort to2respond to that, a request was made in some of these3public comments, why are you not responding to all4those things we're asking, and this is a good5attempt to do it.6Yes, it's going to be a rabbit hole,7because people view information different ways. I8mean, the common term is "malinformation." To me,9there's no malinformation; there's information out10there. Critical thinking, you can make you own11decisions on whether information is true or not.12I think it's appropriate for the Board to13answer some of these things. It's like all these14alligations out there, particularly the one we just15discussed, the privatization, that somehow we're16going to privatize everything. I think that is only17come from two proponents of Mr. Wright. The only
<ul> <li>The problem is, again, you guys are going</li> <li>down this rabbit hole that it's no matter what</li> <li>you put in here, it's going to be just as bad or if</li> <li>not worse people coming back and just saying you're</li> <li>deflecting off of this. I don't envy anybody trying</li> <li>to address this, I just don't know if this is an FAQ</li> <li>on a government agency's website dealing with stuff</li> <li>on this that is out there, I don't know if this is</li> <li>actually the appropriate venue for it.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'll disagree with</li> <li>Trustee Noble. It's not so much going down a rabbit</li> <li>hole. In recent months, recent weeks, there's been</li> <li>lots of public comment made and accusations made</li> <li>that people are making public comments and the Board</li> <li>is not responding to them.</li> <li>I mean, just to clarify the process, you</li> </ul>	1But, to me, this to a good effort to2respond to that, a request was made in some of these3public comments, why are you not responding to all4those things we're asking, and this is a good5attempt to do it.6Yes, it's going to be a rabbit hole,7because people view information different ways. I8mean, the common term is "malinformation." To me,9there's no malinformation; there's information out10there. Critical thinking, you can make you own11decisions on whether information is true or not.12I think it's appropriate for the Board to13answer some of these things. It's like all these14alligations out there, particularly the one we just15discussed, the privatization, that somehow we're16going to privatize everything. I think that is only17come from two proponents of Mr. Wright. The only18people I've heard referring to that on the record
<ul> <li>The problem is, again, you guys are going</li> <li>down this rabbit hole that it's no matter what</li> <li>you put in here, it's going to be just as bad or if</li> <li>not worse people coming back and just saying you're</li> <li>deflecting off of this. I don't envy anybody trying</li> <li>to address this, I just don't know if this is an FAQ</li> <li>on a government agency's website dealing with stuff</li> <li>on this that is out there, I don't know if this is</li> <li>actually the appropriate venue for it.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'll disagree with</li> <li>Trustee Noble. It's not so much going down a rabbit</li> <li>hole. In recent months, recent weeks, there's been</li> <li>lots of public comment made and accusations made</li> <li>that people are making public comments and the Board</li> <li>is not responding to them.</li> <li>I mean, just to clarify the process, you</li> <li>know, sitting up here, listening to public comments</li> </ul>	1But, to me, this to a good effort to2respond to that, a request was made in some of these3public comments, why are you not responding to all4those things we're asking, and this is a good5attempt to do it.6Yes, it's going to be a rabbit hole,7because people view information different ways. I8mean, the common term is "malinformation." To me,9there's no malinformation; there's information out10there. Critical thinking, you can make you own11decisions on whether information is true or not.12I think it's appropriate for the Board to13answer some of these things. It's like all these14alligations out there, particularly the one we just15discussed, the privatization, that somehow we're16going to privatize everything. I think that is only17come from two proponents of Mr. Wright. The only18people I've heard referring to that on the record19are Mr. Callicrate and Mr. Riner.
<ul> <li>The problem is, again, you guys are going</li> <li>down this rabbit hole that it's no matter what</li> <li>you put in here, it's going to be just as bad or if</li> <li>not worse people coming back and just saying you're</li> <li>deflecting off of this. I don't envy anybody trying</li> <li>to address this, I just don't know if this is an FAQ</li> <li>on a government agency's website dealing with stuff</li> <li>on this that is out there, I don't know if this is</li> <li>actually the appropriate venue for it.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'll disagree with</li> <li>Trustee Noble. It's not so much going down a rabbit</li> <li>hole. In recent months, recent weeks, there's been</li> <li>lots of public comment made and accusations made</li> <li>that people are making public comments and the Board</li> <li>is not responding to them.</li> <li>I mean, just to clarify the process, you</li> <li>know, sitting up here, listening to public comments</li> </ul>	1But, to me, this to a good effort to2respond to that, a request was made in some of these3public comments, why are you not responding to all4those things we're asking, and this is a good5attempt to do it.6Yes, it's going to be a rabbit hole,7because people view information different ways. I8mean, the common term is "malinformation." To me,9there's no malinformation; there's information out10there. Critical thinking, you can make you own11decisions on whether information is true or not.12I think it's appropriate for the Board to13answer some of these things. It's like all these14alligations out there, particularly the one we just15discussed, the privatization, that somehow we're16going to privatize everything. I think that is only17come from two proponents of Mr. Wright. The only18people I've heard referring to that on the record19are Mr. Callicrate and Mr. Riner.20CHAIR DENT: Okay.
<ul> <li>The problem is, again, you guys are going</li> <li>down this rabbit hole that it's no matter what</li> <li>you put in here, it's going to be just as bad or if</li> <li>not worse people coming back and just saying you're</li> <li>deflecting off of this. I don't envy anybody trying</li> <li>to address this, I just don't know if this is an FAQ</li> <li>on a government agency's website dealing with stuff</li> <li>on this that is out there, I don't know if this is</li> <li>actually the appropriate venue for it.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'll disagree with</li> <li>Trustee Noble. It's not so much going down a rabbit</li> <li>hole. In recent months, recent weeks, there's been</li> <li>lots of public comment made and accusations made</li> <li>that people are making public comments and the Board</li> <li>is not responding to them.</li> <li>I mean, just to clarify the process, you</li> <li>know, sitting up here, listening to public comments</li> <li>and hearing outright untruths coming out and having</li> <li>to I'm not very good sometimes at holding my</li> </ul>	1But, to me, this to a good effort to2respond to that, a request was made in some of these3public comments, why are you not responding to all4those things we're asking, and this is a good5attempt to do it.6Yes, it's going to be a rabbit hole,7because people view information different ways. I8mean, the common term is "malinformation." To me,9there's no malinformation; there's information out10there. Critical thinking, you can make you own11decisions on whether information is true or not.12I think it's appropriate for the Board to13answer some of these things. It's like all these14alligations out there, particularly the one we just15discussed, the privatization, that somehow we're16going to privatize everything. I think that is only17come from two proponents of Mr. Wright. The only18people I've heard referring to that on the record19are Mr. Callicrate and Mr. Riner.20CHAIR DENT: Okay.21TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: To Trustee Noble's
<ul> <li>The problem is, again, you guys are going</li> <li>down this rabbit hole that it's no matter what</li> <li>you put in here, it's going to be just as bad or if</li> <li>not worse people coming back and just saying you're</li> <li>deflecting off of this. I don't envy anybody trying</li> <li>to address this, I just don't know if this is an FAQ</li> <li>on a government agency's website dealing with stuff</li> <li>on this that is out there, I don't know if this is</li> <li>actually the appropriate venue for it.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'll disagree with</li> <li>Trustee Noble. It's not so much going down a rabbit</li> <li>hole. In recent months, recent weeks, there's been</li> <li>lots of public comment made and accusations made</li> <li>that people are making public comments and the Board</li> <li>is not responding to them.</li> <li>I mean, just to clarify the process, you</li> <li>know, sitting up here, listening to public comments</li> <li>and hearing outright untruths coming out and having</li> <li>to I'm not very good sometimes at holding my</li> <li>tongue on some of these things, but I have to bite</li> </ul>	1But, to me, this to a good effort to2respond to that, a request was made in some of these3public comments, why are you not responding to all4those things we're asking, and this is a good5attempt to do it.6Yes, it's going to be a rabbit hole,7because people view information different ways. I8mean, the common term is "malinformation." To me,9there's no malinformation; there's information out10there. Critical thinking, you can make you own11decisions on whether information is true or not.12I think it's appropriate for the Board to13answer some of these things. It's like all these14alligations out there, particularly the one we just15discussed, the privatization, that somehow we're16going to privatize everything. I think that is only17come from two proponents of Mr. Wright. The only18people I've heard referring to that on the record19are Mr. Callicrate and Mr. Riner.20CHAIR DENT: Okay.21TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: To Trustee Noble's22point, I think we should add a number 14, and I'm
2The problem is, again, you guys are going3down this rabbit hole that it's no matter what4you put in here, it's going to be just as bad or if5not worse people coming back and just saying you're6deflecting off of this. I don't envy anybody trying7to address this, I just don't know if this is an FAQ8on a government agency's website dealing with stuff9on this that is out there, I don't know if this is10actually the appropriate venue for it.11CHAIR DENT: Okay.12TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'll disagree with13Trustee Noble. It's not so much going down a rabbit14hole. In recent months, recent weeks, there's been15lots of public comment made and accusations made16that people are making public comments and the Board17is not responding to them.18I mean, just to clarify the process, you19know, sitting up here, listening to public comments20and hearing outright untruths coming out and having21to I'm not very good sometimes at holding my22tongue on some of these things, but I have to bite23my tongue on some of these things. The Board can't	1But, to me, this to a good effort to2respond to that, a request was made in some of these3public comments, why are you not responding to all4those things we're asking, and this is a good5attempt to do it.6Yes, it's going to be a rabbit hole,7because people view information different ways. I8mean, the common term is "malinformation." To me,9there's no malinformation; there's information out10there. Critical thinking, you can make you own11decisions on whether information is true or not.12I think it's appropriate for the Board to13answer some of these things. It's like all these14alligations out there, particularly the one we just15discussed, the privatization, that somehow we're16going to privatize everything. I think that is only17come from two proponents of Mr. Wright. The only18people I've heard referring to that on the record19are Mr. Callicrate and Mr. Riner.20CHAIR DENT: Okay.21TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: To Trustee Noble's22point, I think we should add a number 14, and I'm23not exactly sure, but it might be something to say
<ul> <li>The problem is, again, you guys are going</li> <li>down this rabbit hole that it's no matter what</li> <li>you put in here, it's going to be just as bad or if</li> <li>not worse people coming back and just saying you're</li> <li>deflecting off of this. I don't envy anybody trying</li> <li>to address this, I just don't know if this is an FAQ</li> <li>on a government agency's website dealing with stuff</li> <li>on this that is out there, I don't know if this is</li> <li>actually the appropriate venue for it.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'll disagree with</li> <li>Trustee Noble. It's not so much going down a rabbit</li> <li>hole. In recent months, recent weeks, there's been</li> <li>lots of public comment made and accusations made</li> <li>that people are making public comments and the Board</li> <li>is not responding to them.</li> <li>I mean, just to clarify the process, you</li> <li>know, sitting up here, listening to public comments</li> <li>and hearing outright untruths coming out and having</li> <li>to I'm not very good sometimes at holding my</li> <li>tongue on some of these things, but I have to bite</li> </ul>	1But, to me, this to a good effort to2respond to that, a request was made in some of these3public comments, why are you not responding to all4those things we're asking, and this is a good5attempt to do it.6Yes, it's going to be a rabbit hole,7because people view information different ways. I8mean, the common term is "malinformation." To me,9there's no malinformation; there's information out10there. Critical thinking, you can make you own11decisions on whether information is true or not.12I think it's appropriate for the Board to13answer some of these things. It's like all these14alligations out there, particularly the one we just15discussed, the privatization, that somehow we're16going to privatize everything. I think that is only17come from two proponents of Mr. Wright. The only18people I've heard referring to that on the record19are Mr. Callicrate and Mr. Riner.20CHAIR DENT: Okay.21TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: To Trustee Noble's22point, I think we should add a number 14, and I'm

93	
1 And I think as it relates to this question	1 then you go, okay, well, let's talk about that
2 about that model, back to Trustee Noble's point, I	2 because didn't know what that was.
3 think the way at which we should approach this is to	3 I think it's important, very important.
4 say, as a board, this is how we understand the	4 Okay. Any other discussion on this item?
5 model. We understand it as community services,	5 Next time, number 6, the rumor is this
6 we're seeing things as an entire venue of	6 Board is interfering with LLCs and corporations
7 recreational amenities, and that there has not been	7 obtaining their recreation cards.
8 a goal or objective to try to make venues	8 No. This is false. May of 2022, the
9 self-sustaining. The big picture is community	9 previous board approved changes to the ordinance
10 services. It's the basket of venues.	10 with a 5/0 vote. There was confusion by staff with
11 So, I think to address Trustee Noble's	11 implementation of the agent's assignments for LLCs
12 point or concern, is to say if we just say, as a	12 and corporations, which was discovered in May of
13 board, this how we are defining the model, because	13 this year. Staff and District legal counsel
14 we don't know what somebody else's model is. I	14 requested input from special legal counsel, and this
15 don't know. But I know that, from my perspective,	15 delayed card issuance by roughly two weeks. The
16 the model is that community services as a whole	16 issue was resolved and staff started administering
17 helps to sustain each other's venues.	17 the rec privileges per Ordinance 7. The agent
18 CHAIR DENT: That's a good point. This is	18 assignments were implemented to remove staff from
19 really nothing more than a feedback loop that we	19 being a concierge's service to the entities in a
20 don't have. We don't have a way to get information	20 similar manner to the changes made to how cards are
21 back to everyone in the community this way. And I	21 issued for timeshares.
22 think addressing some of these things, maybe by us	22 So, there was some confusion with folks
23 defined what the model is, we realize what the model	23 getting their passes earlier this year. All we did
24 actually is, because they're going to say no, no,	24 was put a pause in that process so our special legal
25 no, this is not the model, this is the model. And	25 counsel could weigh-in on how the ordinance was
95	
1 written, how staff was administering the policy, and	1 I think it would be better that, you know,
<ol> <li>written, how staff was administering the policy, and</li> <li>we found out that how things were being administered</li> </ol>	2 trust anything other your traditional family tree
<ol> <li>written, how staff was administering the policy, and</li> <li>we found out that how things were being administered</li> <li>weren't meeting how the ordinance was written. And</li> </ol>	<ul><li>2 trust anything other your traditional family tree</li><li>3 because there was a delay, and anybody that had a</li></ul>
<ol> <li>written, how staff was administering the policy, and</li> <li>we found out that how things were being administered</li> <li>weren't meeting how the ordinance was written. And</li> <li>so corrective action was taken to protect property</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 trust anything other your traditional family tree</li> <li>3 because there was a delay, and anybody that had a</li> <li>4 trust any trust, corporation, LLC, there was</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>written, how staff was administering the policy, and</li> <li>we found out that how things were being administered</li> <li>weren't meeting how the ordinance was written. And</li> <li>so corrective action was taken to protect property</li> <li>rights.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 trust anything other your traditional family tree</li> <li>3 because there was a delay, and anybody that had a</li> <li>4 trust any trust, corporation, LLC, there was</li> <li>5 why was there a delay in the issuance of punch cards</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>written, how staff was administering the policy, and</li> <li>we found out that how things were being administered</li> <li>weren't meeting how the ordinance was written. And</li> <li>so corrective action was taken to protect property</li> <li>rights.</li> <li>So the way staff was administering the</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 trust anything other your traditional family tree</li> <li>3 because there was a delay, and anybody that had a</li> <li>4 trust any trust, corporation, LLC, there was</li> <li>5 why was there a delay in the issuance of punch cards</li> <li>6 in May and early June? That would be the question,</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>written, how staff was administering the policy, and</li> <li>we found out that how things were being administered</li> <li>weren't meeting how the ordinance was written. And</li> <li>so corrective action was taken to protect property</li> <li>rights.</li> <li>So the way staff was administering the</li> <li>policy or the staff starting administering</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 trust anything other your traditional family tree</li> <li>3 because there was a delay, and anybody that had a</li> <li>4 trust any trust, corporation, LLC, there was</li> <li>5 why was there a delay in the issuance of punch cards</li> <li>6 in May and early June? That would be the question,</li> <li>7 I would think, because a lot of what? 75</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>written, how staff was administering the policy, and</li> <li>we found out that how things were being administered</li> <li>weren't meeting how the ordinance was written. And</li> <li>so corrective action was taken to protect property</li> <li>rights.</li> <li>So the way staff was administering the</li> <li>policy or the staff starting administering</li> <li>Ordinance 7 per the policy. And because it was</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 trust anything other your traditional family tree</li> <li>3 because there was a delay, and anybody that had a</li> <li>4 trust any trust, corporation, LLC, there was</li> <li>5 why was there a delay in the issuance of punch cards</li> <li>6 in May and early June? That would be the question,</li> <li>7 I would think, because a lot of what? 75</li> <li>8 properties at least, and then we were told that</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>written, how staff was administering the policy, and</li> <li>we found out that how things were being administered</li> <li>weren't meeting how the ordinance was written. And</li> <li>so corrective action was taken to protect property</li> <li>rights.</li> <li>So the way staff was administering the</li> <li>policy or the staff starting administering</li> <li>Ordinance 7 per the policy. And because it was</li> <li>let's just say because our special legal counsel is</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>trust anything other your traditional family tree</li> <li>because there was a delay, and anybody that had a</li> <li>trust any trust, corporation, LLC, there was</li> <li>why was there a delay in the issuance of punch cards</li> <li>in May and early June? That would be the question,</li> <li>I would think, because a lot of what? 75</li> <li>properties at least, and then we were told that</li> <li>anything in a family trust was not going to be</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>written, how staff was administering the policy, and</li> <li>we found out that how things were being administered</li> <li>weren't meeting how the ordinance was written. And</li> <li>so corrective action was taken to protect property</li> <li>rights.</li> <li>So the way staff was administering the</li> <li>policy or the staff starting administering</li> <li>Ordinance 7 per the policy. And because it was</li> <li>let's just say because our special legal counsel is</li> <li>the one that wrote Ordinance 7, we asked them for</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>trust anything other your traditional family tree</li> <li>because there was a delay, and anybody that had a</li> <li>trust any trust, corporation, LLC, there was</li> <li>why was there a delay in the issuance of punch cards</li> <li>in May and early June? That would be the question,</li> <li>I would think, because a lot of what? 75</li> <li>properties at least, and then we were told that</li> <li>anything in a family trust was not going to be</li> <li>issued punch cards, but then it was clarified</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>written, how staff was administering the policy, and</li> <li>we found out that how things were being administered</li> <li>weren't meeting how the ordinance was written. And</li> <li>so corrective action was taken to protect property</li> <li>rights.</li> <li>So the way staff was administering the</li> <li>policy or the staff starting administering</li> <li>Ordinance 7 per the policy. And because it was</li> <li>let's just say because our special legal counsel is</li> <li>the one that wrote Ordinance 7, we asked them for</li> <li>clarification on that. I don't think it's our job</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 trust anything other your traditional family tree</li> <li>3 because there was a delay, and anybody that had a</li> <li>4 trust any trust, corporation, LLC, there was</li> <li>5 why was there a delay in the issuance of punch cards</li> <li>6 in May and early June? That would be the question,</li> <li>7 I would think, because a lot of what? 75</li> <li>8 properties at least, and then we were told that</li> <li>9 anything in a family trust was not going to be</li> <li>10 issued punch cards, but then it was clarified</li> <li>11 through legal counsel.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>written, how staff was administering the policy, and</li> <li>we found out that how things were being administered</li> <li>weren't meeting how the ordinance was written. And</li> <li>so corrective action was taken to protect property</li> <li>rights.</li> <li>So the way staff was administering the</li> <li>policy or the staff starting administering</li> <li>Ordinance 7 per the policy. And because it was</li> <li>let's just say because our special legal counsel is</li> <li>the one that wrote Ordinance 7, we asked them for</li> <li>clarification on that. I don't think it's our job</li> <li>to get involved in that, and I think, especially</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 trust anything other your traditional family tree</li> <li>3 because there was a delay, and anybody that had a</li> <li>4 trust any trust, corporation, LLC, there was</li> <li>5 why was there a delay in the issuance of punch cards</li> <li>6 in May and early June? That would be the question,</li> <li>7 I would think, because a lot of what? 75</li> <li>8 properties at least, and then we were told that</li> <li>9 anything in a family trust was not going to be</li> <li>10 issued punch cards, but then it was clarified</li> <li>11 through legal counsel.</li> <li>12 So as far as interfering with LLCs and</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>written, how staff was administering the policy, and</li> <li>we found out that how things were being administered</li> <li>weren't meeting how the ordinance was written. And</li> <li>so corrective action was taken to protect property</li> <li>rights.</li> <li>So the way staff was administering the</li> <li>policy or the staff starting administering</li> <li>Ordinance 7 per the policy. And because it was</li> <li>let's just say because our special legal counsel is</li> <li>the one that wrote Ordinance 7, we asked them for</li> <li>clarification on that. I don't think it's our job</li> <li>to get involved in that, and I think, especially</li> <li>when it comes to the beaches, we need to make sure</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>trust anything other your traditional family tree</li> <li>because there was a delay, and anybody that had a</li> <li>trust any trust, corporation, LLC, there was</li> <li>why was there a delay in the issuance of punch cards</li> <li>in May and early June? That would be the question,</li> <li>I would think, because a lot of what? 75</li> <li>properties at least, and then we were told that</li> <li>anything in a family trust was not going to be</li> <li>issued punch cards, but then it was clarified</li> <li>through legal counsel.</li> <li>So as far as interfering with LLCs and</li> <li>stuff, that's exactly what Trustee Schmitz was</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>written, how staff was administering the policy, and</li> <li>we found out that how things were being administered</li> <li>weren't meeting how the ordinance was written. And</li> <li>so corrective action was taken to protect property</li> <li>rights.</li> <li>So the way staff was administering the</li> <li>policy or the staff starting administering</li> <li>Ordinance 7 per the policy. And because it was</li> <li>let's just say because our special legal counsel is</li> <li>the one that wrote Ordinance 7, we asked them for</li> <li>clarification on that. I don't think it's our job</li> <li>to get involved in that, and I think, especially</li> <li>when it comes to the beaches, we need to make sure</li> <li>we are doing that correctly.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>trust anything other your traditional family tree</li> <li>because there was a delay, and anybody that had a</li> <li>trust any trust, corporation, LLC, there was</li> <li>why was there a delay in the issuance of punch cards</li> <li>in May and early June? That would be the question,</li> <li>I would think, because a lot of what? 75</li> <li>properties at least, and then we were told that</li> <li>anything in a family trust was not going to be</li> <li>issued punch cards, but then it was clarified</li> <li>through legal counsel.</li> <li>So as far as interfering with LLCs and</li> <li>stuff, that's exactly what Trustee Schmitz was</li> <li>trying to do with her contacting the Board the</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>written, how staff was administering the policy, and</li> <li>we found out that how things were being administered</li> <li>weren't meeting how the ordinance was written. And</li> <li>so corrective action was taken to protect property</li> <li>rights.</li> <li>So the way staff was administering the</li> <li>policy or the staff starting administering</li> <li>Ordinance 7 per the policy. And because it was</li> <li>let's just say because our special legal counsel is</li> <li>the one that wrote Ordinance 7, we asked them for</li> <li>clarification on that. I don't think it's our job</li> <li>to get involved in that, and I think, especially</li> <li>when it comes to the beaches, we need to make sure</li> <li>we are doing that correctly.</li> <li>Are there any changes with this item or</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>trust anything other your traditional family tree</li> <li>because there was a delay, and anybody that had a</li> <li>trust any trust, corporation, LLC, there was</li> <li>why was there a delay in the issuance of punch cards</li> <li>in May and early June? That would be the question,</li> <li>I would think, because a lot of what? 75</li> <li>properties at least, and then we were told that</li> <li>anything in a family trust was not going to be</li> <li>issued punch cards, but then it was clarified</li> <li>through legal counsel.</li> <li>So as far as interfering with LLCs and</li> <li>stuff, that's exactly what Trustee Schmitz was</li> <li>trying to do with her contacting the Board the</li> <li>front desk on May 19th, according to the complaint</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>written, how staff was administering the policy, and</li> <li>we found out that how things were being administered</li> <li>weren't meeting how the ordinance was written. And</li> <li>so corrective action was taken to protect property</li> <li>rights.</li> <li>So the way staff was administering the</li> <li>policy or the staff starting administering</li> <li>Ordinance 7 per the policy. And because it was</li> <li>let's just say because our special legal counsel is</li> <li>the one that wrote Ordinance 7, we asked them for</li> <li>clarification on that. I don't think it's our job</li> <li>to get involved in that, and I think, especially</li> <li>when it comes to the beaches, we need to make sure</li> <li>we are doing that correctly.</li> <li>Are there any changes with this item or</li> <li>comments on this item?</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>trust anything other your traditional family tree</li> <li>because there was a delay, and anybody that had a</li> <li>trust any trust, corporation, LLC, there was</li> <li>why was there a delay in the issuance of punch cards</li> <li>in May and early June? That would be the question,</li> <li>I would think, because a lot of what? 75</li> <li>properties at least, and then we were told that</li> <li>anything in a family trust was not going to be</li> <li>issued punch cards, but then it was clarified</li> <li>through legal counsel.</li> <li>So as far as interfering with LLCs and</li> <li>stuff, that's exactly what Trustee Schmitz was</li> <li>trying to do with her contacting the Board the</li> <li>front desk on May 19th, according to the complaint</li> <li>that we got from personnel.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>written, how staff was administering the policy, and</li> <li>we found out that how things were being administered</li> <li>weren't meeting how the ordinance was written. And</li> <li>so corrective action was taken to protect property</li> <li>rights.</li> <li>So the way staff was administering the</li> <li>policy or the staff starting administering</li> <li>Ordinance 7 per the policy. And because it was</li> <li>let's just say because our special legal counsel is</li> <li>the one that wrote Ordinance 7, we asked them for</li> <li>clarification on that. I don't think it's our job</li> <li>to get involved in that, and I think, especially</li> <li>when it comes to the beaches, we need to make sure</li> <li>we are doing that correctly.</li> <li>Are there any changes with this item or</li> <li>comments on this item?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I'd be this would</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>trust anything other your traditional family tree</li> <li>because there was a delay, and anybody that had a</li> <li>trust any trust, corporation, LLC, there was</li> <li>why was there a delay in the issuance of punch cards</li> <li>in May and early June? That would be the question,</li> <li>I would think, because a lot of what? 75</li> <li>properties at least, and then we were told that</li> <li>anything in a family trust was not going to be</li> <li>issued punch cards, but then it was clarified</li> <li>through legal counsel.</li> <li>So as far as interfering with LLCs and</li> <li>stuff, that's exactly what Trustee Schmitz was</li> <li>trying to do with her contacting the Board the</li> <li>front desk on May 19th, according to the complaint</li> <li>that we got from personnel.</li> <li>MR. NELSON: I don't want to get into the</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>written, how staff was administering the policy, and</li> <li>we found out that how things were being administered</li> <li>weren't meeting how the ordinance was written. And</li> <li>so corrective action was taken to protect property</li> <li>rights.</li> <li>So the way staff was administering the</li> <li>policy or the staff starting administering</li> <li>Ordinance 7 per the policy. And because it was</li> <li>let's just say because our special legal counsel is</li> <li>the one that wrote Ordinance 7, we asked them for</li> <li>clarification on that. I don't think it's our job</li> <li>to get involved in that, and I think, especially</li> <li>when it comes to the beaches, we need to make sure</li> <li>we are doing that correctly.</li> <li>Are there any changes with this item or</li> <li>comments on this item?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I'd be this would</li> <li>probably be more appropriate at the next meeting,</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>trust anything other your traditional family tree</li> <li>because there was a delay, and anybody that had a</li> <li>trust any trust, corporation, LLC, there was</li> <li>why was there a delay in the issuance of punch cards</li> <li>in May and early June? That would be the question,</li> <li>I would think, because a lot of what? 75</li> <li>properties at least, and then we were told that</li> <li>anything in a family trust was not going to be</li> <li>issued punch cards, but then it was clarified</li> <li>through legal counsel.</li> <li>So as far as interfering with LLCs and</li> <li>stuff, that's exactly what Trustee Schmitz was</li> <li>trying to do with her contacting the Board the</li> <li>front desk on May 19th, according to the complaint</li> <li>that we got from personnel.</li> <li>MR. NELSON: I don't want to get into the</li> <li>specifics of that complaint. I think Trustee</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>written, how staff was administering the policy, and</li> <li>we found out that how things were being administered</li> <li>weren't meeting how the ordinance was written. And</li> <li>so corrective action was taken to protect property</li> <li>rights.</li> <li>So the way staff was administering the</li> <li>policy or the staff starting administering</li> <li>Ordinance 7 per the policy. And because it was</li> <li>let's just say because our special legal counsel is</li> <li>the one that wrote Ordinance 7, we asked them for</li> <li>clarification on that. I don't think it's our job</li> <li>to get involved in that, and I think, especially</li> <li>when it comes to the beaches, we need to make sure</li> <li>we are doing that correctly.</li> <li>Are there any changes with this item or</li> <li>comments on this item?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I'd be this would</li> <li>probably be more appropriate at the next meeting,</li> <li>but the incident with Trustee Schmitz contacting the</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>trust anything other your traditional family tree</li> <li>because there was a delay, and anybody that had a</li> <li>trust any trust, corporation, LLC, there was</li> <li>why was there a delay in the issuance of punch cards</li> <li>in May and early June? That would be the question,</li> <li>I would think, because a lot of what? 75</li> <li>properties at least, and then we were told that</li> <li>anything in a family trust was not going to be</li> <li>issued punch cards, but then it was clarified</li> <li>through legal counsel.</li> <li>So as far as interfering with LLCs and</li> <li>stuff, that's exactly what Trustee Schmitz was</li> <li>trying to do with her contacting the Board the</li> <li>front desk on May 19th, according to the complaint</li> <li>that we got from personnel.</li> <li>MR. NELSON: I don't want to get into the</li> <li>specifics of that complaint. I think Trustee</li> <li>Noble's point is I mean, I think we could modify</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>written, how staff was administering the policy, and</li> <li>we found out that how things were being administered</li> <li>weren't meeting how the ordinance was written. And</li> <li>so corrective action was taken to protect property</li> <li>rights.</li> <li>So the way staff was administering the</li> <li>policy or the staff starting administering</li> <li>Ordinance 7 per the policy. And because it was</li> <li>let's just say because our special legal counsel is</li> <li>the one that wrote Ordinance 7, we asked them for</li> <li>clarification on that. I don't think it's our job</li> <li>to get involved in that, and I think, especially</li> <li>when it comes to the beaches, we need to make sure</li> <li>we are doing that correctly.</li> <li>Are there any changes with this item or</li> <li>comments on this item?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I'd be this would</li> <li>probably be more appropriate at the next meeting,</li> <li>but the incident with Trustee Schmitz contacting the</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>trust anything other your traditional family tree</li> <li>because there was a delay, and anybody that had a</li> <li>trust any trust, corporation, LLC, there was</li> <li>why was there a delay in the issuance of punch cards</li> <li>in May and early June? That would be the question,</li> <li>I would think, because a lot of what? 75</li> <li>properties at least, and then we were told that</li> <li>anything in a family trust was not going to be</li> <li>issued punch cards, but then it was clarified</li> <li>through legal counsel.</li> <li>So as far as interfering with LLCs and</li> <li>stuff, that's exactly what Trustee Schmitz was</li> <li>trying to do with her contacting the Board the</li> <li>front desk on May 19th, according to the complaint</li> <li>that we got from personnel.</li> <li>MR. NELSON: I don't want to get into the</li> <li>specifics of that complaint. I think Trustee</li> <li>Noble's point is I mean, I think we could modify</li> <li>the question along those lines, and then it probably</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>written, how staff was administering the policy, and</li> <li>we found out that how things were being administered</li> <li>weren't meeting how the ordinance was written. And</li> <li>so corrective action was taken to protect property</li> <li>rights.</li> <li>So the way staff was administering the</li> <li>policy or the staff starting administering</li> <li>Ordinance 7 per the policy. And because it was</li> <li>let's just say because our special legal counsel is</li> <li>the one that wrote Ordinance 7, we asked them for</li> <li>clarification on that. I don't think it's our job</li> <li>to get involved in that, and I think, especially</li> <li>when it comes to the beaches, we need to make sure</li> <li>we are doing that correctly.</li> <li>Are there any changes with this item or</li> <li>comments on this item?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I'd be this would</li> <li>probably be more appropriate at the next meeting,</li> <li>but the incident with Trustee Schmitz contacting the</li> <li>front desk, inquiring about her neighbor's property</li> <li>in the LLC, the 5/19/23 incident that we were made</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>trust anything other your traditional family tree</li> <li>because there was a delay, and anybody that had a</li> <li>trust any trust, corporation, LLC, there was</li> <li>why was there a delay in the issuance of punch cards</li> <li>in May and early June? That would be the question,</li> <li>I would think, because a lot of what? 75</li> <li>properties at least, and then we were told that</li> <li>anything in a family trust was not going to be</li> <li>issued punch cards, but then it was clarified</li> <li>through legal counsel.</li> <li>So as far as interfering with LLCs and</li> <li>stuff, that's exactly what Trustee Schmitz was</li> <li>trying to do with her contacting the Board the</li> <li>front desk on May 19th, according to the complaint</li> <li>that we got from personnel.</li> <li>MR. NELSON: I don't want to get into the</li> <li>specifics of that complaint. I think Trustee</li> <li>Noble's point is I mean, I think we could modify</li> <li>would fit with the answer.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>written, how staff was administering the policy, and</li> <li>we found out that how things were being administered</li> <li>weren't meeting how the ordinance was written. And</li> <li>so corrective action was taken to protect property</li> <li>rights.</li> <li>So the way staff was administering the</li> <li>policy or the staff starting administering</li> <li>Ordinance 7 per the policy. And because it was</li> <li>let's just say because our special legal counsel is</li> <li>the one that wrote Ordinance 7, we asked them for</li> <li>clarification on that. I don't think it's our job</li> <li>to get involved in that, and I think, especially</li> <li>when it comes to the beaches, we need to make sure</li> <li>we are doing that correctly.</li> <li>Are there any changes with this item or</li> <li>comments on this item?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I'd be this would</li> <li>probably be more appropriate at the next meeting,</li> <li>but the incident with Trustee Schmitz contacting the</li> <li>front desk, inquiring about her neighbor's property</li> <li>in the LLC, the 5/19/23 incident that we were made</li> <li>aware of, this is gets to be a bit of a sticky</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>trust anything other your traditional family tree</li> <li>because there was a delay, and anybody that had a</li> <li>trust any trust, corporation, LLC, there was</li> <li>why was there a delay in the issuance of punch cards</li> <li>in May and early June? That would be the question,</li> <li>I would think, because a lot of what? 75</li> <li>properties at least, and then we were told that</li> <li>anything in a family trust was not going to be</li> <li>issued punch cards, but then it was clarified</li> <li>through legal counsel.</li> <li>So as far as interfering with LLCs and</li> <li>stuff, that's exactly what Trustee Schmitz was</li> <li>trying to do with her contacting the Board the</li> <li>front desk on May 19th, according to the complaint</li> <li>that we got from personnel.</li> <li>MR. NELSON: I don't want to get into the</li> <li>specifics of that complaint. I think Trustee</li> <li>Noble's point is I mean, I think we could modify</li> <li>the question along those lines, and then it probably</li> <li>would fit with the answer.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Yeah, I mean, there was</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>written, how staff was administering the policy, and</li> <li>we found out that how things were being administered</li> <li>weren't meeting how the ordinance was written. And</li> <li>so corrective action was taken to protect property</li> <li>rights.</li> <li>So the way staff was administering the</li> <li>policy or the staff starting administering</li> <li>Ordinance 7 per the policy. And because it was</li> <li>let's just say because our special legal counsel is</li> <li>the one that wrote Ordinance 7, we asked them for</li> <li>clarification on that. I don't think it's our job</li> <li>to get involved in that, and I think, especially</li> <li>when it comes to the beaches, we need to make sure</li> <li>we are doing that correctly.</li> <li>Are there any changes with this item or</li> <li>comments on this item?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I'd be this would</li> <li>probably be more appropriate at the next meeting,</li> <li>but the incident with Trustee Schmitz contacting the</li> <li>front desk, inquiring about her neighbor's property</li> <li>in the LLC, the 5/19/23 incident that we were made</li> <li>aware of, this is gets to be a bit of a sticky</li> <li>wicket. What you said with regards to the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>trust anything other your traditional family tree</li> <li>because there was a delay, and anybody that had a</li> <li>trust any trust, corporation, LLC, there was</li> <li>why was there a delay in the issuance of punch cards</li> <li>in May and early June? That would be the question,</li> <li>I would think, because a lot of what? 75</li> <li>properties at least, and then we were told that</li> <li>anything in a family trust was not going to be</li> <li>issued punch cards, but then it was clarified</li> <li>through legal counsel.</li> <li>So as far as interfering with LLCs and</li> <li>stuff, that's exactly what Trustee Schmitz was</li> <li>trying to do with her contacting the Board the</li> <li>front desk on May 19th, according to the complaint</li> <li>that we got from personnel.</li> <li>MR. NELSON: I don't want to get into the</li> <li>specifics of that complaint. I think Trustee</li> <li>Noble's point is I mean, I think we could modify</li> <li>the question along those lines, and then it probably</li> <li>would fit with the answer.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Yeah, I mean, there was</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>written, how staff was administering the policy, and</li> <li>we found out that how things were being administered</li> <li>weren't meeting how the ordinance was written. And</li> <li>so corrective action was taken to protect property</li> <li>rights.</li> <li>So the way staff was administering the</li> <li>policy or the staff starting administering</li> <li>Ordinance 7 per the policy. And because it was</li> <li>let's just say because our special legal counsel is</li> <li>the one that wrote Ordinance 7, we asked them for</li> <li>clarification on that. I don't think it's our job</li> <li>to get involved in that, and I think, especially</li> <li>when it comes to the beaches, we need to make sure</li> <li>we are doing that correctly.</li> <li>Are there any changes with this item or</li> <li>comments on this item?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I'd be this would</li> <li>probably be more appropriate at the next meeting,</li> <li>but the incident with Trustee Schmitz contacting the</li> <li>front desk, inquiring about her neighbor's property</li> <li>in the LLC, the 5/19/23 incident that we were made</li> <li>aware of, this is gets to be a bit of a sticky</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>trust anything other your traditional family tree</li> <li>because there was a delay, and anybody that had a</li> <li>trust any trust, corporation, LLC, there was</li> <li>why was there a delay in the issuance of punch cards</li> <li>in May and early June? That would be the question,</li> <li>I would think, because a lot of what? 75</li> <li>properties at least, and then we were told that</li> <li>anything in a family trust was not going to be</li> <li>issued punch cards, but then it was clarified</li> <li>through legal counsel.</li> <li>So as far as interfering with LLCs and</li> <li>stuff, that's exactly what Trustee Schmitz was</li> <li>trying to do with her contacting the Board the</li> <li>front desk on May 19th, according to the complaint</li> <li>that we got from personnel.</li> <li>MR. NELSON: I don't want to get into the</li> <li>specifics of that complaint. I think Trustee</li> <li>Noble's point is I mean, I think we could modify</li> <li>the question along those lines, and then it probably</li> <li>would fit with the answer.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Yeah, I mean, there was</li> </ul>

97	
1 trusts. We did not put trusts issuance on hold for	1 Item 7, does lowering the beach fee/rec
2 anybody.	2 fee lower property values? I don't know how there's
3 MR. NELSON: I think that that issue isn't	3 a correlation with that. But I put: No. This is
4 really in front of us today, so I don't really want	4 false. Elimination of the rec and beach fee is not
5 to spend time getting into it. I think if we were	5 lowering property values. It has no impact on
6 to modify the question is why was there delay in	6 property values. It's purely a standby service
7 issuing punch cards for entities for those	7 charged, and the amount is projected annually to
8 two weeks, as drafted, would answer that question.	8 fund the venue operations capital improvements. The
9 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I mean, just to clarify	9 funds are not needed and, therefore, to comply with
10 this. I mean, this reads like there's delay in all	10 NRS statutes, we eliminated excuse me Nevada
11 the punch cards. There was only a delay for LLCs	11 Revised Statutes were eliminated, resulting in a
12 and trusts. I can't understand why there was a	12 savings of \$325 on the Washoe County property tax
13 delay in trusts since we discussed with special	13 bill.
14 counsel, and I actually specifically asked the	14 Any questions, comments on this item?
15 question, and we're told by special counsel, no,	15 TRUSTEE NOBLE: So I was trying to think,
16 there was no restriction on trusts. It was only the	16 hypothetically, how this could lower property
17 LLCs there were some questions over.	17 values. And if you had if somebody had an
18 MR. NELSON: I don't have my notes in	18 investment property they were using as an Airbnb,
19 front of me. We can go back through the timeline,	19 and last year they were able to get X number of
20 and if that clarification is warranted, we can	20 punch cards and they were worth \$160 each, something
21 certainly clarify that when this comes back.	21 like that, then for their guests, they can provide
22 CHAIR DENT: Yeah, it was LLCs and	22 beach access to more guests. This year with it
23 corporations, no trusts. That was some	23 being reduced to \$91, there are less guests that
24 miscommunication that happened.	24 they can use their punch cards for to get in there.
25 Any other discussion on this item? Okay.	25 So in that respect, they may not be able to rent
99 1 their properties as much, it may not be as valuable.	1 CHAIR DENT: I think one important
1 their properties as much, it may not be as valuable.	
<ol> <li>their properties as much, it may not be as valuable.</li> <li>Again, this gets into that sticky wicket</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>CHAIR DENT: I think one important</li> <li>like, the previous board asked for a whole committee</li> <li>to be to evaluate this process. There's no</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>their properties as much, it may not be as valuable.</li> <li>Again, this gets into that sticky wicket</li> <li>of I can see arguments on both sides. No, it</li> </ol>	<ul><li>2 like, the previous board asked for a whole committee</li><li>3 to be to evaluate this process. There's no</li></ul>
<ol> <li>their properties as much, it may not be as valuable.</li> <li>Again, this gets into that sticky wicket</li> <li>of I can see arguments on both sides. No, it</li> <li>doesn't lower property values. Well, here's an</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 like, the previous board asked for a whole committee</li> <li>3 to be to evaluate this process. There's no</li> <li>4 surprise that the rec fee dropped this year.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>their properties as much, it may not be as valuable.</li> <li>Again, this gets into that sticky wicket</li> <li>of I can see arguments on both sides. No, it</li> <li>doesn't lower property values. Well, here's an</li> <li>example where it does. So, I just don't think this</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>2 like, the previous board asked for a whole committee</li> <li>3 to be to evaluate this process. There's no</li> <li>4 surprise that the rec fee dropped this year.</li> <li>5 Previous boards knew the rec fee was going to drop.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>their properties as much, it may not be as valuable.</li> <li>Again, this gets into that sticky wicket</li> <li>of I can see arguments on both sides. No, it</li> <li>doesn't lower property values. Well, here's an</li> <li>example where it does. So, I just don't think this</li> <li>is something we should get into.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 like, the previous board asked for a whole committee</li> <li>3 to be to evaluate this process. There's no</li> <li>4 surprise that the rec fee dropped this year.</li> <li>5 Previous boards knew the rec fee was going to drop.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>their properties as much, it may not be as valuable.</li> <li>Again, this gets into that sticky wicket</li> <li>of I can see arguments on both sides. No, it</li> <li>doesn't lower property values. Well, here's an</li> <li>example where it does. So, I just don't think this</li> <li>is something we should get into.</li> <li>Property rights, I think, is different.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>2 like, the previous board asked for a whole committee</li> <li>3 to be to evaluate this process. There's no</li> <li>4 surprise that the rec fee dropped this year.</li> <li>5 Previous boards knew the rec fee was going to drop.</li> <li>6 We knew that. We didn't have any I guess, we</li> <li>7 didn't have the results. The entire Board was in</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>their properties as much, it may not be as valuable.</li> <li>Again, this gets into that sticky wicket</li> <li>of I can see arguments on both sides. No, it</li> <li>doesn't lower property values. Well, here's an</li> <li>example where it does. So, I just don't think this</li> <li>is something we should get into.</li> <li>Property rights, I think, is different.</li> <li>Property values is another thing. And then people</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 like, the previous board asked for a whole committee</li> <li>3 to be to evaluate this process. There's no</li> <li>4 surprise that the rec fee dropped this year.</li> <li>5 Previous boards knew the rec fee was going to drop.</li> <li>6 We knew that. We didn't have any I guess, we</li> <li>7 didn't have the results. The entire Board was in</li> <li>8 favor of lowering the rec fee. I think there was a</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>their properties as much, it may not be as valuable.</li> <li>Again, this gets into that sticky wicket</li> <li>of I can see arguments on both sides. No, it</li> <li>doesn't lower property values. Well, here's an</li> <li>example where it does. So, I just don't think this</li> <li>is something we should get into.</li> <li>Property rights, I think, is different.</li> <li>Property values is another thing. And then people</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 like, the previous board asked for a whole committee</li> <li>3 to be to evaluate this process. There's no</li> <li>4 surprise that the rec fee dropped this year.</li> <li>5 Previous boards knew the rec fee was going to drop.</li> <li>6 We knew that. We didn't have any I guess, we</li> <li>7 didn't have the results. The entire Board was in</li> <li>8 favor of lowering the rec fee. I think there was a</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>their properties as much, it may not be as valuable.</li> <li>Again, this gets into that sticky wicket</li> <li>of I can see arguments on both sides. No, it</li> <li>doesn't lower property values. Well, here's an</li> <li>example where it does. So, I just don't think this</li> <li>is something we should get into.</li> <li>Property rights, I think, is different.</li> <li>Property values is another thing. And then people</li> <li>can come back and say, well, by lowering the rec</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 like, the previous board asked for a whole committee</li> <li>3 to be to evaluate this process. There's no</li> <li>4 surprise that the rec fee dropped this year.</li> <li>5 Previous boards knew the rec fee was going to drop.</li> <li>6 We knew that. We didn't have any I guess, we</li> <li>7 didn't have the results. The entire Board was in</li> <li>8 favor of lowering the rec fee. I think there was a</li> <li>9 discussion of, do we lower it by \$100 more or not?</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>their properties as much, it may not be as valuable.</li> <li>Again, this gets into that sticky wicket</li> <li>of I can see arguments on both sides. No, it</li> <li>doesn't lower property values. Well, here's an</li> <li>example where it does. So, I just don't think this</li> <li>is something we should get into.</li> <li>Property rights, I think, is different.</li> <li>Property values is another thing. And then people</li> <li>can come back and say, well, by lowering the rec</li> <li>fees, it indicates that you are not making the</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 like, the previous board asked for a whole committee</li> <li>3 to be to evaluate this process. There's no</li> <li>4 surprise that the rec fee dropped this year.</li> <li>5 Previous boards knew the rec fee was going to drop.</li> <li>6 We knew that. We didn't have any I guess, we</li> <li>7 didn't have the results. The entire Board was in</li> <li>8 favor of lowering the rec fee. I think there was a</li> <li>9 discussion of, do we lower it by \$100 more or not?</li> <li>10 I think from that standpoint, adjusting</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>their properties as much, it may not be as valuable.</li> <li>Again, this gets into that sticky wicket</li> <li>of I can see arguments on both sides. No, it</li> <li>doesn't lower property values. Well, here's an</li> <li>example where it does. So, I just don't think this</li> <li>is something we should get into.</li> <li>Property rights, I think, is different.</li> <li>Property values is another thing. And then people</li> <li>can come back and say, well, by lowering the rec</li> <li>fees, it indicates that you are not making the</li> <li>investments that are necessary to keep our</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 like, the previous board asked for a whole committee</li> <li>3 to be to evaluate this process. There's no</li> <li>4 surprise that the rec fee dropped this year.</li> <li>5 Previous boards knew the rec fee was going to drop.</li> <li>6 We knew that. We didn't have any I guess, we</li> <li>7 didn't have the results. The entire Board was in</li> <li>8 favor of lowering the rec fee. I think there was a</li> <li>9 discussion of, do we lower it by \$100 more or not?</li> <li>10 I think from that standpoint, adjusting</li> <li>11 this a little bit to add a little bit more clarity</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>their properties as much, it may not be as valuable.</li> <li>Again, this gets into that sticky wicket</li> <li>of I can see arguments on both sides. No, it</li> <li>doesn't lower property values. Well, here's an</li> <li>example where it does. So, I just don't think this</li> <li>is something we should get into.</li> <li>Property rights, I think, is different.</li> <li>Property values is another thing. And then people</li> <li>can come back and say, well, by lowering the rec</li> <li>fees, it indicates that you are not making the</li> <li>investments that are necessary to keep our</li> <li>facilities at a certain standard, and so that,</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 like, the previous board asked for a whole committee</li> <li>3 to be to evaluate this process. There's no</li> <li>4 surprise that the rec fee dropped this year.</li> <li>5 Previous boards knew the rec fee was going to drop.</li> <li>6 We knew that. We didn't have any I guess, we</li> <li>7 didn't have the results. The entire Board was in</li> <li>8 favor of lowering the rec fee. I think there was a</li> <li>9 discussion of, do we lower it by \$100 more or not?</li> <li>10 I think from that standpoint, adjusting</li> <li>11 this a little bit to add a little bit more clarity</li> <li>12 could be helpful.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>their properties as much, it may not be as valuable.</li> <li>Again, this gets into that sticky wicket</li> <li>of I can see arguments on both sides. No, it</li> <li>doesn't lower property values. Well, here's an</li> <li>example where it does. So, I just don't think this</li> <li>is something we should get into.</li> <li>Property rights, I think, is different.</li> <li>Property values is another thing. And then people</li> <li>can come back and say, well, by lowering the rec</li> <li>fees, it indicates that you are not making the</li> <li>investments that are necessary to keep our</li> <li>facilities at a certain standard, and so that,</li> <li>overall, is reducing the value of our properties.</li> <li>That's another argument that could be made.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 like, the previous board asked for a whole committee</li> <li>3 to be to evaluate this process. There's no</li> <li>4 surprise that the rec fee dropped this year.</li> <li>5 Previous boards knew the rec fee was going to drop.</li> <li>6 We knew that. We didn't have any I guess, we</li> <li>7 didn't have the results. The entire Board was in</li> <li>8 favor of lowering the rec fee. I think there was a</li> <li>9 discussion of, do we lower it by \$100 more or not?</li> <li>10 I think from that standpoint, adjusting</li> <li>11 this a little bit to add a little bit more clarity</li> <li>12 could be helpful.</li> <li>13 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'm going back a little</li> <li>14 bit, but didn't we have huge reduction in the</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>their properties as much, it may not be as valuable.</li> <li>Again, this gets into that sticky wicket</li> <li>of I can see arguments on both sides. No, it</li> <li>doesn't lower property values. Well, here's an</li> <li>example where it does. So, I just don't think this</li> <li>is something we should get into.</li> <li>Property rights, I think, is different.</li> <li>Property values is another thing. And then people</li> <li>can come back and say, well, by lowering the rec</li> <li>fees, it indicates that you are not making the</li> <li>investments that are necessary to keep our</li> <li>facilities at a certain standard, and so that,</li> <li>overall, is reducing the value of our properties.</li> <li>That's another argument that could be made.</li> <li>So, that's where I'm seeing both sides of</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 like, the previous board asked for a whole committee</li> <li>3 to be to evaluate this process. There's no</li> <li>4 surprise that the rec fee dropped this year.</li> <li>5 Previous boards knew the rec fee was going to drop.</li> <li>6 We knew that. We didn't have any I guess, we</li> <li>7 didn't have the results. The entire Board was in</li> <li>8 favor of lowering the rec fee. I think there was a</li> <li>9 discussion of, do we lower it by \$100 more or not?</li> <li>10 I think from that standpoint, adjusting</li> <li>11 this a little bit to add a little bit more clarity</li> <li>12 could be helpful.</li> <li>13 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'm going back a little</li> <li>14 bit, but didn't we have huge reduction in the</li> <li>15 facility fee in 2008 to 2010 to 2011? I don't</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>their properties as much, it may not be as valuable.</li> <li>Again, this gets into that sticky wicket</li> <li>of I can see arguments on both sides. No, it</li> <li>doesn't lower property values. Well, here's an</li> <li>example where it does. So, I just don't think this</li> <li>is something we should get into.</li> <li>Property rights, I think, is different.</li> <li>Property values is another thing. And then people</li> <li>can come back and say, well, by lowering the rec</li> <li>fees, it indicates that you are not making the</li> <li>investments that are necessary to keep our</li> <li>facilities at a certain standard, and so that,</li> <li>overall, is reducing the value of our properties.</li> <li>That's another argument that could be made.</li> <li>So, that's where I'm seeing both sides of</li> <li>it, and I just don't think that's one we want to get</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 like, the previous board asked for a whole committee</li> <li>3 to be to evaluate this process. There's no</li> <li>4 surprise that the rec fee dropped this year.</li> <li>5 Previous boards knew the rec fee was going to drop.</li> <li>6 We knew that. We didn't have any I guess, we</li> <li>7 didn't have the results. The entire Board was in</li> <li>8 favor of lowering the rec fee. I think there was a</li> <li>9 discussion of, do we lower it by \$100 more or not?</li> <li>10 I think from that standpoint, adjusting</li> <li>11 this a little bit to add a little bit more clarity</li> <li>12 could be helpful.</li> <li>13 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'm going back a little</li> <li>14 bit, but didn't we have huge reduction in the</li> <li>15 facility fee in 2008 to 2010 to 2011? I don't</li> <li>16 believe we did, but we saw huge reduction in house</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>their properties as much, it may not be as valuable.</li> <li>Again, this gets into that sticky wicket</li> <li>of I can see arguments on both sides. No, it</li> <li>doesn't lower property values. Well, here's an</li> <li>example where it does. So, I just don't think this</li> <li>is something we should get into.</li> <li>Property rights, I think, is different.</li> <li>Property values is another thing. And then people</li> <li>can come back and say, well, by lowering the rec</li> <li>fees, it indicates that you are not making the</li> <li>investments that are necessary to keep our</li> <li>facilities at a certain standard, and so that,</li> <li>overall, is reducing the value of our properties.</li> <li>That's another argument that could be made.</li> <li>So, that's where I'm seeing both sides of</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 like, the previous board asked for a whole committee</li> <li>3 to be to evaluate this process. There's no</li> <li>4 surprise that the rec fee dropped this year.</li> <li>5 Previous boards knew the rec fee was going to drop.</li> <li>6 We knew that. We didn't have any I guess, we</li> <li>7 didn't have the results. The entire Board was in</li> <li>8 favor of lowering the rec fee. I think there was a</li> <li>9 discussion of, do we lower it by \$100 more or not?</li> <li>10 I think from that standpoint, adjusting</li> <li>11 this a little bit to add a little bit more clarity</li> <li>12 could be helpful.</li> <li>13 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'm going back a little</li> <li>14 bit, but didn't we have huge reduction in the</li> <li>15 facility fee in 2008 to 2010 to 2011? I don't</li> <li>16 believe we did, but we saw huge reduction in house</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>their properties as much, it may not be as valuable.</li> <li>Again, this gets into that sticky wicket</li> <li>of I can see arguments on both sides. No, it</li> <li>doesn't lower property values. Well, here's an</li> <li>example where it does. So, I just don't think this</li> <li>is something we should get into.</li> <li>Property rights, I think, is different.</li> <li>Property values is another thing. And then people</li> <li>can come back and say, well, by lowering the rec</li> <li>fees, it indicates that you are not making the</li> <li>investments that are necessary to keep our</li> <li>facilities at a certain standard, and so that,</li> <li>overall, is reducing the value of our properties.</li> <li>That's another argument that could be made.</li> <li>So, that's where I'm seeing both sides of</li> <li>it, and I just don't think that's one we want to get</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 like, the previous board asked for a whole committee</li> <li>3 to be to evaluate this process. There's no</li> <li>4 surprise that the rec fee dropped this year.</li> <li>5 Previous boards knew the rec fee was going to drop.</li> <li>6 We knew that. We didn't have any I guess, we</li> <li>7 didn't have the results. The entire Board was in</li> <li>8 favor of lowering the rec fee. I think there was a</li> <li>9 discussion of, do we lower it by \$100 more or not?</li> <li>10 I think from that standpoint, adjusting</li> <li>11 this a little bit to add a little bit more clarity</li> <li>12 could be helpful.</li> <li>13 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'm going back a little</li> <li>14 bit, but didn't we have huge reduction in the</li> <li>15 facility fee in 2008 to 2010 to 2011? I don't</li> <li>16 believe we did, but we saw huge reduction in house</li> <li>17 prices in the Village. We've only recently started</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>their properties as much, it may not be as valuable.</li> <li>Again, this gets into that sticky wicket</li> <li>of I can see arguments on both sides. No, it</li> <li>doesn't lower property values. Well, here's an</li> <li>example where it does. So, I just don't think this</li> <li>is something we should get into.</li> <li>Property rights, I think, is different.</li> <li>Property values is another thing. And then people</li> <li>can come back and say, well, by lowering the rec</li> <li>fees, it indicates that you are not making the</li> <li>investments that are necessary to keep our</li> <li>facilities at a certain standard, and so that,</li> <li>overall, is reducing the value of our properties.</li> <li>That's another argument that could be made.</li> <li>So, that's where I'm seeing both sides of</li> <li>it, and I just don't think that's one we want to get</li> <li>into. It's not black and white, there's gray.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Sounds good. What if we</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 like, the previous board asked for a whole committee</li> <li>3 to be to evaluate this process. There's no</li> <li>4 surprise that the rec fee dropped this year.</li> <li>5 Previous boards knew the rec fee was going to drop.</li> <li>6 We knew that. We didn't have any I guess, we</li> <li>7 didn't have the results. The entire Board was in</li> <li>8 favor of lowering the rec fee. I think there was a</li> <li>9 discussion of, do we lower it by \$100 more or not?</li> <li>10 I think from that standpoint, adjusting</li> <li>11 this a little bit to add a little bit more clarity</li> <li>12 could be helpful.</li> <li>13 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'm going back a little</li> <li>14 bit, but didn't we have huge reduction in the</li> <li>15 facility fee in 2008 to 2010 to 2011? I don't</li> <li>16 believe we did, but we saw huge reduction in house</li> <li>17 prices in the Village. We've only recently started</li> <li>18 to see a resurgence in house prices in the last</li> <li>19 couple years, the COVID years have huge, making huge</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>their properties as much, it may not be as valuable.</li> <li>Again, this gets into that sticky wicket</li> <li>of I can see arguments on both sides. No, it</li> <li>doesn't lower property values. Well, here's an</li> <li>example where it does. So, I just don't think this</li> <li>is something we should get into.</li> <li>Property rights, I think, is different.</li> <li>Property values is another thing. And then people</li> <li>can come back and say, well, by lowering the rec</li> <li>fees, it indicates that you are not making the</li> <li>investments that are necessary to keep our</li> <li>facilities at a certain standard, and so that,</li> <li>overall, is reducing the value of our properties.</li> <li>That's another argument that could be made.</li> <li>So, that's where I'm seeing both sides of</li> <li>it, and I just don't think that's one we want to get</li> <li>into. It's not black and white, there's gray.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Sounds good. What if we</li> <li>tweak the question a little bit?</li> <li>MR. NELSON: I was thinking that. It</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 like, the previous board asked for a whole committee</li> <li>3 to be to evaluate this process. There's no</li> <li>4 surprise that the rec fee dropped this year.</li> <li>5 Previous boards knew the rec fee was going to drop.</li> <li>6 We knew that. We didn't have any I guess, we</li> <li>7 didn't have the results. The entire Board was in</li> <li>8 favor of lowering the rec fee. I think there was a</li> <li>9 discussion of, do we lower it by \$100 more or not?</li> <li>10 I think from that standpoint, adjusting</li> <li>11 this a little bit to add a little bit more clarity</li> <li>12 could be helpful.</li> <li>13 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'm going back a little</li> <li>14 bit, but didn't we have huge reduction in the</li> <li>15 facility fee in 2008 to 2010 to 2011? I don't</li> <li>16 believe we did, but we saw huge reduction in house</li> <li>17 prices in the Village. We've only recently started</li> <li>18 to see a resurgence in house prices in the last</li> <li>19 couple years, the COVID years have huge, making huge</li> <li>20 additions to property values.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>their properties as much, it may not be as valuable.</li> <li>Again, this gets into that sticky wicket</li> <li>of I can see arguments on both sides. No, it</li> <li>doesn't lower property values. Well, here's an</li> <li>example where it does. So, I just don't think this</li> <li>is something we should get into.</li> <li>Property rights, I think, is different.</li> <li>Property values is another thing. And then people</li> <li>can come back and say, well, by lowering the rec</li> <li>fees, it indicates that you are not making the</li> <li>investments that are necessary to keep our</li> <li>facilities at a certain standard, and so that,</li> <li>overall, is reducing the value of our properties.</li> <li>That's another argument that could be made.</li> <li>So, that's where I'm seeing both sides of</li> <li>it, and I just don't think that's one we want to get</li> <li>that. It on the people</li> <li>tweak the question a little bit?</li> <li>MR. NELSON: I was thinking that. It</li> <li>seems like, maybe, the intent of the answer here is</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 like, the previous board asked for a whole committee</li> <li>3 to be to evaluate this process. There's no</li> <li>4 surprise that the rec fee dropped this year.</li> <li>5 Previous boards knew the rec fee was going to drop.</li> <li>6 We knew that. We didn't have any I guess, we</li> <li>7 didn't have the results. The entire Board was in</li> <li>8 favor of lowering the rec fee. I think there was a</li> <li>9 discussion of, do we lower it by \$100 more or not?</li> <li>10 I think from that standpoint, adjusting</li> <li>11 this a little bit to add a little bit more clarity</li> <li>12 could be helpful.</li> <li>13 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'm going back a little</li> <li>14 bit, but didn't we have huge reduction in the</li> <li>15 facility fee in 2008 to 2010 to 2011? I don't</li> <li>16 believe we did, but we saw huge reduction in house</li> <li>17 prices in the Village. We've only recently started</li> <li>18 to see a resurgence in house prices in the last</li> <li>19 couple years, the COVID years have huge, making huge</li> <li>20 additions to property values.</li> <li>21 I think in terms of Trustee Noble's</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>their properties as much, it may not be as valuable.</li> <li>Again, this gets into that sticky wicket</li> <li>of I can see arguments on both sides. No, it</li> <li>doesn't lower property values. Well, here's an</li> <li>example where it does. So, I just don't think this</li> <li>is something we should get into.</li> <li>Property rights, I think, is different.</li> <li>Property values is another thing. And then people</li> <li>can come back and say, well, by lowering the rec</li> <li>fees, it indicates that you are not making the</li> <li>investments that are necessary to keep our</li> <li>facilities at a certain standard, and so that,</li> <li>overall, is reducing the value of our properties.</li> <li>That's another argument that could be made.</li> <li>So, that's where I'm seeing both sides of</li> <li>it, and I just don't think that's one we want to get</li> <li>into. It's not black and white, there's gray.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Sounds good. What if we</li> <li>tweak the question a little bit?</li> <li>MR. NELSON: I was thinking that. It</li> <li>seems like, maybe, the intent of the answer here is</li> <li>really to focus on why the Board lowered the rec</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 like, the previous board asked for a whole committee</li> <li>3 to be to evaluate this process. There's no</li> <li>4 surprise that the rec fee dropped this year.</li> <li>5 Previous boards knew the rec fee was going to drop.</li> <li>6 We knew that. We didn't have any I guess, we</li> <li>7 didn't have the results. The entire Board was in</li> <li>8 favor of lowering the rec fee. I think there was a</li> <li>9 discussion of, do we lower it by \$100 more or not?</li> <li>10 I think from that standpoint, adjusting</li> <li>11 this a little bit to add a little bit more clarity</li> <li>12 could be helpful.</li> <li>13 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'm going back a little</li> <li>14 bit, but didn't we have huge reduction in the</li> <li>15 facility fee in 2008 to 2010 to 2011? I don't</li> <li>16 believe we did, but we saw huge reduction in house</li> <li>17 prices in the Village. We've only recently started</li> <li>18 to see a resurgence in house prices in the last</li> <li>19 couple years, the COVID years have huge, making huge</li> <li>20 additions to property values.</li> <li>21 I think in terms of Trustee Noble's</li> <li>22 comment, what might happen with an STR, we're not</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>their properties as much, it may not be as valuable.</li> <li>Again, this gets into that sticky wicket</li> <li>of I can see arguments on both sides. No, it</li> <li>doesn't lower property values. Well, here's an</li> <li>example where it does. So, I just don't think this</li> <li>is something we should get into.</li> <li>Property rights, I think, is different.</li> <li>Property values is another thing. And then people</li> <li>can come back and say, well, by lowering the rec</li> <li>fees, it indicates that you are not making the</li> <li>investments that are necessary to keep our</li> <li>facilities at a certain standard, and so that,</li> <li>overall, is reducing the value of our properties.</li> <li>That's another argument that could be made.</li> <li>So, that's where I'm seeing both sides of</li> <li>it, and I just don't think that's one we want to get</li> <li>into. It's not black and white, there's gray.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Sounds good. What if we</li> <li>tweak the question a little bit?</li> <li>MR. NELSON: I was thinking that. It</li> <li>seems like, maybe, the intent of the answer here is</li> <li>really to focus on why the Board lowered the rec</li> <li>fee. And I think if we were to, maybe, set the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 like, the previous board asked for a whole committee</li> <li>3 to be to evaluate this process. There's no</li> <li>4 surprise that the rec fee dropped this year.</li> <li>5 Previous boards knew the rec fee was going to drop.</li> <li>6 We knew that. We didn't have any I guess, we</li> <li>7 didn't have the results. The entire Board was in</li> <li>8 favor of lowering the rec fee. I think there was a</li> <li>9 discussion of, do we lower it by \$100 more or not?</li> <li>10 I think from that standpoint, adjusting</li> <li>11 this a little bit to add a little bit more clarity</li> <li>12 could be helpful.</li> <li>13 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'm going back a little</li> <li>14 bit, but didn't we have huge reduction in the</li> <li>15 facility fee in 2008 to 2010 to 2011? I don't</li> <li>16 believe we did, but we saw huge reduction in house</li> <li>17 prices in the Village. We've only recently started</li> <li>18 to see a resurgence in house prices in the last</li> <li>19 couple years, the COVID years have huge, making huge</li> <li>20 additions to property values.</li> <li>21 I think in terms of Trustee Noble's</li> <li>22 comment, what might happen with an STR, we're not</li> <li>23 actually meant to be using the beaches for</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>their properties as much, it may not be as valuable.</li> <li>Again, this gets into that sticky wicket</li> <li>of I can see arguments on both sides. No, it</li> <li>doesn't lower property values. Well, here's an</li> <li>example where it does. So, I just don't think this</li> <li>is something we should get into.</li> <li>Property rights, I think, is different.</li> <li>Property values is another thing. And then people</li> <li>can come back and say, well, by lowering the rec</li> <li>fees, it indicates that you are not making the</li> <li>investments that are necessary to keep our</li> <li>facilities at a certain standard, and so that,</li> <li>overall, is reducing the value of our properties.</li> <li>That's another argument that could be made.</li> <li>So, that's where I'm seeing both sides of</li> <li>it, and I just don't think that's one we want to get</li> <li>into. It's not black and white, there's gray.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Sounds good. What if we</li> <li>tweak the question a little bit?</li> <li>MR. NELSON: I was thinking that. It</li> <li>seems like, maybe, the intent of the answer here is</li> <li>really to focus on why the Board lowered the rec</li> <li>fee. And I think if we were to, maybe, set the</li> <li>question up that way, and then tweak the answer to</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 like, the previous board asked for a whole committee</li> <li>3 to be to evaluate this process. There's no</li> <li>4 surprise that the rec fee dropped this year.</li> <li>5 Previous boards knew the rec fee was going to drop.</li> <li>6 We knew that. We didn't have any I guess, we</li> <li>7 didn't have the results. The entire Board was in</li> <li>8 favor of lowering the rec fee. I think there was a</li> <li>9 discussion of, do we lower it by \$100 more or not?</li> <li>10 I think from that standpoint, adjusting</li> <li>11 this a little bit to add a little bit more clarity</li> <li>12 could be helpful.</li> <li>13 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'm going back a little</li> <li>14 bit, but didn't we have huge reduction in the</li> <li>15 facility fee in 2008 to 2010 to 2011? I don't</li> <li>16 believe we did, but we saw huge reduction in house</li> <li>17 prices in the Village. We've only recently started</li> <li>18 to see a resurgence in house prices in the last</li> <li>19 couple years, the COVID years have huge, making huge</li> <li>20 additions to property values.</li> <li>21 I think in terms of Trustee Noble's</li> <li>22 comment, what might happen with an STR, we're not</li> <li>23 actually meant to be using the beaches for</li> <li>24 commercial purposes, and, effectively, that's what</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>their properties as much, it may not be as valuable.</li> <li>Again, this gets into that sticky wicket</li> <li>of I can see arguments on both sides. No, it</li> <li>doesn't lower property values. Well, here's an</li> <li>example where it does. So, I just don't think this</li> <li>is something we should get into.</li> <li>Property rights, I think, is different.</li> <li>Property values is another thing. And then people</li> <li>can come back and say, well, by lowering the rec</li> <li>fees, it indicates that you are not making the</li> <li>investments that are necessary to keep our</li> <li>facilities at a certain standard, and so that,</li> <li>overall, is reducing the value of our properties.</li> <li>That's another argument that could be made.</li> <li>So, that's where I'm seeing both sides of</li> <li>it, and I just don't think that's one we want to get</li> <li>into. It's not black and white, there's gray.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Sounds good. What if we</li> <li>tweak the question a little bit?</li> <li>MR. NELSON: I was thinking that. It</li> <li>seems like, maybe, the intent of the answer here is</li> <li>really to focus on why the Board lowered the rec</li> <li>fee. And I think if we were to, maybe, set the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 like, the previous board asked for a whole committee</li> <li>3 to be to evaluate this process. There's no</li> <li>4 surprise that the rec fee dropped this year.</li> <li>5 Previous boards knew the rec fee was going to drop.</li> <li>6 We knew that. We didn't have any I guess, we</li> <li>7 didn't have the results. The entire Board was in</li> <li>8 favor of lowering the rec fee. I think there was a</li> <li>9 discussion of, do we lower it by \$100 more or not?</li> <li>10 I think from that standpoint, adjusting</li> <li>11 this a little bit to add a little bit more clarity</li> <li>12 could be helpful.</li> <li>13 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'm going back a little</li> <li>14 bit, but didn't we have huge reduction in the</li> <li>15 facility fee in 2008 to 2010 to 2011? I don't</li> <li>16 believe we did, but we saw huge reduction in house</li> <li>17 prices in the Village. We've only recently started</li> <li>18 to see a resurgence in house prices in the last</li> <li>19 couple years, the COVID years have huge, making huge</li> <li>20 additions to property values.</li> <li>21 I think in terms of Trustee Noble's</li> <li>22 comment, what might happen with an STR, we're not</li> <li>23 actually meant to be using the beaches for</li> </ul>

	101 102
1 think it's a bit of a stretch.	1 matter. The process has just been in years past,
2 I think the claim has been made in public,	2 and that's how the ordinance is set up. I think it
3 made in public comment that, oh, somehow we're	3 says something along the lines of it's typically
4 impacting property values. The reality is property	4 one-fifth of the fee that's past.
5 values are what property values are going to be.	5 So next year, we can have staff look into
6 They reflect the general economy. The property	6 this, dive into it, give us a recommendation, and we
7 values are dropping at the moment, and houses are	7 can set everybody's punch card value to \$500 or \$800
8 hard to sell. It's probably more related to	8 or really it's arbitrary. That number is the
9 7.5 percent mortgage rates than a reduction in the	9 number, the dollar amount that we're actually
10 beach fee and the punch card value.	10 charging and need is what really matters at the end
11 I think it's also important to add that we	11 of the day.
12 have fully funded the capital program with the	12 TRUSTEE NOBLE: This is where I think you
13 changed rec fee. The rec fee is not reducing the	13 need to tie these FAQs back to the Board decision.
14 rec fee has not removed stuff from the capital	14 Board set the fee at this, and this is how we got to
15 program. We've still fully funded all the capital	15 this calculation, this is why. It's the result of
16 projects.	16 this and why you're seeing this.
17 CHAIR DENT: One thing that, and it goes	17 Trying to play Whack a Mole with various
18 back to your comment, I thought of and this might be	18 rumors and innuendos and stuff, well, the Board did
19 as we tweak this question, legal counsel, that we	19 this for this reason or that for that reason, it
20 also address this. We're just following the	20 will be never ending. But if you stick to your guns
21 splitting rec fee by one-fifth. It's been going on	21 and say, this what we did, this why we did it, and
22 forever. So that's why the values are \$91.	22 leave it at that.
23 Why the previous board decides to make	23 CHAIR DENT: I think that's a very good
24 the, you know, what you can use your, say, your	24 thing to do when we can. I think some of these are
25 punch cards, \$100, \$1,000, \$500, it really doesn't	25 a little bit not as easy.
1 TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I don't have a problem	103 104
1 TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I don't have a problem 2 with the question because it has it is the	1 not, as it's been claimed in public comments, not
2 with the question because it has it is the	<ol> <li>not, as it's been claimed in public comments, not</li> <li>cut projects for anything for that. We've actually</li> </ol>
<ul><li>2 with the question because it has it is the</li><li>3 question or it is the issue that has been brought</li></ul>	<ol> <li>not, as it's been claimed in public comments, not</li> <li>cut projects for anything for that. We've actually</li> <li>funded the approved projects.</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>2 with the question because it has it is the</li> <li>3 question or it is the issue that has been brought</li> <li>4 up, and especially from the Board of Realtors.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>not, as it's been claimed in public comments, not</li> <li>cut projects for anything for that. We've actually</li> <li>funded the approved projects.</li> <li>I think with regard property values, as</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>2 with the question because it has it is the</li> <li>3 question or it is the issue that has been brought</li> <li>4 up, and especially from the Board of Realtors.</li> <li>5 But I think that we really can't answer</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>not, as it's been claimed in public comments, not</li> <li>cut projects for anything for that. We've actually</li> <li>funded the approved projects.</li> <li>I think with regard property values, as</li> <li>Trustee Schmitz and Trustee Noble says, it's pretty</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>2 with the question because it has it is the</li> <li>3 question or it is the issue that has been brought</li> <li>4 up, and especially from the Board of Realtors.</li> <li>5 But I think that we really can't answer</li> <li>6 that question at all to say it is true or it is</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>not, as it's been claimed in public comments, not</li> <li>cut projects for anything for that. We've actually</li> <li>funded the approved projects.</li> <li>I think with regard property values, as</li> <li>Trustee Schmitz and Trustee Noble says, it's pretty</li> <li>objective. I think property values are driven much</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>2 with the question because it has it is the</li> <li>3 question or it is the issue that has been brought</li> <li>4 up, and especially from the Board of Realtors.</li> <li>5 But I think that we really can't answer</li> <li>6 that question at all to say it is true or it is</li> <li>7 false, because to use Trustee Noble's example, that</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>not, as it's been claimed in public comments, not</li> <li>cut projects for anything for that. We've actually</li> <li>funded the approved projects.</li> <li>I think with regard property values, as</li> <li>Trustee Schmitz and Trustee Noble says, it's pretty</li> <li>objective. I think property values are driven much</li> <li>more by the general economy and interest rates. I</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>2 with the question because it has it is the</li> <li>3 question or it is the issue that has been brought</li> <li>4 up, and especially from the Board of Realtors.</li> <li>5 But I think that we really can't answer</li> <li>6 that question at all to say it is true or it is</li> <li>7 false, because to use Trustee Noble's example, that</li> <li>8 might be one that would say, oh, it's having a</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>not, as it's been claimed in public comments, not</li> <li>cut projects for anything for that. We've actually</li> <li>funded the approved projects.</li> <li>I think with regard property values, as</li> <li>Trustee Schmitz and Trustee Noble says, it's pretty</li> <li>objective. I think property values are driven much</li> <li>more by the general economy and interest rates. I</li> <li>don't have a dog in the fight. I'm not trying to</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>2 with the question because it has it is the</li> <li>3 question or it is the issue that has been brought</li> <li>4 up, and especially from the Board of Realtors.</li> <li>5 But I think that we really can't answer</li> <li>6 that question at all to say it is true or it is</li> <li>7 false, because to use Trustee Noble's example, that</li> <li>8 might be one that would say, oh, it's having a</li> <li>9 negative impact.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>not, as it's been claimed in public comments, not</li> <li>cut projects for anything for that. We've actually</li> <li>funded the approved projects.</li> <li>I think with regard property values, as</li> <li>Trustee Schmitz and Trustee Noble says, it's pretty</li> <li>objective. I think property values are driven much</li> <li>more by the general economy and interest rates. I</li> <li>don't have a dog in the fight. I'm not trying to</li> <li>sell something, so I'm not going to make claims one</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>2 with the question because it has it is the</li> <li>3 question or it is the issue that has been brought</li> <li>4 up, and especially from the Board of Realtors.</li> <li>5 But I think that we really can't answer</li> <li>6 that question at all to say it is true or it is</li> <li>7 false, because to use Trustee Noble's example, that</li> <li>8 might be one that would say, oh, it's having a</li> <li>9 negative impact.</li> <li>10 The other thing I'm hearing is our</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>not, as it's been claimed in public comments, not</li> <li>cut projects for anything for that. We've actually</li> <li>funded the approved projects.</li> <li>I think with regard property values, as</li> <li>Trustee Schmitz and Trustee Noble says, it's pretty</li> <li>objective. I think property values are driven much</li> <li>more by the general economy and interest rates. I</li> <li>don't have a dog in the fight. I'm not trying to</li> <li>sell something, so I'm not going to make claims one</li> <li>way or another whether it's impacting property</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>2 with the question because it has it is the</li> <li>3 question or it is the issue that has been brought</li> <li>4 up, and especially from the Board of Realtors.</li> <li>5 But I think that we really can't answer</li> <li>6 that question at all to say it is true or it is</li> <li>7 false, because to use Trustee Noble's example, that</li> <li>8 might be one that would say, oh, it's having a</li> <li>9 negative impact.</li> <li>10 The other thing I'm hearing is our</li> <li>11 community members are thrilled with the ability and</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>not, as it's been claimed in public comments, not</li> <li>cut projects for anything for that. We've actually</li> <li>funded the approved projects.</li> <li>I think with regard property values, as</li> <li>Trustee Schmitz and Trustee Noble says, it's pretty</li> <li>objective. I think property values are driven much</li> <li>more by the general economy and interest rates. I</li> <li>don't have a dog in the fight. I'm not trying to</li> <li>sell something, so I'm not going to make claims one</li> <li>way or another whether it's impacting property</li> <li>values. It's not property values of what they are,</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>2 with the question because it has it is the</li> <li>3 question or it is the issue that has been brought</li> <li>4 up, and especially from the Board of Realtors.</li> <li>5 But I think that we really can't answer</li> <li>6 that question at all to say it is true or it is</li> <li>7 false, because to use Trustee Noble's example, that</li> <li>8 might be one that would say, oh, it's having a</li> <li>9 negative impact.</li> <li>10 The other thing I'm hearing is our</li> <li>11 community members are thrilled with the ability and</li> <li>12 the enjoyable use of our beaches, not only about</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>not, as it's been claimed in public comments, not</li> <li>cut projects for anything for that. We've actually</li> <li>funded the approved projects.</li> <li>I think with regard property values, as</li> <li>Trustee Schmitz and Trustee Noble says, it's pretty</li> <li>objective. I think property values are driven much</li> <li>more by the general economy and interest rates. I</li> <li>don't have a dog in the fight. I'm not trying to</li> <li>sell something, so I'm not going to make claims one</li> <li>way or another whether it's impacting property</li> <li>values. It's not property values of what they are,</li> <li>it's what the buyer's prepared to pay and what the</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>2 with the question because it has it is the</li> <li>3 question or it is the issue that has been brought</li> <li>4 up, and especially from the Board of Realtors.</li> <li>5 But I think that we really can't answer</li> <li>6 that question at all to say it is true or it is</li> <li>7 false, because to use Trustee Noble's example, that</li> <li>8 might be one that would say, oh, it's having a</li> <li>9 negative impact.</li> <li>10 The other thing I'm hearing is our</li> <li>11 community members are thrilled with the ability and</li> <li>12 the enjoyable use of our beaches, not only about</li> <li>13 last year, but even more so this year. So, does</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>not, as it's been claimed in public comments, not</li> <li>cut projects for anything for that. We've actually</li> <li>funded the approved projects.</li> <li>I think with regard property values, as</li> <li>Trustee Schmitz and Trustee Noble says, it's pretty</li> <li>objective. I think property values are driven much</li> <li>more by the general economy and interest rates. I</li> <li>don't have a dog in the fight. I'm not trying to</li> <li>sell something, so I'm not going to make claims one</li> <li>way or another whether it's impacting property</li> <li>values. It's not property values of what they are,</li> <li>it's what the buyer's prepared to pay and what the</li> <li>seller's prepared to accept.</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>2 with the question because it has it is the</li> <li>3 question or it is the issue that has been brought</li> <li>4 up, and especially from the Board of Realtors.</li> <li>5 But I think that we really can't answer</li> <li>6 that question at all to say it is true or it is</li> <li>7 false, because to use Trustee Noble's example, that</li> <li>8 might be one that would say, oh, it's having a</li> <li>9 negative impact.</li> <li>10 The other thing I'm hearing is our</li> <li>11 community members are thrilled with the ability and</li> <li>12 the enjoyable use of our beaches, not only about</li> <li>13 last year, but even more so this year. So, does</li> <li>14 that increase the value?</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>not, as it's been claimed in public comments, not</li> <li>cut projects for anything for that. We've actually</li> <li>funded the approved projects.</li> <li>I think with regard property values, as</li> <li>Trustee Schmitz and Trustee Noble says, it's pretty</li> <li>objective. I think property values are driven much</li> <li>more by the general economy and interest rates. I</li> <li>don't have a dog in the fight. I'm not trying to</li> <li>sell something, so I'm not going to make claims one</li> <li>way or another whether it's impacting property</li> <li>values. It's not property values of what they are,</li> <li>it's what the buyer's prepared to pay and what the</li> <li>seller's prepared to accept.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>2 with the question because it has it is the</li> <li>3 question or it is the issue that has been brought</li> <li>4 up, and especially from the Board of Realtors.</li> <li>5 But I think that we really can't answer</li> <li>6 that question at all to say it is true or it is</li> <li>7 false, because to use Trustee Noble's example, that</li> <li>8 might be one that would say, oh, it's having a</li> <li>9 negative impact.</li> <li>10 The other thing I'm hearing is our</li> <li>11 community members are thrilled with the ability and</li> <li>12 the enjoyable use of our beaches, not only about</li> <li>13 last year, but even more so this year. So, does</li> <li>14 that increase the value?</li> <li>15 I don't think there's just one answer. I</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>not, as it's been claimed in public comments, not</li> <li>cut projects for anything for that. We've actually</li> <li>funded the approved projects.</li> <li>I think with regard property values, as</li> <li>Trustee Schmitz and Trustee Noble says, it's pretty</li> <li>objective. I think property values are driven much</li> <li>more by the general economy and interest rates. I</li> <li>don't have a dog in the fight. I'm not trying to</li> <li>sell something, so I'm not going to make claims one</li> <li>way or another whether it's impacting property</li> <li>values. It's not property values of what they are,</li> <li>it's what the buyer's prepared to pay and what the</li> <li>seller's prepared to accept.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>2 with the question because it has it is the</li> <li>3 question or it is the issue that has been brought</li> <li>4 up, and especially from the Board of Realtors.</li> <li>5 But I think that we really can't answer</li> <li>6 that question at all to say it is true or it is</li> <li>7 false, because to use Trustee Noble's example, that</li> <li>8 might be one that would say, oh, it's having a</li> <li>9 negative impact.</li> <li>10 The other thing I'm hearing is our</li> <li>11 community members are thrilled with the ability and</li> <li>12 the enjoyable use of our beaches, not only about</li> <li>13 last year, but even more so this year. So, does</li> <li>14 that increase the value?</li> <li>15 I don't think there's just one answer. I</li> <li>16 don't think we should say it's true or it's false.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>not, as it's been claimed in public comments, not</li> <li>cut projects for anything for that. We've actually</li> <li>funded the approved projects.</li> <li>I think with regard property values, as</li> <li>Trustee Schmitz and Trustee Noble says, it's pretty</li> <li>objective. I think property values are driven much</li> <li>more by the general economy and interest rates. I</li> <li>don't have a dog in the fight. I'm not trying to</li> <li>sell something, so I'm not going to make claims one</li> <li>way or another whether it's impacting property</li> <li>values. It's not property values of what they are,</li> <li>it's what the buyer's prepared to pay and what the</li> <li>seller's prepared to accept.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this</li> <li>item? Okay. I think we got some good feedback on</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>2 with the question because it has it is the</li> <li>3 question or it is the issue that has been brought</li> <li>4 up, and especially from the Board of Realtors.</li> <li>5 But I think that we really can't answer</li> <li>6 that question at all to say it is true or it is</li> <li>7 false, because to use Trustee Noble's example, that</li> <li>8 might be one that would say, oh, it's having a</li> <li>9 negative impact.</li> <li>10 The other thing I'm hearing is our</li> <li>11 community members are thrilled with the ability and</li> <li>12 the enjoyable use of our beaches, not only about</li> <li>13 last year, but even more so this year. So, does</li> <li>14 that increase the value?</li> <li>15 I don't think there's just one answer. I</li> <li>16 don't think we should say it's true or it's false.</li> <li>17 We should say it's I guess it depends. But the</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>not, as it's been claimed in public comments, not</li> <li>cut projects for anything for that. We've actually</li> <li>funded the approved projects.</li> <li>I think with regard property values, as</li> <li>Trustee Schmitz and Trustee Noble says, it's pretty</li> <li>objective. I think property values are driven much</li> <li>more by the general economy and interest rates. I</li> <li>don't have a dog in the fight. I'm not trying to</li> <li>sell something, so I'm not going to make claims one</li> <li>way or another whether it's impacting property</li> <li>values. It's not property values of what they are,</li> <li>it's what the buyer's prepared to pay and what the</li> <li>seller's prepared to accept.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this</li> <li>item? Okay. I think we got some good feedback on</li> <li>that, so thank you.</li> <li>Moving on to rumor number 8, the reduction</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>2 with the question because it has it is the</li> <li>3 question or it is the issue that has been brought</li> <li>4 up, and especially from the Board of Realtors.</li> <li>5 But I think that we really can't answer</li> <li>6 that question at all to say it is true or it is</li> <li>7 false, because to use Trustee Noble's example, that</li> <li>8 might be one that would say, oh, it's having a</li> <li>9 negative impact.</li> <li>10 The other thing I'm hearing is our</li> <li>11 community members are thrilled with the ability and</li> <li>12 the enjoyable use of our beaches, not only about</li> <li>13 last year, but even more so this year. So, does</li> <li>14 that increase the value?</li> <li>15 I don't think there's just one answer. I</li> <li>16 don't think we should say it's true or it's false.</li> <li>17 We should say it's I guess it depends. But the</li> <li>18 lowering, it is not reducing our investment our</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>not, as it's been claimed in public comments, not</li> <li>cut projects for anything for that. We've actually</li> <li>funded the approved projects.</li> <li>I think with regard property values, as</li> <li>Trustee Schmitz and Trustee Noble says, it's pretty</li> <li>objective. I think property values are driven much</li> <li>more by the general economy and interest rates. I</li> <li>don't have a dog in the fight. I'm not trying to</li> <li>sell something, so I'm not going to make claims one</li> <li>way or another whether it's impacting property</li> <li>values. It's not property values of what they are,</li> <li>it's what the buyer's prepared to pay and what the</li> <li>seller's prepared to accept.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this</li> <li>item? Okay. I think we got some good feedback on</li> <li>that, so thank you.</li> <li>Moving on to rumor number 8, the reduction</li> <li>of the value of the punch cards is infringing on</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>2 with the question because it has it is the</li> <li>3 question or it is the issue that has been brought</li> <li>4 up, and especially from the Board of Realtors.</li> <li>5 But I think that we really can't answer</li> <li>6 that question at all to say it is true or it is</li> <li>7 false, because to use Trustee Noble's example, that</li> <li>8 might be one that would say, oh, it's having a</li> <li>9 negative impact.</li> <li>10 The other thing I'm hearing is our</li> <li>11 community members are thrilled with the ability and</li> <li>12 the enjoyable use of our beaches, not only about</li> <li>13 last year, but even more so this year. So, does</li> <li>14 that increase the value?</li> <li>15 I don't think there's just one answer. I</li> <li>16 don't think we should say it's true or it's false.</li> <li>17 We should say it's I guess it depends. But the</li> <li>18 lowering, it is not reducing our investment our</li> <li>19 assets, it's not doing that, and whether it</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>not, as it's been claimed in public comments, not</li> <li>cut projects for anything for that. We've actually</li> <li>funded the approved projects.</li> <li>I think with regard property values, as</li> <li>Trustee Schmitz and Trustee Noble says, it's pretty</li> <li>objective. I think property values are driven much</li> <li>more by the general economy and interest rates. I</li> <li>don't have a dog in the fight. I'm not trying to</li> <li>sell something, so I'm not going to make claims one</li> <li>way or another whether it's impacting property</li> <li>values. It's not property values of what they are,</li> <li>it's what the buyer's prepared to pay and what the</li> <li>seller's prepared to accept.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this</li> <li>item? Okay. I think we got some good feedback on</li> <li>that, so thank you.</li> <li>Moving on to rumor number 8, the reduction</li> <li>of the value of the punch cards is infringing on</li> <li>property rights. No, it has no impact on or changes</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>2 with the question because it has it is the</li> <li>3 question or it is the issue that has been brought</li> <li>4 up, and especially from the Board of Realtors.</li> <li>5 But I think that we really can't answer</li> <li>6 that question at all to say it is true or it is</li> <li>7 false, because to use Trustee Noble's example, that</li> <li>8 might be one that would say, oh, it's having a</li> <li>9 negative impact.</li> <li>10 The other thing I'm hearing is our</li> <li>11 community members are thrilled with the ability and</li> <li>12 the enjoyable use of our beaches, not only about</li> <li>13 last year, but even more so this year. So, does</li> <li>14 that increase the value?</li> <li>15 I don't think there's just one answer. I</li> <li>16 don't think we should say it's true or it's false.</li> <li>17 We should say it's I guess it depends. But the</li> <li>18 lowering, it is not reducing our investment our</li> <li>19 assets, it's not doing that, and whether it</li> <li>20 increases or decreases a property value, I guess</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>not, as it's been claimed in public comments, not</li> <li>cut projects for anything for that. We've actually</li> <li>funded the approved projects.</li> <li>I think with regard property values, as</li> <li>Trustee Schmitz and Trustee Noble says, it's pretty</li> <li>objective. I think property values are driven much</li> <li>more by the general economy and interest rates. I</li> <li>don't have a dog in the fight. I'm not trying to</li> <li>sell something, so I'm not going to make claims one</li> <li>way or another whether it's impacting property</li> <li>values. It's not property values of what they are,</li> <li>it's what the buyer's prepared to pay and what the</li> <li>seller's prepared to accept.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this</li> <li>item? Okay. I think we got some good feedback on</li> <li>that, so thank you.</li> <li>Moving on to rumor number 8, the reduction</li> <li>of the value of the punch cards is infringing on</li> <li>property rights. No, it has no impact on or changes</li> <li>to property rights. It's purely a function of the</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>2 with the question because it has it is the</li> <li>3 question or it is the issue that has been brought</li> <li>4 up, and especially from the Board of Realtors.</li> <li>5 But I think that we really can't answer</li> <li>6 that question at all to say it is true or it is</li> <li>7 false, because to use Trustee Noble's example, that</li> <li>8 might be one that would say, oh, it's having a</li> <li>9 negative impact.</li> <li>10 The other thing I'm hearing is our</li> <li>11 community members are thrilled with the ability and</li> <li>12 the enjoyable use of our beaches, not only about</li> <li>13 last year, but even more so this year. So, does</li> <li>14 that increase the value?</li> <li>15 I don't think there's just one answer. I</li> <li>16 don't think we should say it's true or it's false.</li> <li>17 We should say it's I guess it depends. But the</li> <li>18 lowering, it is not reducing our investment our</li> <li>19 assets, it's not doing that, and whether it</li> <li>20 increases or decreases a property value, I guess</li> <li>21 that's up to individual interpretation.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>not, as it's been claimed in public comments, not</li> <li>cut projects for anything for that. We've actually</li> <li>funded the approved projects.</li> <li>I think with regard property values, as</li> <li>Trustee Schmitz and Trustee Noble says, it's pretty</li> <li>objective. I think property values are driven much</li> <li>more by the general economy and interest rates. I</li> <li>don't have a dog in the fight. I'm not trying to</li> <li>sell something, so I'm not going to make claims one</li> <li>way or another whether it's impacting property</li> <li>values. It's not property values of what they are,</li> <li>it's what the buyer's prepared to pay and what the</li> <li>seller's prepared to accept.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this</li> <li>item? Okay. I think we got some good feedback on</li> <li>that, so thank you.</li> <li>Moving on to rumor number 8, the reduction</li> <li>of the value of the punch cards is infringing on</li> <li>property rights. No, it has no impact on or changes</li> <li>to property rights. It's purely a function of the</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>2 with the question because it has it is the</li> <li>3 question or it is the issue that has been brought</li> <li>4 up, and especially from the Board of Realtors.</li> <li>5 But I think that we really can't answer</li> <li>6 that question at all to say it is true or it is</li> <li>7 false, because to use Trustee Noble's example, that</li> <li>8 might be one that would say, oh, it's having a</li> <li>9 negative impact.</li> <li>10 The other thing I'm hearing is our</li> <li>11 community members are thrilled with the ability and</li> <li>12 the enjoyable use of our beaches, not only about</li> <li>13 last year, but even more so this year. So, does</li> <li>14 that increase the value?</li> <li>15 I don't think there's just one answer. I</li> <li>16 don't think we should say it's true or it's false.</li> <li>17 We should say it's I guess it depends. But the</li> <li>18 lowering, it is not reducing our investment our</li> <li>19 assets, it's not doing that, and whether it</li> <li>20 increases or decreases a property value, I guess</li> <li>21 that's up to individual interpretation.</li> <li>22 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah, I agree with that.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>not, as it's been claimed in public comments, not</li> <li>cut projects for anything for that. We've actually</li> <li>funded the approved projects.</li> <li>I think with regard property values, as</li> <li>Trustee Schmitz and Trustee Noble says, it's pretty</li> <li>objective. I think property values are driven much</li> <li>more by the general economy and interest rates. I</li> <li>don't have a dog in the fight. I'm not trying to</li> <li>sell something, so I'm not going to make claims one</li> <li>way or another whether it's impacting property</li> <li>values. It's not property values of what they are,</li> <li>it's what the buyer's prepared to pay and what the</li> <li>seller's prepared to accept.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this</li> <li>item? Okay. I think we got some good feedback on</li> <li>that, so thank you.</li> <li>Moving on to rumor number 8, the reduction</li> <li>of the value of the punch cards is infringing on</li> <li>property rights. No, it has no impact on or changes</li> <li>to property rights. It's purely a function of the</li> <li>rec fee and the beach fee, beach fees needed or not</li> <li>needed, to fund the venue operations and capital</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>with the question because it has it is the</li> <li>question or it is the issue that has been brought</li> <li>up, and especially from the Board of Realtors.</li> <li>But I think that we really can't answer</li> <li>that question at all to say it is true or it is</li> <li>false, because to use Trustee Noble's example, that</li> <li>might be one that would say, oh, it's having a</li> <li>negative impact.</li> <li>The other thing I'm hearing is our</li> <li>community members are thrilled with the ability and</li> <li>the enjoyable use of our beaches, not only about</li> <li>last year, but even more so this year. So, does</li> <li>that increase the value?</li> <li>I don't think there's just one answer. I</li> <li>don't think we should say it's true or it's false.</li> <li>We should say it's I guess it depends. But the</li> <li>lowering, it is not reducing our investment our</li> <li>assets, it's not doing that, and whether it</li> <li>increases or decreases a property value, I guess</li> <li>that's up to individual interpretation.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah, I agree with that.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1 not, as it's been claimed in public comments, not</li> <li>2 cut projects for anything for that. We've actually</li> <li>3 funded the approved projects.</li> <li>4 I think with regard property values, as</li> <li>5 Trustee Schmitz and Trustee Noble says, it's pretty</li> <li>6 objective. I think property values are driven much</li> <li>7 more by the general economy and interest rates. I</li> <li>8 don't have a dog in the fight. I'm not trying to</li> <li>9 sell something, so I'm not going to make claims one</li> <li>10 way or another whether it's impacting property</li> <li>11 values. It's not property values of what they are,</li> <li>12 it's what the buyer's prepared to pay and what the</li> <li>13 seller's prepared to accept.</li> <li>14 CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this</li> <li>15 item? Okay. I think we got some good feedback on</li> <li>16 that, so thank you.</li> <li>17 Moving on to rumor number 8, the reduction</li> <li>18 of the value of the punch cards is infringing on</li> <li>19 property rights. It's purely a function of the</li> <li>21 rec fee and the beach fee, beach fees needed or not</li> <li>22 reeded, to fund the venue operations and capital</li> <li>23 improvements.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>with the question because it has it is the</li> <li>question or it is the issue that has been brought</li> <li>up, and especially from the Board of Realtors.</li> <li>But I think that we really can't answer</li> <li>that question at all to say it is true or it is</li> <li>false, because to use Trustee Noble's example, that</li> <li>might be one that would say, oh, it's having a</li> <li>negative impact.</li> <li>The other thing I'm hearing is our</li> <li>community members are thrilled with the ability and</li> <li>the enjoyable use of our beaches, not only about</li> <li>last year, but even more so this year. So, does</li> <li>that increase the value?</li> <li>I don't think there's just one answer. I</li> <li>don't think we should say it's true or it's false.</li> <li>We should say it's I guess it depends. But the</li> <li>lowering, it is not reducing our investment our</li> <li>assets, it's not doing that, and whether it</li> <li>increases or decreases a property value, I guess</li> <li>that's up to individual interpretation.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah, I agree with that.</li> <li>I think the important point to make here, I think we</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>not, as it's been claimed in public comments, not</li> <li>cut projects for anything for that. We've actually</li> <li>funded the approved projects.</li> <li>I think with regard property values, as</li> <li>Trustee Schmitz and Trustee Noble says, it's pretty</li> <li>objective. I think property values are driven much</li> <li>more by the general economy and interest rates. I</li> <li>don't have a dog in the fight. I'm not trying to</li> <li>sell something, so I'm not going to make claims one</li> <li>way or another whether it's impacting property</li> <li>values. It's not property values of what they are,</li> <li>it's what the buyer's prepared to pay and what the</li> <li>seller's prepared to accept.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this</li> <li>item? Okay. I think we got some good feedback on</li> <li>that, so thank you.</li> <li>Moving on to rumor number 8, the reduction</li> <li>of the value of the punch cards is infringing on</li> <li>property rights. It's purely a function of the</li> <li>rec fee and the beach fee, beach fees needed or not</li> <li>needed, to fund the venue operations and capital</li> <li>improvements.</li> <li>So, I think Trustee Schmitz, this goes</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>with the question because it has it is the</li> <li>question or it is the issue that has been brought</li> <li>up, and especially from the Board of Realtors.</li> <li>But I think that we really can't answer</li> <li>that question at all to say it is true or it is</li> <li>false, because to use Trustee Noble's example, that</li> <li>might be one that would say, oh, it's having a</li> <li>negative impact.</li> <li>The other thing I'm hearing is our</li> <li>community members are thrilled with the ability and</li> <li>the enjoyable use of our beaches, not only about</li> <li>last year, but even more so this year. So, does</li> <li>that increase the value?</li> <li>I don't think there's just one answer. I</li> <li>don't think we should say it's true or it's false.</li> <li>We should say it's I guess it depends. But the</li> <li>lowering, it is not reducing our investment our</li> <li>assets, it's not doing that, and whether it</li> <li>increases or decreases a property value, I guess</li> <li>that's up to individual interpretation.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah, I agree with that.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1 not, as it's been claimed in public comments, not</li> <li>2 cut projects for anything for that. We've actually</li> <li>3 funded the approved projects.</li> <li>4 I think with regard property values, as</li> <li>5 Trustee Schmitz and Trustee Noble says, it's pretty</li> <li>6 objective. I think property values are driven much</li> <li>7 more by the general economy and interest rates. I</li> <li>8 don't have a dog in the fight. I'm not trying to</li> <li>9 sell something, so I'm not going to make claims one</li> <li>10 way or another whether it's impacting property</li> <li>11 values. It's not property values of what they are,</li> <li>12 it's what the buyer's prepared to pay and what the</li> <li>13 seller's prepared to accept.</li> <li>14 CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this</li> <li>15 item? Okay. I think we got some good feedback on</li> <li>16 that, so thank you.</li> <li>17 Moving on to rumor number 8, the reduction</li> <li>18 of the value of the punch cards is infringing on</li> <li>19 property rights. It's purely a function of the</li> <li>21 rec fee and the beach fee, beach fees needed or not</li> <li>22 reeded, to fund the venue operations and capital</li> <li>23 improvements.</li> </ul>

	105		106
1 examples.	105	1 the fee.	100
2 TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: One of the things that		2 CHAIR DENT: Maybe it's important on here	
3 the Ordinance 7 committee was trying to do with		3 to mention how you go about bringing in a guest to	
4 their recommendations that they brought to the Board		4 the beach, and do you need a punch card for doing	
5 last year is to ensure there is some equality with		5 that, because I think that's important. I had a	
6 every parcel, that every parcel has the same access		6 call today with somebody, and they said, well, you	
7 and the same rights. And, to me, this is just more		7 know, because they don't have punch cards, they	
8 of the same. Everyone is being treated equally, so		8 can't take anyone to the beaches. And I said,	
9 it's not infringing on rights. Everyone has the		9 that's not true. You can stand there at the gate	
10 same equal rights. And I think that was the real		10 and bring in your 15 guests per pass holder every	
11 push and one of the major deliverables out of the		11 day.	
12 Ordinance 7 committee last year.		12 This is just, once again, arbitrary value	
13 CHAIR DENT: Any other comments on this?		13 that was set, and we've been following previous	
14 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah, I think we can		14 board's practices in doing that.	
15 also add in here that the punch card is basically		15 I look forward to having more information	
16 one-fifth the fee, there's been no change there. In		16 on both of these next year so we can do what's	
17 fact, for those that don't use the punch cards, I've		17 you know, improve upon and make sure that we're	
18 heard a lot of feedback within the community, not		18 doing what's right when it comes to these.	
19 from the vocal people necessarily, but lots of		19 Anything else on number 8?	
20 feedback from the community thanking us for reducing		20 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: To clarify, something	
21 it, because there's a large percentage of the		21 that's made in public comment when somebody claimed	
22 community that don't get to use their punch cards.		22 we're absurdly high entrance fees to the beach, the	
23 They've been paying for them, but they don't get to		23 entry fees to the beach were set by the previous	
24 use them.		24 board. And just to correct the math where it was	
25 So, I've heard lots of thanks for reducing		25 claimed you could hardly get three entrances to the	
		, ,,,	
1 beach if the punch card's worth \$91 and the	107	1 30- 40-Play Pass while eliminating All You Can	108
<ol> <li>beach, if the punch card's worth \$91 and the</li> <li>entrance to the beach is \$16, you can get at least</li> </ol>	107	<ol> <li>30-, 40-Play Pass, while eliminating All You Can</li> <li>Play Passes.</li> </ol>	108
2 entrance to the beach is \$16, you can get at least	107	2 Play Passes.	108
<ul><li>2 entrance to the beach is \$16, you can get at least</li><li>3 five entrances per punch card.</li></ul>	107	<ol> <li>Play Passes.</li> <li>And he brought that, then were was</li> </ol>	108
<ol> <li>entrance to the beach is \$16, you can get at least</li> <li>five entrances per punch card.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: All right. Anything else on</li> </ol>	107	<ol> <li>Play Passes.</li> <li>And he brought that, then were was</li> <li>discussion about bringing back some All You Can Play</li> </ol>	108
<ul> <li>2 entrance to the beach is \$16, you can get at least</li> <li>3 five entrances per punch card.</li> <li>4 CHAIR DENT: All right. Anything else on</li> <li>5 number 8?</li> </ul>	107	<ol> <li>Play Passes.</li> <li>And he brought that, then were was</li> <li>discussion about bringing back some All You Can Play</li> <li>Passes, and eventually we got to sort of a hybrid of</li> </ol>	108
<ul> <li>2 entrance to the beach is \$16, you can get at least</li> <li>3 five entrances per punch card.</li> <li>4 CHAIR DENT: All right. Anything else on</li> <li>5 number 8?</li> <li>6 Moving on to rumor number 9, the Board</li> </ul>	107	<ul> <li>Play Passes.</li> <li>And he brought that, then were was</li> <li>discussion about bringing back some All You Can Play</li> <li>Passes, and eventually we got to sort of a hybrid of</li> <li>that.</li> </ul>	108
<ul> <li>2 entrance to the beach is \$16, you can get at least</li> <li>3 five entrances per punch card.</li> <li>4 CHAIR DENT: All right. Anything else on</li> <li>5 number 8?</li> <li>6 Moving on to rumor number 9, the Board</li> <li>7 sets golfs rates. Yes. How are they formulated and</li> </ul>	107	<ul> <li>Play Passes.</li> <li>And he brought that, then were was</li> <li>discussion about bringing back some All You Can Play</li> <li>Passes, and eventually we got to sort of a hybrid of</li> <li>that.</li> <li>And so I think it's good, yes, staff</li> </ul>	108
<ul> <li>2 entrance to the beach is \$16, you can get at least</li> <li>3 five entrances per punch card.</li> <li>4 CHAIR DENT: All right. Anything else on</li> <li>5 number 8?</li> <li>6 Moving on to rumor number 9, the Board</li> <li>7 sets golfs rates. Yes. How are they formulated and</li> <li>8 recommended to the Board? By the Director of Golf,</li> </ul>	107	<ul> <li>Play Passes.</li> <li>And he brought that, then were was</li> <li>discussion about bringing back some All You Can Play</li> <li>Passes, and eventually we got to sort of a hybrid of</li> <li>that.</li> <li>And so I think it's good, yes, staff</li> <li>brings proposals, but a lot of times, those</li> </ul>	108
<ul> <li>entrance to the beach is \$16, you can get at least</li> <li>five entrances per punch card.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: All right. Anything else on</li> <li>number 8?</li> <li>Moving on to rumor number 9, the Board</li> <li>sets golfs rates. Yes. How are they formulated and</li> <li>recommended to the Board? By the Director of Golf,</li> <li>except for the All You Can Play Passes in 2023.</li> </ul>	107	<ul> <li>Play Passes.</li> <li>And he brought that, then were was</li> <li>discussion about bringing back some All You Can Play</li> <li>Passes, and eventually we got to sort of a hybrid of</li> <li>that.</li> <li>And so I think it's good, yes, staff</li> <li>brings proposals, but a lot of times, those</li> <li>proposals come to us because of Board direction,</li> </ul>	108
<ul> <li>2 entrance to the beach is \$16, you can get at least</li> <li>3 five entrances per punch card.</li> <li>4 CHAIR DENT: All right. Anything else on</li> <li>5 number 8?</li> <li>6 Moving on to rumor number 9, the Board</li> <li>7 sets golfs rates. Yes. How are they formulated and</li> <li>8 recommended to the Board? By the Director of Golf,</li> </ul>	107	<ul> <li>Play Passes.</li> <li>And he brought that, then were was</li> <li>discussion about bringing back some All You Can Play</li> <li>Passes, and eventually we got to sort of a hybrid of</li> <li>that.</li> <li>And so I think it's good, yes, staff</li> <li>brings proposals, but a lot of times, those</li> <li>proposals come to us because of Board direction,</li> <li>they're not just bringing these without any type of</li> </ul>	108
<ul> <li>2 entrance to the beach is \$16, you can get at least</li> <li>3 five entrances per punch card.</li> <li>4 CHAIR DENT: All right. Anything else on</li> <li>5 number 8?</li> <li>6 Moving on to rumor number 9, the Board</li> <li>7 sets golfs rates. Yes. How are they formulated and</li> <li>8 recommended to the Board? By the Director of Golf,</li> <li>9 except for the All You Can Play Passes in 2023.</li> <li>10 Staff conducts analysis and formulates rate</li> <li>11 recommendations for the Board's consideration.</li> </ul>	107	<ul> <li>Play Passes.</li> <li>And he brought that, then were was</li> <li>discussion about bringing back some All You Can Play</li> <li>Passes, and eventually we got to sort of a hybrid of</li> <li>that.</li> <li>And so I think it's good, yes, staff</li> <li>brings proposals, but a lot of times, those</li> <li>proposals come to us because of Board direction,</li> <li>they're not just bringing these without any type of</li> <li>direction or influence from one or more Board</li> </ul>	108
<ul> <li>entrance to the beach is \$16, you can get at least</li> <li>five entrances per punch card.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: All right. Anything else on</li> <li>number 8?</li> <li>Moving on to rumor number 9, the Board</li> <li>sets golfs rates. Yes. How are they formulated and</li> <li>recommended to the Board? By the Director of Golf,</li> <li>except for the All You Can Play Passes in 2023.</li> <li>Staff conducts analysis and formulates rate</li> <li>recommendations for the Board's consideration.</li> <li>To your point, Trustee Noble, this item, I</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Play Passes.</li> <li>And he brought that, then were was</li> <li>discussion about bringing back some All You Can Play</li> <li>Passes, and eventually we got to sort of a hybrid of</li> <li>that.</li> <li>And so I think it's good, yes, staff</li> <li>brings proposals, but a lot of times, those</li> <li>proposals come to us because of Board direction,</li> <li>they're not just bringing these without any type of</li> <li>direction or influence from one or more Board</li> <li>members, and usually, hopefully, it's all of us</li> </ul>	108
<ul> <li>2 entrance to the beach is \$16, you can get at least</li> <li>3 five entrances per punch card.</li> <li>4 CHAIR DENT: All right. Anything else on</li> <li>5 number 8?</li> <li>6 Moving on to rumor number 9, the Board</li> <li>7 sets golfs rates. Yes. How are they formulated and</li> <li>8 recommended to the Board? By the Director of Golf,</li> <li>9 except for the All You Can Play Passes in 2023.</li> <li>10 Staff conducts analysis and formulates rate</li> <li>11 recommendations for the Board's consideration.</li> <li>12 To your point, Trustee Noble, this item, I</li> <li>13 think it is important to go and reference, say, on</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Play Passes.</li> <li>And he brought that, then were was</li> <li>discussion about bringing back some All You Can Play</li> <li>Passes, and eventually we got to sort of a hybrid of</li> <li>that.</li> <li>And so I think it's good, yes, staff</li> <li>brings proposals, but a lot of times, those</li> <li>proposals come to us because of Board direction,</li> <li>they're not just bringing these without any type of</li> <li>direction or influence from one or more Board</li> <li>members, and usually, hopefully, it's all of us</li> <li>giving direction to staff.</li> </ul>	108
<ul> <li>entrance to the beach is \$16, you can get at least</li> <li>five entrances per punch card.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: All right. Anything else on</li> <li>number 8?</li> <li>Moving on to rumor number 9, the Board</li> <li>sets golfs rates. Yes. How are they formulated and</li> <li>recommended to the Board? By the Director of Golf,</li> <li>except for the All You Can Play Passes in 2023.</li> <li>Staff conducts analysis and formulates rate</li> <li>recommendations for the Board's consideration.</li> <li>To your point, Trustee Noble, this item, I</li> <li>think it is important to go and reference, say, on</li> <li>this date, this happened. Here is a link to the</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Play Passes.</li> <li>And he brought that, then were was</li> <li>discussion about bringing back some All You Can Play</li> <li>Passes, and eventually we got to sort of a hybrid of</li> <li>that.</li> <li>And so I think it's good, yes, staff</li> <li>brings proposals, but a lot of times, those</li> <li>proposals come to us because of Board direction,</li> <li>they're not just bringing these without any type of</li> <li>direction or influence from one or more Board</li> <li>members, and usually, hopefully, it's all of us</li> <li>giving direction to staff.</li> <li>So, again, the nuance of that, I think it</li> </ul>	108
<ul> <li>entrance to the beach is \$16, you can get at least</li> <li>five entrances per punch card.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: All right. Anything else on</li> <li>number 8?</li> <li>Moving on to rumor number 9, the Board</li> <li>sets golfs rates. Yes. How are they formulated and</li> <li>recommended to the Board? By the Director of Golf,</li> <li>except for the All You Can Play Passes in 2023.</li> <li>Staff conducts analysis and formulates rate</li> <li>recommendations for the Board's consideration.</li> <li>To your point, Trustee Noble, this item, I</li> <li>think it is important to go and reference, say, on</li> <li>this date, this happened. Here is a link to the</li> <li>meeting on 5/13, where this decision was made. And</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Play Passes.</li> <li>And he brought that, then were was</li> <li>discussion about bringing back some All You Can Play</li> <li>Passes, and eventually we got to sort of a hybrid of</li> <li>that.</li> <li>And so I think it's good, yes, staff</li> <li>brings proposals, but a lot of times, those</li> <li>proposals come to us because of Board direction,</li> <li>they're not just bringing these without any type of</li> <li>direction or influence from one or more Board</li> <li>members, and usually, hopefully, it's all of us</li> <li>giving direction to staff.</li> <li>So, again, the nuance of that, I think it</li> <li>just needs to be I don't when we approve</li> </ul>	108
<ul> <li>entrance to the beach is \$16, you can get at least</li> <li>five entrances per punch card.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: All right. Anything else on</li> <li>number 8?</li> <li>Moving on to rumor number 9, the Board</li> <li>sets golfs rates. Yes. How are they formulated and</li> <li>recommended to the Board? By the Director of Golf,</li> <li>except for the All You Can Play Passes in 2023.</li> <li>Staff conducts analysis and formulates rate</li> <li>recommendations for the Board's consideration.</li> <li>To your point, Trustee Noble, this item, I</li> <li>think it is important to go and reference, say, on</li> <li>this date, this happened. Here is a link to the</li> <li>meeting on 5/13, where this decision was made. And</li> <li>then folks can go look up the live stream or the</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Play Passes.</li> <li>And he brought that, then were was</li> <li>discussion about bringing back some All You Can Play</li> <li>Passes, and eventually we got to sort of a hybrid of</li> <li>that.</li> <li>And so I think it's good, yes, staff</li> <li>brings proposals, but a lot of times, those</li> <li>proposals come to us because of Board direction,</li> <li>they're not just bringing these without any type of</li> <li>direction or influence from one or more Board</li> <li>members, and usually, hopefully, it's all of us</li> <li>giving direction to staff.</li> <li>So, again, the nuance of that, I think it</li> <li>just needs to be I don't when we approve</li> <li>something, that's on us now. We own it. And staff</li> </ul>	108
<ul> <li>entrance to the beach is \$16, you can get at least</li> <li>five entrances per punch card.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: All right. Anything else on</li> <li>number 8?</li> <li>Moving on to rumor number 9, the Board</li> <li>sets golfs rates. Yes. How are they formulated and</li> <li>recommended to the Board? By the Director of Golf,</li> <li>except for the All You Can Play Passes in 2023.</li> <li>Staff conducts analysis and formulates rate</li> <li>recommendations for the Board's consideration.</li> <li>To your point, Trustee Noble, this item, I</li> <li>think it is important to go and reference, say, on</li> <li>this date, this happened. Here is a link to the</li> <li>meeting on 5/13, where this decision was made. And</li> <li>then folks can go look up the live stream or the</li> <li>meeting minutes to dig into this further if they'd</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Play Passes.</li> <li>And he brought that, then were was</li> <li>discussion about bringing back some All You Can Play</li> <li>Passes, and eventually we got to sort of a hybrid of</li> <li>that.</li> <li>And so I think it's good, yes, staff</li> <li>brings proposals, but a lot of times, those</li> <li>proposals come to us because of Board direction,</li> <li>they're not just bringing these without any type of</li> <li>direction or influence from one or more Board</li> <li>members, and usually, hopefully, it's all of us</li> <li>giving direction to staff.</li> <li>So, again, the nuance of that, I think it</li> <li>just needs to be I don't when we approve</li> <li>something, that's on us now. We own it. And staff</li> <li>can recommend it, but, I feel like, most times,</li> </ul>	108
<ul> <li>entrance to the beach is \$16, you can get at least</li> <li>five entrances per punch card.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: All right. Anything else on</li> <li>number 8?</li> <li>Moving on to rumor number 9, the Board</li> <li>sets golfs rates. Yes. How are they formulated and</li> <li>recommended to the Board? By the Director of Golf,</li> <li>except for the All You Can Play Passes in 2023.</li> <li>Staff conducts analysis and formulates rate</li> <li>recommendations for the Board's consideration.</li> <li>To your point, Trustee Noble, this item, I</li> <li>think it is important to go and reference, say, on</li> <li>this date, this happened. Here is a link to the</li> <li>meeting on 5/13, where this decision was made. And</li> <li>then folks can go look up the live stream or the</li> <li>meeting minutes to dig into this further if they'd</li> <li>like to.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Play Passes.</li> <li>And he brought that, then were was</li> <li>discussion about bringing back some All You Can Play</li> <li>Passes, and eventually we got to sort of a hybrid of</li> <li>that.</li> <li>And so I think it's good, yes, staff</li> <li>brings proposals, but a lot of times, those</li> <li>proposals come to us because of Board direction,</li> <li>they're not just bringing these without any type of</li> <li>direction or influence from one or more Board</li> <li>members, and usually, hopefully, it's all of us</li> <li>giving direction to staff.</li> <li>So, again, the nuance of that, I think it</li> <li>just needs to be I don't when we approve</li> <li>something, that's on us now. We own it. And staff</li> <li>can recommend it, but, I feel like, most times,</li> <li>they're trying to, until they make that</li> </ul>	108
<ul> <li>entrance to the beach is \$16, you can get at least</li> <li>five entrances per punch card.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: All right. Anything else on</li> <li>number 8?</li> <li>Moving on to rumor number 9, the Board</li> <li>sets golfs rates. Yes. How are they formulated and</li> <li>recommended to the Board? By the Director of Golf,</li> <li>except for the All You Can Play Passes in 2023.</li> <li>Staff conducts analysis and formulates rate</li> <li>recommendations for the Board's consideration.</li> <li>To your point, Trustee Noble, this item, I</li> <li>think it is important to go and reference, say, on</li> <li>this date, this happened. Here is a link to the</li> <li>meeting on 5/13, where this decision was made. And</li> <li>then folks can go look up the live stream or the</li> <li>meeting minutes to dig into this further if they'd</li> <li>like to.</li> <li>Do you have something to say on this item?</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Play Passes.</li> <li>And he brought that, then were was</li> <li>discussion about bringing back some All You Can Play</li> <li>Passes, and eventually we got to sort of a hybrid of</li> <li>that.</li> <li>And so I think it's good, yes, staff</li> <li>brings proposals, but a lot of times, those</li> <li>proposals come to us because of Board direction,</li> <li>they're not just bringing these without any type of</li> <li>direction or influence from one or more Board</li> <li>members, and usually, hopefully, it's all of us</li> <li>giving direction to staff.</li> <li>So, again, the nuance of that, I think it</li> <li>just needs to be I don't when we approve</li> <li>something, that's on us now. We own it. And staff</li> <li>can recommend it, but, I feel like, most times,</li> </ul>	108
<ul> <li>entrance to the beach is \$16, you can get at least</li> <li>five entrances per punch card.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: All right. Anything else on</li> <li>number 8?</li> <li>Moving on to rumor number 9, the Board</li> <li>sets golfs rates. Yes. How are they formulated and</li> <li>recommended to the Board? By the Director of Golf,</li> <li>except for the All You Can Play Passes in 2023.</li> <li>Staff conducts analysis and formulates rate</li> <li>recommendations for the Board's consideration.</li> <li>To your point, Trustee Noble, this item, I</li> <li>think it is important to go and reference, say, on</li> <li>this date, this happened. Here is a link to the</li> <li>meeting on 5/13, where this decision was made. And</li> <li>then folks can go look up the live stream or the</li> <li>meeting minutes to dig into this further if they'd</li> <li>like to.</li> <li>Do you have something to say on this item?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: This one, I think it would</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Play Passes.</li> <li>And he brought that, then were was</li> <li>discussion about bringing back some All You Can Play</li> <li>Passes, and eventually we got to sort of a hybrid of</li> <li>that.</li> <li>And so I think it's good, yes, staff</li> <li>brings proposals, but a lot of times, those</li> <li>proposals come to us because of Board direction,</li> <li>they're not just bringing these without any type of</li> <li>direction or influence from one or more Board</li> <li>members, and usually, hopefully, it's all of us</li> <li>giving direction to staff.</li> <li>So, again, the nuance of that, I think it</li> <li>just needs to be I don't when we approve</li> <li>something, that's on us now. We own it. And staff</li> <li>can recommend it, but, I feel like, most times,</li> <li>they're trying to, until they make that</li> <li>recommendation, get direction from us on what we</li> <li>want to see.</li> </ul>	108
<ul> <li>entrance to the beach is \$16, you can get at least</li> <li>five entrances per punch card.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: All right. Anything else on</li> <li>number 8?</li> <li>Moving on to rumor number 9, the Board</li> <li>sets golfs rates. Yes. How are they formulated and</li> <li>recommended to the Board? By the Director of Golf,</li> <li>except for the All You Can Play Passes in 2023.</li> <li>Staff conducts analysis and formulates rate</li> <li>recommendations for the Board's consideration.</li> <li>To your point, Trustee Noble, this item, I</li> <li>think it is important to go and reference, say, on</li> <li>this date, this happened. Here is a link to the</li> <li>meeting on 5/13, where this decision was made. And</li> <li>then folks can go look up the live stream or the</li> <li>meeting minutes to dig into this further if they'd</li> <li>like to.</li> <li>Do you have something to say on this item?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: This one, I think it would</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Play Passes.</li> <li>And he brought that, then were was</li> <li>discussion about bringing back some All You Can Play</li> <li>Passes, and eventually we got to sort of a hybrid of</li> <li>that.</li> <li>And so I think it's good, yes, staff</li> <li>brings proposals, but a lot of times, those</li> <li>proposals come to us because of Board direction,</li> <li>they're not just bringing these without any type of</li> <li>direction or influence from one or more Board</li> <li>members, and usually, hopefully, it's all of us</li> <li>giving direction to staff.</li> <li>So, again, the nuance of that, I think it</li> <li>just needs to be I don't when we approve</li> <li>something, that's on us now. We own it. And staff</li> <li>can recommend it, but, I feel like, most times,</li> <li>they're trying to, until they make that</li> <li>recommendation, get direction from us on what we</li> <li>want to see.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Would you go back to, not</li> </ul>	108
<ul> <li>entrance to the beach is \$16, you can get at least</li> <li>five entrances per punch card.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: All right. Anything else on</li> <li>number 8?</li> <li>Moving on to rumor number 9, the Board</li> <li>sets golfs rates. Yes. How are they formulated and</li> <li>recommended to the Board? By the Director of Golf,</li> <li>except for the All You Can Play Passes in 2023.</li> <li>Staff conducts analysis and formulates rate</li> <li>recommendations for the Board's consideration.</li> <li>To your point, Trustee Noble, this item, I</li> <li>think it is important to go and reference, say, on</li> <li>this date, this happened. Here is a link to the</li> <li>meeting on 5/13, where this decision was made. And</li> <li>then folks can go look up the live stream or the</li> <li>meeting minutes to dig into this further if they'd</li> <li>like to.</li> <li>Do you have something to say on this item?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: This one, I think it would</li> <li>be good to have the history on it, because when</li> <li>Mr. Howard initially brought it, he just had the</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Play Passes.</li> <li>And he brought that, then were was</li> <li>discussion about bringing back some All You Can Play</li> <li>Passes, and eventually we got to sort of a hybrid of</li> <li>that.</li> <li>And so I think it's good, yes, staff</li> <li>brings proposals, but a lot of times, those</li> <li>proposals come to us because of Board direction,</li> <li>they're not just bringing these without any type of</li> <li>direction or influence from one or more Board</li> <li>members, and usually, hopefully, it's all of us</li> <li>giving direction to staff.</li> <li>So, again, the nuance of that, I think it</li> <li>just needs to be I don't when we approve</li> <li>something, that's on us now. We own it. And staff</li> <li>can recommend it, but, I feel like, most times,</li> <li>they're trying to, until they make that</li> <li>recommendation, get direction from us on what we</li> <li>want to see.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Would you go back to, not</li> </ul>	108
<ul> <li>entrance to the beach is \$16, you can get at least</li> <li>five entrances per punch card.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: All right. Anything else on</li> <li>number 8?</li> <li>Moving on to rumor number 9, the Board</li> <li>sets golfs rates. Yes. How are they formulated and</li> <li>recommended to the Board? By the Director of Golf,</li> <li>except for the All You Can Play Passes in 2023.</li> <li>Staff conducts analysis and formulates rate</li> <li>recommendations for the Board's consideration.</li> <li>To your point, Trustee Noble, this item, I</li> <li>think it is important to go and reference, say, on</li> <li>this date, this happened. Here is a link to the</li> <li>meeting on 5/13, where this decision was made. And</li> <li>then folks can go look up the live stream or the</li> <li>meeting minutes to dig into this further if they'd</li> <li>like to.</li> <li>Do you have something to say on this item?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: This one, I think it would</li> <li>be good to have the history on it, because when</li> <li>Mr. Howard initially brought it, he just had the</li> <li>percent increase on last year's rates. He was given</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Play Passes.</li> <li>And he brought that, then were was</li> <li>discussion about bringing back some All You Can Play</li> <li>Passes, and eventually we got to sort of a hybrid of</li> <li>that.</li> <li>And so I think it's good, yes, staff</li> <li>brings proposals, but a lot of times, those</li> <li>proposals come to us because of Board direction,</li> <li>they're not just bringing these without any type of</li> <li>direction or influence from one or more Board</li> <li>members, and usually, hopefully, it's all of us</li> <li>giving direction to staff.</li> <li>So, again, the nuance of that, I think it</li> <li>just needs to be I don't when we approve</li> <li>something, that's on us now. We own it. And staff</li> <li>can recommend it, but, I feel like, most times,</li> <li>they're trying to, until they make that</li> <li>recommendation, get direction from us on what we</li> <li>want to see.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Would you go back to, not</li> <li>just the meeting where they were approved, but maybe</li> <li>a couple prior meetings? Is that what you're</li> </ul>	108
<ul> <li>entrance to the beach is \$16, you can get at least</li> <li>five entrances per punch card.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: All right. Anything else on</li> <li>number 8?</li> <li>Moving on to rumor number 9, the Board</li> <li>sets golfs rates. Yes. How are they formulated and</li> <li>recommended to the Board? By the Director of Golf,</li> <li>except for the All You Can Play Passes in 2023.</li> <li>Staff conducts analysis and formulates rate</li> <li>recommendations for the Board's consideration.</li> <li>To your point, Trustee Noble, this item, I</li> <li>think it is important to go and reference, say, on</li> <li>this date, this happened. Here is a link to the</li> <li>meeting on 5/13, where this decision was made. And</li> <li>then folks can go look up the live stream or the</li> <li>meeting minutes to dig into this further if they'd</li> <li>like to.</li> <li>Do you have something to say on this item?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: This one, I think it would</li> <li>be good to have the history on it, because when</li> <li>Mr. Howard initially brought it, he just had the</li> <li>percent increase on last year's rates. He was given</li> <li>direction, no, go back and propose your original,</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Play Passes.</li> <li>And he brought that, then were was</li> <li>discussion about bringing back some All You Can Play</li> <li>Passes, and eventually we got to sort of a hybrid of</li> <li>that.</li> <li>And so I think it's good, yes, staff</li> <li>brings proposals, but a lot of times, those</li> <li>proposals come to us because of Board direction,</li> <li>they're not just bringing these without any type of</li> <li>direction or influence from one or more Board</li> <li>members, and usually, hopefully, it's all of us</li> <li>giving direction to staff.</li> <li>So, again, the nuance of that, I think it</li> <li>just needs to be I don't when we approve</li> <li>something, that's on us now. We own it. And staff</li> <li>can recommend it, but, I feel like, most times,</li> <li>they're trying to, until they make that</li> <li>recommendation, get direction from us on what we</li> <li>want to see.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Would you go back to, not</li> <li>just the meeting where they were approved, but maybe</li> <li>a couple prior meetings? Is that what you're</li> <li>referring to?</li> </ul>	108
<ul> <li>entrance to the beach is \$16, you can get at least</li> <li>five entrances per punch card.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: All right. Anything else on</li> <li>number 8?</li> <li>Moving on to rumor number 9, the Board</li> <li>sets golfs rates. Yes. How are they formulated and</li> <li>recommended to the Board? By the Director of Golf,</li> <li>except for the All You Can Play Passes in 2023.</li> <li>Staff conducts analysis and formulates rate</li> <li>recommendations for the Board's consideration.</li> <li>To your point, Trustee Noble, this item, I</li> <li>think it is important to go and reference, say, on</li> <li>this date, this happened. Here is a link to the</li> <li>meeting on 5/13, where this decision was made. And</li> <li>then folks can go look up the live stream or the</li> <li>meeting minutes to dig into this further if they'd</li> <li>like to.</li> <li>Do you have something to say on this item?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: This one, I think it would</li> <li>be good to have the history on it, because when</li> <li>Mr. Howard initially brought it, he just had the</li> <li>percent increase on last year's rates. He was given</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Play Passes.</li> <li>And he brought that, then were was</li> <li>discussion about bringing back some All You Can Play</li> <li>Passes, and eventually we got to sort of a hybrid of</li> <li>that.</li> <li>And so I think it's good, yes, staff</li> <li>brings proposals, but a lot of times, those</li> <li>proposals come to us because of Board direction,</li> <li>they're not just bringing these without any type of</li> <li>direction or influence from one or more Board</li> <li>members, and usually, hopefully, it's all of us</li> <li>giving direction to staff.</li> <li>So, again, the nuance of that, I think it</li> <li>just needs to be I don't when we approve</li> <li>something, that's on us now. We own it. And staff</li> <li>can recommend it, but, I feel like, most times,</li> <li>they're trying to, until they make that</li> <li>recommendation, get direction from us on what we</li> <li>want to see.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Would you go back to, not</li> <li>just the meeting where they were approved, but maybe</li> <li>a couple prior meetings? Is that what you're</li> </ul>	108

	100		110
1	and look at this year as an example you've got	1 this is what the golf committee worked on with	110
2	to show the progression on how we go to what we did.	2 staff, and let's look at that because I know that's	
3	If you want to be clearer on the ultimate rates that	3 what staff wanted to implement last year.	
4	were set, it was a process, and it wasn't just one	4 This that how we just want to get a	
5	time, staff brought it, the Board rubber stamped it.	5 little bit into the weeds with it that way? Because	
6	No. Something came to us, we told them to go back,	6 I understand some of this changed, but as far as,	
7	they brought something forward, there was more	7 like, the I think we went with the director's	
8	discussion, they went back, we came back again, we	8 recommendation as to the overall rate increase.	
9	tweaked it some more. Sort of like the cancellation	9 It's not we were in the backroom with our	
10	policy.	10 calculators trying to I don't know what that is.	
11	But it's more nuanced than just what's	11 I don't want to even try to understand what it is.	
12	stated right there.	12 He's bringing it forward, he says that's what he	
13	CHAIR DENT: Okay. I guess I'm just	13 recommends, that's what we went with.	
14	trying to understand that. Like, how deep we want	14 I know we got a little goofy with the All	
	to dive into this, because the question is: Does	15 You Can Play Passes, and we were kind of winging it	
16	the Board set golf rates? And the answer's, yes,	16 on the fly with calculators out, and that did	
17	ultimately.	17 happen. But for the most part, I feel like, yes, we	
18	TRUSTEE NOBLE: You could just say yes.	18 do do that, with the exception of the All You Can	
19		19 Play Passes, we did take a different approach to	
20	sorry.	20 that.	
21	CHAIR DENT: Let me just finish real	21 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah, I think for	
22	quick. Because my point is, with this, yes, we did	22 clarification and to Trustee Noble's point, when the	
23	say, hey, go back and go to golf committee's	23 staff came with the initial proposal, it failed to	
24	recommendations that were brought forth to last	24 comply with the pricing policy, and that was one	
25	year's board, bring those forward to us, because	25 reason we asked them to go back and revisit that,	
	111		112
1	and also revisit the golf committee recommendations.	1 All You Can Play Pass.	112
1 2			112
	and also revisit the golf committee recommendations.	1 All You Can Play Pass.	112
2	and also revisit the golf committee recommendations. I think with regard to the All You Can	<ol> <li>All You Can Play Pass.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Correct.</li> </ol>	112
2 3	and also revisit the golf committee recommendations. I think with regard to the All You Can Play Pass, yes, the in the final proposals that	<ol> <li>All You Can Play Pass.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Correct.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this</li> </ol>	112
2 3	and also revisit the golf committee recommendations. I think with regard to the All You Can Play Pass, yes, the in the final proposals that came to the Board eliminated the All You Can Play	<ol> <li>All You Can Play Pass.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Correct.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this</li> <li>item?</li> </ol>	112
2 3 4 5	and also revisit the golf committee recommendations. I think with regard to the All You Can Play Pass, yes, the in the final proposals that came to the Board eliminated the All You Can Play Pass. Certainly at least a couple of trustees,	<ol> <li>All You Can Play Pass.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Correct.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this</li> <li>item?</li> <li>All right. Number 10, was the golf</li> </ol>	112
2 3 4 5 6	and also revisit the golf committee recommendations. I think with regard to the All You Can Play Pass, yes, the in the final proposals that came to the Board eliminated the All You Can Play Pass. Certainly at least a couple of trustees, myself and Trustee Tonking, actually been put	<ol> <li>All You Can Play Pass.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Correct.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this</li> <li>item?</li> <li>All right. Number 10, was the golf</li> <li>cancellation policy created by the Board? No.</li> </ol>	112
2 3 4 5 6 7	and also revisit the golf committee recommendations. I think with regard to the All You Can Play Pass, yes, the in the final proposals that came to the Board eliminated the All You Can Play Pass. Certainly at least a couple of trustees, myself and Trustee Tonking, actually been put forward the motion to restore some form of the All	<ol> <li>All You Can Play Pass.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Correct.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this</li> <li>item?</li> <li>All right. Number 10, was the golf</li> <li>cancellation policy created by the Board? No.</li> <li>There's been a cancellation policy for several</li> </ol>	112
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and also revisit the golf committee recommendations. I think with regard to the All You Can Play Pass, yes, the in the final proposals that came to the Board eliminated the All You Can Play Pass. Certainly at least a couple of trustees, myself and Trustee Tonking, actually been put forward the motion to restore some form of the All You Can Play Pass, responding to public input and	<ol> <li>All You Can Play Pass.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Correct.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this</li> <li>item?</li> <li>All right. Number 10, was the golf</li> <li>cancellation policy created by the Board? No.</li> <li>There's been a cancellation policy for several</li> <li>years. In 2023, with the elimination of the</li> </ol>	112
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and also revisit the golf committee recommendations. I think with regard to the All You Can Play Pass, yes, the in the final proposals that came to the Board eliminated the All You Can Play Pass. Certainly at least a couple of trustees, myself and Trustee Tonking, actually been put forward the motion to restore some form of the All You Can Play Pass, responding to public input and request for that.	<ol> <li>All You Can Play Pass.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Correct.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this</li> <li>item?</li> <li>All right. Number 10, was the golf</li> <li>cancellation policy created by the Board? No.</li> <li>There's been a cancellation policy for several</li> <li>years. In 2023, with the elimination of the</li> <li>reservation fee, the cancellation policy was</li> </ol>	112
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and also revisit the golf committee recommendations. I think with regard to the All You Can Play Pass, yes, the in the final proposals that came to the Board eliminated the All You Can Play Pass. Certainly at least a couple of trustees, myself and Trustee Tonking, actually been put forward the motion to restore some form of the All You Can Play Pass, responding to public input and request for that. If we're going to try and explain the	<ol> <li>All You Can Play Pass.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Correct.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this</li> <li>item?</li> <li>All right. Number 10, was the golf</li> <li>cancellation policy created by the Board? No.</li> <li>There's been a cancellation policy for several</li> <li>years. In 2023, with the elimination of the</li> <li>reservation fee, the cancellation policy was</li> <li>modified from three days to five days.</li> </ol>	112
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and also revisit the golf committee recommendations. I think with regard to the All You Can Play Pass, yes, the in the final proposals that came to the Board eliminated the All You Can Play Pass. Certainly at least a couple of trustees, myself and Trustee Tonking, actually been put forward the motion to restore some form of the All You Can Play Pass, responding to public input and request for that. If we're going to try and explain the whole thing, we need to make sure that we explain	<ol> <li>All You Can Play Pass.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Correct.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this</li> <li>item?</li> <li>All right. Number 10, was the golf</li> <li>cancellation policy created by the Board? No.</li> <li>There's been a cancellation policy for several</li> <li>years. In 2023, with the elimination of the</li> <li>reservation fee, the cancellation policy was</li> <li>modified from three days to five days.</li> <li>I put in here, since we just did have</li> </ol>	112
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and also revisit the golf committee recommendations. I think with regard to the All You Can Play Pass, yes, the in the final proposals that came to the Board eliminated the All You Can Play Pass. Certainly at least a couple of trustees, myself and Trustee Tonking, actually been put forward the motion to restore some form of the All You Can Play Pass, responding to public input and request for that. If we're going to try and explain the whole thing, we need to make sure that we explain all of that in terms of how it goes.	<ol> <li>All You Can Play Pass.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Correct.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this</li> <li>item?</li> <li>All right. Number 10, was the golf</li> <li>cancellation policy created by the Board? No.</li> <li>There's been a cancellation policy for several</li> <li>years. In 2023, with the elimination of the</li> <li>reservation fee, the cancellation policy was</li> <li>modified from three days to five days.</li> <li>I put in here, since we just did have</li> <li>action last week on this that at the 7/6 meeting,</li> </ol>	112
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and also revisit the golf committee recommendations. I think with regard to the All You Can Play Pass, yes, the in the final proposals that came to the Board eliminated the All You Can Play Pass. Certainly at least a couple of trustees, myself and Trustee Tonking, actually been put forward the motion to restore some form of the All You Can Play Pass, responding to public input and request for that. If we're going to try and explain the whole thing, we need to make sure that we explain all of that in terms of how it goes. But the original proposal that came,	<ol> <li>All You Can Play Pass.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Correct.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this</li> <li>item?</li> <li>All right. Number 10, was the golf</li> <li>cancellation policy created by the Board? No.</li> <li>There's been a cancellation policy for several</li> <li>years. In 2023, with the elimination of the</li> <li>reservation fee, the cancellation policy was</li> <li>modified from three days to five days.</li> <li>I put in here, since we just did have</li> <li>action last week on this that at the 7/6 meeting,</li> <li>the Board reverted the policy back to the prior</li> </ol>	112
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and also revisit the golf committee recommendations. I think with regard to the All You Can Play Pass, yes, the in the final proposals that came to the Board eliminated the All You Can Play Pass. Certainly at least a couple of trustees, myself and Trustee Tonking, actually been put forward the motion to restore some form of the All You Can Play Pass, responding to public input and request for that. If we're going to try and explain the whole thing, we need to make sure that we explain all of that in terms of how it goes. But the original proposal that came, pricing proposal that came, didn't failed to meet the pricing policy and left a very large deficit in	<ol> <li>All You Can Play Pass.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Correct.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this</li> <li>item?</li> <li>All right. Number 10, was the golf</li> <li>cancellation policy created by the Board? No.</li> <li>There's been a cancellation policy for several</li> <li>years. In 2023, with the elimination of the</li> <li>reservation fee, the cancellation policy was</li> <li>modified from three days to five days.</li> <li>I put in here, since we just did have</li> <li>action last week on this that at the 7/6 meeting,</li> <li>the Board reverted the policy back to the prior</li> <li>policy of three days.</li> </ol>	112
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and also revisit the golf committee recommendations. I think with regard to the All You Can Play Pass, yes, the in the final proposals that came to the Board eliminated the All You Can Play Pass. Certainly at least a couple of trustees, myself and Trustee Tonking, actually been put forward the motion to restore some form of the All You Can Play Pass, responding to public input and request for that. If we're going to try and explain the whole thing, we need to make sure that we explain all of that in terms of how it goes. But the original proposal that came, pricing proposal that came, didn't failed to meet the pricing policy and left a very large deficit in comparison to what the pricing policy represented.	<ol> <li>All You Can Play Pass.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Correct.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this</li> <li>item?</li> <li>All right. Number 10, was the golf</li> <li>cancellation policy created by the Board? No.</li> <li>There's been a cancellation policy for several</li> <li>years. In 2023, with the elimination of the</li> <li>reservation fee, the cancellation policy was</li> <li>modified from three days to five days.</li> <li>I put in here, since we just did have</li> <li>action last week on this that at the 7/6 meeting,</li> <li>the Board reverted the policy back to the prior</li> <li>policy of three days.</li> <li>Does anyone have any issues with those</li> </ol>	112
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and also revisit the golf committee recommendations. I think with regard to the All You Can Play Pass, yes, the in the final proposals that came to the Board eliminated the All You Can Play Pass. Certainly at least a couple of trustees, myself and Trustee Tonking, actually been put forward the motion to restore some form of the All You Can Play Pass, responding to public input and request for that. If we're going to try and explain the whole thing, we need to make sure that we explain all of that in terms of how it goes. But the original proposal that came, pricing proposal that came, didn't failed to meet the pricing policy and left a very large deficit in comparison to what the pricing policy represented.	<ol> <li>All You Can Play Pass.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Correct.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this</li> <li>item?</li> <li>All right. Number 10, was the golf</li> <li>cancellation policy created by the Board? No.</li> <li>There's been a cancellation policy for several</li> <li>years. In 2023, with the elimination of the</li> <li>reservation fee, the cancellation policy was</li> <li>modified from three days to five days.</li> <li>I put in here, since we just did have</li> <li>action last week on this that at the 7/6 meeting,</li> <li>the Board reverted the policy back to the prior</li> <li>policy of three days.</li> <li>Does anyone have any issues with those</li> <li>changes?</li> </ol>	112
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and also revisit the golf committee recommendations. I think with regard to the All You Can Play Pass, yes, the in the final proposals that came to the Board eliminated the All You Can Play Pass. Certainly at least a couple of trustees, myself and Trustee Tonking, actually been put forward the motion to restore some form of the All You Can Play Pass, responding to public input and request for that. If we're going to try and explain the whole thing, we need to make sure that we explain all of that in terms of how it goes. But the original proposal that came, pricing proposal that came, didn't failed to meet the pricing policy and left a very large deficit in comparison to what the pricing policy represented. I think it's incorrect to say the Board sets the rates. The Board approves the rates. The Board is	<ol> <li>All You Can Play Pass.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Correct.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this</li> <li>item?</li> <li>All right. Number 10, was the golf</li> <li>cancellation policy created by the Board? No.</li> <li>There's been a cancellation policy for several</li> <li>years. In 2023, with the elimination of the</li> <li>reservation fee, the cancellation policy was</li> <li>modified from three days to five days.</li> <li>I put in here, since we just did have</li> <li>action last week on this that at the 7/6 meeting,</li> <li>the Board reverted the policy back to the prior</li> <li>policy of three days.</li> <li>Does anyone have any issues with those</li> <li>changes?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: So I would, again, provide</li> </ol>	112
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and also revisit the golf committee recommendations. I think with regard to the All You Can Play Pass, yes, the in the final proposals that came to the Board eliminated the All You Can Play Pass. Certainly at least a couple of trustees, myself and Trustee Tonking, actually been put forward the motion to restore some form of the All You Can Play Pass, responding to public input and request for that. If we're going to try and explain the whole thing, we need to make sure that we explain all of that in terms of how it goes. But the original proposal that came, pricing proposal that came, didn't failed to meet the pricing policy and left a very large deficit in comparison to what the pricing policy represented. I think it's incorrect to say the Board sets the rates. The Board approves the rates. The Board is not setting the rates, the Board is approving the	<ol> <li>All You Can Play Pass.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Correct.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this</li> <li>item?</li> <li>All right. Number 10, was the golf</li> <li>cancellation policy created by the Board? No.</li> <li>There's been a cancellation policy for several</li> <li>years. In 2023, with the elimination of the</li> <li>reservation fee, the cancellation policy was</li> <li>modified from three days to five days.</li> <li>I put in here, since we just did have</li> <li>action last week on this that at the 7/6 meeting,</li> <li>the Board reverted the policy back to the prior</li> <li>policy of three days.</li> <li>Does anyone have any issues with those</li> <li>changes?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: So I would, again, provide</li> </ol>	112
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and also revisit the golf committee recommendations. I think with regard to the All You Can Play Pass, yes, the in the final proposals that came to the Board eliminated the All You Can Play Pass. Certainly at least a couple of trustees, myself and Trustee Tonking, actually been put forward the motion to restore some form of the All You Can Play Pass, responding to public input and request for that. If we're going to try and explain the whole thing, we need to make sure that we explain all of that in terms of how it goes. But the original proposal that came, pricing proposal that came, didn't failed to meet the pricing policy and left a very large deficit in comparison to what the pricing policy represented. I think it's incorrect to say the Board sets the rates. The Board approves the rates. The Board is not setting the rates, the Board is approving the	<ol> <li>All You Can Play Pass.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Correct.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this</li> <li>item?</li> <li>All right. Number 10, was the golf</li> <li>cancellation policy created by the Board? No.</li> <li>There's been a cancellation policy for several</li> <li>years. In 2023, with the elimination of the</li> <li>reservation fee, the cancellation policy was</li> <li>modified from three days to five days.</li> <li>I put in here, since we just did have</li> <li>action last week on this that at the 7/6 meeting,</li> <li>the Board reverted the policy back to the prior</li> <li>policy of three days.</li> <li>Does anyone have any issues with those</li> <li>changes?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: So I would, again, provide</li> <li>some historical context that previous to this year,</li> <li>it was 72/48. The Board this year changed it to</li> </ol>	112
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and also revisit the golf committee recommendations. I think with regard to the All You Can Play Pass, yes, the in the final proposals that came to the Board eliminated the All You Can Play Pass. Certainly at least a couple of trustees, myself and Trustee Tonking, actually been put forward the motion to restore some form of the All You Can Play Pass, responding to public input and request for that. If we're going to try and explain the whole thing, we need to make sure that we explain all of that in terms of how it goes. But the original proposal that came, pricing proposal that came, didn't failed to meet the pricing policy and left a very large deficit in comparison to what the pricing policy represented. I think it's incorrect to say the Board sets the rates. The Board approves the rates. The Board is not setting the rates, the Board is approving the rates in terms of that.	<ol> <li>All You Can Play Pass.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Correct.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this</li> <li>item?</li> <li>All right. Number 10, was the golf</li> <li>cancellation policy created by the Board? No.</li> <li>There's been a cancellation policy for several</li> <li>years. In 2023, with the elimination of the</li> <li>reservation fee, the cancellation policy was</li> <li>modified from three days to five days.</li> <li>I put in here, since we just did have</li> <li>action last week on this that at the 7/6 meeting,</li> <li>the Board reverted the policy back to the prior</li> <li>policy of three days.</li> <li>Does anyone have any issues with those</li> <li>changes?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: So I would, again, provide</li> <li>some historical context that previous to this year,</li> <li>it was 72/48. The Board this year changed it to</li> <li>120/72 or 120/48, whatever it changed it to, and the</li> </ol>	112
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and also revisit the golf committee recommendations. I think with regard to the All You Can Play Pass, yes, the in the final proposals that came to the Board eliminated the All You Can Play Pass. Certainly at least a couple of trustees, myself and Trustee Tonking, actually been put forward the motion to restore some form of the All You Can Play Pass, responding to public input and request for that. If we're going to try and explain the whole thing, we need to make sure that we explain all of that in terms of how it goes. But the original proposal that came, pricing proposal that came, didn't failed to meet the pricing policy and left a very large deficit in comparison to what the pricing policy represented. I think it's incorrect to say the Board sets the rates. The Board approves the rates. The Board is not setting the rates, the Board is approving the rates in terms of that. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: Good point. Except for	<ol> <li>All You Can Play Pass.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Correct.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this</li> <li>item?</li> <li>All right. Number 10, was the golf</li> <li>cancellation policy created by the Board? No.</li> <li>There's been a cancellation policy for several</li> <li>years. In 2023, with the elimination of the</li> <li>reservation fee, the cancellation policy was</li> <li>modified from three days to five days.</li> <li>I put in here, since we just did have</li> <li>action last week on this that at the 7/6 meeting,</li> <li>the Board reverted the policy back to the prior</li> <li>policy of three days.</li> <li>Does anyone have any issues with those</li> <li>changes?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: So I would, again, provide</li> <li>some historical context that previous to this year,</li> <li>it was 72/48. The Board this year changed it to</li> <li>120/72 or 120/48, whatever it changed it to, and the</li> </ol>	112
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and also revisit the golf committee recommendations. I think with regard to the All You Can Play Pass, yes, the in the final proposals that came to the Board eliminated the All You Can Play Pass. Certainly at least a couple of trustees, myself and Trustee Tonking, actually been put forward the motion to restore some form of the All You Can Play Pass, responding to public input and request for that. If we're going to try and explain the whole thing, we need to make sure that we explain all of that in terms of how it goes. But the original proposal that came, pricing proposal that came, didn't failed to meet the pricing policy and left a very large deficit in comparison to what the pricing policy represented. I think it's incorrect to say the Board sets the rates. The Board approves the rates. The Board is not setting the rates, the Board is approving the rates in terms of that. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: Good point. Except for the All You Can Play Pass. And staff has been	<ul> <li>All You Can Play Pass.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Correct.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this</li> <li>item?</li> <li>All right. Number 10, was the golf</li> <li>cancellation policy created by the Board? No.</li> <li>There's been a cancellation policy for several</li> <li>years. In 2023, with the elimination of the</li> <li>reservation fee, the cancellation policy was</li> <li>modified from three days to five days.</li> <li>I put in here, since we just did have</li> <li>action last week on this that at the 7/6 meeting,</li> <li>the Board reverted the policy back to the prior</li> <li>policy of three days.</li> <li>Does anyone have any issues with those</li> <li>changes?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: So I would, again, provide</li> <li>some historical context that previous to this year,</li> <li>it was 72/48. The Board this year changed it to</li> <li>120/72 or 120/48, whatever it changed it to, and the</li> <li>reason why we changed it. Because there was a</li> <li>eliminated the \$10 pre-booking fee, and there was</li> </ul>	112
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and also revisit the golf committee recommendations. I think with regard to the All You Can Play Pass, yes, the in the final proposals that came to the Board eliminated the All You Can Play Pass. Certainly at least a couple of trustees, myself and Trustee Tonking, actually been put forward the motion to restore some form of the All You Can Play Pass, responding to public input and request for that. If we're going to try and explain the whole thing, we need to make sure that we explain all of that in terms of how it goes. But the original proposal that came, pricing proposal that came, didn't failed to meet the pricing policy and left a very large deficit in comparison to what the pricing policy represented. I think it's incorrect to say the Board sets the rates. The Board approves the rates. The Board is not setting the rates, the Board is approving the rates in terms of that. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: Good point. Except for the All You Can Play Pass. And staff has been recommending removal of the All You Can Play Pass	<ol> <li>All You Can Play Pass.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Correct.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this</li> <li>item?</li> <li>All right. Number 10, was the golf</li> <li>cancellation policy created by the Board? No.</li> <li>There's been a cancellation policy for several</li> <li>years. In 2023, with the elimination of the</li> <li>reservation fee, the cancellation policy was</li> <li>modified from three days to five days.</li> <li>I put in here, since we just did have</li> <li>action last week on this that at the 7/6 meeting,</li> <li>the Board reverted the policy back to the prior</li> <li>policy of three days.</li> <li>Does anyone have any issues with those</li> <li>changes?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: So I would, again, provide</li> <li>some historical context that previous to this year,</li> <li>it was 72/48. The Board this year changed it to</li> <li>120/72 or 120/48, whatever it changed it to, and the</li> <li>reason why we changed it. Because there was a</li> <li>eliminated the \$10 pre-booking fee, and there was</li> <li>concern that there may be some abuse as far as</li> </ol>	112
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and also revisit the golf committee recommendations. I think with regard to the All You Can Play Pass, yes, the in the final proposals that came to the Board eliminated the All You Can Play Pass. Certainly at least a couple of trustees, myself and Trustee Tonking, actually been put forward the motion to restore some form of the All You Can Play Pass, responding to public input and request for that. If we're going to try and explain the whole thing, we need to make sure that we explain all of that in terms of how it goes. But the original proposal that came, pricing proposal that came, didn't failed to meet the pricing policy and left a very large deficit in comparison to what the pricing policy represented. I think it's incorrect to say the Board sets the rates. The Board approves the rates. The Board is not setting the rates, the Board is approving the rates in terms of that. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: Good point. Except for the All You Can Play Pass. And staff has been recommending removal of the All You Can Play Pass for the past two seasons, and so it's that's the	1       All You Can Play Pass.         2       TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Correct.         3       CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this         4       item?         5       All right. Number 10, was the golf         6       cancellation policy created by the Board? No.         7       There's been a cancellation policy for several         8       years. In 2023, with the elimination of the         9       reservation fee, the cancellation policy was         10       modified from three days to five days.         11       I put in here, since we just did have         12       action last week on this that at the 7/6 meeting,         13       the Board reverted the policy back to the prior         14       policy of three days.         15       Does anyone have any issues with those         16       changes?         17       TRUSTEE NOBLE: So I would, again, provide         18       some historical context that previous to this year,         19       it was 72/48. The Board this year changed it to         20       120/72 or 120/48, whatever it changed it to, and the         21       reason why we changed it. Because there was a         22       eliminated the \$10 pre-booking fee, and there was         23       concern th	112

1 we the Board reset it at the old cancellation	113	1 time.	114
2 terms.		2 I think the Board made a good decision. I	
3 CHAIR DENT: So just a little bit more		3 think we all voted in favor of this less than a week	
4 information that's in there and reference the		4 ago. I think we all voted in favor of revising this	
5 meeting?		5 to make it more compatible. And director of golf	
6 TRUSTEE NOBLE: Again, to provided a		6 seemed to be happy with the outcome, and it seems to	
7 context and explain why the Board did what it did.		7 match courses around.	
8 CHAIR DENT: Okay.		8 CHAIR DENT: Okay. Any other discussion	
9 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I agree with Trustee		9 on this item?	
10 Noble in terms of that. That was the director of		10 TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I think that there was,	
11 golf that set himself some extremely high targets,		11 potentially, a lack of use, and so it does seem as	
12 and we're, as a board, we're concerned that with the		12 though it's something, even that we've reverted to	
13 removal of the reservation fee, it could be very		13 what it was in the past, that there's a perception	
14 difficult to actually get short notice to start		14 that it's a new policy.	
15 filling if people made block bookings, it could		15 CHAIR DENT: Yeah, I would agree with	
16 be very difficult to actually fill some of these		16 that. Okay. Any other discussion? All right.	
17 things at fairly short notice, and that's why it's		17 That closes out 10. Skipping 11.	
18 there.		18 Number 12 I've modified similar to number	
19 I think it is also exacerbated by the fact		19 1 and 2, and I just put: Was there ever a board	
20 that it appeared that in recent years, although		20 vote on accepting a \$25 million Duffield foundation	
21 there was a cancellation policy, it seemed to be		21 grant? And my response to this is: No. This is	
22 very loosely applied, it wasn't being applied in the		22 false. No one voted for or against a \$25 million	
23 a large majority of the cases. And, obviously, the		23 grant.	
24 fact that it's actually being applied this year is,		24 TRUSTEE NOBLE: I really think you	
25 perhaps, exacerbated that, along with the increased		25 shouldn't touch this one. It is a central tenant of	
	445		440
1 the recall effort against Trustee Schmitz. And I	115	1 And so by redirect, you can have something	116
<ol> <li>the recall effort against Trustee Schmitz. And I</li> <li>think the question is: Did Trustee Schmitz' no vote</li> </ol>	115	1 And so by redirect, you can have something 2 that just references the Rec Center expansion, what	116
<ol> <li>the recall effort against Trustee Schmitz. And I</li> <li>think the question is: Did Trustee Schmitz' no vote</li> <li>on the project design result in the Duffields</li> </ol>	115		116
2 think the question is: Did Trustee Schmitz' no vote	115	2 that just references the Rec Center expansion, what	116
<ul><li>2 think the question is: Did Trustee Schmitz' no vote</li><li>3 on the project design result in the Duffields</li></ul>	115	<ul><li>2 that just references the Rec Center expansion, what</li><li>3 happened with the Rec Center expansion, there was a</li></ul>	116
<ul><li>2 think the question is: Did Trustee Schmitz' no vote</li><li>3 on the project design result in the Duffields</li><li>4 pulling their commitment to move forward?</li></ul>	115	<ul> <li>2 that just references the Rec Center expansion, what</li> <li>3 happened with the Rec Center expansion, there was a</li> <li>4 full discussion at a board meeting on this date, and</li> </ul>	116
<ul> <li>2 think the question is: Did Trustee Schmitz' no vote</li> <li>3 on the project design result in the Duffields</li> <li>4 pulling their commitment to move forward?</li> <li>5 And I think that is absolutely correct.</li> </ul>	115	<ul> <li>2 that just references the Rec Center expansion, what</li> <li>3 happened with the Rec Center expansion, there was a</li> <li>4 full discussion at a board meeting on this date, and</li> <li>5 then allow people to make their own conclusions.</li> </ul>	116
<ul> <li>2 think the question is: Did Trustee Schmitz' no vote</li> <li>3 on the project design result in the Duffields</li> <li>4 pulling their commitment to move forward?</li> <li>5 And I think that is absolutely correct.</li> <li>6 And we would have been moving forward at that time</li> </ul>	115	<ul> <li>2 that just references the Rec Center expansion, what</li> <li>3 happened with the Rec Center expansion, there was a</li> <li>4 full discussion at a board meeting on this date, and</li> <li>5 then allow people to make their own conclusions.</li> <li>6 CHAIR DENT: Okay. Hold on real quick.</li> </ul>	116
<ul> <li>2 think the question is: Did Trustee Schmitz' no vote</li> <li>3 on the project design result in the Duffields</li> <li>4 pulling their commitment to move forward?</li> <li>5 And I think that is absolutely correct.</li> <li>6 And we would have been moving forward at that time</li> <li>7 had she voted yes. And so that no vote resulted</li> </ul>	115	<ul> <li>2 that just references the Rec Center expansion, what</li> <li>3 happened with the Rec Center expansion, there was a</li> <li>4 full discussion at a board meeting on this date, and</li> <li>5 then allow people to make their own conclusions.</li> <li>6 CHAIR DENT: Okay. Hold on real quick.</li> <li>7 General counsel, like number 2, is this something we</li> </ul>	116
<ul> <li>2 think the question is: Did Trustee Schmitz' no vote</li> <li>3 on the project design result in the Duffields</li> <li>4 pulling their commitment to move forward?</li> <li>5 And I think that is absolutely correct.</li> <li>6 And we would have been moving forward at that time</li> <li>7 had she voted yes. And so that no vote resulted</li> <li>8 in</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>2 that just references the Rec Center expansion, what</li> <li>3 happened with the Rec Center expansion, there was a</li> <li>4 full discussion at a board meeting on this date, and</li> <li>5 then allow people to make their own conclusions.</li> <li>6 CHAIR DENT: Okay. Hold on real quick.</li> <li>7 General counsel, like number 2, is this something we</li> <li>8 can offer up to</li> </ul>	116
<ul> <li>2 think the question is: Did Trustee Schmitz' no vote</li> <li>3 on the project design result in the Duffields</li> <li>4 pulling their commitment to move forward?</li> <li>5 And I think that is absolutely correct.</li> <li>6 And we would have been moving forward at that time</li> <li>7 had she voted yes. And so that no vote resulted</li> <li>8 in</li> <li>9 CHAIR DENT: Legal counsel?</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>that just references the Rec Center expansion, what</li> <li>happened with the Rec Center expansion, there was a</li> <li>full discussion at a board meeting on this date, and</li> <li>then allow people to make their own conclusions.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Hold on real quick.</li> <li>General counsel, like number 2, is this something we</li> <li>can offer up to</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Yeah.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Ethics Commission for an</li> <li>opinion on, just so we know where that fine line is,</li> </ul>	116
<ul> <li>2 think the question is: Did Trustee Schmitz' no vote</li> <li>3 on the project design result in the Duffields</li> <li>4 pulling their commitment to move forward?</li> <li>5 And I think that is absolutely correct.</li> <li>6 And we would have been moving forward at that time</li> <li>7 had she voted yes. And so that no vote resulted</li> <li>8 in</li> <li>9 CHAIR DENT: Legal counsel?</li> <li>10 MR. NELSON: No. I was just going to say</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>2 that just references the Rec Center expansion, what</li> <li>3 happened with the Rec Center expansion, there was a</li> <li>4 full discussion at a board meeting on this date, and</li> <li>5 then allow people to make their own conclusions.</li> <li>6 CHAIR DENT: Okay. Hold on real quick.</li> <li>7 General counsel, like number 2, is this something we</li> <li>8 can offer up to</li> <li>9 MR. NELSON: Yeah.</li> <li>10 CHAIR DENT: Ethics Commission for an</li> </ul>	116
<ul> <li>2 think the question is: Did Trustee Schmitz' no vote</li> <li>3 on the project design result in the Duffields</li> <li>4 pulling their commitment to move forward?</li> <li>5 And I think that is absolutely correct.</li> <li>6 And we would have been moving forward at that time</li> <li>7 had she voted yes. And so that no vote resulted</li> <li>8 in</li> <li>9 CHAIR DENT: Legal counsel?</li> <li>10 MR. NELSON: No. I was just going to say</li> <li>11 the Board might want to combine just 12 and 13, and</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>that just references the Rec Center expansion, what</li> <li>happened with the Rec Center expansion, there was a</li> <li>full discussion at a board meeting on this date, and</li> <li>then allow people to make their own conclusions.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Hold on real quick.</li> <li>General counsel, like number 2, is this something we</li> <li>can offer up to</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Yeah.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Ethics Commission for an</li> <li>opinion on, just so we know where that fine line is,</li> </ul>	116
<ul> <li>think the question is: Did Trustee Schmitz' no vote</li> <li>on the project design result in the Duffields</li> <li>pulling their commitment to move forward?</li> <li>And I think that is absolutely correct.</li> <li>And we would have been moving forward at that time</li> <li>had she voted yes. And so that no vote resulted</li> <li>in</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Legal counsel?</li> <li>MR. NELSON: No. I was just going to say</li> <li>the Board might want to combine just 12 and 13, and</li> <li>simply reference members of the community back to</li> <li>the meeting we had at the Chateau where this was</li> <li>discussed pretty exhaustively, and allow members of</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>2 that just references the Rec Center expansion, what</li> <li>3 happened with the Rec Center expansion, there was a</li> <li>4 full discussion at a board meeting on this date, and</li> <li>5 then allow people to make their own conclusions.</li> <li>6 CHAIR DENT: Okay. Hold on real quick.</li> <li>7 General counsel, like number 2, is this something we</li> <li>8 can offer up to</li> <li>9 MR. NELSON: Yeah.</li> <li>10 CHAIR DENT: Ethics Commission for an</li> <li>11 opinion on, just so we know where that fine line is,</li> <li>12 and that way, we're aware of that moving forward?</li> <li>13 MR. NELSON: Absolutely.</li> <li>14 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah. I think it's</li> </ul>	116
<ul> <li>think the question is: Did Trustee Schmitz' no vote</li> <li>on the project design result in the Duffields</li> <li>pulling their commitment to move forward?</li> <li>And I think that is absolutely correct.</li> <li>And we would have been moving forward at that time</li> <li>had she voted yes. And so that no vote resulted</li> <li>in</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Legal counsel?</li> <li>MR. NELSON: No. I was just going to say</li> <li>the Board might want to combine just 12 and 13, and</li> <li>simply reference members of the community back to</li> <li>the meeting we had at the Chateau where this was</li> <li>the public to draw their own conclusions from that.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>that just references the Rec Center expansion, what</li> <li>happened with the Rec Center expansion, there was a</li> <li>full discussion at a board meeting on this date, and</li> <li>then allow people to make their own conclusions.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Hold on real quick.</li> <li>General counsel, like number 2, is this something we</li> <li>can offer up to</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Yeah.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Ethics Commission for an</li> <li>opinion on, just so we know where that fine line is,</li> <li>and that way, we're aware of that moving forward?</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Absolutely.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah. I think it's</li> <li>unfortune we keep referring to this as a "grant."</li> </ul>	116
<ul> <li>think the question is: Did Trustee Schmitz' no vote</li> <li>on the project design result in the Duffields</li> <li>pulling their commitment to move forward?</li> <li>And I think that is absolutely correct.</li> <li>And we would have been moving forward at that time</li> <li>had she voted yes. And so that no vote resulted</li> <li>in</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Legal counsel?</li> <li>MR. NELSON: No. I was just going to say</li> <li>the Board might want to combine just 12 and 13, and</li> <li>simply reference members of the community back to</li> <li>the meeting we had at the Chateau where this was</li> <li>discussed pretty exhaustively, and allow members of</li> <li>the public to draw their own conclusions from that.</li> <li>I think the Board really went through</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>that just references the Rec Center expansion, what</li> <li>happened with the Rec Center expansion, there was a</li> <li>full discussion at a board meeting on this date, and</li> <li>then allow people to make their own conclusions.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Hold on real quick.</li> <li>General counsel, like number 2, is this something we</li> <li>can offer up to</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Yeah.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Ethics Commission for an</li> <li>opinion on, just so we know where that fine line is,</li> <li>and that way, we're aware of that moving forward?</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Absolutely.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah. I think it's</li> <li>unfortune we keep referring to this as a "grant."</li> </ul>	116
<ul> <li>think the question is: Did Trustee Schmitz' no vote</li> <li>on the project design result in the Duffields</li> <li>pulling their commitment to move forward?</li> <li>And I think that is absolutely correct.</li> <li>And we would have been moving forward at that time</li> <li>had she voted yes. And so that no vote resulted</li> <li>in</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Legal counsel?</li> <li>MR. NELSON: No. I was just going to say</li> <li>the Board might want to combine just 12 and 13, and</li> <li>simply reference members of the community back to</li> <li>the meeting we had at the Chateau where this was</li> <li>discussed pretty exhaustively, and allow members of</li> <li>the public to draw their own conclusions from that.</li> <li>I think the Board really went through</li> <li>exactly what happened from all different</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>that just references the Rec Center expansion, what</li> <li>happened with the Rec Center expansion, there was a</li> <li>full discussion at a board meeting on this date, and</li> <li>then allow people to make their own conclusions.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Hold on real quick.</li> <li>General counsel, like number 2, is this something we</li> <li>can offer up to</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Yeah.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Ethics Commission for an</li> <li>opinion on, just so we know where that fine line is,</li> <li>and that way, we're aware of that moving forward?</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Absolutely.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah. I think it's</li> <li>unfortune we keep referring to this as a "grant."</li> <li>This seems to be the impression in a large section</li> <li>of the community that someone was just handing over</li> </ul>	116
<ul> <li>think the question is: Did Trustee Schmitz' no vote</li> <li>on the project design result in the Duffields</li> <li>pulling their commitment to move forward?</li> <li>And I think that is absolutely correct.</li> <li>And we would have been moving forward at that time</li> <li>had she voted yes. And so that no vote resulted</li> <li>in</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Legal counsel?</li> <li>MR. NELSON: No. I was just going to say</li> <li>the Board might want to combine just 12 and 13, and</li> <li>simply reference members of the community back to</li> <li>the meeting we had at the Chateau where this was</li> <li>discussed pretty exhaustively, and allow members of</li> <li>the public to draw their own conclusions from that.</li> <li>I think the Board really went through</li> <li>exactly what happened from all different</li> <li>perspectives, and those who watch that video can</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>that just references the Rec Center expansion, what</li> <li>happened with the Rec Center expansion, there was a</li> <li>full discussion at a board meeting on this date, and</li> <li>then allow people to make their own conclusions.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Hold on real quick.</li> <li>General counsel, like number 2, is this something we</li> <li>can offer up to</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Yeah.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Ethics Commission for an</li> <li>opinion on, just so we know where that fine line is,</li> <li>and that way, we're aware of that moving forward?</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Absolutely.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah. I think it's</li> <li>unfortune we keep referring to this as a "grant."</li> <li>This seems to be the impression in a large section</li> <li>of the community that someone was just handing over</li> <li>a \$25 million check to do whatever we wish with.</li> </ul>	116
<ul> <li>think the question is: Did Trustee Schmitz' no vote</li> <li>on the project design result in the Duffields</li> <li>pulling their commitment to move forward?</li> <li>And I think that is absolutely correct.</li> <li>And we would have been moving forward at that time</li> <li>had she voted yes. And so that no vote resulted</li> <li>in</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Legal counsel?</li> <li>MR. NELSON: No. I was just going to say</li> <li>the Board might want to combine just 12 and 13, and</li> <li>simply reference members of the community back to</li> <li>the meeting we had at the Chateau where this was</li> <li>discussed pretty exhaustively, and allow members of</li> <li>the public to draw their own conclusions from that.</li> <li>I think the Board really went through</li> <li>exactly what happened from all different</li> <li>perspectives, and those who watch that video can</li> <li>decide their own opinion.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>that just references the Rec Center expansion, what</li> <li>happened with the Rec Center expansion, there was a</li> <li>full discussion at a board meeting on this date, and</li> <li>then allow people to make their own conclusions.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Hold on real quick.</li> <li>General counsel, like number 2, is this something we</li> <li>can offer up to</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Yeah.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Ethics Commission for an</li> <li>opinion on, just so we know where that fine line is,</li> <li>and that way, we're aware of that moving forward?</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Absolutely.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah. I think it's</li> <li>unfortune we keep referring to this as a "grant."</li> <li>This seems to be the impression in a large section</li> <li>of the community that someone was just handing over</li> <li>a \$25 million check to do whatever we wish with.</li> <li>This grant, if it proceeded, came with very strict</li> </ul>	116
<ul> <li>think the question is: Did Trustee Schmitz' no vote</li> <li>on the project design result in the Duffields</li> <li>pulling their commitment to move forward?</li> <li>And I think that is absolutely correct.</li> <li>And we would have been moving forward at that time</li> <li>had she voted yes. And so that no vote resulted</li> <li>in</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Legal counsel?</li> <li>MR. NELSON: No. I was just going to say</li> <li>the Board might want to combine just 12 and 13, and</li> <li>simply reference members of the community back to</li> <li>the meeting we had at the Chateau where this was</li> <li>discussed pretty exhaustively, and allow members of</li> <li>the public to draw their own conclusions from that.</li> <li>I think the Board really went through</li> <li>exactly what happened from all different</li> <li>perspectives, and those who watch that video can</li> <li>decide their own opinion.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: And I'm fine with that</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>that just references the Rec Center expansion, what</li> <li>happened with the Rec Center expansion, there was a</li> <li>full discussion at a board meeting on this date, and</li> <li>then allow people to make their own conclusions.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Hold on real quick.</li> <li>General counsel, like number 2, is this something we</li> <li>can offer up to</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Yeah.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Ethics Commission for an</li> <li>opinion on, just so we know where that fine line is,</li> <li>and that way, we're aware of that moving forward?</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Absolutely.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah. I think it's</li> <li>unfortune we keep referring to this as a "grant."</li> <li>This seems to be the impression in a large section</li> <li>of the community that someone was just handing over</li> <li>a \$25 million check to do whatever we wish with.</li> <li>This grant, if it proceeded, came with very strict</li> <li>conditions, that was going to go towards building a</li> </ul>	116
<ul> <li>think the question is: Did Trustee Schmitz' no vote</li> <li>on the project design result in the Duffields</li> <li>pulling their commitment to move forward?</li> <li>And I think that is absolutely correct.</li> <li>And we would have been moving forward at that time</li> <li>had she voted yes. And so that no vote resulted</li> <li>in</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Legal counsel?</li> <li>MR. NELSON: No. I was just going to say</li> <li>the Board might want to combine just 12 and 13, and</li> <li>simply reference members of the community back to</li> <li>the meeting we had at the Chateau where this was</li> <li>discussed pretty exhaustively, and allow members of</li> <li>the public to draw their own conclusions from that.</li> <li>I think the Board really went through</li> <li>exactly what happened from all different</li> <li>perspectives, and those who watch that video can</li> <li>tRUSTEE NOBLE: And I'm fine with that</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>that just references the Rec Center expansion, what</li> <li>happened with the Rec Center expansion, there was a</li> <li>full discussion at a board meeting on this date, and</li> <li>then allow people to make their own conclusions.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Hold on real quick.</li> <li>General counsel, like number 2, is this something we</li> <li>can offer up to</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Yeah.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Ethics Commission for an</li> <li>opinion on, just so we know where that fine line is,</li> <li>and that way, we're aware of that moving forward?</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Absolutely.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah. I think it's</li> <li>unfortune we keep referring to this as a "grant."</li> <li>This seems to be the impression in a large section</li> <li>of the community that someone was just handing over</li> <li>a \$25 million check to do whatever we wish with.</li> <li>This grant, if it proceeded, came with very strict</li> <li>conditions, that was going to go towards building a</li> <li>dedicated gymnastics gym, not multipurpose gym, and</li> </ul>	116
<ul> <li>think the question is: Did Trustee Schmitz' no vote</li> <li>on the project design result in the Duffields</li> <li>pulling their commitment to move forward?</li> <li>And I think that is absolutely correct.</li> <li>And we would have been moving forward at that time</li> <li>had she voted yes. And so that no vote resulted</li> <li>in</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Legal counsel?</li> <li>MR. NELSON: No. I was just going to say</li> <li>the Board might want to combine just 12 and 13, and</li> <li>simply reference members of the community back to</li> <li>the meeting we had at the Chateau where this was</li> <li>discussed pretty exhaustively, and allow members of</li> <li>the public to draw their own conclusions from that.</li> <li>I think the Board really went through</li> <li>exactly what happened from all different</li> <li>perspectives, and those who watch that video can</li> <li>decide their own opinion.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: And I'm fine with that</li> <li>allows them to come up with their own conclusions.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>that just references the Rec Center expansion, what</li> <li>happened with the Rec Center expansion, there was a</li> <li>full discussion at a board meeting on this date, and</li> <li>then allow people to make their own conclusions.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Hold on real quick.</li> <li>General counsel, like number 2, is this something we</li> <li>can offer up to</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Yeah.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Ethics Commission for an</li> <li>opinion on, just so we know where that fine line is,</li> <li>and that way, we're aware of that moving forward?</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Absolutely.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah. I think it's</li> <li>unfortune we keep referring to this as a "grant."</li> <li>This seems to be the impression in a large section</li> <li>of the community that someone was just handing over</li> <li>a \$25 million check to do whatever we wish with.</li> <li>This grant, if it proceeded, came with very strict</li> <li>conditions, that was going to go towards building a</li> <li>dedicated gymnastics gym, not multipurpose gym, and</li> </ul>	116
<ul> <li>think the question is: Did Trustee Schmitz' no vote</li> <li>on the project design result in the Duffields</li> <li>pulling their commitment to move forward?</li> <li>And I think that is absolutely correct.</li> <li>And we would have been moving forward at that time</li> <li>had she voted yes. And so that no vote resulted</li> <li>in</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Legal counsel?</li> <li>MR. NELSON: No. I was just going to say</li> <li>the Board might want to combine just 12 and 13, and</li> <li>simply reference members of the community back to</li> <li>the meeting we had at the Chateau where this was</li> <li>discussed pretty exhaustively, and allow members of</li> <li>the public to draw their own conclusions from that.</li> <li>I think the Board really went through</li> <li>exactly what happened from all different</li> <li>perspectives, and those who watch that video can</li> <li>decide their own opinion.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: And I'm fine with that</li> <li>because it directs people to the discussion and</li> <li>allows them to come up with their own conclusions.</li> <li>Otherwise, I feel that we're getting into that very</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>that just references the Rec Center expansion, what</li> <li>happened with the Rec Center expansion, there was a</li> <li>full discussion at a board meeting on this date, and</li> <li>then allow people to make their own conclusions.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Hold on real quick.</li> <li>General counsel, like number 2, is this something we</li> <li>can offer up to</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Yeah.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Ethics Commission for an</li> <li>opinion on, just so we know where that fine line is,</li> <li>and that way, we're aware of that moving forward?</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Absolutely.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah. I think it's</li> <li>unfortune we keep referring to this as a "grant."</li> <li>This seems to be the impression in a large section</li> <li>of the community that someone was just handing over</li> <li>a \$25 million check to do whatever we wish with.</li> <li>This grant, if it proceeded, came with very strict</li> <li>conditions, that was going to go towards building a</li> <li>dedicated gymnastics gym, not multipurpose gym, and</li> <li>perception that just it was going to be \$25 million</li> </ul>	116
<ul> <li>think the question is: Did Trustee Schmitz' no vote</li> <li>on the project design result in the Duffields</li> <li>pulling their commitment to move forward?</li> <li>And I think that is absolutely correct.</li> <li>And we would have been moving forward at that time</li> <li>had she voted yes. And so that no vote resulted</li> <li>in</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Legal counsel?</li> <li>MR. NELSON: No. I was just going to say</li> <li>the Board might want to combine just 12 and 13, and</li> <li>simply reference members of the community back to</li> <li>the meeting we had at the Chateau where this was</li> <li>discussed pretty exhaustively, and allow members of</li> <li>the public to draw their own conclusions from that.</li> <li>I think the Board really went through</li> <li>exactly what happened from all different</li> <li>perspectives, and those who watch that video can</li> <li>decide their own opinion.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: And I'm fine with that</li> <li>because it directs people to the discussion and</li> <li>allows them to come up with their own conclusions.</li> <li>Otherwise, I feel that we're getting into that very</li> <li>close to the edge of government resources being used</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>that just references the Rec Center expansion, what</li> <li>happened with the Rec Center expansion, there was a</li> <li>full discussion at a board meeting on this date, and</li> <li>then allow people to make their own conclusions.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Hold on real quick.</li> <li>General counsel, like number 2, is this something we</li> <li>can offer up to</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Yeah.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Ethics Commission for an</li> <li>opinion on, just so we know where that fine line is,</li> <li>and that way, we're aware of that moving forward?</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Absolutely.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah. I think it's</li> <li>unfortune we keep referring to this as a "grant."</li> <li>This seems to be the impression in a large section</li> <li>of the community that someone was just handing over</li> <li>a \$25 million check to do whatever we wish with.</li> <li>This grant, if it proceeded, came with very strict</li> <li>conditions, that was going to go towards building a</li> <li>dedicated gymnastics gym, not multipurpose gym, and</li> <li>some office space. There seems to be some general</li> <li>perception that just it was going to be \$25 million</li> <li>to spend as we like. It wasn't. It came with very</li> </ul>	116
<ul> <li>think the question is: Did Trustee Schmitz' no vote</li> <li>on the project design result in the Duffields</li> <li>pulling their commitment to move forward?</li> <li>And I think that is absolutely correct.</li> <li>And we would have been moving forward at that time</li> <li>had she voted yes. And so that no vote resulted</li> <li>in</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Legal counsel?</li> <li>MR. NELSON: No. I was just going to say</li> <li>the Board might want to combine just 12 and 13, and</li> <li>simply reference members of the community back to</li> <li>the meeting we had at the Chateau where this was</li> <li>discussed pretty exhaustively, and allow members of</li> <li>the public to draw their own conclusions from that.</li> <li>I think the Board really went through</li> <li>exactly what happened from all different</li> <li>perspectives, and those who watch that video can</li> <li>decide their own opinion.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: And I'm fine with that</li> <li>because it directs people to the discussion and</li> <li>allows them to come up with their own conclusions.</li> <li>Otherwise, I feel that we're getting into that very</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>that just references the Rec Center expansion, what</li> <li>happened with the Rec Center expansion, there was a</li> <li>full discussion at a board meeting on this date, and</li> <li>then allow people to make their own conclusions.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Hold on real quick.</li> <li>General counsel, like number 2, is this something we</li> <li>can offer up to</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Yeah.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Ethics Commission for an</li> <li>opinion on, just so we know where that fine line is,</li> <li>and that way, we're aware of that moving forward?</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Absolutely.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah. I think it's</li> <li>unfortune we keep referring to this as a "grant."</li> <li>This seems to be the impression in a large section</li> <li>of the community that someone was just handing over</li> <li>a \$25 million check to do whatever we wish with.</li> <li>This grant, if it proceeded, came with very strict</li> <li>conditions, that was going to go towards building a</li> <li>dedicated gymnastics gym, not multipurpose gym, and</li> <li>perception that just it was going to be \$25 million</li> </ul>	116

117 1 CHAIR DENT: I'll just say along the 2 lines, I think referencing I think it's important 3 to say something on these items and potentially 4 combine this, because there were three or four 5 meetings on this. The first was in February with 6 the intent to enter into an agreement. There was 7 another one in July with a not to exceed funds for 8 the design, that's it. Then there was a meeting in 9 September. We did have a meeting somewhere in 10 October to discuss the timeline. And we learned a 11 lot of information at that time regarding all of 12 this. 13 But I do think it's important. There is a 14 lot of folks out there that think there was some 15 grant some were on a grant and there truly was	<ol> <li>really important just to hit on all of these dates,</li> <li>not just the one date from October, because there's</li> <li>a lot of information out there. If you do your</li> <li>research, you'll find out there's no commitment of</li> <li>construction or expansion by either party, is what</li> <li>was agreed to in July of last year.</li> <li>So, there's this talk about a \$25 million</li> <li>grant, but it was really hope of a \$25 million</li> <li>grant, if anything, and we didn't get far enough to</li> <li>figure that out. And I wish we would have had clear</li> <li>direction at that meeting so we all would have</li> <li>understood what was on the line.</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I think in hindsight</li> <li>there's a lesson to be learned for the Board to say</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>15 grant some vote on a grant, and there truly was</li> <li>16 no vote on a grant. There was going to be another</li> <li>17 half dozen votes on this item, and if one person</li> <li>18 disagreed and we didn't go along with some secret,</li> <li>19 hidden stipulation that wasn't in the board packet,</li> <li>20 then this could have all be derailed down the road,</li> <li>21 and then we're footing the bill for the entire</li> <li>22 thing.</li> <li>23 I'm fine with spending money on something</li> <li>24 like this. I just think we need to know what we're</li> <li>25 getting into when we do do it. But I think it's</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>15 if you're going to enter into something like this,</li> <li>16 you need to have commitment at the beginning of what</li> <li>17 we are actually delivering and what are we actually</li> <li>18 doing. Because we could have gotten half way</li> <li>19 through this project and been left to complete it</li> <li>20 ourselves. And it was a dedicated gymnastics room.</li> <li>21 I think the lesson learned is that if the</li> <li>22 Board ever has an opportunity like this, that</li> <li>23 upfront there should be more clear stipulation as to</li> <li>24 what the deliverable is and what the commitments</li> <li>25 are, because this was very much one step at a time,</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>and that potentially put the District as risk.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah, I think there's</li> <li>another important lesson to be learned. There's</li> <li>public money at stake here. This wasn't all going</li> <li>to be funded by Duffield Foundation. The District</li> <li>had a level of expansion as well. I think at the</li> <li>end of the whole debacle, we spent 1.1 million, if I</li> <li>recall correctly. This was public funds at stake.</li> <li>I think it's unfortunate there was no</li> <li>Board member involvement in the discussions. I</li> <li>think there was a long period where the Board was</li> <li>not informed of what was going on in discussions.</li> <li>To me, that's the clear landing point for that. Any</li> <li>such discussions involving public funds must have a</li> <li>Board member involved in it. It can't just come as</li> <li>a third complete to the Board, with information</li> <li>withheld from the Board for several weeks, critical</li> <li>weeks.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other discussion on this</li> <li>item or even on the last one, number 13? It sounded</li> <li>like we were going to combine those two. Any other</li> <li>discussion on these?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah, I think there's</li> <li>several we can add to this. I think it's important</li> <li>to spell out what the role of IVGID is. I mean,</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1 IVGID is a general improvement district, we are</li> <li>governed by the Nevada Revised Statutes. We're also</li> <li>a Dillon's Rule state. We can only engage in the</li> <li>areas that we're specifically allowed to engage.</li> <li>I hear some of the public comments, let's</li> <li>do a citizen committee to decide how we spend this</li> <li>money and how we do this, that, and the next thing.</li> <li>We do not have that flexibility. We are</li> <li>governed by the Nevada Revised Statutes, the Open</li> <li>Meeting Laws, the proper use of public funds. It's</li> <li>not just a this isn't nature way, despite what</li> <li>some people seem to think. It's I think we</li> <li>should formulate something of that to clarify some</li> <li>of those things.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: I would agree with that. I</li> <li>think this will be could be a valuable tool to at</li> <li>least getting information out there for IVGID to</li> <li>have, the District to have, and then when there is,</li> <li>potentially, misinformation out there, they could be</li> <li>just pointed back to, hey, the Board discussed this,</li> <li>here's the right information.</li> <li>Regarding because Trustee Tonking is</li> <li>not here and because we went through every single</li> <li>one of those, she did have comments on that, and I</li> <li>feel like it would just be fair to read what they</li> </ul>

1	are. We went in a lot different direction, I think,	12 12 12
2	than how they were written. I think that was just	2 That's Trustee Tonking's two cents on all
3	intended to be in draft form.	3 this, and she sent me a bunch of texts, I guess
4	But she says:	4 she's backpacking or something like that, and
5	"For item G 2, these FAQs are	5 there's no conversation going on except that.
6	not what was discussed at the	6 I just wanted to get that out there, and I
7	Board meeting. The idea was to	<ul><li>7 feel like we did a good job working through this.</li></ul>
8	start with easy-to-answer	8 Through legal counsel, we will bring this back at a
9	questions, such as who's in	9 later time.
10	charge of plowing the roads.	10 I think it is important if you hear things
11	"I don't feel like, as a board,	11 or things do come up, that we use this item as a way
12	we need to be answering	12 to address those. And I a hundred percent agree
13	questions for individual	13 regarding some of the operational items; I think
14	trustees, including myself. I	14 really low-hanging fruit we can address pretty
15	think it's hard because we can	15 quickly.
16	write them together, and it	16 All right. That closes out item G 3,
17	feels like these are not in	17 formerly G 2. We're going to take a five-minute
18	agreement across the board.	18 break.
19	"If I was there in person, I	19 (Recess from 8:45 to 8:51 P.M.)
20	think there are only two I would	20 CHAIR DENT: All right. Moving on to
21	support. I think we need to	21 formerly G 3, now it's item G 4.
22	start with much simpler	22 G 4.
23	questions that are not loaded,	23 CHAIR DENT: Review, discuss, and possibly
24	but maybe some of these topics	24 approve the recommended changes to the general
25	could be a good part of a public	25 manager's job description, and authorize staff to
1	123 start the recruiting process. Requesting staff	12 1 going to fall on the sword straight of the gate 1
1	start the recruiting process. Requesting staff	1 going to fall on the sword straight of the gate, I
2	start the recruiting process. Requesting staff member Director of Human Resources Eric Feore. This	<ol> <li>going to fall on the sword straight of the gate, I</li> <li>got started on it a little bit late, so there's</li> </ol>
2 3	start the recruiting process. Requesting staff member Director of Human Resources Eric Feore. This could be found on pages 991 through 1003 of your	<ol> <li>going to fall on the sword straight of the gate, I</li> <li>got started on it a little bit late, so there's</li> <li>still additional room for changes and</li> </ol>
2 3 4	start the recruiting process. Requesting staff member Director of Human Resources Eric Feore. This could be found on pages 991 through 1003 of your board packet.	<ol> <li>going to fall on the sword straight of the gate, I</li> <li>got started on it a little bit late, so there's</li> <li>still additional room for changes and</li> <li>recommendations. It's really going to come from the</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5	start the recruiting process. Requesting staff member Director of Human Resources Eric Feore. This could be found on pages 991 through 1003 of your board packet. MS. FEORE: I had reached out to acting	<ol> <li>going to fall on the sword straight of the gate, I</li> <li>got started on it a little bit late, so there's</li> <li>still additional room for changes and</li> <li>recommendations. It's really going to come from the</li> <li>Board. But the only thing I did, kind of, take the</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6	start the recruiting process. Requesting staff member Director of Human Resources Eric Feore. This could be found on pages 991 through 1003 of your board packet. MS. FEORE: I had reached out to acting General Manager Mike Bandelin and Chairman Dent to	<ol> <li>going to fall on the sword straight of the gate, I</li> <li>got started on it a little bit late, so there's</li> <li>still additional room for changes and</li> <li>recommendations. It's really going to come from the</li> <li>Board. But the only thing I did, kind of, take the</li> <li>initiative on was to update it to the formating that</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7	start the recruiting process. Requesting staff member Director of Human Resources Eric Feore. This could be found on pages 991 through 1003 of your board packet. MS. FEORE: I had reached out to acting General Manager Mike Bandelin and Chairman Dent to talk about typically what the process is when	<ol> <li>going to fall on the sword straight of the gate, I</li> <li>got started on it a little bit late, so there's</li> <li>still additional room for changes and</li> <li>recommendations. It's really going to come from the</li> <li>Board. But the only thing I did, kind of, take the</li> <li>initiative on was to update it to the formating that</li> <li>matches the District's, and updated some of the</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	start the recruiting process. Requesting staff member Director of Human Resources Eric Feore. This could be found on pages 991 through 1003 of your board packet. MS. FEORE: I had reached out to acting General Manager Mike Bandelin and Chairman Dent to talk about typically what the process is when there's a vacancy. And for other District	<ol> <li>going to fall on the sword straight of the gate, I</li> <li>got started on it a little bit late, so there's</li> <li>still additional room for changes and</li> <li>recommendations. It's really going to come from the</li> <li>Board. But the only thing I did, kind of, take the</li> <li>initiative on was to update it to the formating that</li> <li>matches the District's, and updated some of the</li> <li>maybe some language that's a little outdated and</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	start the recruiting process. Requesting staff member Director of Human Resources Eric Feore. This could be found on pages 991 through 1003 of your board packet. MS. FEORE: I had reached out to acting General Manager Mike Bandelin and Chairman Dent to talk about typically what the process is when there's a vacancy. And for other District positions, it's typical that we would start with the	<ol> <li>going to fall on the sword straight of the gate, I</li> <li>got started on it a little bit late, so there's</li> <li>still additional room for changes and</li> <li>recommendations. It's really going to come from the</li> <li>Board. But the only thing I did, kind of, take the</li> <li>initiative on was to update it to the formating that</li> <li>matches the District's, and updated some of the</li> <li>maybe some language that's a little outdated and</li> <li>adding some additional information.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	start the recruiting process. Requesting staff member Director of Human Resources Eric Feore. This could be found on pages 991 through 1003 of your board packet. MS. FEORE: I had reached out to acting General Manager Mike Bandelin and Chairman Dent to talk about typically what the process is when there's a vacancy. And for other District positions, it's typical that we would start with the hiring managers to do a review of the job	<ol> <li>going to fall on the sword straight of the gate, I</li> <li>got started on it a little bit late, so there's</li> <li>still additional room for changes and</li> <li>recommendations. It's really going to come from the</li> <li>Board. But the only thing I did, kind of, take the</li> <li>initiative on was to update it to the formating that</li> <li>matches the District's, and updated some of the</li> <li>maybe some language that's a little outdated and</li> <li>adding some additional information.</li> <li>Again, this is all just recommended. I</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	start the recruiting process. Requesting staff member Director of Human Resources Eric Feore. This could be found on pages 991 through 1003 of your board packet. MS. FEORE: I had reached out to acting General Manager Mike Bandelin and Chairman Dent to talk about typically what the process is when there's a vacancy. And for other District positions, it's typical that we would start with the hiring managers to do a review of the job description, make some changes, get some updates	<ol> <li>going to fall on the sword straight of the gate, I</li> <li>got started on it a little bit late, so there's</li> <li>still additional room for changes and</li> <li>recommendations. It's really going to come from the</li> <li>Board. But the only thing I did, kind of, take the</li> <li>initiative on was to update it to the formating that</li> <li>matches the District's, and updated some of the</li> <li>maybe some language that's a little outdated and</li> <li>adding some additional information.</li> <li>Again, this is all just recommended. I</li> <li>was just spitballing ideas at this point. I figured</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	start the recruiting process. Requesting staff member Director of Human Resources Eric Feore. This could be found on pages 991 through 1003 of your board packet. MS. FEORE: I had reached out to acting General Manager Mike Bandelin and Chairman Dent to talk about typically what the process is when there's a vacancy. And for other District positions, it's typical that we would start with the hiring managers to do a review of the job description, make some changes, get some updates going, and then take the process from there, which	<ol> <li>going to fall on the sword straight of the gate, I</li> <li>got started on it a little bit late, so there's</li> <li>still additional room for changes and</li> <li>recommendations. It's really going to come from the</li> <li>Board. But the only thing I did, kind of, take the</li> <li>initiative on was to update it to the formating that</li> <li>matches the District's, and updated some of the</li> <li>maybe some language that's a little outdated and</li> <li>adding some additional information.</li> <li>Again, this is all just recommended. I</li> <li>was just spitballing ideas at this point. I figured</li> <li>if I can put some words down on some pages, it can</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	start the recruiting process. Requesting staff member Director of Human Resources Eric Feore. This could be found on pages 991 through 1003 of your board packet. MS. FEORE: I had reached out to acting General Manager Mike Bandelin and Chairman Dent to talk about typically what the process is when there's a vacancy. And for other District positions, it's typical that we would start with the hiring managers to do a review of the job description, make some changes, get some updates going, and then take the process from there, which would typically be where the HR department would	<ol> <li>going to fall on the sword straight of the gate, I</li> <li>got started on it a little bit late, so there's</li> <li>still additional room for changes and</li> <li>recommendations. It's really going to come from the</li> <li>Board. But the only thing I did, kind of, take the</li> <li>initiative on was to update it to the formating that</li> <li>matches the District's, and updated some of the</li> <li>maybe some language that's a little outdated and</li> <li>adding some additional information.</li> <li>Again, this is all just recommended. I</li> <li>was just spitballing ideas at this point. I figured</li> <li>if I can put some words down on some pages, it can</li> <li>help start the conversation.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	start the recruiting process. Requesting staff member Director of Human Resources Eric Feore. This could be found on pages 991 through 1003 of your board packet. MS. FEORE: I had reached out to acting General Manager Mike Bandelin and Chairman Dent to talk about typically what the process is when there's a vacancy. And for other District positions, it's typical that we would start with the hiring managers to do a review of the job description, make some changes, get some updates going, and then take the process from there, which would typically be where the HR department would post the position, and we'd start the recruitment	<ol> <li>going to fall on the sword straight of the gate, I</li> <li>got started on it a little bit late, so there's</li> <li>still additional room for changes and</li> <li>recommendations. It's really going to come from the</li> <li>Board. But the only thing I did, kind of, take the</li> <li>initiative on was to update it to the formating that</li> <li>matches the District's, and updated some of the</li> <li>maybe some language that's a little outdated and</li> <li>adding some additional information.</li> <li>Again, this is all just recommended. I</li> <li>was just spitballing ideas at this point. I figured</li> <li>if I can put some words down on some pages, it can</li> <li>help start the conversation.</li> <li>So, pulling from some resolutions and some</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	start the recruiting process. Requesting staff member Director of Human Resources Eric Feore. This could be found on pages 991 through 1003 of your board packet. MS. FEORE: I had reached out to acting General Manager Mike Bandelin and Chairman Dent to talk about typically what the process is when there's a vacancy. And for other District positions, it's typical that we would start with the hiring managers to do a review of the job description, make some changes, get some updates going, and then take the process from there, which would typically be where the HR department would post the position, and we'd start the recruitment process.	<ol> <li>going to fall on the sword straight of the gate, I</li> <li>got started on it a little bit late, so there's</li> <li>still additional room for changes and</li> <li>recommendations. It's really going to come from the</li> <li>Board. But the only thing I did, kind of, take the</li> <li>initiative on was to update it to the formating that</li> <li>matches the District's, and updated some of the</li> <li>maybe some language that's a little outdated and</li> <li>adding some additional information.</li> <li>Again, this is all just recommended. I</li> <li>was just spitballing ideas at this point. I figured</li> <li>if I can put some words down on some pages, it can</li> <li>help start the conversation.</li> <li>So, pulling from some resolutions and some</li> <li>other job descriptions, I just put in some</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	start the recruiting process. Requesting staff member Director of Human Resources Eric Feore. This could be found on pages 991 through 1003 of your board packet. MS. FEORE: I had reached out to acting General Manager Mike Bandelin and Chairman Dent to talk about typically what the process is when there's a vacancy. And for other District positions, it's typical that we would start with the hiring managers to do a review of the job description, make some changes, get some updates going, and then take the process from there, which would typically be where the HR department would post the position, and we'd start the recruitment process. Understanding this is a very important	<ol> <li>going to fall on the sword straight of the gate, I</li> <li>got started on it a little bit late, so there's</li> <li>still additional room for changes and</li> <li>recommendations. It's really going to come from the</li> <li>Board. But the only thing I did, kind of, take the</li> <li>initiative on was to update it to the formating that</li> <li>matches the District's, and updated some of the</li> <li>maybe some language that's a little outdated and</li> <li>adding some additional information.</li> <li>Again, this is all just recommended. I</li> <li>was just spitballing ideas at this point. I figured</li> <li>if I can put some words down on some pages, it can</li> <li>help start the conversation.</li> <li>So, pulling from some resolutions and some</li> <li>other job descriptions, I just put in some</li> <li>recommendations. But where I ran out of time was at</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	start the recruiting process. Requesting staff member Director of Human Resources Eric Feore. This could be found on pages 991 through 1003 of your board packet. MS. FEORE: I had reached out to acting General Manager Mike Bandelin and Chairman Dent to talk about typically what the process is when there's a vacancy. And for other District positions, it's typical that we would start with the hiring managers to do a review of the job description, make some changes, get some updates going, and then take the process from there, which would typically be where the HR department would post the position, and we'd start the recruitment process. Understanding this is a very important position and a very big position for a very	<ol> <li>going to fall on the sword straight of the gate, I</li> <li>got started on it a little bit late, so there's</li> <li>still additional room for changes and</li> <li>recommendations. It's really going to come from the</li> <li>Board. But the only thing I did, kind of, take the</li> <li>initiative on was to update it to the formating that</li> <li>matches the District's, and updated some of the</li> <li>maybe some language that's a little outdated and</li> <li>adding some additional information.</li> <li>Again, this is all just recommended. I</li> <li>was just spitballing ideas at this point. I figured</li> <li>if I can put some words down on some pages, it can</li> <li>help start the conversation.</li> <li>So, pulling from some resolutions and some</li> <li>other job descriptions, I just put in some</li> <li>recommendations. But where I ran out of time was at</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	start the recruiting process. Requesting staff member Director of Human Resources Eric Feore. This could be found on pages 991 through 1003 of your board packet. MS. FEORE: I had reached out to acting General Manager Mike Bandelin and Chairman Dent to talk about typically what the process is when there's a vacancy. And for other District positions, it's typical that we would start with the hiring managers to do a review of the job description, make some changes, get some updates going, and then take the process from there, which would typically be where the HR department would post the position, and we'd start the recruitment process. Understanding this is a very important position and a very big position for a very important district, it might make sense to engage	<ol> <li>going to fall on the sword straight of the gate, I</li> <li>got started on it a little bit late, so there's</li> <li>still additional room for changes and</li> <li>recommendations. It's really going to come from the</li> <li>Board. But the only thing I did, kind of, take the</li> <li>initiative on was to update it to the formating that</li> <li>matches the District's, and updated some of the</li> <li>maybe some language that's a little outdated and</li> <li>adding some additional information.</li> <li>Again, this is all just recommended. I</li> <li>was just spitballing ideas at this point. I figured</li> <li>if I can put some words down on some pages, it can</li> <li>help start the conversation.</li> <li>So, pulling from some resolutions and some</li> <li>other job descriptions, I just put in some</li> <li>recommendations. But where I ran out of time was at</li> <li>the qualifications down, I had not had a chance to</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	start the recruiting process. Requesting staff member Director of Human Resources Eric Feore. This could be found on pages 991 through 1003 of your board packet. MS. FEORE: I had reached out to acting General Manager Mike Bandelin and Chairman Dent to talk about typically what the process is when there's a vacancy. And for other District positions, it's typical that we would start with the hiring managers to do a review of the job description, make some changes, get some updates going, and then take the process from there, which would typically be where the HR department would post the position, and we'd start the recruitment process. Understanding this is a very important position and a very big position for a very important district, it might make sense to engage with other parties for assistance. So, trying to	<ol> <li>going to fall on the sword straight of the gate, I</li> <li>got started on it a little bit late, so there's</li> <li>still additional room for changes and</li> <li>recommendations. It's really going to come from the</li> <li>Board. But the only thing I did, kind of, take the</li> <li>initiative on was to update it to the formating that</li> <li>matches the District's, and updated some of the</li> <li>maybe some language that's a little outdated and</li> <li>adding some additional information.</li> <li>Again, this is all just recommended. I</li> <li>was just spitballing ideas at this point. I figured</li> <li>if I can put some words down on some pages, it can</li> <li>help start the conversation.</li> <li>So, pulling from some resolutions and some</li> <li>other job descriptions, I just put in some</li> <li>recommendations. But where I ran out of time was at</li> <li>the qualifications down, I had not had a chance to</li> <li>update outside of my recommended, would be formating</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	start the recruiting process. Requesting staff member Director of Human Resources Eric Feore. This could be found on pages 991 through 1003 of your board packet. MS. FEORE: I had reached out to acting General Manager Mike Bandelin and Chairman Dent to talk about typically what the process is when there's a vacancy. And for other District positions, it's typical that we would start with the hiring managers to do a review of the job description, make some changes, get some updates going, and then take the process from there, which would typically be where the HR department would post the position, and we'd start the recruitment process. Understanding this is a very important position and a very big position for a very important district, it might make sense to engage with other parties for assistance. So, trying to not to put the cart before the horse, I thought a	<ol> <li>going to fall on the sword straight of the gate, I</li> <li>got started on it a little bit late, so there's</li> <li>still additional room for changes and</li> <li>recommendations. It's really going to come from the</li> <li>Board. But the only thing I did, kind of, take the</li> <li>initiative on was to update it to the formating that</li> <li>matches the District's, and updated some of the</li> <li>maybe some language that's a little outdated and</li> <li>adding some additional information.</li> <li>Again, this is all just recommended. I</li> <li>was just spitballing ideas at this point. I figured</li> <li>if I can put some words down on some pages, it can</li> <li>help start the conversation.</li> <li>So, pulling from some resolutions and some</li> <li>other job descriptions, I just put in some</li> <li>recommendations. But where I ran out of time was at</li> <li>the qualifications down, I had not had a chance to</li> <li>update outside of my recommended, would be formating</li> <li>and the like.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	start the recruiting process. Requesting staff member Director of Human Resources Eric Feore. This could be found on pages 991 through 1003 of your board packet. MS. FEORE: I had reached out to acting General Manager Mike Bandelin and Chairman Dent to talk about typically what the process is when there's a vacancy. And for other District positions, it's typical that we would start with the hiring managers to do a review of the job description, make some changes, get some updates going, and then take the process from there, which would typically be where the HR department would post the position, and we'd start the recruitment process. Understanding this is a very important position and a very big position for a very important district, it might make sense to engage with other parties for assistance. So, trying to not to put the cart before the horse, I thought a really good opportunity would be to start with the	<ol> <li>going to fall on the sword straight of the gate, I</li> <li>got started on it a little bit late, so there's</li> <li>still additional room for changes and</li> <li>recommendations. It's really going to come from the</li> <li>Board. But the only thing I did, kind of, take the</li> <li>initiative on was to update it to the formating that</li> <li>matches the District's, and updated some of the</li> <li>maybe some language that's a little outdated and</li> <li>adding some additional information.</li> <li>Again, this is all just recommended. I</li> <li>was just spitballing ideas at this point. I figured</li> <li>if I can put some words down on some pages, it can</li> <li>help start the conversation.</li> <li>So, pulling from some resolutions and some</li> <li>other job descriptions, I just put in some</li> <li>recommendations. But where I ran out of time was at</li> <li>the qualifications down, I had not had a chance to</li> <li>update outside of my recommended, would be formating</li> <li>and the like.</li> <li>Really, this is just an opportunity to</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	start the recruiting process. Requesting staff member Director of Human Resources Eric Feore. This could be found on pages 991 through 1003 of your board packet. MS. FEORE: I had reached out to acting General Manager Mike Bandelin and Chairman Dent to talk about typically what the process is when there's a vacancy. And for other District positions, it's typical that we would start with the hiring managers to do a review of the job description, make some changes, get some updates going, and then take the process from there, which would typically be where the HR department would post the position, and we'd start the recruitment process. Understanding this is a very important position and a very big position for a very important district, it might make sense to engage with other parties for assistance. So, trying to not to put the cart before the horse, I thought a really good opportunity would be to start with the job description.	1       going to fall on the sword straight of the gate, I         2       got started on it a little bit late, so there's         3       still additional room for changes and         4       recommendations. It's really going to come from the         5       Board. But the only thing I did, kind of, take the         6       initiative on was to update it to the formating that         7       matches the District's, and updated some of the         8       maybe some language that's a little outdated and         9       adding some additional information.         10       Again, this is all just recommended. I         11       was just spitballing ideas at this point. I figured         12       if I can put some words down on some pages, it can         13       help start the conversation.         14       So, pulling from some resolutions and some         15       other job descriptions, I just put in some         16       recommendations. But where I ran out of time was at         17       the qualifications down, I had not had a chance to         19       update outside of my recommended, would be formating         20       and the like.         21       Really, this is just an opportunity to         22       start the conversation, and I'm going to take your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	start the recruiting process. Requesting staff member Director of Human Resources Eric Feore. This could be found on pages 991 through 1003 of your board packet. MS. FEORE: I had reached out to acting General Manager Mike Bandelin and Chairman Dent to talk about typically what the process is when there's a vacancy. And for other District positions, it's typical that we would start with the hiring managers to do a review of the job description, make some changes, get some updates going, and then take the process from there, which would typically be where the HR department would post the position, and we'd start the recruitment process. Understanding this is a very important position and a very big position for a very important district, it might make sense to engage with other parties for assistance. So, trying to not to put the cart before the horse, I thought a really good opportunity would be to start with the job description. The job description that had existed is	<ol> <li>going to fall on the sword straight of the gate, I</li> <li>got started on it a little bit late, so there's</li> <li>still additional room for changes and</li> <li>recommendations. It's really going to come from the</li> <li>Board. But the only thing I did, kind of, take the</li> <li>initiative on was to update it to the formating that</li> <li>matches the District's, and updated some of the</li> <li>maybe some language that's a little outdated and</li> <li>adding some additional information.</li> <li>Again, this is all just recommended. I</li> <li>was just spitballing ideas at this point. I figured</li> <li>if I can put some words down on some pages, it can</li> <li>help start the conversation.</li> <li>So, pulling from some resolutions and some</li> <li>other job descriptions, I just put in some</li> <li>recommendations. But where I ran out of time was at</li> <li>the qualifications down, I had not had a chance to</li> <li>update outside of my recommended, would be formating</li> <li>and the like.</li> <li>Really, this is just an opportunity to</li> <li>start the conversation, and I'm going to take your</li> <li>direction.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	start the recruiting process. Requesting staff member Director of Human Resources Eric Feore. This could be found on pages 991 through 1003 of your board packet. MS. FEORE: I had reached out to acting General Manager Mike Bandelin and Chairman Dent to talk about typically what the process is when there's a vacancy. And for other District positions, it's typical that we would start with the hiring managers to do a review of the job description, make some changes, get some updates going, and then take the process from there, which would typically be where the HR department would post the position, and we'd start the recruitment process. Understanding this is a very important position and a very big position for a very important district, it might make sense to engage with other parties for assistance. So, trying to not to put the cart before the horse, I thought a really good opportunity would be to start with the job description. The job description that had existed is something that the District has had for a while.	<ol> <li>going to fall on the sword straight of the gate, I</li> <li>got started on it a little bit late, so there's</li> <li>still additional room for changes and</li> <li>recommendations. It's really going to come from the</li> <li>Board. But the only thing I did, kind of, take the</li> <li>initiative on was to update it to the formating that</li> <li>matches the District's, and updated some of the</li> <li>maybe some language that's a little outdated and</li> <li>adding some additional information.</li> <li>Again, this is all just recommended. I</li> <li>was just spitballing ideas at this point. I figured</li> <li>if I can put some words down on some pages, it can</li> <li>help start the conversation.</li> <li>So, pulling from some resolutions and some</li> <li>other job descriptions, I just put in some</li> <li>recommendations. But where I ran out of time was at</li> <li>the qualifications down, I had not had a chance to</li> <li>update outside of my recommended, would be formating</li> <li>and the like.</li> <li>Really, this is just an opportunity to</li> <li>start the conversation, and I'm going to take your</li> <li>direction.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	start the recruiting process. Requesting staff member Director of Human Resources Eric Feore. This could be found on pages 991 through 1003 of your board packet. MS. FEORE: I had reached out to acting General Manager Mike Bandelin and Chairman Dent to talk about typically what the process is when there's a vacancy. And for other District positions, it's typical that we would start with the hiring managers to do a review of the job description, make some changes, get some updates going, and then take the process from there, which would typically be where the HR department would post the position, and we'd start the recruitment process. Understanding this is a very important position and a very big position for a very important district, it might make sense to engage with other parties for assistance. So, trying to not to put the cart before the horse, I thought a really good opportunity would be to start with the job description. The job description that had existed is	<ol> <li>going to fall on the sword straight of the gate, I</li> <li>got started on it a little bit late, so there's</li> <li>still additional room for changes and</li> <li>recommendations. It's really going to come from the</li> <li>Board. But the only thing I did, kind of, take the</li> <li>initiative on was to update it to the formating that</li> <li>matches the District's, and updated some of the</li> <li>maybe some language that's a little outdated and</li> <li>adding some additional information.</li> <li>Again, this is all just recommended. I</li> <li>was just spitballing ideas at this point. I figured</li> <li>if I can put some words down on some pages, it can</li> <li>help start the conversation.</li> <li>So, pulling from some resolutions and some</li> <li>other job descriptions, I just put in some</li> <li>recommendations. But where I ran out of time was at</li> <li>the qualifications down, I had not had a chance to</li> <li>update outside of my recommended, would be formating</li> <li>and the like.</li> <li>Really, this is just an opportunity to</li> <li>start the conversation, and I'm going to take your</li> <li>direction.</li> </ol>

		125	10	26
1	TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Putting me in the hot	120	1 excellent customer service. It's not this is far	20
2	seat again. I think it's good start. I think it's		2 bigger an operation, and it shouldn't be a case	
3	important, you know, if I look at it at high level		3 where any member of the public can just go straight	
4	first, I think it's important that we recognize this		4 to the general manager for something. If the	
5	advert is not for a parks and rec manager, it's not		5 general manager is running his business properly,	
6	for a manager of a small municipal of something.		6 the venue managers are really the first point of	
7	This is managing what this year is \$117 million		7 contact and the department managers. They have the	
8	budget business. It's a relatively big business.		8 responsibility for customer service. I'd like	
9	And it doesn't just have government functions; it's		9 that should be reflected.	
10			10 I think, also, it's I made some here on	
11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		11 just some random notes on item 4, on page 999,	
12	have here is, obviously in the past, some of this		12 page 1 of 4 there, it's deliver in accordance with	
	job description has been tailored to individuals		13 the Board policies as well. It's not just to	
	rather than getting the right fit, the right fit of		14 present policy recommendations. It's to present	
	is skill set. I think we need to focus more, I think,		15 viewpoints on policy recommendations to the Board	
	more focus on the GM is going to be responsible for		16 and give comment on that, but then to implement the	
	managing within the budget, making sure venue		17 Board policy decisions.	
	managers are being managed within the budget,		18 On 14, it said to deliver some customer	
19			19 service within budget deliver services within	
	budget. I think that's a key part of it.		20 budgets and financial objectives. I can give you	
21			21 some of these notes afterwards.	
22			22 I think on item 20, I think if you go back	
	take this the wrong way, yeah, customer service is a		23 to the January meeting, I think we made some changes	
	key part of it, but the general manager is really		24 in that in terms of the interface with the general	
	is responsible for ensuring that his team delivers		25 counsel. That needs some updates there.	
20			23 Courisei. That needs some updates there.	
		127		28
1	On the qualifications, on experience, item	127	1 recruited, the search consultants were looking	28
2	4, I don't think publically is the correct word. I	127	<ol> <li>recruited, the search consultants were looking</li> <li>purely at people that came out of local government,</li> </ol>	28
2 3	4, I don't think publically is the correct word. I think it's publicly.	127	<ol> <li>recruited, the search consultants were looking</li> <li>purely at people that came out of local government,</li> <li>so we just got word of same there. We didn't cast a</li> </ol>	28
2	4, I don't think publically is the correct word. I think it's publicly. I think also we should not be limiting it	127	<ol> <li>recruited, the search consultants were looking</li> <li>purely at people that came out of local government,</li> <li>so we just got word of same there. We didn't cast a</li> <li>wide enough net. I think let's make sure it's not</li> </ol>	28
2 3	4, I don't think publically is the correct word. I think it's publicly. I think also we should not be limiting it just to I see some references to here. It's	127	<ol> <li>recruited, the search consultants were looking</li> <li>purely at people that came out of local government,</li> <li>so we just got word of same there. We didn't cast a</li> <li>wide enough net. I think let's make sure it's not</li> <li>just that.</li> </ol>	28
2 3 4	4, I don't think publically is the correct word. I think it's publicly. I think also we should not be limiting it just to I see some references to here. It's looking primarily for municipal governmental	127	<ol> <li>recruited, the search consultants were looking</li> <li>purely at people that came out of local government,</li> <li>so we just got word of same there. We didn't cast a</li> <li>wide enough net. I think let's make sure it's not</li> <li>just that.</li> <li>I think the residency, residing within 45</li> </ol>	28
2 3 4 5	4, I don't think publically is the correct word. I think it's publicly. I think also we should not be limiting it just to I see some references to here. It's	127	<ol> <li>recruited, the search consultants were looking</li> <li>purely at people that came out of local government,</li> <li>so we just got word of same there. We didn't cast a</li> <li>wide enough net. I think let's make sure it's not</li> <li>just that.</li> </ol>	28
2 3 4 5 6	4, I don't think publically is the correct word. I think it's publicly. I think also we should not be limiting it just to I see some references to here. It's looking primarily for municipal governmental	127	<ol> <li>recruited, the search consultants were looking</li> <li>purely at people that came out of local government,</li> <li>so we just got word of same there. We didn't cast a</li> <li>wide enough net. I think let's make sure it's not</li> <li>just that.</li> <li>I think the residency, residing within 45</li> <li>miles seems quite distant to me. I think we'd all</li> <li>love to see the general manager residing in the</li> </ol>	28
2 3 4 5 6 7	4, I don't think publically is the correct word. I think it's publicly. I think also we should not be limiting it just to I see some references to here. It's looking primarily for municipal governmental community based and recreational service	127	<ol> <li>recruited, the search consultants were looking</li> <li>purely at people that came out of local government,</li> <li>so we just got word of same there. We didn't cast a</li> <li>wide enough net. I think let's make sure it's not</li> <li>just that.</li> <li>I think the residency, residing within 45</li> <li>miles seems quite distant to me. I think we'd all</li> </ol>	28
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	4, I don't think publically is the correct word. I think it's publicly. I think also we should not be limiting it just to I see some references to here. It's looking primarily for municipal governmental community based and recreational service environment. I think we need to make it much wider than that. I think if we look at Washoe County, they elected their chief executive that came from	127	<ol> <li>recruited, the search consultants were looking</li> <li>purely at people that came out of local government,</li> <li>so we just got word of same there. We didn't cast a</li> <li>wide enough net. I think let's make sure it's not</li> <li>just that.</li> <li>I think the residency, residing within 45</li> <li>miles seems quite distant to me. I think we'd all</li> <li>love to see the general manager residing in the</li> <li>community. I know that's not always possible, but I</li> <li>think 45 miles, to me, starts becoming a bit of a</li> </ol>	28
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	4, I don't think publically is the correct word. I think it's publicly. I think also we should not be limiting it just to I see some references to here. It's looking primarily for municipal governmental community based and recreational service environment. I think we need to make it much wider than that. I think if we look at Washoe County, they elected their chief executive that came from	127	<ol> <li>recruited, the search consultants were looking</li> <li>purely at people that came out of local government,</li> <li>so we just got word of same there. We didn't cast a</li> <li>wide enough net. I think let's make sure it's not</li> <li>just that.</li> <li>I think the residency, residing within 45</li> <li>miles seems quite distant to me. I think we'd all</li> <li>love to see the general manager residing in the</li> <li>community. I know that's not always possible, but I</li> <li>think 45 miles, to me, starts becoming a bit of a</li> <li>stretch. I'd prefer to see that at 30, that's just</li> </ol>	28
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11	<ul> <li>4, I don't think publically is the correct word. I think it's publicly.</li> <li>I think also we should not be limiting it just to I see some references to here. It's looking primarily for municipal governmental community based and recreational service environment. I think we need to make it much wider than that. I think if we look at Washoe County,</li> <li>they elected their chief executive that came from the public sector, rather than from the public</li> <li>sector. I think we need to make sure we're not</li> </ul>	127	<ol> <li>recruited, the search consultants were looking</li> <li>purely at people that came out of local government,</li> <li>so we just got word of same there. We didn't cast a</li> <li>wide enough net. I think let's make sure it's not</li> <li>just that.</li> <li>I think the residency, residing within 45</li> <li>miles seems quite distant to me. I think we'd all</li> <li>love to see the general manager residing in the</li> <li>community. I know that's not always possible, but I</li> <li>think 45 miles, to me, starts becoming a bit of a</li> <li>stretch. I'd prefer to see that at 30, that's just</li> <li>an initial thought.</li> </ol>	28
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11	<ul> <li>4, I don't think publically is the correct word. I think it's publicly.</li> <li>I think also we should not be limiting it just to I see some references to here. It's looking primarily for municipal governmental community based and recreational service environment. I think we need to make it much wider than that. I think if we look at Washoe County,</li> <li>they elected their chief executive that came from the public sector, rather than from the public</li> </ul>	127	<ol> <li>recruited, the search consultants were looking</li> <li>purely at people that came out of local government,</li> <li>so we just got word of same there. We didn't cast a</li> <li>wide enough net. I think let's make sure it's not</li> <li>just that.</li> <li>I think the residency, residing within 45</li> <li>miles seems quite distant to me. I think we'd all</li> <li>love to see the general manager residing in the</li> <li>community. I know that's not always possible, but I</li> <li>think 45 miles, to me, starts becoming a bit of a</li> <li>stretch. I'd prefer to see that at 30, that's just</li> </ol>	28
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>4, I don't think publically is the correct word. I think it's publicly. <ul> <li>I think also we should not be limiting it</li> <li>just to I see some references to here. It's</li> <li>looking primarily for municipal governmental</li> <li>community based and recreational service</li> <li>environment. I think we need to make it much wider</li> <li>than that. I think if we look at Washoe County,</li> <li>they elected their chief executive that came from</li> <li>the public sector, rather than from the public</li> <li>sector. I think we need to make sure we're not</li> <li>limiting it to purely just government driven. I</li> <li>think it's, as I say, running major commericial</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	127	<ol> <li>recruited, the search consultants were looking</li> <li>purely at people that came out of local government,</li> <li>so we just got word of same there. We didn't cast a</li> <li>wide enough net. I think let's make sure it's not</li> <li>just that.</li> <li>I think the residency, residing within 45</li> <li>miles seems quite distant to me. I think we'd all</li> <li>love to see the general manager residing in the</li> <li>community. I know that's not always possible, but I</li> <li>think 45 miles, to me, starts becoming a bit of a</li> <li>stretch. I'd prefer to see that at 30, that's just</li> <li>an initial thought.</li> <li>Otherwise, a lot of the changes are good.</li> <li>I'm looking at page 1003, doesn't seem to</li> </ol>	28
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>4, I don't think publically is the correct word. I think it's publicly. <ul> <li>I think also we should not be limiting it</li> <li>just to I see some references to here. It's</li> <li>looking primarily for municipal governmental</li> <li>community based and recreational service</li> <li>environment. I think we need to make it much wider</li> <li>than that. I think if we look at Washoe County,</li> <li>they elected their chief executive that came from</li> <li>the public sector, rather than from the public</li> <li>sector. I think we need to make sure we're not</li> <li>limiting it to purely just government driven. I</li> </ul></li></ul>	127	<ol> <li>recruited, the search consultants were looking</li> <li>purely at people that came out of local government,</li> <li>so we just got word of same there. We didn't cast a</li> <li>wide enough net. I think let's make sure it's not</li> <li>just that.</li> <li>I think the residency, residing within 45</li> <li>miles seems quite distant to me. I think we'd all</li> <li>love to see the general manager residing in the</li> <li>community. I know that's not always possible, but I</li> <li>think 45 miles, to me, starts becoming a bit of a</li> <li>stretch. I'd prefer to see that at 30, that's just</li> <li>an initial thought.</li> <li>Otherwise, a lot of the changes are good.</li> </ol>	28
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>4, I don't think publically is the correct word. I think it's publicly. <ul> <li>I think also we should not be limiting it</li> <li>just to I see some references to here. It's</li> <li>looking primarily for municipal governmental</li> <li>community based and recreational service</li> <li>environment. I think we need to make it much wider</li> <li>than that. I think if we look at Washoe County,</li> <li>they elected their chief executive that came from</li> <li>the public sector, rather than from the public</li> <li>sector. I think we need to make sure we're not</li> <li>limiting it to purely just government driven. I</li> <li>think it's, as I say, running major commericial</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	127	<ol> <li>recruited, the search consultants were looking</li> <li>purely at people that came out of local government,</li> <li>so we just got word of same there. We didn't cast a</li> <li>wide enough net. I think let's make sure it's not</li> <li>just that.</li> <li>I think the residency, residing within 45</li> <li>miles seems quite distant to me. I think we'd all</li> <li>love to see the general manager residing in the</li> <li>community. I know that's not always possible, but I</li> <li>think 45 miles, to me, starts becoming a bit of a</li> <li>stretch. I'd prefer to see that at 30, that's just</li> <li>an initial thought.</li> <li>Otherwise, a lot of the changes are good.</li> <li>I'm looking at page 1003, doesn't seem to</li> </ol>	28
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>4, I don't think publically is the correct word. I think it's publicly. <ul> <li>I think also we should not be limiting it</li> <li>just to I see some references to here. It's</li> <li>looking primarily for municipal governmental</li> <li>community based and recreational service</li> <li>environment. I think we need to make it much wider</li> <li>than that. I think if we look at Washoe County,</li> <li>they elected their chief executive that came from</li> <li>the public sector, rather than from the public</li> <li>sector. I think we need to make sure we're not</li> <li>limiting it to purely just government driven. I</li> <li>think it's, as I say, running major commericial</li> <li>businesses. Mr. Bandelin's business at Diamond Peak</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	127	<ol> <li>recruited, the search consultants were looking</li> <li>purely at people that came out of local government,</li> <li>so we just got word of same there. We didn't cast a</li> <li>wide enough net. I think let's make sure it's not</li> <li>just that.</li> <li>I think the residency, residing within 45</li> <li>miles seems quite distant to me. I think we'd all</li> <li>love to see the general manager residing in the</li> <li>community. I know that's not always possible, but I</li> <li>think 45 miles, to me, starts becoming a bit of a</li> <li>stretch. I'd prefer to see that at 30, that's just</li> <li>an initial thought.</li> <li>Otherwise, a lot of the changes are good.</li> <li>I'm looking at page 1003, doesn't seem to</li> <li>tie in with the rest of the memo. But, yeah, I</li> </ol>	28
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>4, I don't think publically is the correct word. I think it's publicly. <ul> <li>I think also we should not be limiting it</li> <li>just to I see some references to here. It's</li> <li>looking primarily for municipal governmental</li> <li>community based and recreational service</li> <li>environment. I think we need to make it much wider</li> <li>than that. I think if we look at Washoe County,</li> <li>they elected their chief executive that came from</li> <li>the public sector, rather than from the public</li> <li>sector. I think we need to make sure we're not</li> <li>limiting it to purely just government driven. I</li> <li>think it's, as I say, running major commericial</li> <li>businesses. Mr. Bandelin's business at Diamond Peak</li> <li>is \$50 million a year or something. I can't</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	127	<ol> <li>recruited, the search consultants were looking</li> <li>purely at people that came out of local government,</li> <li>so we just got word of same there. We didn't cast a</li> <li>wide enough net. I think let's make sure it's not</li> <li>just that.</li> <li>I think the residency, residing within 45</li> <li>miles seems quite distant to me. I think we'd all</li> <li>love to see the general manager residing in the</li> <li>community. I know that's not always possible, but I</li> <li>think 45 miles, to me, starts becoming a bit of a</li> <li>stretch. I'd prefer to see that at 30, that's just</li> <li>an initial thought.</li> <li>Otherwise, a lot of the changes are good.</li> <li>I'm looking at page 1003, doesn't seem to</li> <li>tie in with the rest of the memo. But, yeah, I</li> <li>think it's a good start here. Obviously, there's a</li> </ol>	28
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>4, I don't think publically is the correct word. I think it's publicly. <ul> <li>I think also we should not be limiting it</li> <li>just to I see some references to here. It's</li> <li>looking primarily for municipal governmental</li> <li>community based and recreational service</li> <li>environment. I think we need to make it much wider</li> <li>than that. I think if we look at Washoe County,</li> <li>they elected their chief executive that came from</li> <li>the public sector, rather than from the public</li> <li>sector. I think we need to make sure we're not</li> <li>limiting it to purely just government driven. I</li> <li>think it's, as I say, running major commericial</li> <li>businesses. Mr. Bandelin's business at Diamond Peak</li> <li>is \$50 million a year or something. I can't</li> <li>remember how many customers, but it's a large</li> <li>business. So this is more than just a typical</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	127	<ol> <li>recruited, the search consultants were looking</li> <li>purely at people that came out of local government,</li> <li>so we just got word of same there. We didn't cast a</li> <li>wide enough net. I think let's make sure it's not</li> <li>just that.</li> <li>I think the residency, residing within 45</li> <li>miles seems quite distant to me. I think we'd all</li> <li>love to see the general manager residing in the</li> <li>community. I know that's not always possible, but I</li> <li>think 45 miles, to me, starts becoming a bit of a</li> <li>stretch. I'd prefer to see that at 30, that's just</li> <li>an initial thought.</li> <li>Otherwise, a lot of the changes are good.</li> <li>I'm looking at page 1003, doesn't seem to</li> <li>tie in with the rest of the memo. But, yeah, I</li> <li>think it's a good start here. Obviously, there's a</li> <li>lot of editing to do, but, generally, I'd like to</li> </ol>	28
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>4, I don't think publically is the correct word. I think it's publicly. <ul> <li>I think also we should not be limiting it</li> <li>just to I see some references to here. It's</li> <li>looking primarily for municipal governmental</li> <li>community based and recreational service</li> <li>environment. I think we need to make it much wider</li> <li>than that. I think if we look at Washoe County,</li> <li>they elected their chief executive that came from</li> <li>the public sector, rather than from the public</li> <li>sector. I think we need to make sure we're not</li> <li>limiting it to purely just government driven. I</li> <li>think it's, as I say, running major commericial</li> <li>businesses. Mr. Bandelin's business at Diamond Peak</li> <li>is \$50 million a year or something. I can't</li> <li>remember how many customers, but it's a large</li> <li>business. So this is more than just a typical</li> <li>government entity. I think we need to make sure</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	127	<ol> <li>recruited, the search consultants were looking</li> <li>purely at people that came out of local government,</li> <li>so we just got word of same there. We didn't cast a</li> <li>wide enough net. I think let's make sure it's not</li> <li>just that.</li> <li>I think the residency, residing within 45</li> <li>miles seems quite distant to me. I think we'd all</li> <li>love to see the general manager residing in the</li> <li>community. I know that's not always possible, but I</li> <li>think 45 miles, to me, starts becoming a bit of a</li> <li>stretch. I'd prefer to see that at 30, that's just</li> <li>an initial thought.</li> <li>Otherwise, a lot of the changes are good.</li> <li>I'm looking at page 1003, doesn't seem to</li> <li>tie in with the rest of the memo. But, yeah, I</li> <li>think it's a good start here. Obviously, there's a</li> <li>lot of editing to do, but, generally, I'd like to</li> <li>see the focus on the role, it's like a chief</li> </ol>	28
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>4, I don't think publically is the correct word. I think it's publicly. <ul> <li>I think also we should not be limiting it</li> <li>just to I see some references to here. It's</li> <li>looking primarily for municipal governmental</li> <li>community based and recreational service</li> <li>environment. I think we need to make it much wider</li> <li>than that. I think if we look at Washoe County,</li> <li>they elected their chief executive that came from</li> <li>the public sector, rather than from the public</li> <li>sector. I think we need to make sure we're not</li> <li>limiting it to purely just government driven. I</li> <li>think it's, as I say, running major commericial</li> <li>businesses. Mr. Bandelin's business at Diamond Peak</li> <li>is \$50 million a year or something. I can't</li> <li>remember how many customers, but it's a large</li> <li>businesse. So this is more than just a typical</li> <li>government entity. I think we need to make sure</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	127	<ol> <li>recruited, the search consultants were looking</li> <li>purely at people that came out of local government,</li> <li>so we just got word of same there. We didn't cast a</li> <li>wide enough net. I think let's make sure it's not</li> <li>just that.</li> <li>I think the residency, residing within 45</li> <li>miles seems quite distant to me. I think we'd all</li> <li>love to see the general manager residing in the</li> <li>community. I know that's not always possible, but I</li> <li>think 45 miles, to me, starts becoming a bit of a</li> <li>stretch. I'd prefer to see that at 30, that's just</li> <li>an initial thought.</li> <li>Otherwise, a lot of the changes are good.</li> <li>I'm looking at page 1003, doesn't seem to</li> <li>tie in with the rest of the memo. But, yeah, I</li> <li>think it's a good start here. Obviously, there's a</li> <li>lot of editing to do, but, generally, I'd like to</li> <li>see the focus on the role, it's like a chief</li> <li>executive, it's managing the team.</li> </ol>	28
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>4, I don't think publically is the correct word. I think it's publicly. <ul> <li>I think also we should not be limiting it</li> <li>just to I see some references to here. It's</li> <li>looking primarily for municipal governmental</li> <li>community based and recreational service</li> <li>environment. I think we need to make it much wider</li> <li>than that. I think if we look at Washoe County,</li> <li>they elected their chief executive that came from</li> <li>the public sector, rather than from the public</li> <li>sector. I think we need to make sure we're not</li> <li>limiting it to purely just government driven. I</li> <li>think it's, as I say, running major commericial</li> <li>businesses. Mr. Bandelin's business at Diamond Peak</li> <li>is \$50 million a year or something. I can't</li> <li>remember how many customers, but it's a large</li> <li>business. So this is more than just a typical</li> <li>government entity. I think we need to make sure</li> <li>we're not disqualifying people who haven't worked</li> <li>for government before.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	127	<ol> <li>recruited, the search consultants were looking</li> <li>purely at people that came out of local government,</li> <li>so we just got word of same there. We didn't cast a</li> <li>wide enough net. I think let's make sure it's not</li> <li>just that.</li> <li>I think the residency, residing within 45</li> <li>miles seems quite distant to me. I think we'd all</li> <li>love to see the general manager residing in the</li> <li>community. I know that's not always possible, but I</li> <li>think 45 miles, to me, starts becoming a bit of a</li> <li>stretch. I'd prefer to see that at 30, that's just</li> <li>an initial thought.</li> <li>Otherwise, a lot of the changes are good.</li> <li>I'm looking at page 1003, doesn't seem to</li> <li>tie in with the rest of the memo. But, yeah, I</li> <li>think it's a good start here. Obviously, there's a</li> <li>lot of editing to do, but, generally, I'd like to</li> <li>see the focus on the role, it's like a chief</li> <li>executive, it's managing the team.</li> <li>MS. FEORE: Would you be willing to send</li> </ol>	28
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>4, I don't think publically is the correct word. I think it's publicly. <ul> <li>I think also we should not be limiting it</li> <li>just to I see some references to here. It's</li> <li>looking primarily for municipal governmental</li> <li>community based and recreational service</li> <li>environment. I think we need to make it much wider</li> <li>than that. I think if we look at Washoe County,</li> <li>they elected their chief executive that came from</li> <li>the public sector, rather than from the public</li> <li>sector. I think we need to make sure we're not</li> <li>limiting it to purely just government driven. I</li> <li>think it's, as I say, running major commericial</li> <li>businesses. Mr. Bandelin's business at Diamond Peak</li> <li>is \$50 million a year or something. I can't</li> <li>remember how many customers, but it's a large</li> <li>business. So this is more than just a typical</li> <li>government entity. I think we need to make sure</li> <li>we're not disqualifying people who haven't worked</li> <li>for government before.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	127	<ol> <li>recruited, the search consultants were looking</li> <li>purely at people that came out of local government,</li> <li>so we just got word of same there. We didn't cast a</li> <li>wide enough net. I think let's make sure it's not</li> <li>just that.</li> <li>I think the residency, residing within 45</li> <li>miles seems quite distant to me. I think we'd all</li> <li>love to see the general manager residing in the</li> <li>community. I know that's not always possible, but I</li> <li>think 45 miles, to me, starts becoming a bit of a</li> <li>stretch. I'd prefer to see that at 30, that's just</li> <li>an initial thought.</li> <li>Otherwise, a lot of the changes are good.</li> <li>I'm looking at page 1003, doesn't seem to</li> <li>tie in with the rest of the memo. But, yeah, I</li> <li>think it's a good start here. Obviously, there's a</li> <li>lot of editing to do, but, generally, I'd like to</li> <li>see the focus on the role, it's like a chief</li> <li>executive, it's managing the team.</li> <li>MS. FEORE: Would you be willing to send</li> </ol>	28
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>4, I don't think publically is the correct word. I think it's publicly. <ul> <li>I think also we should not be limiting it</li> <li>just to I see some references to here. It's</li> <li>looking primarily for municipal governmental</li> <li>community based and recreational service</li> <li>environment. I think we need to make it much wider</li> <li>than that. I think if we look at Washoe County,</li> <li>they elected their chief executive that came from</li> <li>the public sector, rather than from the public</li> <li>sector. I think we need to make sure we're not</li> <li>limiting it to purely just government driven. I</li> <li>think it's, as I say, running major commericial</li> <li>businesses. Mr. Bandelin's business at Diamond Peak</li> <li>is \$50 million a year or something. I can't</li> <li>remember how many customers, but it's a large</li> <li>business. So this is more than just a typical</li> <li>government entity. I think we need to make sure</li> <li>we're not disqualifying people who haven't worked</li> <li>for government before.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	127	<ol> <li>recruited, the search consultants were looking</li> <li>purely at people that came out of local government,</li> <li>so we just got word of same there. We didn't cast a</li> <li>wide enough net. I think let's make sure it's not</li> <li>just that.</li> <li>I think the residency, residing within 45</li> <li>miles seems quite distant to me. I think we'd all</li> <li>love to see the general manager residing in the</li> <li>community. I know that's not always possible, but I</li> <li>think 45 miles, to me, starts becoming a bit of a</li> <li>stretch. I'd prefer to see that at 30, that's just</li> <li>an initial thought.</li> <li>Otherwise, a lot of the changes are good.</li> <li>I'm looking at page 1003, doesn't seem to</li> <li>tie in with the rest of the memo. But, yeah, I</li> <li>think it's a good start here. Obviously, there's a</li> <li>lot of editing to do, but, generally, I'd like to</li> <li>see the focus on the role, it's like a chief</li> <li>executive, it's managing the team.</li> <li>MS. FEORE: Would you be willing to send</li> <li>me your notes?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah. I'll touch base</li> </ol>	28
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 19 200 211 222 233 24	<ul> <li>4, I don't think publically is the correct word. I think it's publicly. <ul> <li>I think also we should not be limiting it</li> <li>just to I see some references to here. It's</li> <li>looking primarily for municipal governmental</li> <li>community based and recreational service</li> <li>environment. I think we need to make it much wider</li> <li>than that. I think if we look at Washoe County,</li> <li>they elected their chief executive that came from</li> <li>the public sector, rather than from the public</li> <li>sector. I think we need to make sure we're not</li> <li>limiting it to purely just government driven. I</li> <li>think it's, as I say, running major commericial</li> <li>businesses. Mr. Bandelin's business at Diamond Peak</li> <li>is \$50 million a year or something. I can't</li> <li>remember how many customers, but it's a large</li> <li>business. So this is more than just a typical</li> <li>government entity. I think we need to make sure</li> <li>we're not disqualifying people who haven't worked</li> <li>for government before.</li> <li>And I think if we're also looking at</li> </ul></li></ul>	127	<ol> <li>recruited, the search consultants were looking</li> <li>purely at people that came out of local government,</li> <li>so we just got word of same there. We didn't cast a</li> <li>wide enough net. I think let's make sure it's not</li> <li>just that.</li> <li>I think the residency, residing within 45</li> <li>miles seems quite distant to me. I think we'd all</li> <li>love to see the general manager residing in the</li> <li>community. I know that's not always possible, but I</li> <li>think 45 miles, to me, starts becoming a bit of a</li> <li>stretch. I'd prefer to see that at 30, that's just</li> <li>an initial thought.</li> <li>Otherwise, a lot of the changes are good.</li> <li>I'm looking at page 1003, doesn't seem to</li> <li>tie in with the rest of the memo. But, yeah, I</li> <li>think it's a good start here. Obviously, there's a</li> <li>lot of editing to do, but, generally, I'd like to</li> <li>see the focus on the role, it's like a chief</li> <li>executive, it's managing the team.</li> <li>MS. FEORE: Would you be willing to send</li> <li>me your notes?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah. I'll touch base</li> </ol>	28
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 19 200 211 222 233 24	<ul> <li>4, I don't think publically is the correct word. I think it's publicly. <ul> <li>I think also we should not be limiting it</li> <li>just to I see some references to here. It's</li> <li>looking primarily for municipal governmental</li> <li>community based and recreational service</li> <li>environment. I think we need to make it much wider</li> <li>than that. I think if we look at Washoe County,</li> <li>they elected their chief executive that came from</li> <li>the public sector, rather than from the public</li> <li>sector. I think we need to make sure we're not</li> <li>limiting it to purely just government driven. I</li> <li>think it's, as I say, running major commericial</li> <li>businesses. Mr. Bandelin's business at Diamond Peak</li> <li>is \$50 million a year or something. I can't</li> <li>remember how many customers, but it's a large</li> <li>business. So this is more than just a typical</li> <li>government entity. I think we need to make sure</li> <li>we're not disqualifying people who haven't worked</li> <li>for government before.</li> <li>And I think if we're also looking at</li> <li>search agencies also doing that. If I go back to</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	127	<ol> <li>recruited, the search consultants were looking</li> <li>purely at people that came out of local government,</li> <li>so we just got word of same there. We didn't cast a</li> <li>wide enough net. I think let's make sure it's not</li> <li>just that.</li> <li>I think the residency, residing within 45</li> <li>miles seems quite distant to me. I think we'd all</li> <li>love to see the general manager residing in the</li> <li>community. I know that's not always possible, but I</li> <li>think 45 miles, to me, starts becoming a bit of a</li> <li>stretch. I'd prefer to see that at 30, that's just</li> <li>an initial thought.</li> <li>Otherwise, a lot of the changes are good.</li> <li>I'm looking at page 1003, doesn't seem to</li> <li>tie in with the rest of the memo. But, yeah, I</li> <li>think it's a good start here. Obviously, there's a</li> <li>lot of editing to do, but, generally, I'd like to</li> <li>see the focus on the role, it's like a chief</li> <li>executive, it's managing the team.</li> <li>MS. FEORE: Would you be willing to send</li> <li>me your notes?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah. I'll touch base</li> <li>with you on that.</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: Just to bring all of you</li> </ol>	28

129 1 briefly this afternoon, and I gave her my feedback.	1 And then I did talk to her as well about
2 And I think that item number 1 here, under	2 number 7, number 12, and number 4 under leadership,
3 the essential duties and responsibilities, just	3 just using different language about management.
4 needs to be eliminated because what's really	4 Sometimes it's department heads, sometimes it's
5 important is number 14, and that is that the person	5 so, that's just a consistency thing.
6 leads and supports a District-wide effort in	6 I think number 20 should be changed, the
7 training to provide excellent service customer	7 one that's highlighted here in yellow, on page 1000,
8 service. I don't see that as being the number one	8 number 20, because that doesn't align with what we
9 priority for a general manager.	9 have in 1480. So I think we should just either
10 The other thing I had suggested be	10 remove that or have that align with how the language
11 included is, in the summary, to give more	11 is in 1480.
12 information about the size of the budget, the number	12 And personal feeling is that as it relates
13 of the employees, the business entities that are	13 to residency, I really think we should go back to
14 being managed to better explain a bit about what our	14 they need to live in our community, because so many
15 district is responsible for: Water, sewer, trash,	15 of the decisions that we make, you want them to be
16 and recreation.	16 vested in our community. You want them to use our
17 And make that clear because this is a very	17 recreation venues. You want to be here so that
18 big role, and it's a very important one.	18 they're impacted by our water and sewer rates, and
19 As it relates to just language, I don't	19 they're impacted by the things that we're making
20 know, I'm just going to bring it as a question, and	20 decisions on. So, I just feel that if it's
21 you don't have to answer, but number 6, in the blue	21 possible, I think it would be preferred that the
22 text, right before the very last sentence, it says,	22 person is actually residing in our community.
23 "Generally accepted personnel practices." I just	23 Other than that, I think you did a great
24 don't really know what that means. So, if that's	24 job.
25 proper language, then so be it.	25 CHAIR DENT: Trustee Tonking said, "I'm
131 1 good with the changes."	1 going in the packet. As far as the job description,
	<ol> <li>going in the packet. As far as the job description,</li> <li>I think you making those changes and then sending it</li> </ol>
1 good with the changes."	
<ol> <li>good with the changes."</li> <li>I think we need to describe through</li> </ol>	2 I think you making those changes and then sending it
<ol> <li>good with the changes."</li> <li>I think we need to describe through</li> <li>this process, describe it a little bit more than</li> </ol>	<ul><li>2 I think you making those changes and then sending it</li><li>3 back to us to say, hey, here's what we settled on.</li></ul>
<ol> <li>good with the changes."</li> <li>I think we need to describe through</li> <li>this process, describe it a little bit more than</li> <li>just "rec." There's a gym that they run, there's</li> </ol>	<ul><li>2 I think you making those changes and then sending it</li><li>3 back to us to say, hey, here's what we settled on.</li><li>4 I think that's fair. I don't want to slow down this</li></ul>
<ol> <li>good with the changes."</li> <li>I think we need to describe through</li> <li>this process, describe it a little bit more than</li> <li>just "rec." There's a gym that they run, there's</li> <li>two golf courses, there's a ski resort, there's</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 I think you making those changes and then sending it</li> <li>3 back to us to say, hey, here's what we settled on.</li> <li>4 I think that's fair. I don't want to slow down this</li> <li>5 process at all. I think it's important to allow you</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>good with the changes."</li> <li>I think we need to describe through</li> <li>this process, describe it a little bit more than</li> <li>just "rec." There's a gym that they run, there's</li> <li>two golf courses, there's a ski resort, there's</li> <li>beaches, there's the parks component. I think</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 I think you making those changes and then sending it</li> <li>3 back to us to say, hey, here's what we settled on.</li> <li>4 I think that's fair. I don't want to slow down this</li> <li>5 process at all. I think it's important to allow you</li> <li>6 to run and move forward.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>good with the changes."</li> <li>I think we need to describe through</li> <li>this process, describe it a little bit more than</li> <li>just "rec." There's a gym that they run, there's</li> <li>two golf courses, there's a ski resort, there's</li> <li>beaches, there's the parks component. I think</li> <li>spelling that out in this process is important.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 I think you making those changes and then sending it</li> <li>3 back to us to say, hey, here's what we settled on.</li> <li>4 I think that's fair. I don't want to slow down this</li> <li>5 process at all. I think it's important to allow you</li> <li>6 to run and move forward.</li> <li>7 As far as authorizing staff to start the</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>good with the changes."</li> <li>I think we need to describe through</li> <li>this process, describe it a little bit more than</li> <li>just "rec." There's a gym that they run, there's</li> <li>two golf courses, there's a ski resort, there's</li> <li>beaches, there's the parks component. I think</li> <li>spelling that out in this process is important.</li> <li>I do like the idea of casting a broader</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 I think you making those changes and then sending it</li> <li>3 back to us to say, hey, here's what we settled on.</li> <li>4 I think that's fair. I don't want to slow down this</li> <li>5 process at all. I think it's important to allow you</li> <li>6 to run and move forward.</li> <li>7 As far as authorizing staff to start the</li> <li>8 recruiting process, could you dive a little bit</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>good with the changes."</li> <li>I think we need to describe through</li> <li>this process, describe it a little bit more than</li> <li>just "rec." There's a gym that they run, there's</li> <li>two golf courses, there's a ski resort, there's</li> <li>beaches, there's the parks component. I think</li> <li>spelling that out in this process is important.</li> <li>I do like the idea of casting a broader</li> <li>net. I do recall when this process happened eight,</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 I think you making those changes and then sending it</li> <li>3 back to us to say, hey, here's what we settled on.</li> <li>4 I think that's fair. I don't want to slow down this</li> <li>5 process at all. I think it's important to allow you</li> <li>6 to run and move forward.</li> <li>7 As far as authorizing staff to start the</li> <li>8 recruiting process, could you dive a little bit</li> <li>9 deeper. I know you've had some conversations and</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>good with the changes."</li> <li>I think we need to describe through</li> <li>this process, describe it a little bit more than</li> <li>just "rec." There's a gym that they run, there's</li> <li>two golf courses, there's a ski resort, there's</li> <li>beaches, there's the parks component. I think</li> <li>spelling that out in this process is important.</li> <li>I do like the idea of casting a broader</li> <li>net. I do recall when this process happened eight,</li> <li>nine years ago for former general manager Pinkerton,</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 I think you making those changes and then sending it</li> <li>3 back to us to say, hey, here's what we settled on.</li> <li>4 I think that's fair. I don't want to slow down this</li> <li>5 process at all. I think it's important to allow you</li> <li>6 to run and move forward.</li> <li>7 As far as authorizing staff to start the</li> <li>8 recruiting process, could you dive a little bit</li> <li>9 deeper. I know you've had some conversations and</li> <li>10 stuff on how to plan around that, but inform us,</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>good with the changes."</li> <li>I think we need to describe through</li> <li>this process, describe it a little bit more than</li> <li>just "rec." There's a gym that they run, there's</li> <li>two golf courses, there's a ski resort, there's</li> <li>beaches, there's the parks component. I think</li> <li>spelling that out in this process is important.</li> <li>I do like the idea of casting a broader</li> <li>net. I do recall when this process happened eight,</li> <li>nine years ago for former general manager Pinkerton,</li> <li>and I think it is important to not just limit this</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 I think you making those changes and then sending it</li> <li>3 back to us to say, hey, here's what we settled on.</li> <li>4 I think that's fair. I don't want to slow down this</li> <li>5 process at all. I think it's important to allow you</li> <li>6 to run and move forward.</li> <li>7 As far as authorizing staff to start the</li> <li>8 recruiting process, could you dive a little bit</li> <li>9 deeper. I know you've had some conversations and</li> <li>10 stuff on how to plan around that, but inform us,</li> <li>11 please.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>good with the changes."</li> <li>I think we need to describe through</li> <li>this process, describe it a little bit more than</li> <li>just "rec." There's a gym that they run, there's</li> <li>two golf courses, there's a ski resort, there's</li> <li>beaches, there's the parks component. I think</li> <li>spelling that out in this process is important.</li> <li>I do like the idea of casting a broader</li> <li>net. I do recall when this process happened eight,</li> <li>nine years ago for former general manager Pinkerton,</li> <li>and I think it is important to not just limit this</li> <li>to government managers. I think we want someone</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 I think you making those changes and then sending it</li> <li>3 back to us to say, hey, here's what we settled on.</li> <li>4 I think that's fair. I don't want to slow down this</li> <li>5 process at all. I think it's important to allow you</li> <li>6 to run and move forward.</li> <li>7 As far as authorizing staff to start the</li> <li>8 recruiting process, could you dive a little bit</li> <li>9 deeper. I know you've had some conversations and</li> <li>10 stuff on how to plan around that, but inform us,</li> <li>11 please.</li> <li>12 MS. FEORE: Our talent acquisition</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>good with the changes."</li> <li>I think we need to describe through</li> <li>this process, describe it a little bit more than</li> <li>just "rec." There's a gym that they run, there's</li> <li>two golf courses, there's a ski resort, there's</li> <li>beaches, there's the parks component. I think</li> <li>spelling that out in this process is important.</li> <li>I do like the idea of casting a broader</li> <li>net. I do recall when this process happened eight,</li> <li>nine years ago for former general manager Pinkerton,</li> <li>and I think it is important to not just limit this</li> <li>to government managers. I think we want someone</li> <li>that understands some of these business components</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 I think you making those changes and then sending it</li> <li>3 back to us to say, hey, here's what we settled on.</li> <li>4 I think that's fair. I don't want to slow down this</li> <li>5 process at all. I think it's important to allow you</li> <li>6 to run and move forward.</li> <li>7 As far as authorizing staff to start the</li> <li>8 recruiting process, could you dive a little bit</li> <li>9 deeper. I know you've had some conversations and</li> <li>10 stuff on how to plan around that, but inform us,</li> <li>11 please.</li> <li>12 MS. FEORE: Our talent acquisition</li> <li>13 specialist, Lisa Hoops, she's been with the District</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>good with the changes."</li> <li>I think we need to describe through</li> <li>this process, describe it a little bit more than</li> <li>just "rec." There's a gym that they run, there's</li> <li>two golf courses, there's a ski resort, there's</li> <li>beaches, there's the parks component. I think</li> <li>spelling that out in this process is important.</li> <li>I do like the idea of casting a broader</li> <li>net. I do recall when this process happened eight,</li> <li>nine years ago for former general manager Pinkerton,</li> <li>and I think it is important to not just limit this</li> <li>to government managers. I think we want someone</li> <li>that understands some of these business components</li> <li>and venues that we have and how to run those.</li> <li>Water, sewer, and trash, director Underwood, for the</li> <li>most part, takes care of that, takes away a huge</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 I think you making those changes and then sending it</li> <li>3 back to us to say, hey, here's what we settled on.</li> <li>4 I think that's fair. I don't want to slow down this</li> <li>5 process at all. I think it's important to allow you</li> <li>6 to run and move forward.</li> <li>7 As far as authorizing staff to start the</li> <li>8 recruiting process, could you dive a little bit</li> <li>9 deeper. I know you've had some conversations and</li> <li>10 stuff on how to plan around that, but inform us,</li> <li>11 please.</li> <li>12 MS. FEORE: Our talent acquisition</li> <li>13 specialist, Lisa Hoops, she's been with the District</li> <li>14 forever, she and I had a really great conversation</li> <li>15 with two fantastic folks at Washoe County who gave</li> <li>16 us some great advice as to the process itself. They</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>good with the changes."</li> <li>I think we need to describe through</li> <li>this process, describe it a little bit more than</li> <li>just "rec." There's a gym that they run, there's</li> <li>two golf courses, there's a ski resort, there's</li> <li>beaches, there's the parks component. I think</li> <li>spelling that out in this process is important.</li> <li>I do like the idea of casting a broader</li> <li>net. I do recall when this process happened eight,</li> <li>nine years ago for former general manager Pinkerton,</li> <li>and I think it is important to not just limit this</li> <li>to government managers. I think we want someone</li> <li>that understands some of these business components</li> <li>and venues that we have and how to run those.</li> <li>Water, sewer, and trash, director Underwood, for the</li> <li>most part, takes care of that, takes away a huge</li> <li>chunk of the government piece, a lot of this other</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 I think you making those changes and then sending it</li> <li>3 back to us to say, hey, here's what we settled on.</li> <li>4 I think that's fair. I don't want to slow down this</li> <li>5 process at all. I think it's important to allow you</li> <li>6 to run and move forward.</li> <li>7 As far as authorizing staff to start the</li> <li>8 recruiting process, could you dive a little bit</li> <li>9 deeper. I know you've had some conversations and</li> <li>10 stuff on how to plan around that, but inform us,</li> <li>11 please.</li> <li>12 MS. FEORE: Our talent acquisition</li> <li>13 specialist, Lisa Hoops, she's been with the District</li> <li>14 forever, she and I had a really great conversation</li> <li>15 with two fantastic folks at Washoe County who gave</li> <li>16 us some great advice as to the process itself. They</li> <li>17 briefly described a process that they had gone</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>good with the changes."</li> <li>I think we need to describe through</li> <li>this process, describe it a little bit more than</li> <li>just "rec." There's a gym that they run, there's</li> <li>two golf courses, there's a ski resort, there's</li> <li>beaches, there's the parks component. I think</li> <li>spelling that out in this process is important.</li> <li>I do like the idea of casting a broader</li> <li>net. I do recall when this process happened eight,</li> <li>nine years ago for former general manager Pinkerton,</li> <li>and I think it is important to not just limit this</li> <li>to government managers. I think we want someone</li> <li>that understands some of these business components</li> <li>and venues that we have and how to run those.</li> <li>Water, sewer, and trash, director Underwood, for the</li> <li>most part, takes care of that, takes away a huge</li> <li>chunk of the government piece, a lot of this other</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 I think you making those changes and then sending it</li> <li>3 back to us to say, hey, here's what we settled on.</li> <li>4 I think that's fair. I don't want to slow down this</li> <li>5 process at all. I think it's important to allow you</li> <li>6 to run and move forward.</li> <li>7 As far as authorizing staff to start the</li> <li>8 recruiting process, could you dive a little bit</li> <li>9 deeper. I know you've had some conversations and</li> <li>10 stuff on how to plan around that, but inform us,</li> <li>11 please.</li> <li>12 MS. FEORE: Our talent acquisition</li> <li>13 specialist, Lisa Hoops, she's been with the District</li> <li>14 forever, she and I had a really great conversation</li> <li>15 with two fantastic folks at Washoe County who gave</li> <li>16 us some great advice as to the process itself. They</li> <li>17 briefly described a process that they had gone</li> <li>18 through with their city manager and also their</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>good with the changes."</li> <li>I think we need to describe through</li> <li>this process, describe it a little bit more than</li> <li>just "rec." There's a gym that they run, there's</li> <li>two golf courses, there's a ski resort, there's</li> <li>beaches, there's the parks component. I think</li> <li>spelling that out in this process is important.</li> <li>I do like the idea of casting a broader</li> <li>net. I do recall when this process happened eight,</li> <li>nine years ago for former general manager Pinkerton,</li> <li>and I think it is important to not just limit this</li> <li>to government managers. I think we want someone</li> <li>that understands some of these business components</li> <li>and venues that we have and how to run those.</li> <li>Water, sewer, and trash, director Underwood, for the</li> <li>most part, takes care of that, takes away a huge</li> <li>chunk of the government piece, a lot of this other</li> <li>stuff.</li> <li>As it relates to the business, I think</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 I think you making those changes and then sending it</li> <li>3 back to us to say, hey, here's what we settled on.</li> <li>4 I think that's fair. I don't want to slow down this</li> <li>5 process at all. I think it's important to allow you</li> <li>6 to run and move forward.</li> <li>7 As far as authorizing staff to start the</li> <li>8 recruiting process, could you dive a little bit</li> <li>9 deeper. I know you've had some conversations and</li> <li>10 stuff on how to plan around that, but inform us,</li> <li>11 please.</li> <li>12 MS. FEORE: Our talent acquisition</li> <li>13 specialist, Lisa Hoops, she's been with the District</li> <li>14 forever, she and I had a really great conversation</li> <li>15 with two fantastic folks at Washoe County who gave</li> <li>16 us some great advice as to the process itself. They</li> <li>17 briefly described a process that they had gone</li> <li>18 through with their city manager and also their</li> <li>19 recent public defender. They gave us a great</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>good with the changes."</li> <li>I think we need to describe through</li> <li>this process, describe it a little bit more than</li> <li>just "rec." There's a gym that they run, there's</li> <li>two golf courses, there's a ski resort, there's</li> <li>beaches, there's the parks component. I think</li> <li>spelling that out in this process is important.</li> <li>I do like the idea of casting a broader</li> <li>net. I do recall when this process happened eight,</li> <li>nine years ago for former general manager Pinkerton,</li> <li>and I think it is important to not just limit this</li> <li>to government managers. I think we want someone</li> <li>that understands some of these business components</li> <li>and venues that we have and how to run those.</li> <li>Water, sewer, and trash, director Underwood, for the</li> <li>most part, takes care of that, takes away a huge</li> <li>chunk of the government piece, a lot of this other</li> <li>stuff.</li> <li>As it relates to the business, I think</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 I think you making those changes and then sending it</li> <li>3 back to us to say, hey, here's what we settled on.</li> <li>4 I think that's fair. I don't want to slow down this</li> <li>5 process at all. I think it's important to allow you</li> <li>6 to run and move forward.</li> <li>7 As far as authorizing staff to start the</li> <li>8 recruiting process, could you dive a little bit</li> <li>9 deeper. I know you've had some conversations and</li> <li>10 stuff on how to plan around that, but inform us,</li> <li>11 please.</li> <li>12 MS. FEORE: Our talent acquisition</li> <li>13 specialist, Lisa Hoops, she's been with the District</li> <li>14 forever, she and I had a really great conversation</li> <li>15 with two fantastic folks at Washoe County who gave</li> <li>16 us some great advice as to the process itself. They</li> <li>17 briefly described a process that they had gone</li> <li>18 through with their city manager and also their</li> <li>19 recent public defender. They gave us a great</li> <li>20 outline of that.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>good with the changes."</li> <li>I think we need to describe through</li> <li>this process, describe it a little bit more than</li> <li>just "rec." There's a gym that they run, there's</li> <li>two golf courses, there's a ski resort, there's</li> <li>beaches, there's the parks component. I think</li> <li>spelling that out in this process is important.</li> <li>I do like the idea of casting a broader</li> <li>net. I do recall when this process happened eight,</li> <li>nine years ago for former general manager Pinkerton,</li> <li>and I think it is important to not just limit this</li> <li>to government managers. I think we want someone</li> <li>that understands some of these business components</li> <li>and venues that we have and how to run those.</li> <li>Water, sewer, and trash, director Underwood, for the</li> <li>most part, takes care of that, takes away a huge</li> <li>chunk of the government piece, a lot of this other</li> <li>stuff.</li> <li>As it relates to the business, I think</li> <li>wider.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 I think you making those changes and then sending it</li> <li>3 back to us to say, hey, here's what we settled on.</li> <li>4 I think that's fair. I don't want to slow down this</li> <li>5 process at all. I think it's important to allow you</li> <li>6 to run and move forward.</li> <li>7 As far as authorizing staff to start the</li> <li>8 recruiting process, could you dive a little bit</li> <li>9 deeper. I know you've had some conversations and</li> <li>10 stuff on how to plan around that, but inform us,</li> <li>11 please.</li> <li>12 MS. FEORE: Our talent acquisition</li> <li>13 specialist, Lisa Hoops, she's been with the District</li> <li>14 forever, she and I had a really great conversation</li> <li>15 with two fantastic folks at Washoe County who gave</li> <li>16 us some great advice as to the process itself. They</li> <li>17 briefly described a process that they had gone</li> <li>18 through with their city manager and also their</li> <li>19 recent public defender. They gave us a great</li> <li>20 outline of that.</li> <li>21 And one of the things that they talked</li> </ul>
1good with the changes."2I think we need to describe through3this process, describe it a little bit more than4just "rec." There's a gym that they run, there's5two golf courses, there's a ski resort, there's6beaches, there's the parks component. I think7spelling that out in this process is important.8I do like the idea of casting a broader9net. I do recall when this process happened eight,10nine years ago for former general manager Pinkerton,11and I think it is important to not just limit this12to government managers. I think we want someone13that understands some of these business components14and venues that we have and how to run those.15Water, sewer, and trash, director Underwood, for the16most part, takes care of that, takes away a huge17chunk of the government piece, a lot of this other18stuff.19As it relates to the business, I think20I agree with most of the comments, most	<ul> <li>2 I think you making those changes and then sending it</li> <li>3 back to us to say, hey, here's what we settled on.</li> <li>4 I think that's fair. I don't want to slow down this</li> <li>5 process at all. I think it's important to allow you</li> <li>6 to run and move forward.</li> <li>7 As far as authorizing staff to start the</li> <li>8 recruiting process, could you dive a little bit</li> <li>9 deeper. I know you've had some conversations and</li> <li>10 stuff on how to plan around that, but inform us,</li> <li>11 please.</li> <li>12 MS. FEORE: Our talent acquisition</li> <li>13 specialist, Lisa Hoops, she's been with the District</li> <li>14 forever, she and I had a really great conversation</li> <li>15 with two fantastic folks at Washoe County who gave</li> <li>16 us some great advice as to the process itself. They</li> <li>17 briefly described a process that they had gone</li> <li>18 through with their city manager and also their</li> <li>19 recent public defender. They gave us a great</li> <li>20 outline of that.</li> <li>21 And one of the things that they talked</li> <li>22 about, because I had a question about the</li> </ul>
1good with the changes."2I think we need to describe through3this process, describe it a little bit more than4just "rec." There's a gym that they run, there's5two golf courses, there's a ski resort, there's6beaches, there's the parks component. I think7spelling that out in this process is important.8I do like the idea of casting a broader9net. I do recall when this process happened eight,10nine years ago for former general manager Pinkerton,11and I think it is important to not just limit this12to government managers. I think we want someone13that understands some of these business components14and venues that we have and how to run those.15Water, sewer, and trash, director Underwood, for the16most part, takes care of that, takes away a huge17chunk of the government piece, a lot of this other18stuff.19As it relates to the business, I think20I agree with most of the comments, most23all the comments that the trustees made.	<ul> <li>2 I think you making those changes and then sending it</li> <li>3 back to us to say, hey, here's what we settled on.</li> <li>4 I think that's fair. I don't want to slow down this</li> <li>5 process at all. I think it's important to allow you</li> <li>6 to run and move forward.</li> <li>7 As far as authorizing staff to start the</li> <li>8 recruiting process, could you dive a little bit</li> <li>9 deeper. I know you've had some conversations and</li> <li>10 stuff on how to plan around that, but inform us,</li> <li>11 please.</li> <li>12 MS. FEORE: Our talent acquisition</li> <li>13 specialist, Lisa Hoops, she's been with the District</li> <li>14 forever, she and I had a really great conversation</li> <li>15 with two fantastic folks at Washoe County who gave</li> <li>16 us some great advice as to the process itself. They</li> <li>17 briefly described a process that they had gone</li> <li>18 through with their city manager and also their</li> <li>19 recent public defender. They gave us a great</li> <li>20 outline of that.</li> <li>21 And one of the things that they talked</li> <li>22 about, because I had a question about the</li> <li>23 involvement of community stakeholders, so to speak,</li> </ul>
1good with the changes."2I think we need to describe through3this process, describe it a little bit more than4just "rec." There's a gym that they run, there's5two golf courses, there's a ski resort, there's6beaches, there's the parks component. I think7spelling that out in this process is important.8I do like the idea of casting a broader9net. I do recall when this process happened eight,10nine years ago for former general manager Pinkerton,11and I think it is important to not just limit this12to government managers. I think we want someone13that understands some of these business components14and venues that we have and how to run those.15Water, sewer, and trash, director Underwood, for the16most part, takes care of that, takes away a huge17chunk of the government piece, a lot of this other18stuff.19As it relates to the business, I think20I agree with most of the comments, most	<ul> <li>2 I think you making those changes and then sending it</li> <li>3 back to us to say, hey, here's what we settled on.</li> <li>4 I think that's fair. I don't want to slow down this</li> <li>5 process at all. I think it's important to allow you</li> <li>6 to run and move forward.</li> <li>7 As far as authorizing staff to start the</li> <li>8 recruiting process, could you dive a little bit</li> <li>9 deeper. I know you've had some conversations and</li> <li>10 stuff on how to plan around that, but inform us,</li> <li>11 please.</li> <li>12 MS. FEORE: Our talent acquisition</li> <li>13 specialist, Lisa Hoops, she's been with the District</li> <li>14 forever, she and I had a really great conversation</li> <li>15 with two fantastic folks at Washoe County who gave</li> <li>16 us some great advice as to the process itself. They</li> <li>17 briefly described a process that they had gone</li> <li>18 through with their city manager and also their</li> <li>19 recent public defender. They gave us a great</li> <li>20 outline of that.</li> <li>21 And one of the things that they talked</li> <li>22 about, because I had a question about the</li> </ul>

		100		10.1
	1 this would be, for example, the Public Defender's	133   1 th	ere on our website, and it's picked up by all of	134
	2 Office, they had involved folks from other agencies		e Spider, Indeed, Simply Hired, places like	
	3 that would work very closely with the public		at.	
	4 defender, all of whom are in the legal industry, and	4	They received authorization from their	
	5 they involved them in the process. But the process		oard to RFP, I believe, it was three, it could have	
	6 was very controlled. This is where it gets a little		een more, but then it was narrowed down to three	
	7 tricky when you start involving outside resources		utsourcing groups, and they gave me the name of the	
	8 because you start to lose a little control on the		op three that had been selected.	
	9 integrity of the process. If I can't make sure that	9	Ultimately, as that process went through,	
	10 I'm in the room to make sure that somebody isn't	_	bey brought the final proposals back to the board.	
	11 asking a question they shouldn't be asking, it make		he board selected the group that ultimately they	
	12 me a little bit nervous. So I think there's a		nded up working with, and then they were I don't	
	13 little more vetting out that I have to do with this		ant to say hands off, I don't want to misrepresent	
	14 so that I can come back with a more-realized		heir process because I wasn't there, but they let	
	15 recommendation.		hat group do what that group does while keeping the	
	16 But they also did provide us with some		R department informed. And that way, the HR	
	17 great names as to resources that they had utilized.		epartment could go back to the board and say,	
	18 I can either talk about those resources now or I can	_	ere's where we're at in this process, this is what	
	19 provide that information in an email to all of you		e've done. And you have weekly, monthly, however	
	20 as we take it to the next step.		works, biweekly updates so that the board is	
	21 Yes, basically, I'll just kind of really,		onstantly in the know.	
	22 really highlight this, they started the process	22	Ultimately when the group up of candidates	
	23 where their HR department did the formal posting,		as selected, that began the process of having	
	24 which we would have to do as part of our personne		vested stakeholders become involved in the	
	25 policies. We just throw it out and we put it out	25 pr	rocess. I would leave that to the Board to define	
-				
		135		136
	1 what invested stakeholders would be. Obviously w	th 1 to	just to discover the interest, so we can	136
	<ol> <li>what invested stakeholders would be. Obviously w</li> <li>our team, it would be the senior leadership team,</li> </ol>	th 1 to 2 ba	asically bring both to the Board at the same time.	136
	<ul><li>2 our team, it would be the senior leadership team,</li><li>3 and it would be, obviously, members of Board of</li></ul>	th 1 to 2 ba 3 I t	-	136
	2 our team, it would be the senior leadership team,	th 1 to 2 ba 3 I t	asically bring both to the Board at the same time.	136
	<ul><li>2 our team, it would be the senior leadership team,</li><li>3 and it would be, obviously, members of Board of</li></ul>	th 1 to 2 ba 3 I t e 4 sp	asically bring both to the Board at the same time. think that would be helpful so we don't lose time	136
	<ul> <li>2 our team, it would be the senior leadership team,</li> <li>3 and it would be, obviously, members of Board of</li> <li>4 Trustees. There may be regional folks that would be</li> </ul>	th 1 to 2 ba 3 I t e 4 sp 5 fin	asically bring both to the Board at the same time. think that would be helpful so we don't lose time pending two meetings, going over the job,	136
	<ul> <li>2 our team, it would be the senior leadership team,</li> <li>3 and it would be, obviously, members of Board of</li> <li>4 Trustees. There may be regional folks that would</li> <li>5 important to be in on this, whether it's county</li> </ul>	th 1 to 2 ba 3 I t e 4 sp 5 fin	asically bring both to the Board at the same time. think that would be helpful so we don't lose time pending two meetings, going over the job, nalizing the job description, then going out to	136
	<ul> <li>2 our team, it would be the senior leadership team,</li> <li>3 and it would be, obviously, members of Board of</li> <li>4 Trustees. There may be regional folks that would be</li> <li>5 important to be in on this, whether it's county</li> <li>6 commissioners or folks of other agencies. I'd leave</li> </ul>	th 1 to 2 ba 3 I t e 4 sp 5 fin 6 fin 7	asically bring both to the Board at the same time. think that would be helpful so we don't lose time bending two meetings, going over the job, nalizing the job description, then going out to nd an agency.	136
	<ul> <li>2 our team, it would be the senior leadership team,</li> <li>3 and it would be, obviously, members of Board of</li> <li>4 Trustees. There may be regional folks that would be</li> <li>5 important to be in on this, whether it's county</li> <li>6 commissioners or folks of other agencies. I'd leave</li> <li>7 that to you to determine.</li> </ul>	th 1 to 2 ba 3 I t 4 sp 5 fin 6 fin 7 8 m	asically bring both to the Board at the same time. think that would be helpful so we don't lose time pending two meetings, going over the job, nalizing the job description, then going out to nd an agency. MS. FEORE: I think as long as the	136
	<ul> <li>2 our team, it would be the senior leadership team,</li> <li>3 and it would be, obviously, members of Board of</li> <li>4 Trustees. There may be regional folks that would be</li> <li>5 important to be in on this, whether it's county</li> <li>6 commissioners or folks of other agencies. I'd leave</li> <li>7 that to you to determine.</li> <li>8 Ultimately, the candidate pool shrinks</li> </ul>	th 1 to 2 ba 3 I t e 4 sp 5 fin 6 fin 7 8 m 9 liv	asically bring both to the Board at the same time. think that would be helpful so we don't lose time pending two meetings, going over the job, nalizing the job description, then going out to nd an agency. MS. FEORE: I think as long as the naterial changes are made. Job descriptions are	136
	<ul> <li>2 our team, it would be the senior leadership team,</li> <li>3 and it would be, obviously, members of Board of</li> <li>4 Trustees. There may be regional folks that would be</li> <li>5 important to be in on this, whether it's county</li> <li>6 commissioners or folks of other agencies. I'd leave</li> <li>7 that to you to determine.</li> <li>8 Ultimately, the candidate pool shrinks</li> <li>9 itself down, and then we make it to the final three,</li> </ul>	th 1 to 2 ba 3 I t 4 sp 5 fin 6 fin 7 8 m 9 liv 10 lt	asically bring both to the Board at the same time. think that would be helpful so we don't lose time bending two meetings, going over the job, nalizing the job description, then going out to nd an agency. MS. FEORE: I think as long as the naterial changes are made. Job descriptions are ving documents, so they change constantly anyway.	136
	<ul> <li>2 our team, it would be the senior leadership team,</li> <li>3 and it would be, obviously, members of Board of</li> <li>4 Trustees. There may be regional folks that would be</li> <li>5 important to be in on this, whether it's county</li> <li>6 commissioners or folks of other agencies. I'd leave</li> <li>7 that to you to determine.</li> <li>8 Ultimately, the candidate pool shrinks</li> <li>9 itself down, and then we make it to the final three,</li> <li>10 four candidates.</li> </ul>	th 1 to 2 ba 3 l ti 4 sp 5 fin 6 fin 7 8 m 9 liv 10 lts 9 liv	asically bring both to the Board at the same time. think that would be helpful so we don't lose time pending two meetings, going over the job, nalizing the job description, then going out to and an agency. MS. FEORE: I think as long as the naterial changes are made. Job descriptions are ving documents, so they change constantly anyway. 's not like we're going to hand somebody a job	136
	<ul> <li>our team, it would be the senior leadership team,</li> <li>and it would be, obviously, members of Board of</li> <li>Trustees. There may be regional folks that would be</li> <li>important to be in on this, whether it's county</li> <li>commissioners or folks of other agencies. I'd leave</li> <li>that to you to determine.</li> <li>Ultimately, the candidate pool shrinks</li> <li>itself down, and then we make it to the final three,</li> <li>four candidates.</li> <li>They did say that, with the idea of having</li> </ul>	th 1 to 2 ba 3 l ti 4 sp 5 fin 6 fin 7 8 m 9 liv 10 lts 9 liv	asically bring both to the Board at the same time. think that would be helpful so we don't lose time pending two meetings, going over the job, nalizing the job description, then going out to nd an agency. MS. FEORE: I think as long as the naterial changes are made. Job descriptions are <i>v</i> ing documents, so they change constantly anyway. Is not like we're going to hand somebody a job escription and that's the end all be all, you can't	136
	<ul> <li>our team, it would be the senior leadership team,</li> <li>and it would be, obviously, members of Board of</li> <li>Trustees. There may be regional folks that would be</li> <li>important to be in on this, whether it's county</li> <li>commissioners or folks of other agencies. I'd leave</li> <li>that to you to determine.</li> <li>Ultimately, the candidate pool shrinks</li> <li>itself down, and then we make it to the final three,</li> <li>four candidates.</li> <li>They did say that, with the idea of havin</li> <li>community involvement, it would be great to open</li> </ul>	th 1 to 2 ba 3 I ti e 4 sp 5 fin 6 fin 7 8 m 9 liv 10 lts 9 liv 10 lts 11 de p 12 m 13	asically bring both to the Board at the same time. think that would be helpful so we don't lose time bending two meetings, going over the job, nalizing the job description, then going out to nd an agency. MS. FEORE: I think as long as the naterial changes are made. Job descriptions are ving documents, so they change constantly anyway. 's not like we're going to hand somebody a job escription and that's the end all be all, you can't nake any changes going forward.	136
	<ul> <li>our team, it would be the senior leadership team,</li> <li>and it would be, obviously, members of Board of</li> <li>Trustees. There may be regional folks that would be</li> <li>important to be in on this, whether it's county</li> <li>commissioners or folks of other agencies. I'd leave</li> <li>that to you to determine.</li> <li>Ultimately, the candidate pool shrinks</li> <li>itself down, and then we make it to the final three,</li> <li>four candidates.</li> <li>They did say that, with the idea of havin</li> <li>community involvement, it would be great to open</li> <li>a meet-and-greet opportunity for those final</li> </ul>	th 1 to 2 ba 3 I ti e 4 sp 5 fin 6 fin 7 8 m 9 liv 10 lts 9 liv 10 lts 11 de p 12 m 13	asically bring both to the Board at the same time. think that would be helpful so we don't lose time bending two meetings, going over the job, halizing the job description, then going out to nd an agency. MS. FEORE: I think as long as the haterial changes are made. Job descriptions are ving documents, so they change constantly anyway. Is not like we're going to hand somebody a job escription and that's the end all be all, you can't hake any changes going forward. I think there's a way of doing things	136
	<ul> <li>our team, it would be the senior leadership team,</li> <li>and it would be, obviously, members of Board of</li> <li>Trustees. There may be regional folks that would be</li> <li>important to be in on this, whether it's county</li> <li>commissioners or folks of other agencies. I'd leave</li> <li>that to you to determine.</li> <li>Ultimately, the candidate pool shrinks</li> <li>itself down, and then we make it to the final three,</li> <li>four candidates.</li> <li>They did say that, with the idea of havin</li> <li>community involvement, it would be great to open</li> <li>a meet-and-greet opportunity for those final</li> <li>candidates, that it is informal, and provides a</li> </ul>	th 1 to 2 ba 3 I ti 4 sp 5 fin 6 fin 7 8 m 9 liv 10 lt's 11 de p 12 m 13 14 sin 15	asically bring both to the Board at the same time. think that would be helpful so we don't lose time bending two meetings, going over the job, halizing the job description, then going out to hd an agency. MS. FEORE: I think as long as the haterial changes are made. Job descriptions are ving documents, so they change constantly anyway. Is not like we're going to hand somebody a job escription and that's the end all be all, you can't hake any changes going forward. I think there's a way of doing things multaneously.	136
	<ul> <li>our team, it would be the senior leadership team,</li> <li>and it would be, obviously, members of Board of</li> <li>Trustees. There may be regional folks that would be</li> <li>important to be in on this, whether it's county</li> <li>commissioners or folks of other agencies. I'd leave</li> <li>that to you to determine.</li> <li>Ultimately, the candidate pool shrinks</li> <li>itself down, and then we make it to the final three,</li> <li>four candidates.</li> <li>They did say that, with the idea of havin</li> <li>community involvement, it would be great to open</li> <li>a meet-and-greet opportunity for those final</li> <li>candidates, that it is informal, and provides a</li> <li>little room for leeway. Again, these are things to</li> </ul>	th 1 to 2 ba 3 I t e 4 sp 5 fin 6 fin 7 8 m 9 liv 10 lt 9 11 de p 12 m 13 14 sin 15 16 ve	asically bring both to the Board at the same time. think that would be helpful so we don't lose time bending two meetings, going over the job, nalizing the job description, then going out to nd an agency. MS. FEORE: I think as long as the naterial changes are made. Job descriptions are ving documents, so they change constantly anyway. 's not like we're going to hand somebody a job escription and that's the end all be all, you can't nake any changes going forward. I think there's a way of doing things multaneously. TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Great point on being	136
	<ul> <li>our team, it would be the senior leadership team,</li> <li>and it would be, obviously, members of Board of</li> <li>Trustees. There may be regional folks that would be</li> <li>important to be in on this, whether it's county</li> <li>commissioners or folks of other agencies. I'd leave</li> <li>that to you to determine.</li> <li>Ultimately, the candidate pool shrinks</li> <li>itself down, and then we make it to the final three,</li> <li>four candidates.</li> <li>They did say that, with the idea of havin</li> <li>community involvement, it would be great to open</li> <li>a meet-and-greet opportunity for those final</li> <li>candidates, that it is informal, and provides a</li> <li>little room for leeway. Again, these are things to</li> <li>discuss and to consider.</li> </ul>	th 1 to 2 ba 3 l ti 4 sp 5 fin 6 fin 7 8 m 9 liv 10 lts 11 de p 12 m 13 14 sin 15 16 ve 17 in	asically bring both to the Board at the same time. think that would be helpful so we don't lose time bending two meetings, going over the job, halizing the job description, then going out to and an agency. MS. FEORE: I think as long as the naterial changes are made. Job descriptions are ving documents, so they change constantly anyway. 's not like we're going to hand somebody a job escription and that's the end all be all, you can't hake any changes going forward. I think there's a way of doing things multaneously. TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Great point on being ery careful who is involved in the process, who is	136
	<ul> <li>our team, it would be the senior leadership team,</li> <li>and it would be, obviously, members of Board of</li> <li>Trustees. There may be regional folks that would be</li> <li>important to be in on this, whether it's county</li> <li>commissioners or folks of other agencies. I'd leave</li> <li>that to you to determine.</li> <li>Ultimately, the candidate pool shrinks</li> <li>itself down, and then we make it to the final three,</li> <li>four candidates.</li> <li>They did say that, with the idea of havin</li> <li>community involvement, it would be great to open</li> <li>a meet-and-greet opportunity for those final</li> <li>candidates, that it is informal, and provides a</li> <li>little room for leeway. Again, these are things to</li> <li>discuss and to consider.</li> <li>And then, ultimately, it just comes down</li> </ul>	th 1 to 2 ba 3 I ti 4 sp 5 fin 6 fin 7 8 m 9 liv 10 lt's 11 de p 12 m 13 14 sin 15 16 ve 17 inv 18 ba	asically bring both to the Board at the same time. think that would be helpful so we don't lose time bending two meetings, going over the job, halizing the job description, then going out to hd an agency. MS. FEORE: I think as long as the haterial changes are made. Job descriptions are ving documents, so they change constantly anyway. Is not like we're going to hand somebody a job escription and that's the end all be all, you can't hake any changes going forward. I think there's a way of doing things multaneously. TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Great point on being ery careful who is involved in the process, who is wested in it. You won't remember, but if we go	136
	<ul> <li>our team, it would be the senior leadership team,</li> <li>and it would be, obviously, members of Board of</li> <li>Trustees. There may be regional folks that would be</li> <li>important to be in on this, whether it's county</li> <li>commissioners or folks of other agencies. I'd leave</li> <li>that to you to determine.</li> <li>Ultimately, the candidate pool shrinks</li> <li>itself down, and then we make it to the final three,</li> <li>four candidates.</li> <li>They did say that, with the idea of havin</li> <li>community involvement, it would be great to open</li> <li>a meet-and-greet opportunity for those final</li> <li>candidates, that it is informal, and provides a</li> <li>little room for leeway. Again, these are things to</li> <li>discuss and to consider.</li> <li>And then, ultimately, it just comes down</li> <li>to the final two. Then I believe that process is</li> </ul>	th 1 to 2 ba 3 l t e 4 sp 5 fin 6 fin 7 8 m 9 liv 10 lt 9 liv 10 lt 9 11 de 11 de 12 m 13 14 sin 15 16 ve 17 in 18 ba 19 w	asically bring both to the Board at the same time. think that would be helpful so we don't lose time bending two meetings, going over the job, nalizing the job description, then going out to nd an agency. MS. FEORE: I think as long as the naterial changes are made. Job descriptions are ving documents, so they change constantly anyway. 's not like we're going to hand somebody a job escription and that's the end all be all, you can't nake any changes going forward. I think there's a way of doing things multaneously. TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Great point on being ery careful who is involved in the process, who is avested in it. You won't remember, but if we go ack to the 2013/'14 recruitment of Mr. Pinkerton,	136
	<ul> <li>our team, it would be the senior leadership team,</li> <li>and it would be, obviously, members of Board of</li> <li>Trustees. There may be regional folks that would be</li> <li>important to be in on this, whether it's county</li> <li>commissioners or folks of other agencies. I'd leave</li> <li>that to you to determine.</li> <li>Ultimately, the candidate pool shrinks</li> <li>itself down, and then we make it to the final three,</li> <li>four candidates.</li> <li>They did say that, with the idea of havin</li> <li>community involvement, it would be great to open</li> <li>a meet-and-greet opportunity for those final</li> <li>candidates, that it is informal, and provides a</li> <li>little room for leeway. Again, these are things to</li> <li>discuss and to consider.</li> <li>And then, ultimately, it just comes down</li> <li>to the final two. Then I believe that process is</li> <li>done in a meeting environment.</li> </ul>	th 1 to 2 ba 3 l ti e 4 sp 5 fin 6 fin 7 8 m 9 liv 10 ltis 11 de p 12 m 13 14 sin 15 16 ve 17 im 18 ba 19 wf 50 solution	asically bring both to the Board at the same time. think that would be helpful so we don't lose time bending two meetings, going over the job, halizing the job description, then going out to and an agency. MS. FEORE: I think as long as the naterial changes are made. Job descriptions are ving documents, so they change constantly anyway. 's not like we're going to hand somebody a job escription and that's the end all be all, you can't hake any changes going forward. I think there's a way of doing things multaneously. TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Great point on being ery careful who is involved in the process, who is nvested in it. You won't remember, but if we go ack to the 2013/'14 recruitment of Mr. Pinkerton, then we thought we had two final candidates for	136
	<ul> <li>our team, it would be the senior leadership team,</li> <li>and it would be, obviously, members of Board of</li> <li>Trustees. There may be regional folks that would be</li> <li>important to be in on this, whether it's county</li> <li>commissioners or folks of other agencies. I'd leave</li> <li>that to you to determine.</li> <li>Ultimately, the candidate pool shrinks</li> <li>itself down, and then we make it to the final three,</li> <li>four candidates.</li> <li>They did say that, with the idea of havin</li> <li>community involvement, it would be great to open</li> <li>a meet-and-greet opportunity for those final</li> <li>candidates, that it is informal, and provides a</li> <li>little room for leeway. Again, these are things to</li> <li>discuss and to consider.</li> <li>And then, ultimately, it just comes down</li> <li>to the final two. Then I believe that process is</li> <li>done in a meeting environment.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah. Thank year</li> </ul>	th 1 to 2 ba 3 l ti 4 sp 5 fin 6 fin 7 8 m 9 liv 10 ltis 11 de p 12 m 13 14 sin 15 16 ve 17 inv 18 ba 19 wito 20 ev 21 dis	asically bring both to the Board at the same time. think that would be helpful so we don't lose time bending two meetings, going over the job, halizing the job description, then going out to nd an agency. MS. FEORE: I think as long as the naterial changes are made. Job descriptions are ving documents, so they change constantly anyway. 's not like we're going to hand somebody a job escription and that's the end all be all, you can't nake any changes going forward. I think there's a way of doing things multaneously. TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Great point on being ery careful who is involved in the process, who is wested in it. You won't remember, but if we go ack to the 2013/'14 recruitment of Mr. Pinkerton, then we thought we had two final candidates for valuation by the Board, and it turned out one was	136
	<ul> <li>our team, it would be the senior leadership team,</li> <li>and it would be, obviously, members of Board of</li> <li>Trustees. There may be regional folks that would be</li> <li>important to be in on this, whether it's county</li> <li>commissioners or folks of other agencies. I'd leave</li> <li>that to you to determine.</li> <li>Ultimately, the candidate pool shrinks</li> <li>itself down, and then we make it to the final three,</li> <li>four candidates.</li> <li>They did say that, with the idea of havin</li> <li>community involvement, it would be great to open</li> <li>a meet-and-greet opportunity for those final</li> <li>candidates, that it is informal, and provides a</li> <li>little room for leeway. Again, these are things to</li> <li>discuss and to consider.</li> <li>And then, ultimately, it just comes down</li> <li>to the final two. Then I believe that process is</li> <li>done in a meeting environment.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah. Thank y</li> </ul>	th 1 to 2 ba 3 l ti 4 sp 5 fin 6 fin 7 8 m 9 liv 10 ltis 11 de p 12 m 13 14 sin 15 16 ve 17 im 18 ba 19 wit 20 ev 21 dis 22 wa	asically bring both to the Board at the same time. think that would be helpful so we don't lose time bending two meetings, going over the job, halizing the job description, then going out to hd an agency. MS. FEORE: I think as long as the haterial changes are made. Job descriptions are ving documents, so they change constantly anyway. 's not like we're going to hand somebody a job escription and that's the end all be all, you can't hake any changes going forward. I think there's a way of doing things multaneously. TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Great point on being ery careful who is involved in the process, who is hvested in it. You won't remember, but if we go ack to the 2013/'14 recruitment of Mr. Pinkerton, hen we thought we had two final candidates for valuation by the Board, and it turned out one was isiqualified and didn't meet the thing. We don't	136
	<ul> <li>our team, it would be the senior leadership team,</li> <li>and it would be, obviously, members of Board of</li> <li>Trustees. There may be regional folks that would be</li> <li>important to be in on this, whether it's county</li> <li>commissioners or folks of other agencies. I'd leave</li> <li>that to you to determine.</li> <li>Ultimately, the candidate pool shrinks</li> <li>itself down, and then we make it to the final three,</li> <li>four candidates.</li> <li>They did say that, with the idea of havin</li> <li>community involvement, it would be great to open</li> <li>a meet-and-greet opportunity for those final</li> <li>candidates, that it is informal, and provides a</li> <li>little room for leeway. Again, these are things to</li> <li>discuss and to consider.</li> <li>And then, ultimately, it just comes down</li> <li>to the final two. Then I believe that process is</li> <li>done in a meeting environment.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah. Thank y</li> <li>start. Can we just run this up our little process</li> <li>at the moment? You can start reaching out, you</li> </ul>	th 1 to 2 ba 3 l ti 4 sp 5 fin 6 fin 7 8 m 9 liv 10 lts 11 de p 12 m 13 14 sin 15 16 ve 17 inv 18 ba 19 wi 20 ev 21 dis 22 va 23 do	asically bring both to the Board at the same time. think that would be helpful so we don't lose time bending two meetings, going over the job, halizing the job description, then going out to and an agency. MS. FEORE: I think as long as the naterial changes are made. Job descriptions are ving documents, so they change constantly anyway. 's not like we're going to hand somebody a job escription and that's the end all be all, you can't hake any changes going forward. I think there's a way of doing things multaneously. TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Great point on being ery careful who is involved in the process, who is invested in it. You won't remember, but if we go ack to the 2013/'14 recruitment of Mr. Pinkerton, then we thought we had two final candidates for valuation by the Board, and it turned out one was isqualified and didn't meet the thing. We don't ern to find ourselves in that situation where we're	136
	<ul> <li>our team, it would be the senior leadership team,</li> <li>and it would be, obviously, members of Board of</li> <li>Trustees. There may be regional folks that would be</li> <li>important to be in on this, whether it's county</li> <li>commissioners or folks of other agencies. I'd leave</li> <li>that to you to determine.</li> <li>Ultimately, the candidate pool shrinks</li> <li>itself down, and then we make it to the final three,</li> <li>four candidates.</li> <li>They did say that, with the idea of havin</li> <li>community involvement, it would be great to open</li> <li>a meet-and-greet opportunity for those final</li> <li>candidates, that it is informal, and provides a</li> <li>little room for leeway. Again, these are things to</li> <li>discuss and to consider.</li> <li>And then, ultimately, it just comes down</li> <li>to the final two. Then I believe that process is</li> <li>done in a meeting environment.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah. Thank you</li> <li>start. Can we just run this up our little process</li> <li>at the moment? You can start reaching out, you</li> <li>know, soliciting different agencies to try and start</li> </ul>	th 1 to 2 ba 3 l ti 4 sp 5 fin 6 fin 7 8 m 9 liv 10 lts 11 de p 12 m 13 14 sin 15 16 ve 17 inv 18 ba 19 wi 20 ev 21 dis 22 va 23 do	asically bring both to the Board at the same time. think that would be helpful so we don't lose time bending two meetings, going over the job, halizing the job description, then going out to nd an agency. MS. FEORE: I think as long as the naterial changes are made. Job descriptions are ving documents, so they change constantly anyway. Is not like we're going to hand somebody a job escription and that's the end all be all, you can't nake any changes going forward. I think there's a way of doing things multaneously. TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Great point on being ery careful who is involved in the process, who is nvested in it. You won't remember, but if we go ack to the 2013/'14 recruitment of Mr. Pinkerton, hen we thought we had two final candidates for valuation by the Board, and it turned out one was isqualified and didn't meet the thing. We don't ant to find ourselves in that situation where we're own we think we're down to two and in reality,	136
	<ul> <li>our team, it would be the senior leadership team,</li> <li>and it would be, obviously, members of Board of</li> <li>Trustees. There may be regional folks that would be</li> <li>important to be in on this, whether it's county</li> <li>commissioners or folks of other agencies. I'd leave</li> <li>that to you to determine.</li> <li>Ultimately, the candidate pool shrinks</li> <li>itself down, and then we make it to the final three,</li> <li>four candidates.</li> <li>They did say that, with the idea of havin</li> <li>community involvement, it would be great to open</li> <li>a meet-and-greet opportunity for those final</li> <li>candidates, that it is informal, and provides a</li> <li>little room for leeway. Again, these are things to</li> <li>discuss and to consider.</li> <li>And then, ultimately, it just comes down</li> <li>to the final two. Then I believe that process is</li> <li>done in a meeting environment.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah. Thank y</li> <li>start. Can we just run this up our little process</li> <li>at the moment? You can start reaching out, you</li> <li>know, soliciting different agencies to try and start</li> <li>doing that without the formal job description being</li> </ul>	th 1 to 2 ba 3 l ti 4 sp 5 fin 6 fin 7 8 m 9 liv 10 lt's 11 de p 12 m 13 14 sin 15 16 ve 17 in 18 ba 19 wi 20 ev 21 dis 22 va 23 do 24 it's	asically bring both to the Board at the same time. think that would be helpful so we don't lose time bending two meetings, going over the job, halizing the job description, then going out to hd an agency. MS. FEORE: I think as long as the haterial changes are made. Job descriptions are ving documents, so they change constantly anyway. 's not like we're going to hand somebody a job escription and that's the end all be all, you can't hake any changes going forward. I think there's a way of doing things multaneously. TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Great point on being ery careful who is involved in the process, who is hvested in it. You won't remember, but if we go ack to the 2013/'14 recruitment of Mr. Pinkerton, hen we thought we had two final candidates for valuation by the Board, and it turned out one was isiqualified and didn't meet the thing. We don't ant to find ourselves in that situation where we're own we think we're down to two and in reality, s not a competition.	136

	107		100
1 vetting and things as well. There's not been	137	1 I can have something that I get to the Board saying,	138
2 untoward influence in the process anywhere.		2 based on the feedback that I've received, here is a	
3 MS. FEORE: When I was starting the		3 draft copy.	
4 process of researching this for Chairman Dent, I		4 This is probably where I'm going to need,	
5 went back to look at some old notes. Some of the		5 Josh, as to how to get the feedback needed.	
6 notes that I could find indicated that the HR		6 MR. NELSON: I think we've got some	
7 department had been fairly well removed from the		7 feedback this evening, and we'll get some more	
8 process, and as a result, some of these things		8 feedback. Then we can incorporate all that	
9 hadn't necessarily been reviewed.		9 feedback, bring it back to the Board, ideally, at	
10 I absolutely I mean, listen, I'd love		0 the next board meeting to finalize.	
11 to get as much as I can off of my plate, but I		1 And I think to Trustee Tulloch's point, to	
12 absolutely believe that the HR department should be		2 the extent you were comfortable, we also at that	
13 the second set of eyes, as I'm kind of the last		<ul><li>3 same meeting could issue a formal RFQ for a search</li></ul>	
14 defense for you.		4 firm so we don't lose any time and have to do	
15 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I would agree with that.		5 multiple meetings.	
16 I can add more color in a private conversation if		6 MS. FEORE: Then the direction would be to	
17 required, but I'm not going to say anything on the			
18 record.		7 have the description basically finalized and ready	
		8 for your formal approval and recommended agencies to	
19 CHAIR DENT: As far as next steps or		9 begin the RFQ?	
20 direction from us at this time, what are we looking		20 MR. NELSON: Yeah. Well, that's a good	
21 at timeline-wise for some of this stuff like		21 point. I think we can send out just an RFP, like we	
22 bringing back the RFQ and going through that		22 have in the past, and have identified firms, that we	
23 process?		3 send them a copy, hoping they send a response. Or	
24 MS. FEORE: I can work on the job		4 if we wanted to, we could limit to the folks that we	
25 description tomorrow. I believe by early next week,	4	25 felt were most appropriate and just do an RFQ to	
	139		140
1 those.		1 fantastic county manager. I would feel comfortable	140
2 I would defer to the Board, and maybe		2 reaching out to those firms and asking them for	140
<ol> <li>I would defer to the Board, and maybe</li> <li>that's some good direction for us.</li> </ol>		<ul><li>2 reaching out to those firms and asking them for</li><li>3 quotes.</li></ul>	140
<ol> <li>I would defer to the Board, and maybe</li> <li>that's some good direction for us.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: What does the Board want to</li> </ol>		<ul> <li>2 reaching out to those firms and asking them for</li> <li>3 quotes.</li> <li>4 I don't know whether you were saying that</li> </ul>	140
<ol> <li>I would defer to the Board, and maybe</li> <li>that's some good direction for us.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: What does the Board want to</li> <li>do?</li> </ol>		<ul> <li>2 reaching out to those firms and asking them for</li> <li>3 quotes.</li> <li>4 I don't know whether you were saying that</li> <li>5 it should be broader net than that. I wasn't sure.</li> </ul>	140
<ol> <li>I would defer to the Board, and maybe</li> <li>that's some good direction for us.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: What does the Board want to</li> <li>do?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I think we have</li> </ol>		<ul> <li>2 reaching out to those firms and asking them for</li> <li>3 quotes.</li> <li>4 I don't know whether you were saying that</li> <li>5 it should be broader net than that. I wasn't sure.</li> <li>6 Sorry.</li> </ul>	140
<ol> <li>I would defer to the Board, and maybe</li> <li>that's some good direction for us.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: What does the Board want to</li> <li>do?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I think we have</li> <li>flexibility under NRS, because this is a</li> </ol>		<ul> <li>2 reaching out to those firms and asking them for</li> <li>3 quotes.</li> <li>4 I don't know whether you were saying that</li> <li>5 it should be broader net than that. I wasn't sure.</li> <li>6 Sorry.</li> <li>7 MS. FEORE: I can tell you that in our</li> </ul>	140
<ul> <li>2 I would defer to the Board, and maybe</li> <li>3 that's some good direction for us.</li> <li>4 CHAIR DENT: What does the Board want to</li> <li>5 do?</li> <li>6 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I think we have</li> <li>7 flexibility under NRS, because this is a</li> <li>8 professional services contract, we have quite a lot</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>2 reaching out to those firms and asking them for</li> <li>3 quotes.</li> <li>4 I don't know whether you were saying that</li> <li>5 it should be broader net than that. I wasn't sure.</li> <li>6 Sorry.</li> <li>7 MS. FEORE: I can tell you that in our</li> <li>8 conversation, they did provide me with three names.</li> </ul>	140
<ul> <li>I would defer to the Board, and maybe</li> <li>that's some good direction for us.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: What does the Board want to</li> <li>do?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I think we have</li> <li>flexibility under NRS, because this is a</li> <li>professional services contract, we have quite a lot</li> <li>of flexibility there.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>2 reaching out to those firms and asking them for</li> <li>3 quotes.</li> <li>4 I don't know whether you were saying that</li> <li>5 it should be broader net than that. I wasn't sure.</li> <li>6 Sorry.</li> <li>7 MS. FEORE: I can tell you that in our</li> <li>8 conversation, they did provide me with three names.</li> <li>9 So, I kind of got a little bit of a leg up on that.</li> </ul>	140
<ul> <li>I would defer to the Board, and maybe</li> <li>that's some good direction for us.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: What does the Board want to</li> <li>do?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I think we have</li> <li>flexibility under NRS, because this is a</li> <li>professional services contract, we have quite a lot</li> <li>of flexibility there.</li> <li>I'd like to see the recommendations. I'm</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>2 reaching out to those firms and asking them for</li> <li>3 quotes.</li> <li>4 I don't know whether you were saying that</li> <li>5 it should be broader net than that. I wasn't sure.</li> <li>6 Sorry.</li> <li>7 MS. FEORE: I can tell you that in our</li> <li>8 conversation, they did provide me with three names.</li> <li>9 So, I kind of got a little bit of a leg up on that.</li> <li>10 In addition to that, I have received a</li> </ul>	140
<ul> <li>I would defer to the Board, and maybe</li> <li>that's some good direction for us.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: What does the Board want to</li> <li>do?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I think we have</li> <li>flexibility under NRS, because this is a</li> <li>professional services contract, we have quite a lot</li> <li>of flexibility there.</li> <li>I'd like to see the recommendations. I'm</li> <li>sure director Feore will do some background</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>2 reaching out to those firms and asking them for</li> <li>3 quotes.</li> <li>4 I don't know whether you were saying that</li> <li>5 it should be broader net than that. I wasn't sure.</li> <li>6 Sorry.</li> <li>7 MS. FEORE: I can tell you that in our</li> <li>8 conversation, they did provide me with three names.</li> <li>9 So, I kind of got a little bit of a leg up on that.</li> <li>10 In addition to that, I have received a</li> <li>1 number of calls from folks who are wanting to help</li> </ul>	140
<ul> <li>I would defer to the Board, and maybe</li> <li>that's some good direction for us.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: What does the Board want to</li> <li>do?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I think we have</li> <li>flexibility under NRS, because this is a</li> <li>professional services contract, we have quite a lot</li> <li>of flexibility there.</li> <li>I'd like to see the recommendations. I'm</li> <li>sure director Feore will do some background</li> <li>investigation, come with some good recommendations</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>2 reaching out to those firms and asking them for</li> <li>3 quotes.</li> <li>4 I don't know whether you were saying that</li> <li>5 it should be broader net than that. I wasn't sure.</li> <li>6 Sorry.</li> <li>7 MS. FEORE: I can tell you that in our</li> <li>8 conversation, they did provide me with three names.</li> <li>9 So, I kind of got a little bit of a leg up on that.</li> <li>10 In addition to that, I have received a</li> <li>1 number of calls from folks who are wanting to help</li> <li>2 fill some of our other top positions. Between Lisa</li> </ul>	140
<ul> <li>I would defer to the Board, and maybe</li> <li>that's some good direction for us.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: What does the Board want to</li> <li>do?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I think we have</li> <li>flexibility under NRS, because this is a</li> <li>professional services contract, we have quite a lot</li> <li>of flexibility there.</li> <li>I'd like to see the recommendations. I'm</li> <li>sure director Feore will do some background</li> <li>investigation, come with some good recommendations</li> <li>so we actually get a fairly short process for that.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>reaching out to those firms and asking them for</li> <li>quotes.</li> <li>I don't know whether you were saying that</li> <li>it should be broader net than that. I wasn't sure.</li> <li>Sorry.</li> <li>MS. FEORE: I can tell you that in our</li> <li>conversation, they did provide me with three names.</li> <li>So, I kind of got a little bit of a leg up on that.</li> <li>In addition to that, I have received a</li> <li>number of calls from folks who are wanting to help</li> <li>fill some of our other top positions. Between Lisa</li> <li>and I, we have kind of a list going of all the folks</li> </ul>	140
<ul> <li>I would defer to the Board, and maybe</li> <li>that's some good direction for us.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: What does the Board want to</li> <li>do?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I think we have</li> <li>flexibility under NRS, because this is a</li> <li>professional services contract, we have quite a lot</li> <li>of flexibility there.</li> <li>I'd like to see the recommendations. I'm</li> <li>sure director Feore will do some background</li> <li>investigation, come with some good recommendations</li> <li>so we actually get a fairly short process for that.</li> <li>It's not a major, huge item for most of those firms</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>2 reaching out to those firms and asking them for</li> <li>3 quotes.</li> <li>4 I don't know whether you were saying that</li> <li>5 it should be broader net than that. I wasn't sure.</li> <li>6 Sorry.</li> <li>7 MS. FEORE: I can tell you that in our</li> <li>8 conversation, they did provide me with three names.</li> <li>9 So, I kind of got a little bit of a leg up on that.</li> <li>1 n addition to that, I have received a</li> <li>1 number of calls from folks who are wanting to help</li> <li>2 fill some of our other top positions. Between Lisa</li> <li>3 and I, we have kind of a list going of all the folks</li> <li>4 who have reached out and asked to assist with this</li> </ul>	140
<ul> <li>I would defer to the Board, and maybe</li> <li>that's some good direction for us.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: What does the Board want to</li> <li>do?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I think we have</li> <li>flexibility under NRS, because this is a</li> <li>professional services contract, we have quite a lot</li> <li>of flexibility there.</li> <li>I'd like to see the recommendations. I'm</li> <li>sure director Feore will do some background</li> <li>investigation, come with some good recommendations</li> <li>so we actually get a fairly short process for that.</li> <li>It's not a major, huge item for most of those firms</li> <li>are used to responding at day's notice at the drop</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>2 reaching out to those firms and asking them for</li> <li>3 quotes.</li> <li>4 I don't know whether you were saying that</li> <li>5 it should be broader net than that. I wasn't sure.</li> <li>6 Sorry.</li> <li>7 MS. FEORE: I can tell you that in our</li> <li>8 conversation, they did provide me with three names.</li> <li>9 So, I kind of got a little bit of a leg up on that.</li> <li>0 In addition to that, I have received a</li> <li>1 number of calls from folks who are wanting to help</li> <li>2 fill some of our other top positions. Between Lisa</li> <li>3 and I, we have kind of a list going of all the folks</li> <li>4 who have reached out and asked to assist with this</li> <li>5 process, so I think we can kind of narrow it down.</li> </ul>	140
<ul> <li>I would defer to the Board, and maybe</li> <li>that's some good direction for us.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: What does the Board want to</li> <li>do?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I think we have</li> <li>flexibility under NRS, because this is a</li> <li>professional services contract, we have quite a lot</li> <li>of flexibility there.</li> <li>I'd like to see the recommendations. I'm</li> <li>sure director Feore will do some background</li> <li>investigation, come with some good recommendations</li> <li>so we actually get a fairly short process for that.</li> <li>It's not a major, huge item for most of those firms</li> <li>are used to responding at day's notice at the drop</li> <li>of the hat, judging by a lot of requests I get and a</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>reaching out to those firms and asking them for</li> <li>quotes.</li> <li>I don't know whether you were saying that</li> <li>it should be broader net than that. I wasn't sure.</li> <li>Sorry.</li> <li>MS. FEORE: I can tell you that in our</li> <li>conversation, they did provide me with three names.</li> <li>So, I kind of got a little bit of a leg up on that.</li> <li>In addition to that, I have received a</li> <li>number of calls from folks who are wanting to help</li> <li>fill some of our other top positions. Between Lisa</li> <li>and I, we have kind of a list going of all the folks</li> <li>who have reached out and asked to assist with this</li> <li>process, so I think we can kind of narrow it down.</li> <li>Just get the initial information from them and</li> </ul>	140
<ul> <li>I would defer to the Board, and maybe</li> <li>that's some good direction for us.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: What does the Board want to</li> <li>do?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I think we have</li> <li>flexibility under NRS, because this is a</li> <li>professional services contract, we have quite a lot</li> <li>of flexibility there.</li> <li>I'd like to see the recommendations. I'm</li> <li>sure director Feore will do some background</li> <li>investigation, come with some good recommendations</li> <li>so we actually get a fairly short process for that.</li> <li>It's not a major, huge item for most of those firms</li> <li>are used to responding at day's notice at the drop</li> <li>of the hat, judging by a lot of requests I get and a</li> <li>lot of the invitations I get in terms of that. I</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>2 reaching out to those firms and asking them for</li> <li>3 quotes.</li> <li>4 I don't know whether you were saying that</li> <li>5 it should be broader net than that. I wasn't sure.</li> <li>6 Sorry.</li> <li>7 MS. FEORE: I can tell you that in our</li> <li>8 conversation, they did provide me with three names.</li> <li>9 So, I kind of got a little bit of a leg up on that.</li> <li>0 In addition to that, I have received a</li> <li>1 number of calls from folks who are wanting to help</li> <li>2 fill some of our other top positions. Between Lisa</li> <li>3 and I, we have kind of a list going of all the folks</li> <li>4 who have reached out and asked to assist with this</li> <li>5 process, so I think we can kind of narrow it down.</li> </ul>	140
<ul> <li>I would defer to the Board, and maybe</li> <li>that's some good direction for us.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: What does the Board want to</li> <li>do?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I think we have</li> <li>flexibility under NRS, because this is a</li> <li>professional services contract, we have quite a lot</li> <li>of flexibility there.</li> <li>I'd like to see the recommendations. I'm</li> <li>sure director Feore will do some background</li> <li>investigation, come with some good recommendations</li> <li>so we actually get a fairly short process for that.</li> <li>It's not a major, huge item for most of those firms</li> <li>are used to responding at day's notice at the drop</li> <li>of the hat, judging by a lot of requests I get and a</li> <li>lot of the invitations I get in terms of that. I</li> <li>think most of these firms operate pretty quickly on</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>reaching out to those firms and asking them for</li> <li>quotes.</li> <li>I don't know whether you were saying that</li> <li>it should be broader net than that. I wasn't sure.</li> <li>Sorry.</li> <li>MS. FEORE: I can tell you that in our</li> <li>conversation, they did provide me with three names.</li> <li>So, I kind of got a little bit of a leg up on that.</li> <li>In addition to that, I have received a</li> <li>number of calls from folks who are wanting to help</li> <li>fill some of our other top positions. Between Lisa</li> <li>and I, we have kind of a list going of all the folks</li> <li>who have reached out and asked to assist with this</li> <li>process, so I think we can kind of narrow it down.</li> <li>Just get the initial information from them and</li> <li>narrow it down so that I can come back to you and</li> <li>say, here's where we're recommending. What do you</li> </ul>	140
<ul> <li>I would defer to the Board, and maybe</li> <li>that's some good direction for us.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: What does the Board want to</li> <li>do?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I think we have</li> <li>flexibility under NRS, because this is a</li> <li>professional services contract, we have quite a lot</li> <li>of flexibility there.</li> <li>I'd like to see the recommendations. I'm</li> <li>sure director Feore will do some background</li> <li>investigation, come with some good recommendations</li> <li>so we actually get a fairly short process for that.</li> <li>It's not a major, huge item for most of those firms</li> <li>are used to responding at day's notice at the drop</li> <li>of the hat, judging by a lot of requests I get and a</li> <li>lot of the invitations I get in terms of that. I</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>reaching out to those firms and asking them for</li> <li>quotes.</li> <li>I don't know whether you were saying that</li> <li>it should be broader net than that. I wasn't sure.</li> <li>Sorry.</li> <li>MS. FEORE: I can tell you that in our</li> <li>conversation, they did provide me with three names.</li> <li>So, I kind of got a little bit of a leg up on that.</li> <li>In addition to that, I have received a</li> <li>number of calls from folks who are wanting to help</li> <li>fill some of our other top positions. Between Lisa</li> <li>and I, we have kind of a list going of all the folks</li> <li>who have reached out and asked to assist with this</li> <li>process, so I think we can kind of narrow it down.</li> <li>Just get the initial information from them and</li> <li>narrow it down so that I can come back to you and</li> <li>say, here's where we're recommending. What do you</li> <li>have for me?</li> </ul>	140
<ul> <li>I would defer to the Board, and maybe</li> <li>that's some good direction for us.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: What does the Board want to</li> <li>do?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I think we have</li> <li>flexibility under NRS, because this is a</li> <li>professional services contract, we have quite a lot</li> <li>of flexibility there.</li> <li>I'd like to see the recommendations. I'm</li> <li>sure director Feore will do some background</li> <li>investigation, come with some good recommendations</li> <li>so we actually get a fairly short process for that.</li> <li>It's not a major, huge item for most of those firms</li> <li>are used to responding at day's notice at the drop</li> <li>of the hat, judging by a lot of requests I get and a</li> <li>lot of the invitations I get in terms of that. I</li> <li>think most of these firms operate pretty quickly on</li> <li>that basis.</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I'm not exactly sure</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>reaching out to those firms and asking them for</li> <li>quotes.</li> <li>I don't know whether you were saying that</li> <li>it should be broader net than that. I wasn't sure.</li> <li>Sorry.</li> <li>MS. FEORE: I can tell you that in our</li> <li>conversation, they did provide me with three names.</li> <li>So, I kind of got a little bit of a leg up on that.</li> <li>In addition to that, I have received a</li> <li>number of calls from folks who are wanting to help</li> <li>fill some of our other top positions. Between Lisa</li> <li>and I, we have kind of a list going of all the folks</li> <li>who have reached out and asked to assist with this</li> <li>process, so I think we can kind of narrow it down.</li> <li>Just get the initial information from them and</li> <li>narrow it down so that I can come back to you and</li> <li>say, here's where we're recommending. What do you</li> <li>have for me?</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: So is it realistic to be</li> </ul>	140
<ul> <li>I would defer to the Board, and maybe</li> <li>that's some good direction for us.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: What does the Board want to</li> <li>do?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I think we have</li> <li>flexibility under NRS, because this is a</li> <li>professional services contract, we have quite a lot</li> <li>of flexibility there.</li> <li>I'd like to see the recommendations. I'm</li> <li>sure director Feore will do some background</li> <li>investigation, come with some good recommendations</li> <li>so we actually get a fairly short process for that.</li> <li>It's not a major, huge item for most of those firms</li> <li>are used to responding at day's notice at the drop</li> <li>of the hat, judging by a lot of requests I get and a</li> <li>lot of the invitations I get in terms of that. I</li> <li>think most of these firms operate pretty quickly on</li> <li>that basis.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>reaching out to those firms and asking them for</li> <li>quotes.</li> <li>I don't know whether you were saying that</li> <li>it should be broader net than that. I wasn't sure.</li> <li>Sorry.</li> <li>MS. FEORE: I can tell you that in our</li> <li>conversation, they did provide me with three names.</li> <li>So, I kind of got a little bit of a leg up on that.</li> <li>In addition to that, I have received a</li> <li>number of calls from folks who are wanting to help</li> <li>fill some of our other top positions. Between Lisa</li> <li>and I, we have kind of a list going of all the folks</li> <li>who have reached out and asked to assist with this</li> <li>process, so I think we can kind of narrow it down.</li> <li>Just get the initial information from them and</li> <li>narrow it down so that I can come back to you and</li> <li>say, here's where we're recommending. What do you</li> <li>have for me?</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: So is it realistic to be</li> <li>coming back to us with an RFP or an RFQ to approve</li> </ul>	140
<ul> <li>I would defer to the Board, and maybe</li> <li>that's some good direction for us.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: What does the Board want to</li> <li>do?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I think we have</li> <li>flexibility under NRS, because this is a</li> <li>professional services contract, we have quite a lot</li> <li>of flexibility there.</li> <li>I'd like to see the recommendations. I'm</li> <li>sure director Feore will do some background</li> <li>investigation, come with some good recommendations</li> <li>so we actually get a fairly short process for that.</li> <li>I's not a major, huge item for most of those firms</li> <li>are used to responding at day's notice at the drop</li> <li>of the hat, judging by a lot of requests I get and a</li> <li>lot of the invitations I get in terms of that. I</li> <li>think most of these firms operate pretty quickly on</li> <li>that basis.</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I'm not exactly sure</li> <li>what Trustee Tulloch just said. So if I'm repeating</li> <li>what you're saying, just bear with me.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>reaching out to those firms and asking them for</li> <li>quotes.</li> <li>I don't know whether you were saying that</li> <li>it should be broader net than that. I wasn't sure.</li> <li>Sorry.</li> <li>MS. FEORE: I can tell you that in our</li> <li>conversation, they did provide me with three names.</li> <li>So, I kind of got a little bit of a leg up on that.</li> <li>In addition to that, I have received a</li> <li>number of calls from folks who are wanting to help</li> <li>fill some of our other top positions. Between Lisa</li> <li>and I, we have kind of a list going of all the folks</li> <li>who have reached out and asked to assist with this</li> <li>process, so I think we can kind of narrow it down.</li> <li>Just get the initial information from them and</li> <li>narrow it down so that I can come back to you and</li> <li>say, here's where we're recommending. What do you</li> <li>have for me?</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: So is it realistic to be</li> <li>coming back to us with an RFP or an RFQ to approve</li> <li>on the 26th? That means it would need to be pulled</li> </ul>	140
<ul> <li>I would defer to the Board, and maybe</li> <li>that's some good direction for us.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: What does the Board want to</li> <li>do?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I think we have</li> <li>flexibility under NRS, because this is a</li> <li>professional services contract, we have quite a lot</li> <li>of flexibility there.</li> <li>I'd like to see the recommendations. I'm</li> <li>sure director Feore will do some background</li> <li>investigation, come with some good recommendations</li> <li>so we actually get a fairly short process for that.</li> <li>It's not a major, huge item for most of those firms</li> <li>are used to responding at day's notice at the drop</li> <li>of the hat, judging by a lot of requests I get and a</li> <li>lot of the invitations I get in terms of that. I</li> <li>think most of these firms operate pretty quickly on</li> <li>that basis.</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I'm not exactly sure</li> <li>what Trustee Tulloch just said. So if I'm repeating</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>reaching out to those firms and asking them for</li> <li>quotes.</li> <li>I don't know whether you were saying that</li> <li>it should be broader net than that. I wasn't sure.</li> <li>Sorry.</li> <li>MS. FEORE: I can tell you that in our</li> <li>conversation, they did provide me with three names.</li> <li>So, I kind of got a little bit of a leg up on that.</li> <li>In addition to that, I have received a</li> <li>number of calls from folks who are wanting to help</li> <li>fill some of our other top positions. Between Lisa</li> <li>and I, we have kind of a list going of all the folks</li> <li>who have reached out and asked to assist with this</li> <li>process, so I think we can kind of narrow it down.</li> <li>Just get the initial information from them and</li> <li>narrow it down so that I can come back to you and</li> <li>say, here's where we're recommending. What do you</li> <li>have for me?</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: So is it realistic to be</li> <li>coming back to us with an RFP or an RFQ to approve</li> <li>on the 26th? That means it would need to be pulled</li> <li>together roughly by about Tuesday or Wednesday of</li> </ul>	140
<ul> <li>I would defer to the Board, and maybe</li> <li>that's some good direction for us.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: What does the Board want to</li> <li>do?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I think we have</li> <li>flexibility under NRS, because this is a</li> <li>professional services contract, we have quite a lot</li> <li>of flexibility there.</li> <li>I'd like to see the recommendations. I'm</li> <li>sure director Feore will do some background</li> <li>investigation, come with some good recommendations</li> <li>so we actually get a fairly short process for that.</li> <li>I's not a major, huge item for most of those firms</li> <li>are used to responding at day's notice at the drop</li> <li>of the hat, judging by a lot of requests I get and a</li> <li>lot of the invitations I get in terms of that. I</li> <li>think most of these firms operate pretty quickly on</li> <li>that basis.</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I'm not exactly sure</li> <li>what Trustee Tulloch just said. So if I'm repeating</li> <li>what you're saying, just bear with me.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>reaching out to those firms and asking them for</li> <li>quotes.</li> <li>I don't know whether you were saying that</li> <li>it should be broader net than that. I wasn't sure.</li> <li>Sorry.</li> <li>MS. FEORE: I can tell you that in our</li> <li>conversation, they did provide me with three names.</li> <li>So, I kind of got a little bit of a leg up on that.</li> <li>In addition to that, I have received a</li> <li>number of calls from folks who are wanting to help</li> <li>fill some of our other top positions. Between Lisa</li> <li>and I, we have kind of a list going of all the folks</li> <li>who have reached out and asked to assist with this</li> <li>process, so I think we can kind of narrow it down.</li> <li>Just get the initial information from them and</li> <li>narrow it down so that I can come back to you and</li> <li>say, here's where we're recommending. What do you</li> <li>have for me?</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: So is it realistic to be</li> <li>coming back to us with an RFP or an RFQ to approve</li> <li>on the 26th? That means it would need to be pulled</li> </ul>	140
<ul> <li>I would defer to the Board, and maybe</li> <li>that's some good direction for us.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: What does the Board want to</li> <li>do?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I think we have</li> <li>flexibility under NRS, because this is a</li> <li>professional services contract, we have quite a lot</li> <li>of flexibility there.</li> <li>I'd like to see the recommendations. I'm</li> <li>sure director Feore will do some background</li> <li>investigation, come with some good recommendations</li> <li>so we actually get a fairly short process for that.</li> <li>It's not a major, huge item for most of those firms</li> <li>are used to responding at day's notice at the drop</li> <li>of the hat, judging by a lot of requests I get and a</li> <li>lot of the invitations I get in terms of that. I</li> <li>think most of these firms operate pretty quickly on</li> <li>that basis.</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I'm not exactly sure</li> <li>what Trustee Tulloch just said. So if I'm repeating</li> <li>what you're saying, just bear with me.</li> <li>Personally, I feel that Washoe County just</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>reaching out to those firms and asking them for</li> <li>quotes.</li> <li>I don't know whether you were saying that</li> <li>it should be broader net than that. I wasn't sure.</li> <li>Sorry.</li> <li>MS. FEORE: I can tell you that in our</li> <li>conversation, they did provide me with three names.</li> <li>So, I kind of got a little bit of a leg up on that.</li> <li>In addition to that, I have received a</li> <li>number of calls from folks who are wanting to help</li> <li>fill some of our other top positions. Between Lisa</li> <li>and I, we have kind of a list going of all the folks</li> <li>who have reached out and asked to assist with this</li> <li>process, so I think we can kind of narrow it down.</li> <li>Just get the initial information from them and</li> <li>narrow it down so that I can come back to you and</li> <li>say, here's where we're recommending. What do you</li> <li>have for me?</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: So is it realistic to be</li> <li>coming back to us with an RFP or an RFQ to approve</li> <li>on the 26th? That means it would need to be pulled</li> <li>together roughly by about Tuesday or Wednesday of</li> </ul>	140
<ul> <li>I would defer to the Board, and maybe</li> <li>that's some good direction for us.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: What does the Board want to</li> <li>do?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I think we have</li> <li>flexibility under NRS, because this is a</li> <li>professional services contract, we have quite a lot</li> <li>of flexibility there.</li> <li>I'd like to see the recommendations. I'm</li> <li>sure director Feore will do some background</li> <li>investigation, come with some good recommendations</li> <li>so we actually get a fairly short process for that.</li> <li>It's not a major, huge item for most of those firms</li> <li>are used to responding at day's notice at the drop</li> <li>of the hat, judging by a lot of requests I get and a</li> <li>lot of the invitations I get in terms of that. I</li> <li>think most of these firms operate pretty quickly on</li> <li>that basis.</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I'm not exactly sure</li> <li>what you're saying, just bear with me.</li> <li>Personally, I feel that Washoe County just</li> <li>did this, literally, two years ago, and I know they</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>reaching out to those firms and asking them for</li> <li>quotes.</li> <li>I don't know whether you were saying that</li> <li>it should be broader net than that. I wasn't sure.</li> <li>Sorry.</li> <li>MS. FEORE: I can tell you that in our</li> <li>conversation, they did provide me with three names.</li> <li>So, I kind of got a little bit of a leg up on that.</li> <li>In addition to that, I have received a</li> <li>number of calls from folks who are wanting to help</li> <li>fill some of our other top positions. Between Lisa</li> <li>and I, we have kind of a list going of all the folks</li> <li>who have reached out and asked to assist with this</li> <li>process, so I think we can kind of narrow it down.</li> <li>Just get the initial information from them and</li> <li>narrow it down so that I can come back to you and</li> <li>say, here's where we're recommending. What do you</li> <li>have for me?</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: So is it realistic to be</li> <li>coming back to us with an RFP or an RFQ to approve</li> <li>on the 26th? That means it would need to be pulled</li> <li>together roughly by about Tuesday or Wednesday of</li> <li>next week.</li> </ul>	140

					4.40
1	quickly, sometimes, sometimes I make mistakes. That	141	1	that I haven't dropped the ball. And, yeah,	142
2	would be a little bit yeah, because I basically		2	hopefully then the next time I'm sitting in front of	
3	have until Wednesday.		3	you, I've got some great feedback and direction.	
4	MR. NELSON: Yeah, I mean, this might be a		4	CHAIR DENT: One more question for you, as	
5	time where, given the need to move this forward,		5	it relates to all hands on deck and you guys being	
6	maybe provides us with a little bit more flexibility		6	overloaded in the HR department, please work with	
7	as far as meeting that agenda packet deadline, and		7	General Manager Bandelin, and if there is something	
8	might be more comfortable with us providing that		8	we need to do to help in the interim, to help fill a	
9	information as soon as we can, even if it's the 24th		9	void, we'd all be in favor of that.	
	or 25th. I would defer to Erin on her schedule as		10	We talked about that through the budget	
	to what her scheduled is as to when she can get		11	process. We were cutting back on budgets, but we	
	something together.			know we do have a huge surplus, and so if there's	
13	CHAIR DENT: I think you heard from three			areas where we need to shift a little bit of money	
	trustees that had feedback on this item, and the			around to make the process easier for your team,	
	other two, for the most part, are good with where			then, please, run that through the general manager.	
	we're at. If you do need I don't think there's		16	That will close out item G 4. Moving on	
	surprises that are coming down the pipeline. If you			to item G 5.	
	do need a couple of extra days, we're fine letting		18	G 5.	
	that go for a couple days to get this process done.		19	CHAIR DENT: Review, discuss, and possibly	
	It's hard for us to do things with all the tight			approve the structure of the Board's appointed	
	deadlines we have, so we have to be flexible from			committees. The Board is to identify a trustee to	
	time to time.			appoint as a leader for the golf committee, identify	
23	MS. FEORE: Okay. I will make sure I			one trustee to be appointed as a leader of capital	
	have I think I have some really good marching			improvements slash investment committee, and	
	orders. I'll just do some check-ins to make sure			authorize staff to advertise for at-large community	
20			20		
1	members for the two committees	143	1	adding additional ones. I'm not sure what this	144
1	members for the two committees.	143	1	adding additional ones. I'm not sure what this	144
2	Then there's also like a second part to	143	2	second part was intended to do, except to, maybe,	144
2 3	Then there's also like a second part to that. I'll just read it right now so we're on the	143	2 3	second part was intended to do, except to, maybe, expand it and have additional committees?	144
2	Then there's also like a second part to that. I'll just read it right now so we're on the same page. Review, discuss, and possibly determine	143	2 3 4	second part was intended to do, except to, maybe, expand it and have additional committees? CHAIR DENT: That could be correct. Let	144
2 3 4 5	Then there's also like a second part to that. I'll just read it right now so we're on the same page. Review, discuss, and possibly determine the need for advisory committees for tennis,	143	2 3 4 5	second part was intended to do, except to, maybe, expand it and have additional committees? CHAIR DENT: That could be correct. Let me just read the notes I got on this. This came to	144
2 3	Then there's also like a second part to that. I'll just read it right now so we're on the same page. Review, discuss, and possibly determine the need for advisory committees for tennis, pickleball, Incline Beach House, skate park, general	143	2 3 4 5 6	second part was intended to do, except to, maybe, expand it and have additional committees? CHAIR DENT: That could be correct. Let me just read the notes I got on this. This came to me when the packet got approved or when the	144
2 3 4 5 6 7	Then there's also like a second part to that. I'll just read it right now so we're on the same page. Review, discuss, and possibly determine the need for advisory committees for tennis, pickleball, Incline Beach House, skate park, general manager search, and leave in tact the general	143	2 3 4 5 6 7	second part was intended to do, except to, maybe, expand it and have additional committees? CHAIR DENT: That could be correct. Let me just read the notes I got on this. This came to me when the packet got approved or when the agenda got approved, and thought this was worked	144
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Then there's also like a second part to that. I'll just read it right now so we're on the same page. Review, discuss, and possibly determine the need for advisory committees for tennis, pickleball, Incline Beach House, skate park, general manager search, and leave in tact the general manager's dog park advisory and presently	143	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	second part was intended to do, except to, maybe, expand it and have additional committees? CHAIR DENT: That could be correct. Let me just read the notes I got on this. This came to me when the packet got approved or when the agenda got approved, and thought this was worked through by both of you guys.	144
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Then there's also like a second part to that. I'll just read it right now so we're on the same page. Review, discuss, and possibly determine the need for advisory committees for tennis, pickleball, Incline Beach House, skate park, general manager search, and leave in tact the general manager's dog park advisory and presently constituted in accordance with Policy 3.1.0,	143	2 4 5 6 7 8 9	second part was intended to do, except to, maybe, expand it and have additional committees? CHAIR DENT: That could be correct. Let me just read the notes I got on this. This came to me when the packet got approved or when the agenda got approved, and thought this was worked through by both of you guys. I just this is coming from Trustee	144
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Then there's also like a second part to that. I'll just read it right now so we're on the same page. Review, discuss, and possibly determine the need for advisory committees for tennis, pickleball, Incline Beach House, skate park, general manager search, and leave in tact the general manager's dog park advisory and presently constituted in accordance with Policy 3.1.0, paragraph 9 advisory committees. And that came from	143	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	second part was intended to do, except to, maybe, expand it and have additional committees? CHAIR DENT: That could be correct. Let me just read the notes I got on this. This came to me when the packet got approved or when the agenda got approved, and thought this was worked through by both of you guys. I just this is coming from Trustee Tonking, she says:	144
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Then there's also like a second part to that. I'll just read it right now so we're on the same page. Review, discuss, and possibly determine the need for advisory committees for tennis, pickleball, Incline Beach House, skate park, general manager search, and leave in tact the general manager's dog park advisory and presently constituted in accordance with Policy 3.1.0, paragraph 9 advisory committees. And that came from Trustee Tonking. This can be found both items	143	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	second part was intended to do, except to, maybe, expand it and have additional committees? CHAIR DENT: That could be correct. Let me just read the notes I got on this. This came to me when the packet got approved or when the agenda got approved, and thought this was worked through by both of you guys. I just this is coming from Trustee Tonking, she says: "I just wanted us to consider a	144
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Then there's also like a second part to that. I'll just read it right now so we're on the same page. Review, discuss, and possibly determine the need for advisory committees for tennis, pickleball, Incline Beach House, skate park, general manager search, and leave in tact the general manager's dog park advisory and presently constituted in accordance with Policy 3.1.0, paragraph 9 advisory committees. And that came from Trustee Tonking. This can be found both items can be found on pages 1004 through 1079 of your	143	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	second part was intended to do, except to, maybe, expand it and have additional committees? CHAIR DENT: That could be correct. Let me just read the notes I got on this. This came to me when the packet got approved or when the agenda got approved, and thought this was worked through by both of you guys. I just this is coming from Trustee Tonking, she says: "I just wanted us to consider a Beach House committee that is	144
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Then there's also like a second part to that. I'll just read it right now so we're on the same page. Review, discuss, and possibly determine the need for advisory committees for tennis, pickleball, Incline Beach House, skate park, general manager search, and leave in tact the general manager's dog park advisory and presently constituted in accordance with Policy 3.1.0, paragraph 9 advisory committees. And that came from Trustee Tonking. This can be found both items can be found on pages 1004 through 1079 of your board packet.	143	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	second part was intended to do, except to, maybe, expand it and have additional committees? CHAIR DENT: That could be correct. Let me just read the notes I got on this. This came to me when the packet got approved or when the agenda got approved, and thought this was worked through by both of you guys. I just this is coming from Trustee Tonking, she says: "I just wanted us to consider a Beach House committee that is done by the Board, and I would	144
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Then there's also like a second part to that. I'll just read it right now so we're on the same page. Review, discuss, and possibly determine the need for advisory committees for tennis, pickleball, Incline Beach House, skate park, general manager search, and leave in tact the general manager's dog park advisory and presently constituted in accordance with Policy 3.1.0, paragraph 9 advisory committees. And that came from Trustee Tonking. This can be found both items can be found on pages 1004 through 1079 of your board packet. I will Trustee Schmitz you have the	143	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	second part was intended to do, except to, maybe, expand it and have additional committees? CHAIR DENT: That could be correct. Let me just read the notes I got on this. This came to me when the packet got approved or when the agenda got approved, and thought this was worked through by both of you guys. I just this is coming from Trustee Tonking, she says: "I just wanted us to consider a Beach House committee that is done by the Board, and I would nominate Sara as the committee	144
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Then there's also like a second part to that. I'll just read it right now so we're on the same page. Review, discuss, and possibly determine the need for advisory committees for tennis, pickleball, Incline Beach House, skate park, general manager search, and leave in tact the general manager's dog park advisory and presently constituted in accordance with Policy 3.1.0, paragraph 9 advisory committees. And that came from Trustee Tonking. This can be found both items can be found on pages 1004 through 1079 of your board packet. I will Trustee Schmitz you have the first part of this.	143	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	second part was intended to do, except to, maybe, expand it and have additional committees? CHAIR DENT: That could be correct. Let me just read the notes I got on this. This came to me when the packet got approved or when the agenda got approved, and thought this was worked through by both of you guys. I just this is coming from Trustee Tonking, she says: "I just wanted us to consider a Beach House committee that is done by the Board, and I would nominate Sara as the committee trustee. I think it would be	144
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Then there's also like a second part to that. I'll just read it right now so we're on the same page. Review, discuss, and possibly determine the need for advisory committees for tennis, pickleball, Incline Beach House, skate park, general manager search, and leave in tact the general manager's dog park advisory and presently constituted in accordance with Policy 3.1.0, paragraph 9 advisory committees. And that came from Trustee Tonking. This can be found both items can be found on pages 1004 through 1079 of your board packet. I will Trustee Schmitz you have the first part of this. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: So, the second part of	143	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	second part was intended to do, except to, maybe, expand it and have additional committees? CHAIR DENT: That could be correct. Let me just read the notes I got on this. This came to me when the packet got approved or when the agenda got approved, and thought this was worked through by both of you guys. I just this is coming from Trustee Tonking, she says: "I just wanted us to consider a Beach House committee that is done by the Board, and I would nominate Sara as the committee trustee. I think it would be good to have community input on	144
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Then there's also like a second part to that. I'll just read it right now so we're on the same page. Review, discuss, and possibly determine the need for advisory committees for tennis, pickleball, Incline Beach House, skate park, general manager search, and leave in tact the general manager's dog park advisory and presently constituted in accordance with Policy 3.1.0, paragraph 9 advisory committees. And that came from Trustee Tonking. This can be found both items can be found on pages 1004 through 1079 of your board packet. I will Trustee Schmitz you have the first part of this. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: So, the second part of this, can I just get some clarity here? Because the	143	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	second part was intended to do, except to, maybe, expand it and have additional committees? CHAIR DENT: That could be correct. Let me just read the notes I got on this. This came to me when the packet got approved or when the agenda got approved, and thought this was worked through by both of you guys. I just this is coming from Trustee Tonking, she says: "I just wanted us to consider a Beach House committee that is done by the Board, and I would nominate Sara as the committee trustee. I think it would be good to have community input on an asset they would have for a	144
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Then there's also like a second part to that. I'll just read it right now so we're on the same page. Review, discuss, and possibly determine the need for advisory committees for tennis, pickleball, Incline Beach House, skate park, general manager search, and leave in tact the general manager's dog park advisory and presently constituted in accordance with Policy 3.1.0, paragraph 9 advisory committees. And that came from Trustee Tonking. This can be found both items can be found on pages 1004 through 1079 of your board packet. I will Trustee Schmitz you have the first part of this. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: So, the second part of this, can I just get some clarity here? Because the second part doesn't necessarily align with the first	143	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	second part was intended to do, except to, maybe, expand it and have additional committees? CHAIR DENT: That could be correct. Let me just read the notes I got on this. This came to me when the packet got approved or when the agenda got approved, and thought this was worked through by both of you guys. I just this is coming from Trustee Tonking, she says: "I just wanted us to consider a Beach House committee that is done by the Board, and I would nominate Sara as the committee trustee. I think it would be good to have community input on an asset they would have for a long time. Also, Sara's very	144
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Then there's also like a second part to that. I'll just read it right now so we're on the same page. Review, discuss, and possibly determine the need for advisory committees for tennis, pickleball, Incline Beach House, skate park, general manager search, and leave in tact the general manager's dog park advisory and presently constituted in accordance with Policy 3.1.0, paragraph 9 advisory committees. And that came from Trustee Tonking. This can be found both items can be found on pages 1004 through 1079 of your board packet. I will Trustee Schmitz you have the first part of this. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: So, the second part of this, can I just get some clarity here? Because the second part doesn't necessarily align with the first part, unless we're going to address it.	143	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	second part was intended to do, except to, maybe, expand it and have additional committees? CHAIR DENT: That could be correct. Let me just read the notes I got on this. This came to me when the packet got approved or when the agenda got approved, and thought this was worked through by both of you guys. I just this is coming from Trustee Tonking, she says: "I just wanted us to consider a Beach House committee that is done by the Board, and I would nominate Sara as the committee trustee. I think it would be good to have community input on an asset they would have for a long time. Also, Sara's very familiar with multiple capital	144
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Then there's also like a second part to that. I'll just read it right now so we're on the same page. Review, discuss, and possibly determine the need for advisory committees for tennis, pickleball, Incline Beach House, skate park, general manager search, and leave in tact the general manager's dog park advisory and presently constituted in accordance with Policy 3.1.0, paragraph 9 advisory committees. And that came from Trustee Tonking. This can be found both items can be found on pages 1004 through 1079 of your board packet. I will Trustee Schmitz you have the first part of this. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: So, the second part of this, can I just get some clarity here? Because the second part doesn't necessarily align with the first part, unless we're going to address it. So, I was focused solely on just coming up	143	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	second part was intended to do, except to, maybe, expand it and have additional committees? CHAIR DENT: That could be correct. Let me just read the notes I got on this. This came to me when the packet got approved or when the agenda got approved, and thought this was worked through by both of you guys. I just this is coming from Trustee Tonking, she says: "I just wanted us to consider a Beach House committee that is done by the Board, and I would nominate Sara as the committee trustee. I think it would be good to have community input on an asset they would have for a long time. Also, Sara's very familiar with multiple capital projects that are at play at the	144
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Then there's also like a second part to that. I'll just read it right now so we're on the same page. Review, discuss, and possibly determine the need for advisory committees for tennis, pickleball, Incline Beach House, skate park, general manager search, and leave in tact the general manager's dog park advisory and presently constituted in accordance with Policy 3.1.0, paragraph 9 advisory committees. And that came from Trustee Tonking. This can be found both items can be found on pages 1004 through 1079 of your board packet. I will Trustee Schmitz you have the first part of this. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: So, the second part of this, can I just get some clarity here? Because the second part doesn't necessarily align with the first part, unless we're going to address it. So, I was focused solely on just coming up with an idea for a structure of the Board	143	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	second part was intended to do, except to, maybe, expand it and have additional committees? CHAIR DENT: That could be correct. Let me just read the notes I got on this. This came to me when the packet got approved or when the agenda got approved, and thought this was worked through by both of you guys. I just this is coming from Trustee Tonking, she says: "I just wanted us to consider a Beach House committee that is done by the Board, and I would nominate Sara as the committee trustee. I think it would be good to have community input on an asset they would have for a long time. Also, Sara's very familiar with multiple capital projects that are at play at the beaches.	144
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Then there's also like a second part to that. I'll just read it right now so we're on the same page. Review, discuss, and possibly determine the need for advisory committees for tennis, pickleball, Incline Beach House, skate park, general manager search, and leave in tact the general manager's dog park advisory and presently constituted in accordance with Policy 3.1.0, paragraph 9 advisory committees. And that came from Trustee Tonking. This can be found both items can be found on pages 1004 through 1079 of your board packet. I will Trustee Schmitz you have the first part of this. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: So, the second part of this, can I just get some clarity here? Because the second part doesn't necessarily align with the first part, unless we're going to address it. So, I was focused solely on just coming up with an idea for a structure of the Board committees. And then the two that I had been tasked	143	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	second part was intended to do, except to, maybe, expand it and have additional committees? CHAIR DENT: That could be correct. Let me just read the notes I got on this. This came to me when the packet got approved or when the agenda got approved, and thought this was worked through by both of you guys. I just this is coming from Trustee Tonking, she says: "I just wanted us to consider a Beach House committee that is done by the Board, and I would nominate Sara as the committee trustee. I think it would be good to have community input on an asset they would have for a long time. Also, Sara's very familiar with multiple capital projects that are at play at the beaches. "I think we have to have a GM	144
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Then there's also like a second part to that. I'll just read it right now so we're on the same page. Review, discuss, and possibly determine the need for advisory committees for tennis, pickleball, Incline Beach House, skate park, general manager search, and leave in tact the general manager's dog park advisory and presently constituted in accordance with Policy 3.1.0, paragraph 9 advisory committees. And that came from Trustee Tonking. This can be found both items can be found on pages 1004 through 1079 of your board packet. I will Trustee Schmitz you have the first part of this. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: So, the second part of this, can I just get some clarity here? Because the second part doesn't necessarily align with the first part, unless we're going to address it. So, I was focused solely on just coming up with an idea for a structure of the Board committees. And then the two that I had been tasked with to just come up with something to begin the	143	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	second part was intended to do, except to, maybe, expand it and have additional committees? CHAIR DENT: That could be correct. Let me just read the notes I got on this. This came to me when the packet got approved or when the agenda got approved, and thought this was worked through by both of you guys. I just this is coming from Trustee Tonking, she says: "I just wanted us to consider a Beach House committee that is done by the Board, and I would nominate Sara as the committee trustee. I think it would be good to have community input on an asset they would have for a long time. Also, Sara's very familiar with multiple capital projects that are at play at the beaches. "I think we have to have a GM search committee because I think	144
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Then there's also like a second part to that. I'll just read it right now so we're on the same page. Review, discuss, and possibly determine the need for advisory committees for tennis, pickleball, Incline Beach House, skate park, general manager search, and leave in tact the general manager's dog park advisory and presently constituted in accordance with Policy 3.1.0, paragraph 9 advisory committees. And that came from Trustee Tonking. This can be found both items can be found on pages 1004 through 1079 of your board packet. I will Trustee Schmitz you have the first part of this. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: So, the second part of this, can I just get some clarity here? Because the second part doesn't necessarily align with the first part, unless we're going to address it. So, I was focused solely on just coming up with an idea for a structure of the Board committees. And then the two that I had been tasked with to just come up with something to begin the process for golf and capital investment committee,	143	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	second part was intended to do, except to, maybe, expand it and have additional committees? CHAIR DENT: That could be correct. Let me just read the notes I got on this. This came to me when the packet got approved or when the agenda got approved, and thought this was worked through by both of you guys. I just this is coming from Trustee Tonking, she says: "I just wanted us to consider a Beach House committee that is done by the Board, and I would nominate Sara as the committee trustee. I think it would be good to have community input on an asset they would have for a long time. Also, Sara's very familiar with multiple capital projects that are at play at the beaches. "I think we have to have a GM search committee because I think that is the only way we will	144
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Then there's also like a second part to that. I'll just read it right now so we're on the same page. Review, discuss, and possibly determine the need for advisory committees for tennis, pickleball, Incline Beach House, skate park, general manager search, and leave in tact the general manager's dog park advisory and presently constituted in accordance with Policy 3.1.0, paragraph 9 advisory committees. And that came from Trustee Tonking. This can be found both items can be found on pages 1004 through 1079 of your board packet. I will Trustee Schmitz you have the first part of this. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: So, the second part of this, can I just get some clarity here? Because the second part doesn't necessarily align with the first part, unless we're going to address it. So, I was focused solely on just coming up with an idea for a structure of the Board committees. And then the two that I had been tasked with to just come up with something to begin the	143	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	second part was intended to do, except to, maybe, expand it and have additional committees? CHAIR DENT: That could be correct. Let me just read the notes I got on this. This came to me when the packet got approved or when the agenda got approved, and thought this was worked through by both of you guys. I just this is coming from Trustee Tonking, she says: "I just wanted us to consider a Beach House committee that is done by the Board, and I would nominate Sara as the committee trustee. I think it would be good to have community input on an asset they would have for a long time. Also, Sara's very familiar with multiple capital projects that are at play at the beaches. "I think we have to have a GM search committee because I think	144

		445		4.40
1	if community can feel heard."	145	1 solicit applicants and assign people to the various	146
2	Then the last part is:		2 committees based on the applicants that apply.	
3	"I would love to sit on to golf		3 I left it open to say the committee	
4	committee since I'm the golf		4 appointments could be I put a number of up to	
5	liaison."		5 two years, but basically I said it's up to the	
6	So, that's Trustee Tonking's comments for		6 Board's determination. Because some of these	
7	this item.		7 committees may be short term, it might be an ongoing	
8	TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: So let's I appreciate		8 thing. I was just leaving up to the Board to decide	
9	that. So what I tried to do there were a lot of		9 how long is this engagement, so to speak.	
10	questions about what's the authority of this group,		10 That all of the meetings would be subject	
	how does this work? And I tried to be as brief and		11 to Open Meeting Law. I think that would be great	
12	as succinct as possible. I didn't want to create		12 because then our community would be more engaged and	
	some huge policy, but I don't know whether this		13 informed.	
	would end up having to be a policy.		14 And, ideally, the at-large members would	
15			15 have relevant professional experience that they	
16	community members, leverage their skills, and to be		16 would leverage. I mean, we're seeing great success	
	in a role that would help us and advise us. So,		17 with the audit committee. The audit committee	
	their responsibilities and authorities are solely an		18 members are competent and are engaged and they're	
	advisory nature.		19 helping us do a better job. And I think that that's	
20	And so I just identified, you know, I		20 really the intention with these types of committees	
21	threw it out there and said that committees shall be		21 as well.	
22	up to four, at-large community members, appointed by		22 But, again, they're advisory nature, and I	
	the Board of Trustees. That a trustee would be		23 said the committees shall review all relevant	
24	assigned to each committee, and they would be the		24 information and make recommendations to the Board in	
	chair, then, of that committee. And that we can		25 alignment with the strategic plan, any applicable	
		1/7		1/0
1	community master plan, and Board-identified	147	1 just the structure of the committees. Comments,	148
1 2	community master plan, and Board-identified priorities. So that would be like our five-year	147	<ol> <li>just the structure of the committees. Comments,</li> <li>feedback?</li> </ol>	148
		147		148
2	priorities. So that would be like our five-year	147	2 feedback?	148
2 3	priorities. So that would be like our five-year plan that we've mapped out.	147	2 feedback?     3 TRUSTEE NOBLE: I was wondering if you	148
2 3 4	priorities. So that would be like our five-year plan that we've mapped out. They should also help to identify the	147	<ol> <li>feedback?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I was wondering if you</li> <li>could provide context with what goes on from</li> </ol>	148
2 3 4 5	priorities. So that would be like our five-year plan that we've mapped out. They should also help to identify the financial impacts of the recommendations that	147	<ol> <li>feedback?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I was wondering if you</li> <li>could provide context with what goes on from</li> <li>page 1007 to, I think, 1040? I was looking at</li> </ol>	148
2 3 4 5 6	priorities. So that would be like our five-year plan that we've mapped out. They should also help to identify the financial impacts of the recommendations that they're making, identify how the recommendations	147	<ul> <li>2 feedback?</li> <li>3 TRUSTEE NOBLE: I was wondering if you</li> <li>4 could provide context with what goes on from</li> <li>5 page 1007 to, I think, 1040? I was looking at</li> <li>6 page 1007, the multi-year capital planning flow</li> </ul>	148
2 3 4 5 6 7	priorities. So that would be like our five-year plan that we've mapped out. They should also help to identify the financial impacts of the recommendations that they're making, identify how the recommendations align with the budget, and if applicable, how they	147	<ul> <li>feedback?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I was wondering if you</li> <li>could provide context with what goes on from</li> <li>page 1007 to, I think, 1040? I was looking at</li> <li>page 1007, the multi-year capital planning flow</li> <li>chart, and it looks like it's the same as the one on</li> </ul>	148
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	priorities. So that would be like our five-year plan that we've mapped out. They should also help to identify the financial impacts of the recommendations that they're making, identify how the recommendations align with the budget, and if applicable, how they align with the five-year plan. But the Board is here to set the timelines, to set the committee	147	<ul> <li>feedback?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I was wondering if you</li> <li>could provide context with what goes on from</li> <li>page 1007 to, I think, 1040? I was looking at</li> <li>page 1007, the multi-year capital planning flow</li> <li>chart, and it looks like it's the same as the one on</li> <li>1018. And then the comments that are in red in the</li> </ul>	148
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	priorities. So that would be like our five-year plan that we've mapped out. They should also help to identify the financial impacts of the recommendations that they're making, identify how the recommendations align with the budget, and if applicable, how they align with the five-year plan. But the Board is here to set the timelines, to set the committee	147	<ul> <li>feedback?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I was wondering if you</li> <li>could provide context with what goes on from</li> <li>page 1007 to, I think, 1040? I was looking at</li> <li>page 1007, the multi-year capital planning flow</li> <li>chart, and it looks like it's the same as the one on</li> <li>1018. And then the comments that are in red in the</li> <li>capital project delivery, on pages 1013 through</li> </ul>	148
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	priorities. So that would be like our five-year plan that we've mapped out. They should also help to identify the financial impacts of the recommendations that they're making, identify how the recommendations align with the budget, and if applicable, how they align with the five-year plan. But the Board is here to set the timelines, to set the committee goals, and the committee expectations.	147	<ul> <li>feedback?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I was wondering if you</li> <li>could provide context with what goes on from</li> <li>page 1007 to, I think, 1040? I was looking at</li> <li>page 1007, the multi-year capital planning flow</li> <li>chart, and it looks like it's the same as the one on</li> <li>1018. And then the comments that are in red in the</li> <li>capital project delivery, on pages 1013 through</li> <li>1016, I didn't know if those were your red lines or</li> </ul>	148
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	priorities. So that would be like our five-year plan that we've mapped out. They should also help to identify the financial impacts of the recommendations that they're making, identify how the recommendations align with the budget, and if applicable, how they align with the five-year plan. But the Board is here to set the timelines, to set the committee goals, and the committee expectations. And that I wrote that the assigned	147	<ul> <li>feedback?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I was wondering if you</li> <li>could provide context with what goes on from</li> <li>page 1007 to, I think, 1040? I was looking at</li> <li>page 1007, the multi-year capital planning flow</li> <li>chart, and it looks like it's the same as the one on</li> <li>1018. And then the comments that are in red in the</li> <li>capital project delivery, on pages 1013 through</li> <li>1016, I didn't know if those were your red lines or</li> <li>somebody I just was having a hard time following.</li> </ul>	148
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	priorities. So that would be like our five-year plan that we've mapped out. They should also help to identify the financial impacts of the recommendations that they're making, identify how the recommendations align with the budget, and if applicable, how they align with the five-year plan. But the Board is here to set the timelines, to set the committee goals, and the committee expectations. And that I wrote that the assigned trustee to that committee shall report monthly to	147	<ul> <li>feedback?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I was wondering if you</li> <li>could provide context with what goes on from</li> <li>page 1007 to, I think, 1040? I was looking at</li> <li>page 1007, the multi-year capital planning flow</li> <li>chart, and it looks like it's the same as the one on</li> <li>1018. And then the comments that are in red in the</li> <li>capital project delivery, on pages 1013 through</li> <li>1016, I didn't know if those were your red lines or</li> <li>somebody I just was having a hard time following.</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: So, I produced this. I</li> </ul>	148
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	priorities. So that would be like our five-year plan that we've mapped out. They should also help to identify the financial impacts of the recommendations that they're making, identify how the recommendations align with the budget, and if applicable, how they align with the five-year plan. But the Board is here to set the timelines, to set the committee goals, and the committee expectations. And that I wrote that the assigned trustee to that committee shall report monthly to the Board to give us an update.	147	<ul> <li>feedback?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I was wondering if you</li> <li>could provide context with what goes on from</li> <li>page 1007 to, I think, 1040? I was looking at</li> <li>page 1007, the multi-year capital planning flow</li> <li>chart, and it looks like it's the same as the one on</li> <li>1018. And then the comments that are in red in the</li> <li>capital project delivery, on pages 1013 through</li> <li>1016, I didn't know if those were your red lines or</li> <li>somebody I just was having a hard time following.</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: So, I produced this. I</li> <li>think that Ms. Herron was being helpful and provided</li> </ul>	148
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	priorities. So that would be like our five-year plan that we've mapped out. They should also help to identify the financial impacts of the recommendations that they're making, identify how the recommendations align with the budget, and if applicable, how they align with the five-year plan. But the Board is here to set the timelines, to set the committee goals, and the committee expectations. And that I wrote that the assigned trustee to that committee shall report monthly to the Board to give us an update. Some of this is really being driven out of	147	<ul> <li>feedback?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I was wondering if you</li> <li>could provide context with what goes on from</li> <li>page 1007 to, I think, 1040? I was looking at</li> <li>page 1007, the multi-year capital planning flow</li> <li>chart, and it looks like it's the same as the one on</li> <li>1018. And then the comments that are in red in the</li> <li>capital project delivery, on pages 1013 through</li> <li>1016, I didn't know if those were your red lines or</li> <li>somebody I just was having a hard time following.</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: So, I produced this. I</li> <li>think that Ms. Herron was being helpful and provided</li> <li>- this is all of the new policies that staff</li> </ul>	148
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	priorities. So that would be like our five-year plan that we've mapped out. They should also help to identify the financial impacts of the recommendations that they're making, identify how the recommendations align with the budget, and if applicable, how they align with the five-year plan. But the Board is here to set the timelines, to set the committee goals, and the committee expectations. And that I wrote that the assigned trustee to that committee shall report monthly to the Board to give us an update. Some of this is really being driven out of the fact that the general manager committees have	147	<ul> <li>feedback?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I was wondering if you</li> <li>could provide context with what goes on from</li> <li>page 1007 to, I think, 1040? I was looking at</li> <li>page 1007, the multi-year capital planning flow</li> <li>chart, and it looks like it's the same as the one on</li> <li>1018. And then the comments that are in red in the</li> <li>capital project delivery, on pages 1013 through</li> <li>1016, I didn't know if those were your red lines or</li> <li>somebody I just was having a hard time following.</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: So, I produced this. I</li> <li>think that Ms. Herron was being helpful and provided</li> <li> this is all of the new policies that staff</li> <li>created. I'm trying to think help me out, Chair</li> </ul>	148
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	priorities. So that would be like our five-year plan that we've mapped out. They should also help to identify the financial impacts of the recommendations that they're making, identify how the recommendations align with the budget, and if applicable, how they align with the five-year plan. But the Board is here to set the timelines, to set the committee goals, and the committee expectations. And that I wrote that the assigned trustee to that committee shall report monthly to the Board to give us an update. Some of this is really being driven out of the fact that the general manager committees have been good, they just haven't produced results fast	147	<ul> <li>feedback?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I was wondering if you</li> <li>could provide context with what goes on from</li> <li>page 1007 to, I think, 1040? I was looking at</li> <li>page 1007, the multi-year capital planning flow</li> <li>chart, and it looks like it's the same as the one on</li> <li>1018. And then the comments that are in red in the</li> <li>capital project delivery, on pages 1013 through</li> <li>1016, I didn't know if those were your red lines or</li> <li>somebody I just was having a hard time following.</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: So, I produced this. I</li> <li>think that Ms. Herron was being helpful and provided</li> <li> this is all of the new policies that staff</li> <li>created. I'm trying to think help me out, Chair</li> <li>Dent, about a year ago or less that they updated</li> </ul>	148
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	priorities. So that would be like our five-year plan that we've mapped out. They should also help to identify the financial impacts of the recommendations that they're making, identify how the recommendations align with the budget, and if applicable, how they align with the five-year plan. But the Board is here to set the timelines, to set the committee goals, and the committee expectations. And that I wrote that the assigned trustee to that committee shall report monthly to the Board to give us an update. Some of this is really being driven out of the fact that the general manager committees have been good, they just haven't produced results fast enough for us, as a Board. It's been slowing our	147	<ul> <li>feedback?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I was wondering if you</li> <li>could provide context with what goes on from</li> <li>page 1007 to, I think, 1040? I was looking at</li> <li>page 1007, the multi-year capital planning flow</li> <li>chart, and it looks like it's the same as the one on</li> <li>1018. And then the comments that are in red in the</li> <li>capital project delivery, on pages 1013 through</li> <li>1016, I didn't know if those were your red lines or</li> <li>somebody I just was having a hard time following.</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: So, I produced this. I</li> <li>think that Ms. Herron was being helpful and provided</li> <li> this is all of the new policies that staff</li> <li>created. I'm trying to think help me out, Chair</li> <li>Dent, about a year ago or less that they updated</li> <li>these policies. Moss Adams had given them</li> <li>recommendations. I believe that these are policies</li> <li>that the Board really hasn't seen, maybe, more than</li> </ul>	148
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	priorities. So that would be like our five-year plan that we've mapped out. They should also help to identify the financial impacts of the recommendations that they're making, identify how the recommendations align with the budget, and if applicable, how they align with the budget, and if applicable, how they align with the five-year plan. But the Board is here to set the timelines, to set the committee goals, and the committee expectations. And that I wrote that the assigned trustee to that committee shall report monthly to the Board to give us an update. Some of this is really being driven out of the fact that the general manager committees have been good, they just haven't produced results fast enough for us, as a Board. It's been slowing our process down. The Ordinance 7 committee was a	147	<ul> <li>feedback?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I was wondering if you</li> <li>could provide context with what goes on from</li> <li>page 1007 to, I think, 1040? I was looking at</li> <li>page 1007, the multi-year capital planning flow</li> <li>chart, and it looks like it's the same as the one on</li> <li>1018. And then the comments that are in red in the</li> <li>capital project delivery, on pages 1013 through</li> <li>1016, I didn't know if those were your red lines or</li> <li>somebody I just was having a hard time following.</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: So, I produced this. I</li> <li>think that Ms. Herron was being helpful and provided</li> <li> this is all of the new policies that staff</li> <li>created. I'm trying to think help me out, Chair</li> <li>Dent, about a year ago or less that they updated</li> <li>these policies. Moss Adams had given them</li> <li>recommendations. I believe that these are policies</li> </ul>	148
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	priorities. So that would be like our five-year plan that we've mapped out. They should also help to identify the financial impacts of the recommendations that they're making, identify how the recommendations align with the budget, and if applicable, how they align with the five-year plan. But the Board is here to set the timelines, to set the committee goals, and the committee expectations. And that I wrote that the assigned trustee to that committee shall report monthly to the Board to give us an update. Some of this is really being driven out of the fact that the general manager committees have been good, they just haven't produced results fast enough for us, as a Board. It's been slowing our process down. The Ordinance 7 committee is going on	147	<ul> <li>feedback?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I was wondering if you</li> <li>could provide context with what goes on from</li> <li>page 1007 to, I think, 1040? I was looking at</li> <li>page 1007, the multi-year capital planning flow</li> <li>chart, and it looks like it's the same as the one on</li> <li>1018. And then the comments that are in red in the</li> <li>capital project delivery, on pages 1013 through</li> <li>1016, I didn't know if those were your red lines or</li> <li>somebody I just was having a hard time following.</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: So, I produced this. I</li> <li>think that Ms. Herron was being helpful and provided</li> <li> this is all of the new policies that staff</li> <li>created. I'm trying to think help me out, Chair</li> <li>Dent, about a year ago or less that they updated</li> <li>these policies. Moss Adams had given them</li> <li>recommendations. I believe that these are policies</li> <li>that the Board really hasn't seen, maybe, more than</li> </ul>	148
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	priorities. So that would be like our five-year plan that we've mapped out. They should also help to identify the financial impacts of the recommendations that they're making, identify how the recommendations align with the budget, and if applicable, how they align with the budget, and if applicable, how they align with the five-year plan. But the Board is here to set the timelines, to set the committee goals, and the committee expectations. And that I wrote that the assigned trustee to that committee shall report monthly to the Board to give us an update. Some of this is really being driven out of the fact that the general manager committees have been good, they just haven't produced results fast enough for us, as a Board. It's been slowing our process down. The Ordinance 7 committee was a couple of years. The dog park committee is going on a year. And I really think that if we do it using	147	<ul> <li>feedback?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I was wondering if you</li> <li>could provide context with what goes on from</li> <li>page 1007 to, I think, 1040? I was looking at</li> <li>page 1007, the multi-year capital planning flow</li> <li>chart, and it looks like it's the same as the one on</li> <li>1018. And then the comments that are in red in the</li> <li>capital project delivery, on pages 1013 through</li> <li>1016, I didn't know if those were your red lines or</li> <li>somebody I just was having a hard time following.</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: So, I produced this. I</li> <li>think that Ms. Herron was being helpful and provided</li> <li> this is all of the new policies that staff</li> <li>created. I'm trying to think help me out, Chair</li> <li>Dent, about a year ago or less that they updated</li> <li>these policies. Moss Adams had given them</li> <li>recommendations. I believe that these are policies</li> <li>that the Board really hasn't seen, maybe, more than</li> <li>once. And I don't know where the red lines came</li> </ul>	148
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	priorities. So that would be like our five-year plan that we've mapped out. They should also help to identify the financial impacts of the recommendations that they're making, identify how the recommendations align with the budget, and if applicable, how they align with the budget, and if applicable, how they align with the five-year plan. But the Board is here to set the timelines, to set the committee goals, and the committee expectations. And that I wrote that the assigned trustee to that committee shall report monthly to the Board to give us an update. Some of this is really being driven out of the fact that the general manager committees have been good, they just haven't produced results fast enough for us, as a Board. It's been slowing our process down. The Ordinance 7 committee was a couple of years. The dog park committee is going on a year. And I really think that if we do it using Open Meeting Law and having agendas and whatnot,	147	<ul> <li>feedback?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I was wondering if you</li> <li>could provide context with what goes on from</li> <li>page 1007 to, I think, 1040? I was looking at</li> <li>page 1007, the multi-year capital planning flow</li> <li>chart, and it looks like it's the same as the one on</li> <li>1018. And then the comments that are in red in the</li> <li>capital project delivery, on pages 1013 through</li> <li>1016, I didn't know if those were your red lines or</li> <li>somebody I just was having a hard time following.</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: So, I produced this. I</li> <li>think that Ms. Herron was being helpful and provided</li> <li> this is all of the new policies that staff</li> <li>created. I'm trying to think help me out, Chair</li> <li>Dent, about a year ago or less that they updated</li> <li>these policies. Moss Adams had given them</li> <li>recommendations. I believe that these are policies</li> <li>that the Board really hasn't seen, maybe, more than</li> <li>once. And I don't know where the red lines came</li> <li>from.</li> </ul>	148
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	priorities. So that would be like our five-year plan that we've mapped out. They should also help to identify the financial impacts of the recommendations that they're making, identify how the recommendations align with the budget, and if applicable, how they align with the budget, and if applicable, how they align with the five-year plan. But the Board is here to set the timelines, to set the committee goals, and the committee expectations. And that I wrote that the assigned trustee to that committee shall report monthly to the Board to give us an update. Some of this is really being driven out of the fact that the general manager committees have been good, they just haven't produced results fast enough for us, as a Board. It's been slowing our process down. The Ordinance 7 committee was a couple of years. The dog park committee is going on a year. And I really think that if we do it using Open Meeting Law and having agendas and whatnot,	147	<ul> <li>feedback?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I was wondering if you</li> <li>could provide context with what goes on from</li> <li>page 1007 to, I think, 1040? I was looking at</li> <li>page 1007, the multi-year capital planning flow</li> <li>chart, and it looks like it's the same as the one on</li> <li>1018. And then the comments that are in red in the</li> <li>capital project delivery, on pages 1013 through</li> <li>1016, I didn't know if those were your red lines or</li> <li>somebody I just was having a hard time following.</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: So, I produced this. I</li> <li>think that Ms. Herron was being helpful and provided</li> <li>- this is all of the new policies that staff</li> <li>created. I'm trying to think help me out, Chair</li> <li>Dent, about a year ago or less that they updated</li> <li>these policies. Moss Adams had given them</li> <li>recommendations. I believe that these are policies</li> <li>that the Board really hasn't seen, maybe, more than</li> <li>once. And I don't know where the red lines came</li> <li>from.</li> </ul>	148
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	priorities. So that would be like our five-year plan that we've mapped out. They should also help to identify the financial impacts of the recommendations that they're making, identify how the recommendations align with the budget, and if applicable, how they align with the budget, and if applicable, how they align with the five-year plan. But the Board is here to set the timelines, to set the committee goals, and the committee expectations. And that I wrote that the assigned trustee to that committee shall report monthly to the Board to give us an update. Some of this is really being driven out of the fact that the general manager committees have been good, they just haven't produced results fast enough for us, as a Board. It's been slowing our process down. The Ordinance 7 committee was a couple of years. The dog park committee is going on a year. And I really think that if we do it using Open Meeting Law and having agendas and whatnot, perhaps we'll get through things faster so that we can deliver projects that our community wants.	147	<ul> <li>feedback?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I was wondering if you</li> <li>could provide context with what goes on from</li> <li>page 1007 to, I think, 1040? I was looking at</li> <li>page 1007, the multi-year capital planning flow</li> <li>chart, and it looks like it's the same as the one on</li> <li>1018. And then the comments that are in red in the</li> <li>capital project delivery, on pages 1013 through</li> <li>1016, I didn't know if those were your red lines or</li> <li>somebody I just was having a hard time following.</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: So, I produced this. I</li> <li>think that Ms. Herron was being helpful and provided</li> <li> this is all of the new policies that staff</li> <li>created. I'm trying to think help me out, Chair</li> <li>Dent, about a year ago or less that they updated</li> <li>these policies. Moss Adams had given them</li> <li>recommendations. I believe that these are policies</li> <li>that the Board really hasn't seen, maybe, more than</li> <li>once. And I don't know where the red lines came</li> <li>from.</li> <li>I think that the intention was to say</li> <li>these committees would be following these policies</li> </ul>	148

149	
1 responsibility for a committee member.	1 applicants. We will review them. We will assign
2 And I just personally, I don't think	2 people.
3 that unless we want to start going through and	3 And just as a board, identify what's the
4 putting this into formal policy, this was more to	4 goal, what do we want each of these committees to
5 say we have a committee, here is the structure,	5 actually produce and give to us for information?
6 we're going to give them some goals and objectives	6 So, that's where I'm at.
7 to work toward, they need to take into consideration	7 TRUSTEE NOBLE: Clarifying, but so on
8 all of the policies.	8 page 1006, under the golf committee and the goal, I
9 And if these are the policies they need to	9 believe Mick Homan emailed some language to us on
10 take into consideration, here they are.	10 Tuesday, yesterday, and he had under a revised
11 CHAIR DENT: I'll just say Trustee Tonking	11 suggestion on the goal: Assisting the staff and
12 may have requested this stuff. Her memo may not	12 board on optimizing all aspects of the golf
13 have ended up in front of it. That could be part of	13 operations, including revenue growth opportunities,
14 what it is too. I just don't know.	14 cost efficiency optimization, and expanding
15 TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I do think that	15 community participation.
16 Ms. Herron did ask me if she could add the policies	16 I think that might be a better way of
17 and things, and I said, oh absolutely.	17 saying what you're trying to achieve here, and I
18 But when I was looking at this, I wasn't	18 would suggest making that change.
19 delving into this level of detail. It was really to	19 With regards all the various committees, I
20 say, as a board, are we comfortable, is this what we	20 think if we tried to do all these at once, we might
21 want people to do, and then let's identify if we	21 be overwhelmed. And so I would suggest starting
22 want to have three committees, if we want to have a	22 with, maybe, one, but have a list of other ones that
23 pickleball, tennis committee, a golf committee, an	23 we would want to consider. Go through that process
24 investment committee. Let's just identify those are	24 and see we're going to learn some from that
25 the three. Say, yes, we're going to ask for	25 process, not meaning the whole process, but the
25 the three. Bdy, yes, we're going to dat for	25 process, normeaning the whole process, but the
15	1
15 1 selection process in getting it started. And then	1 1 Trustee Noble. I think the capital investment
1 selection process in getting it started. And then	1 Trustee Noble. I think the capital investment
<ol> <li>selection process in getting it started. And then</li> <li>what's the next one and then the one after that.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Trustee Noble. I think the capital investment</li> <li>committee is the number one priority.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>selection process in getting it started. And then</li> <li>what's the next one and then the one after that.</li> <li>Because one I'd like to add on is</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Trustee Noble. I think the capital investment</li> <li>committee is the number one priority.</li> <li>I think, to me, I would see this committee</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>selection process in getting it started. And then</li> <li>what's the next one and then the one after that.</li> <li>Because one I'd like to add on is</li> <li>Snowflake Lodge. Talking with Mr. Bandelin, that is</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Trustee Noble. I think the capital investment</li> <li>committee is the number one priority.</li> <li>I think, to me, I would see this committee</li> <li>as being saving a lot of time wasted that we would</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>selection process in getting it started. And then</li> <li>what's the next one and then the one after that.</li> <li>Because one I'd like to add on is</li> <li>Snowflake Lodge. Talking with Mr. Bandelin, that is</li> <li> with the funds that have been earmarked for to</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Trustee Noble. I think the capital investment</li> <li>committee is the number one priority.</li> <li>I think, to me, I would see this committee</li> <li>as being saving a lot of time wasted that we would</li> <li>have had if we have staff coming forward with</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>selection process in getting it started. And then</li> <li>what's the next one and then the one after that.</li> <li>Because one I'd like to add on is</li> <li>Snowflake Lodge. Talking with Mr. Bandelin, that is</li> <li> with the funds that have been earmarked for to</li> <li>start that process, this would probably be a good</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Trustee Noble. I think the capital investment</li> <li>committee is the number one priority.</li> <li>I think, to me, I would see this committee</li> <li>as being saving a lot of time wasted that we would</li> <li>have had if we have staff coming forward with</li> <li>incomplete proposals. We've seen it both at the</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>selection process in getting it started. And then</li> <li>what's the next one and then the one after that.</li> <li>Because one I'd like to add on is</li> <li>Snowflake Lodge. Talking with Mr. Bandelin, that is</li> <li> with the funds that have been earmarked for to</li> <li>start that process, this would probably be a good</li> <li>time to get going. Especially now where we're right</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Trustee Noble. I think the capital investment</li> <li>committee is the number one priority.</li> <li>I think, to me, I would see this committee</li> <li>as being saving a lot of time wasted that we would</li> <li>have had if we have staff coming forward with</li> <li>incomplete proposals. We've seen it both at the</li> <li>Beach House and the skate park, where, basically,</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>selection process in getting it started. And then</li> <li>what's the next one and then the one after that.</li> <li>Because one I'd like to add on is</li> <li>Snowflake Lodge. Talking with Mr. Bandelin, that is</li> <li> with the funds that have been earmarked for to</li> <li>start that process, this would probably be a good</li> <li>time to get going. Especially now where we're right</li> <li>out of the gate.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Trustee Noble. I think the capital investment</li> <li>committee is the number one priority.</li> <li>I think, to me, I would see this committee</li> <li>as being saving a lot of time wasted that we would</li> <li>have had if we have staff coming forward with</li> <li>incomplete proposals. We've seen it both at the</li> <li>Beach House and the skate park, where, basically,</li> <li>when the Board thought that proposals were being</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>selection process in getting it started. And then</li> <li>what's the next one and then the one after that.</li> <li>Because one I'd like to add on is</li> <li>Snowflake Lodge. Talking with Mr. Bandelin, that is</li> <li> with the funds that have been earmarked for to</li> <li>start that process, this would probably be a good</li> <li>time to get going. Especially now where we're right</li> <li>out of the gate.</li> <li>I'm not sure if some of these other ones</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Trustee Noble. I think the capital investment</li> <li>committee is the number one priority.</li> <li>I think, to me, I would see this committee</li> <li>as being saving a lot of time wasted that we would</li> <li>have had if we have staff coming forward with</li> <li>incomplete proposals. We've seen it both at the</li> <li>Beach House and the skate park, where, basically,</li> <li>when the Board thought that proposals were being</li> <li>developed, what was come back was, well, we need</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>selection process in getting it started. And then</li> <li>what's the next one and then the one after that.</li> <li>Because one I'd like to add on is</li> <li>Snowflake Lodge. Talking with Mr. Bandelin, that is</li> <li> with the funds that have been earmarked for to</li> <li>start that process, this would probably be a good</li> <li>time to get going. Especially now where we're right</li> <li>out of the gate.</li> <li>I'm not sure if some of these other ones</li> <li>are necessarily appropriate. The general manager's</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Trustee Noble. I think the capital investment</li> <li>committee is the number one priority.</li> <li>I think, to me, I would see this committee</li> <li>as being saving a lot of time wasted that we would</li> <li>have had if we have staff coming forward with</li> <li>incomplete proposals. We've seen it both at the</li> <li>Beach House and the skate park, where, basically,</li> <li>when the Board thought that proposals were being</li> <li>developed, what was come back was, well, we need</li> <li>more money.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>selection process in getting it started. And then</li> <li>what's the next one and then the one after that.</li> <li>Because one I'd like to add on is</li> <li>Snowflake Lodge. Talking with Mr. Bandelin, that is</li> <li> with the funds that have been earmarked for to</li> <li>start that process, this would probably be a good</li> <li>time to get going. Especially now where we're right</li> <li>out of the gate.</li> <li>I'm not sure if some of these other ones</li> <li>are necessarily appropriate. The general manager's</li> <li>search, that's, I think, separate and apart, and</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Trustee Noble. I think the capital investment</li> <li>committee is the number one priority.</li> <li>I think, to me, I would see this committee</li> <li>as being saving a lot of time wasted that we would</li> <li>have had if we have staff coming forward with</li> <li>incomplete proposals. We've seen it both at the</li> <li>Beach House and the skate park, where, basically,</li> <li>when the Board thought that proposals were being</li> <li>developed, what was come back was, well, we need</li> <li>more money.</li> <li>I think I would see the capital investment</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>selection process in getting it started. And then</li> <li>what's the next one and then the one after that.</li> <li>Because one I'd like to add on is</li> <li>Snowflake Lodge. Talking with Mr. Bandelin, that is</li> <li> with the funds that have been earmarked for to</li> <li>start that process, this would probably be a good</li> <li>time to get going. Especially now where we're right</li> <li>out of the gate.</li> <li>I'm not sure if some of these other ones</li> <li>are necessarily appropriate. The general manager's</li> <li>search, that's, I think, separate and apart, and</li> <li>director Feore is going to come back with</li> <li>recommendations in two weeks.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Trustee Noble. I think the capital investment</li> <li>committee is the number one priority.</li> <li>I think, to me, I would see this committee</li> <li>as being saving a lot of time wasted that we would</li> <li>have had if we have staff coming forward with</li> <li>incomplete proposals. We've seen it both at the</li> <li>Beach House and the skate park, where, basically,</li> <li>when the Board thought that proposals were being</li> <li>developed, what was come back was, well, we need</li> <li>more money.</li> <li>I think I would see the capital investment</li> <li>committee as being able to streamline this process,</li> <li>get a lot of that, and actually bring these projects</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>selection process in getting it started. And then</li> <li>what's the next one and then the one after that.</li> <li>Because one I'd like to add on is</li> <li>Snowflake Lodge. Talking with Mr. Bandelin, that is</li> <li> with the funds that have been earmarked for to</li> <li>start that process, this would probably be a good</li> <li>time to get going. Especially now where we're right</li> <li>out of the gate.</li> <li>I'm not sure if some of these other ones</li> <li>are necessarily appropriate. The general manager's</li> <li>search, that's, I think, separate and apart, and</li> <li>director Feore is going to come back with</li> <li>recommendations in two weeks.</li> <li>I think the big one, probably the capital</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Trustee Noble. I think the capital investment</li> <li>committee is the number one priority.</li> <li>I think, to me, I would see this committee</li> <li>as being saving a lot of time wasted that we would</li> <li>have had if we have staff coming forward with</li> <li>incomplete proposals. We've seen it both at the</li> <li>Beach House and the skate park, where, basically,</li> <li>when the Board thought that proposals were being</li> <li>developed, what was come back was, well, we need</li> <li>more money.</li> <li>I think I would see the capital investment</li> <li>committee as being able to streamline this process,</li> <li>get a lot of that, and actually bring these projects</li> <li>through to final proposal and design for the Board</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>selection process in getting it started. And then</li> <li>what's the next one and then the one after that.</li> <li>Because one I'd like to add on is</li> <li>Snowflake Lodge. Talking with Mr. Bandelin, that is</li> <li> with the funds that have been earmarked for to</li> <li>start that process, this would probably be a good</li> <li>time to get going. Especially now where we're right</li> <li>out of the gate.</li> <li>I'm not sure if some of these other ones</li> <li>are necessarily appropriate. The general manager's</li> <li>search, that's, I think, separate and apart, and</li> <li>director Feore is going to come back with</li> <li>recommendations in two weeks.</li> <li>I think the big one, probably the capital</li> <li>improvement investment, but then golf pretty soon.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Trustee Noble. I think the capital investment</li> <li>committee is the number one priority.</li> <li>I think, to me, I would see this committee</li> <li>as being saving a lot of time wasted that we would</li> <li>have had if we have staff coming forward with</li> <li>incomplete proposals. We've seen it both at the</li> <li>Beach House and the skate park, where, basically,</li> <li>when the Board thought that proposals were being</li> <li>developed, what was come back was, well, we need</li> <li>more money.</li> <li>I think I would see the capital investment</li> <li>committee as being able to streamline this process,</li> <li>get a lot of that, and actually bring these projects</li> <li>through to final proposal and design for the Board</li> <li>much more quickly and provide a more some</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>selection process in getting it started. And then</li> <li>what's the next one and then the one after that.</li> <li>Because one I'd like to add on is</li> <li>Snowflake Lodge. Talking with Mr. Bandelin, that is</li> <li> with the funds that have been earmarked for to</li> <li>start that process, this would probably be a good</li> <li>time to get going. Especially now where we're right</li> <li>out of the gate.</li> <li>I'm not sure if some of these other ones</li> <li>are necessarily appropriate. The general manager's</li> <li>search, that's, I think, separate and apart, and</li> <li>director Feore is going to come back with</li> <li>recommendations in two weeks.</li> <li>I think the big one, probably the capital</li> <li>improvement investment, but then golf pretty soon.</li> <li>I would want to see golf get going so that we have</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Trustee Noble. I think the capital investment</li> <li>committee is the number one priority.</li> <li>I think, to me, I would see this committee</li> <li>as being saving a lot of time wasted that we would</li> <li>have had if we have staff coming forward with</li> <li>incomplete proposals. We've seen it both at the</li> <li>Beach House and the skate park, where, basically,</li> <li>when the Board thought that proposals were being</li> <li>developed, what was come back was, well, we need</li> <li>more money.</li> <li>I think I would see the capital investment</li> <li>committee as being able to streamline this process,</li> <li>get a lot of that, and actually bring these projects</li> <li>through to final proposal and design for the Board</li> <li>much more quickly and provide a more some</li> <li>regular save a lot of the time that we spend on</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>selection process in getting it started. And then</li> <li>what's the next one and then the one after that.</li> <li>Because one I'd like to add on is</li> <li>Snowflake Lodge. Talking with Mr. Bandelin, that is</li> <li> with the funds that have been earmarked for to</li> <li>start that process, this would probably be a good</li> <li>time to get going. Especially now where we're right</li> <li>out of the gate.</li> <li>I'm not sure if some of these other ones</li> <li>are necessarily appropriate. The general manager's</li> <li>search, that's, I think, separate and apart, and</li> <li>director Feore is going to come back with</li> <li>recommendations in two weeks.</li> <li>I think the big one, probably the capital</li> <li>improvement investment, but then golf pretty soon.</li> <li>I would want to see golf get going so that we have</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Trustee Noble. I think the capital investment</li> <li>committee is the number one priority.</li> <li>I think, to me, I would see this committee</li> <li>as being saving a lot of time wasted that we would</li> <li>have had if we have staff coming forward with</li> <li>incomplete proposals. We've seen it both at the</li> <li>Beach House and the skate park, where, basically,</li> <li>when the Board thought that proposals were being</li> <li>developed, what was come back was, well, we need</li> <li>more money.</li> <li>I think I would see the capital investment</li> <li>committee as being able to streamline this process,</li> <li>get a lot of that, and actually bring these projects</li> <li>through to final proposal and design for the Board</li> <li>much more quickly and provide a more some</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>selection process in getting it started. And then</li> <li>what's the next one and then the one after that.</li> <li>Because one I'd like to add on is</li> <li>Snowflake Lodge. Talking with Mr. Bandelin, that is</li> <li> with the funds that have been earmarked for to</li> <li>start that process, this would probably be a good</li> <li>time to get going. Especially now where we're right</li> <li>out of the gate.</li> <li>I'm not sure if some of these other ones</li> <li>are necessarily appropriate. The general manager's</li> <li>search, that's, I think, separate and apart, and</li> <li>director Feore is going to come back with</li> <li>recommendations in two weeks.</li> <li>I think the big one, probably the capital</li> <li>improvement investment, but then golf pretty soon.</li> <li>I would want to see golf get going so that we have</li> <li>recommendations late fall/early winter so that we're</li> <li>not pushing into May next year trying to figure out</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 Trustee Noble. I think the capital investment</li> <li>2 committee is the number one priority.</li> <li>3 I think, to me, I would see this committee</li> <li>4 as being saving a lot of time wasted that we would</li> <li>5 have had if we have staff coming forward with</li> <li>6 incomplete proposals. We've seen it both at the</li> <li>7 Beach House and the skate park, where, basically,</li> <li>8 when the Board thought that proposals were being</li> <li>9 developed, what was come back was, well, we need</li> <li>10 more money.</li> <li>11 I think I would see the capital investment</li> <li>12 committee as being able to streamline this process,</li> <li>13 get a lot of that, and actually bring these projects</li> <li>14 through to final proposal and design for the Board</li> <li>15 much more quickly and provide a more some</li> <li>16 regular save a lot of the time that we spend on</li> <li>17 the Board here asking questions that could have been</li> <li>18 done before.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>selection process in getting it started. And then</li> <li>what's the next one and then the one after that.</li> <li>Because one I'd like to add on is</li> <li>Snowflake Lodge. Talking with Mr. Bandelin, that is</li> <li> with the funds that have been earmarked for to</li> <li>start that process, this would probably be a good</li> <li>time to get going. Especially now where we're right</li> <li>out of the gate.</li> <li>I'm not sure if some of these other ones</li> <li>are necessarily appropriate. The general manager's</li> <li>search, that's, I think, separate and apart, and</li> <li>director Feore is going to come back with</li> <li>recommendations in two weeks.</li> <li>I think the big one, probably the capital</li> <li>improvement investment, but then golf pretty soon.</li> <li>I would want to see golf get going so that we have</li> <li>recommendations late fall/early winter so that we're</li> <li>not pushing into May next year trying to figure out</li> <li>what to do. I would like to see everything done</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Trustee Noble. I think the capital investment</li> <li>committee is the number one priority.</li> <li>I think, to me, I would see this committee</li> <li>as being saving a lot of time wasted that we would</li> <li>have had if we have staff coming forward with</li> <li>incomplete proposals. We've seen it both at the</li> <li>Beach House and the skate park, where, basically,</li> <li>when the Board thought that proposals were being</li> <li>developed, what was come back was, well, we need</li> <li>more money.</li> <li>I think I would see the capital investment</li> <li>committee as being able to streamline this process,</li> <li>get a lot of that, and actually bring these projects</li> <li>through to final proposal and design for the Board</li> <li>much more quickly and provide a more some</li> <li>regular save a lot of the time that we spend on</li> <li>the Board here asking questions that could have been</li> <li>done before.</li> <li>I'm certainly happy to volunteer to lead</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>selection process in getting it started. And then</li> <li>what's the next one and then the one after that.</li> <li>Because one I'd like to add on is</li> <li>Snowflake Lodge. Talking with Mr. Bandelin, that is</li> <li> with the funds that have been earmarked for to</li> <li>start that process, this would probably be a good</li> <li>time to get going. Especially now where we're right</li> <li>out of the gate.</li> <li>I'm not sure if some of these other ones</li> <li>are necessarily appropriate. The general manager's</li> <li>search, that's, I think, separate and apart, and</li> <li>director Feore is going to come back with</li> <li>recommendations in two weeks.</li> <li>I think the big one, probably the capital</li> <li>improvement investment, but then golf pretty soon.</li> <li>I would want to see golf get going so that we have</li> <li>recommendations late fall/early winter so that we're</li> <li>not pushing into May next year trying to figure out</li> <li>what to do. I would like to see everything done</li> <li>before Christmas with regards to golf. I know</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1 Trustee Noble. I think the capital investment</li> <li>2 committee is the number one priority.</li> <li>3 I think, to me, I would see this committee</li> <li>4 as being saving a lot of time wasted that we would</li> <li>5 have had if we have staff coming forward with</li> <li>6 incomplete proposals. We've seen it both at the</li> <li>7 Beach House and the skate park, where, basically,</li> <li>8 when the Board thought that proposals were being</li> <li>9 developed, what was come back was, well, we need</li> <li>10 more money.</li> <li>11 I think I would see the capital investment</li> <li>12 committee as being able to streamline this process,</li> <li>13 get a lot of that, and actually bring these projects</li> <li>14 through to final proposal and design for the Board</li> <li>15 much more quickly and provide a more some</li> <li>16 regular save a lot of the time that we spend on</li> <li>17 the Board here asking questions that could have been</li> <li>18 done before.</li> <li>19 I'm certainly happy to volunteer to lead</li> <li>20 that committee. I think it aligns a lot with my</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>selection process in getting it started. And then</li> <li>what's the next one and then the one after that.</li> <li>Because one I'd like to add on is</li> <li>Snowflake Lodge. Talking with Mr. Bandelin, that is</li> <li> with the funds that have been earmarked for to</li> <li>start that process, this would probably be a good</li> <li>time to get going. Especially now where we're right</li> <li>out of the gate.</li> <li>I'm not sure if some of these other ones</li> <li>are necessarily appropriate. The general manager's</li> <li>search, that's, I think, separate and apart, and</li> <li>director Feore is going to come back with</li> <li>recommendations in two weeks.</li> <li>I think the big one, probably the capital</li> <li>improvement investment, but then golf pretty soon.</li> <li>I would want to see golf get going so that we have</li> <li>recommendations late fall/early winter so that we're</li> <li>not pushing into May next year trying to figure out</li> <li>what to do. I would like to see everything done</li> <li>before Christmas with regards to golf. I know</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 Trustee Noble. I think the capital investment</li> <li>2 committee is the number one priority.</li> <li>3 I think, to me, I would see this committee</li> <li>4 as being saving a lot of time wasted that we would</li> <li>5 have had if we have staff coming forward with</li> <li>6 incomplete proposals. We've seen it both at the</li> <li>7 Beach House and the skate park, where, basically,</li> <li>8 when the Board thought that proposals were being</li> <li>9 developed, what was come back was, well, we need</li> <li>10 more money.</li> <li>11 I think I would see the capital investment</li> <li>12 committee as being able to streamline this process,</li> <li>13 get a lot of that, and actually bring these projects</li> <li>14 through to final proposal and design for the Board</li> <li>15 much more quickly and provide a more some</li> <li>16 regular save a lot of the time that we spend on</li> <li>17 the Board here asking questions that could have been</li> <li>18 done before.</li> <li>19 I'm certainly happy to volunteer to lead</li> <li>20 that committee. I think it aligns a lot with my</li> <li>21 background. I've done several investment committees</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>selection process in getting it started. And then</li> <li>what's the next one and then the one after that.</li> <li>Because one I'd like to add on is</li> <li>Snowflake Lodge. Talking with Mr. Bandelin, that is</li> <li> with the funds that have been earmarked for to</li> <li>start that process, this would probably be a good</li> <li>time to get going. Especially now where we're right</li> <li>out of the gate.</li> <li>I'm not sure if some of these other ones</li> <li>are necessarily appropriate. The general manager's</li> <li>search, that's, I think, separate and apart, and</li> <li>director Feore is going to come back with</li> <li>recommendations in two weeks.</li> <li>I think the big one, probably the capital</li> <li>improvement investment, but then golf pretty soon.</li> <li>I would want to see golf get going so that we have</li> <li>recommendations late fall/early winter so that we're</li> <li>not pushing into May next year trying to figure out</li> <li>what to do. I would like to see everything done</li> <li>before Christmas with regards to golf. I know</li> <li>that's ambitious, but or at least have proposals</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 Trustee Noble. I think the capital investment</li> <li>committee is the number one priority.</li> <li>3 I think, to me, I would see this committee</li> <li>4 as being saving a lot of time wasted that we would</li> <li>5 have had if we have staff coming forward with</li> <li>6 incomplete proposals. We've seen it both at the</li> <li>7 Beach House and the skate park, where, basically,</li> <li>8 when the Board thought that proposals were being</li> <li>9 developed, what was come back was, well, we need</li> <li>10 more money.</li> <li>11 I think I would see the capital investment</li> <li>12 committee as being able to streamline this process,</li> <li>13 get a lot of that, and actually bring these projects</li> <li>14 through to final proposal and design for the Board</li> <li>15 much more quickly and provide a more some</li> <li>16 regular save a lot of the time that we spend on</li> <li>17 the Board here asking questions that could have been</li> <li>18 done before.</li> <li>19 I'm certainly happy to volunteer to lead</li> <li>20 that committee. I think it aligns a lot with my</li> <li>21 background. I've done several investment committees</li> <li>22 through my career in terms of that. That is my</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>selection process in getting it started. And then</li> <li>what's the next one and then the one after that.</li> <li>Because one I'd like to add on is</li> <li>Snowflake Lodge. Talking with Mr. Bandelin, that is</li> <li> with the funds that have been earmarked for to</li> <li>start that process, this would probably be a good</li> <li>time to get going. Especially now where we're right</li> <li>out of the gate.</li> <li>I'm not sure if some of these other ones</li> <li>are necessarily appropriate. The general manager's</li> <li>search, that's, I think, separate and apart, and</li> <li>director Feore is going to come back with</li> <li>recommendations in two weeks.</li> <li>I think the big one, probably the capital</li> <li>improvement investment, but then golf pretty soon.</li> <li>I would want to see golf get going so that we have</li> <li>recommendations late fall/early winter so that we're</li> <li>not pushing into May next year trying to figure out</li> <li>what to do. I would like to see everything done</li> <li>before Christmas with regards to golf. I know</li> <li>that's ambitious, but or at least have proposals</li> <li>back to us that we can act upon quickly for next</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1 Trustee Noble. I think the capital investment</li> <li>committee is the number one priority.</li> <li>3 I think, to me, I would see this committee</li> <li>4 as being saving a lot of time wasted that we would</li> <li>5 have had if we have staff coming forward with</li> <li>6 incomplete proposals. We've seen it both at the</li> <li>7 Beach House and the skate park, where, basically,</li> <li>8 when the Board thought that proposals were being</li> <li>9 developed, what was come back was, well, we need</li> <li>10 more money.</li> <li>11 I think I would see the capital investment</li> <li>12 committee as being able to streamline this process,</li> <li>13 get a lot of that, and actually bring these projects</li> <li>14 through to final proposal and design for the Board</li> <li>15 much more quickly and provide a more some</li> <li>16 regular save a lot of the time that we spend on</li> <li>17 the Board here asking questions that could have been</li> <li>18 done before.</li> <li>19 I'm certainly happy to volunteer to lead</li> <li>20 that committee. I think it aligns a lot with my</li> <li>21 background. I've done several investment committees</li> <li>22 through my career in terms of that. That is my</li> <li>23 thought.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>selection process in getting it started. And then</li> <li>what's the next one and then the one after that.</li> <li>Because one I'd like to add on is</li> <li>Snowflake Lodge. Talking with Mr. Bandelin, that is</li> <li> with the funds that have been earmarked for to</li> <li>start that process, this would probably be a good</li> <li>time to get going. Especially now where we're right</li> <li>out of the gate.</li> <li>I'm not sure if some of these other ones</li> <li>are necessarily appropriate. The general manager's</li> <li>search, that's, I think, separate and apart, and</li> <li>director Feore is going to come back with</li> <li>recommendations in two weeks.</li> <li>I think the big one, probably the capital</li> <li>improvement investment, but then golf pretty soon.</li> <li>I would want to see golf get going so that we have</li> <li>recommendations late fall/early winter so that we're</li> <li>not pushing into May next year trying to figure out</li> <li>what to do. I would like to see everything done</li> <li>before Christmas with regards to golf. I know</li> <li>that's ambitious, but or at least have proposals</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 Trustee Noble. I think the capital investment</li> <li>committee is the number one priority.</li> <li>3 I think, to me, I would see this committee</li> <li>4 as being saving a lot of time wasted that we would</li> <li>5 have had if we have staff coming forward with</li> <li>6 incomplete proposals. We've seen it both at the</li> <li>7 Beach House and the skate park, where, basically,</li> <li>8 when the Board thought that proposals were being</li> <li>9 developed, what was come back was, well, we need</li> <li>10 more money.</li> <li>11 I think I would see the capital investment</li> <li>12 committee as being able to streamline this process,</li> <li>13 get a lot of that, and actually bring these projects</li> <li>14 through to final proposal and design for the Board</li> <li>15 much more quickly and provide a more some</li> <li>16 regular save a lot of the time that we spend on</li> <li>17 the Board here asking questions that could have been</li> <li>18 done before.</li> <li>19 I'm certainly happy to volunteer to lead</li> <li>20 that committee. I think it aligns a lot with my</li> <li>21 background. I've done several investment committees</li> <li>22 through my career in terms of that. That is my</li> </ul>

	150		151
1 I think an outline that sound laudable. I think we	153	1 committee, and also golf, and doing them	154
2 need to be very careful. I think once you start		2 simultaneously. I feel like if we wait too much	
3 seeing assisting staff and directing staff, I think		3 longer, it'll be hard for us to start getting stuff	
4 you're starting to get into micromanagement, which		4 back. And I think it's important, especially the	
5 seems to be the phrase of the moment. I think if		5 golf committee to be observing and seeing what's	
6 you've got a committee assisting staff and running		6 going on now so they can then report back to us at	
7 the business, who's responsible when something goes		7 the end of the year the process that was put in	
8 wrong? I think we need to be very mindful of that.		8 place a few years ago and the recommendations that	
9 I think we can refine the purpose stated		9 past boards got from the golf committee, they were	
10 here, but I think we need to be very careful it's		10 very helpful.	
11 not just turning something over. And, again, if it		11 And I think with, not only that committee,	
12 was just all golfers represented in the committee,		12 but other committees, taking the recommendations we	
13 I'm sure we can get some great, wonderful proposals		13 get could help move us forward. That was the reason	
14 for golfers, but we need to look at the impact, the		14 why I was an advocate of bringing back the golf	
15 wider impact.		15 committee earlier this year, and at least the	
16 I think there's some positive points that,		16 recommendations. I wasn't aware that it even went	
17 Mr. Holman's proposal, we can take, but I don't		17 away, so I'm excited for that. But I definitely	
18 it's I don't see these committees as interfering		18 think both need to go simultaneously.	
19 with staff or assisting staff in terms of actually		19 To address your point when it comes to	
20 running the operation, which is want it sounded		20 Snowflake Lodge or even some of these other ones,	
21 like.		21 Incline Beach House and stuff, I feel like a lot of	
22 CHAIR DENT: I do like the email received		22 that, by putting committees in place with those, in	
23 with the, I guess, overall goal. I think that's a		23 a way could slow down that process. And I almost	
24 good goal to plug in there for the golf committee.		24 would want to do something that may be a little bit	
25 I do like the idea of having a capital improvement		25 more of a hybrid model or something that we saw when	
	455		450
1 it came to the Burnt Cedar pool. and there were a	155	1 helping us to create a plan of all of the capital.	156
<ol> <li>it came to the Burnt Cedar pool, and there were a</li> <li>few people involved as the process was moving</li> </ol>	155	<ol> <li>helping us to create a plan of all of the capital.</li> <li>So whether it's tennis, major improvements at the</li> </ol>	156
2 few people involved as the process was moving	155	2 So whether it's tennis, major improvements at the	156
<ul><li>2 few people involved as the process was moving</li><li>3 forward where there was a little bit of advisory on,</li></ul>	155	<ul><li>2 So whether it's tennis, major improvements at the</li><li>3 tennis courts, Snowflake Lodge, or the Incline Beach</li></ul>	156
<ul><li>2 few people involved as the process was moving</li><li>3 forward where there was a little bit of advisory on,</li></ul>	155	<ul><li>2 So whether it's tennis, major improvements at the</li><li>3 tennis courts, Snowflake Lodge, or the Incline Beach</li><li>4 House is how did we effectively plan that out and</li></ul>	156
<ol> <li>few people involved as the process was moving</li> <li>forward where there was a little bit of advisory on,</li> <li>hey, maybe we flip this around or do this. I think</li> <li>that's where we can add a lot of value to Snowflake</li> </ol>	155	<ul> <li>2 So whether it's tennis, major improvements at the</li> <li>3 tennis courts, Snowflake Lodge, or the Incline Beach</li> <li>4 House is how did we effectively plan that out and</li> <li>5 schedule it, because there's only so much that can</li> </ul>	156
<ul> <li>2 few people involved as the process was moving</li> <li>3 forward where there was a little bit of advisory on,</li> <li>4 hey, maybe we flip this around or do this. I think</li> <li>5 that's where we can add a lot of value to Snowflake</li> <li>6 Lodge or even the Incline Beach House, as we become</li> </ul>	155	<ul> <li>So whether it's tennis, major improvements at the</li> <li>tennis courts, Snowflake Lodge, or the Incline Beach</li> <li>House is how did we effectively plan that out and</li> <li>schedule it, because there's only so much that can</li> <li>be done. That's where at the beginning when I</li> </ul>	156
<ul> <li>2 few people involved as the process was moving</li> <li>3 forward where there was a little bit of advisory on,</li> <li>4 hey, maybe we flip this around or do this. I think</li> <li>5 that's where we can add a lot of value to Snowflake</li> <li>6 Lodge or even the Incline Beach House, as we become</li> <li>7 a little bit more intimate with the design and the</li> </ul>	155	<ul> <li>So whether it's tennis, major improvements at the</li> <li>tennis courts, Snowflake Lodge, or the Incline Beach</li> <li>House is how did we effectively plan that out and</li> <li>schedule it, because there's only so much that can</li> <li>be done. That's where at the beginning when I</li> <li>talked about looking at our five-year plan and what</li> </ul>	156
<ul> <li>2 few people involved as the process was moving</li> <li>3 forward where there was a little bit of advisory on,</li> <li>4 hey, maybe we flip this around or do this. I think</li> <li>5 that's where we can add a lot of value to Snowflake</li> <li>6 Lodge or even the Incline Beach House, as we become</li> <li>7 a little bit more intimate with the design and the</li> <li>8 plan moving forward. They bring those</li> </ul>	155	<ul> <li>So whether it's tennis, major improvements at the</li> <li>tennis courts, Snowflake Lodge, or the Incline Beach</li> <li>House is how did we effectively plan that out and</li> <li>schedule it, because there's only so much that can</li> <li>be done. That's where at the beginning when I</li> <li>talked about looking at our five-year plan and what</li> <li>needs to be done to say, look, all of those things</li> </ul>	156
<ul> <li>2 few people involved as the process was moving</li> <li>3 forward where there was a little bit of advisory on,</li> <li>4 hey, maybe we flip this around or do this. I think</li> <li>5 that's where we can add a lot of value to Snowflake</li> <li>6 Lodge or even the Incline Beach House, as we become</li> <li>7 a little bit more intimate with the design and the</li> <li>8 plan moving forward. They bring those</li> </ul>	155	<ul> <li>So whether it's tennis, major improvements at the</li> <li>tennis courts, Snowflake Lodge, or the Incline Beach</li> <li>House is how did we effectively plan that out and</li> <li>schedule it, because there's only so much that can</li> <li>be done. That's where at the beginning when I</li> <li>talked about looking at our five-year plan and what</li> <li>needs to be done to say, look, all of those things</li> </ul>	156
<ul> <li>2 few people involved as the process was moving</li> <li>3 forward where there was a little bit of advisory on,</li> <li>4 hey, maybe we flip this around or do this. I think</li> <li>5 that's where we can add a lot of value to Snowflake</li> <li>6 Lodge or even the Incline Beach House, as we become</li> <li>7 a little bit more intimate with the design and the</li> <li>8 plan moving forward. They bring those</li> <li>9 recommendations to us. That could be very helpful.</li> </ul>	155	<ul> <li>So whether it's tennis, major improvements at the</li> <li>tennis courts, Snowflake Lodge, or the Incline Beach</li> <li>House is how did we effectively plan that out and</li> <li>schedule it, because there's only so much that can</li> <li>be done. That's where at the beginning when I</li> <li>talked about looking at our five-year plan and what</li> <li>needs to be done to say, look, all of those things</li> <li>are sitting on our plan, these are our priorities,</li> </ul>	156
<ul> <li>2 few people involved as the process was moving</li> <li>3 forward where there was a little bit of advisory on,</li> <li>4 hey, maybe we flip this around or do this. I think</li> <li>5 that's where we can add a lot of value to Snowflake</li> <li>6 Lodge or even the Incline Beach House, as we become</li> <li>7 a little bit more intimate with the design and the</li> <li>8 plan moving forward. They bring those</li> <li>9 recommendations to us. That could be very helpful.</li> <li>10 I like leaving those more of the general</li> </ul>	155	<ul> <li>So whether it's tennis, major improvements at the</li> <li>tennis courts, Snowflake Lodge, or the Incline Beach</li> <li>House is how did we effectively plan that out and</li> <li>schedule it, because there's only so much that can</li> <li>be done. That's where at the beginning when I</li> <li>talked about looking at our five-year plan and what</li> <li>needs to be done to say, look, all of those things</li> <li>are sitting on our plan, these are our priorities,</li> <li>but from an implementation perspective, how can we</li> </ul>	156
<ul> <li>2 few people involved as the process was moving</li> <li>3 forward where there was a little bit of advisory on,</li> <li>4 hey, maybe we flip this around or do this. I think</li> <li>5 that's where we can add a lot of value to Snowflake</li> <li>6 Lodge or even the Incline Beach House, as we become</li> <li>7 a little bit more intimate with the design and the</li> <li>8 plan moving forward. They bring those</li> <li>9 recommendations to us. That could be very helpful.</li> <li>10 I like leaving those more of the general</li> <li>11 manager's advisory committees for that purpose.</li> </ul>	155	<ul> <li>So whether it's tennis, major improvements at the</li> <li>tennis courts, Snowflake Lodge, or the Incline Beach</li> <li>House is how did we effectively plan that out and</li> <li>schedule it, because there's only so much that can</li> <li>be done. That's where at the beginning when I</li> <li>talked about looking at our five-year plan and what</li> <li>needs to be done to say, look, all of those things</li> <li>are sitting on our plan, these are our priorities,</li> <li>but from an implementation perspective, how can we</li> <li>actually deliver on these projects and have a really</li> </ul>	156
<ul> <li>2 few people involved as the process was moving</li> <li>3 forward where there was a little bit of advisory on,</li> <li>4 hey, maybe we flip this around or do this. I think</li> <li>5 that's where we can add a lot of value to Snowflake</li> <li>6 Lodge or even the Incline Beach House, as we become</li> <li>7 a little bit more intimate with the design and the</li> <li>8 plan moving forward. They bring those</li> <li>9 recommendations to us. That could be very helpful.</li> <li>10 I like leaving those more of the general</li> <li>11 manager's advisory committees for that purpose.</li> <li>12 What else do we have on this item?</li> </ul>	155	<ul> <li>So whether it's tennis, major improvements at the</li> <li>tennis courts, Snowflake Lodge, or the Incline Beach</li> <li>House is how did we effectively plan that out and</li> <li>schedule it, because there's only so much that can</li> <li>be done. That's where at the beginning when I</li> <li>talked about looking at our five-year plan and what</li> <li>needs to be done to say, look, all of those things</li> <li>are sitting on our plan, these are our priorities,</li> <li>but from an implementation perspective, how can we</li> <li>actually deliver on these projects and have a really</li> <li>good road map so that we can start ticking things</li> </ul>	156
<ul> <li>2 few people involved as the process was moving</li> <li>3 forward where there was a little bit of advisory on,</li> <li>4 hey, maybe we flip this around or do this. I think</li> <li>5 that's where we can add a lot of value to Snowflake</li> <li>6 Lodge or even the Incline Beach House, as we become</li> <li>7 a little bit more intimate with the design and the</li> <li>8 plan moving forward. They bring those</li> <li>9 recommendations to us. That could be very helpful.</li> <li>10 I like leaving those more of the general</li> <li>11 manager's advisory committees for that purpose.</li> <li>12 What else do we have on this item?</li> <li>13 TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: As it relates to the</li> </ul>	155	<ul> <li>So whether it's tennis, major improvements at the</li> <li>tennis courts, Snowflake Lodge, or the Incline Beach</li> <li>House is how did we effectively plan that out and</li> <li>schedule it, because there's only so much that can</li> <li>be done. That's where at the beginning when I</li> <li>talked about looking at our five-year plan and what</li> <li>needs to be done to say, look, all of those things</li> <li>are sitting on our plan, these are our priorities,</li> <li>but from an implementation perspective, how can we</li> <li>actually deliver on these projects and have a really</li> <li>good road map so that we can start ticking things</li> <li>off? Because it seems like sometimes we just jump</li> </ul>	156
<ul> <li>2 few people involved as the process was moving</li> <li>3 forward where there was a little bit of advisory on,</li> <li>4 hey, maybe we flip this around or do this. I think</li> <li>5 that's where we can add a lot of value to Snowflake</li> <li>6 Lodge or even the Incline Beach House, as we become</li> <li>7 a little bit more intimate with the design and the</li> <li>8 plan moving forward. They bring those</li> <li>9 recommendations to us. That could be very helpful.</li> <li>10 I like leaving those more of the general</li> <li>11 manager's advisory committees for that purpose.</li> <li>12 What else do we have on this item?</li> <li>13 TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: As it relates to the</li> <li>14 goal, I don't think that the beginning of the</li> </ul>	155	<ul> <li>So whether it's tennis, major improvements at the</li> <li>tennis courts, Snowflake Lodge, or the Incline Beach</li> <li>House is how did we effectively plan that out and</li> <li>schedule it, because there's only so much that can</li> <li>be done. That's where at the beginning when I</li> <li>talked about looking at our five-year plan and what</li> <li>needs to be done to say, look, all of those things</li> <li>are sitting on our plan, these are our priorities,</li> <li>but from an implementation perspective, how can we</li> <li>actually deliver on these projects and have a really</li> <li>good road map so that we can start ticking things</li> <li>off? Because it seems like sometimes we just jump</li> <li>from one thing to another. If we know this year</li> </ul>	156
<ul> <li>2 few people involved as the process was moving</li> <li>3 forward where there was a little bit of advisory on,</li> <li>4 hey, maybe we flip this around or do this. I think</li> <li>5 that's where we can add a lot of value to Snowflake</li> <li>6 Lodge or even the Incline Beach House, as we become</li> <li>7 a little bit more intimate with the design and the</li> <li>8 plan moving forward. They bring those</li> <li>9 recommendations to us. That could be very helpful.</li> <li>10 I like leaving those more of the general</li> <li>11 manager's advisory committees for that purpose.</li> <li>12 What else do we have on this item?</li> <li>13 TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: As it relates to the</li> <li>14 goal, I don't think that the beginning of the</li> <li>15 sentence should be included, but the ending of it, I</li> </ul>	155	<ul> <li>So whether it's tennis, major improvements at the</li> <li>tennis courts, Snowflake Lodge, or the Incline Beach</li> <li>House is how did we effectively plan that out and</li> <li>schedule it, because there's only so much that can</li> <li>be done. That's where at the beginning when I</li> <li>talked about looking at our five-year plan and what</li> <li>needs to be done to say, look, all of those things</li> <li>are sitting on our plan, these are our priorities,</li> <li>but from an implementation perspective, how can we</li> <li>actually deliver on these projects and have a really</li> <li>good road map so that we can start ticking things</li> <li>off? Because it seems like sometimes we just jump</li> <li>from one thing to another. If we know this year</li> <li>we're going to do this, this year we're going to do</li> </ul>	156
<ul> <li>2 few people involved as the process was moving</li> <li>3 forward where there was a little bit of advisory on,</li> <li>4 hey, maybe we flip this around or do this. I think</li> <li>5 that's where we can add a lot of value to Snowflake</li> <li>6 Lodge or even the Incline Beach House, as we become</li> <li>7 a little bit more intimate with the design and the</li> <li>8 plan moving forward. They bring those</li> <li>9 recommendations to us. That could be very helpful.</li> <li>10 I like leaving those more of the general</li> <li>11 manager's advisory committees for that purpose.</li> <li>12 What else do we have on this item?</li> <li>13 TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: As it relates to the</li> <li>14 goal, I don't think that the beginning of the</li> <li>15 sentence should be included, but the ending of it, I</li> <li>16 like, and that would be: To formulate</li> </ul>	155	<ul> <li>So whether it's tennis, major improvements at the</li> <li>tennis courts, Snowflake Lodge, or the Incline Beach</li> <li>House is how did we effectively plan that out and</li> <li>schedule it, because there's only so much that can</li> <li>be done. That's where at the beginning when I</li> <li>talked about looking at our five-year plan and what</li> <li>needs to be done to say, look, all of those things</li> <li>are sitting on our plan, these are our priorities,</li> <li>but from an implementation perspective, how can we</li> <li>actually deliver on these projects and have a really</li> <li>good road map so that we can start ticking things</li> <li>off? Because it seems like sometimes we just jump</li> <li>from one thing to another. If we know this year</li> <li>we're going to do this, this year we're going to do</li> <li>this, it helps us to have a road map because we need</li> </ul>	156
<ul> <li>few people involved as the process was moving</li> <li>forward where there was a little bit of advisory on,</li> <li>hey, maybe we flip this around or do this. I think</li> <li>that's where we can add a lot of value to Snowflake</li> <li>Lodge or even the Incline Beach House, as we become</li> <li>a little bit more intimate with the design and the</li> <li>plan moving forward. They bring those</li> <li>recommendations to us. That could be very helpful.</li> <li>I like leaving those more of the general</li> <li>manager's advisory committees for that purpose.</li> <li>What else do we have on this item?</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: As it relates to the</li> <li>goal, I don't think that the beginning of the</li> <li>sentence should be included, but the ending of it, I</li> <li>like, and that would be: To formulate</li> <li>recommendations to the board related to optimizing</li> </ul>	155	<ul> <li>So whether it's tennis, major improvements at the</li> <li>tennis courts, Snowflake Lodge, or the Incline Beach</li> <li>House is how did we effectively plan that out and</li> <li>schedule it, because there's only so much that can</li> <li>be done. That's where at the beginning when I</li> <li>talked about looking at our five-year plan and what</li> <li>needs to be done to say, look, all of those things</li> <li>are sitting on our plan, these are our priorities,</li> <li>but from an implementation perspective, how can we</li> <li>actually deliver on these projects and have a really</li> <li>good road map so that we can start ticking things</li> <li>off? Because it seems like sometimes we just jump</li> <li>from one thing to another. If we know this year</li> <li>we're going to do this, this year we're going to do</li> <li>this, it helps us to have a road map because we need</li> <li>to work on Snowflake Lodge, we need to work on the</li> </ul>	156
<ul> <li>few people involved as the process was moving</li> <li>forward where there was a little bit of advisory on,</li> <li>hey, maybe we flip this around or do this. I think</li> <li>that's where we can add a lot of value to Snowflake</li> <li>Lodge or even the Incline Beach House, as we become</li> <li>a little bit more intimate with the design and the</li> <li>plan moving forward. They bring those</li> <li>recommendations to us. That could be very helpful.</li> <li>I like leaving those more of the general</li> <li>manager's advisory committees for that purpose.</li> <li>What else do we have on this item?</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: As it relates to the</li> <li>goal, I don't think that the beginning of the</li> <li>sentence should be included, but the ending of it, I</li> <li>like, and that would be: To formulate</li> <li>recommendations to the board related to optimizing</li> <li>all aspects of the golf operations, including growth</li> </ul>	155	<ul> <li>So whether it's tennis, major improvements at the</li> <li>tennis courts, Snowflake Lodge, or the Incline Beach</li> <li>House is how did we effectively plan that out and</li> <li>schedule it, because there's only so much that can</li> <li>be done. That's where at the beginning when I</li> <li>talked about looking at our five-year plan and what</li> <li>needs to be done to say, look, all of those things</li> <li>are sitting on our plan, these are our priorities,</li> <li>but from an implementation perspective, how can we</li> <li>actually deliver on these projects and have a really</li> <li>good road map so that we can start ticking things</li> <li>off? Because it seems like sometimes we just jump</li> <li>from one thing to another. If we know this year</li> <li>we're going to do this, this year we're going to do</li> <li>this, it helps us to have a road map because we need</li> <li>to work on Snowflake Lodge, we need to work on the</li> </ul>	156
<ul> <li>2 few people involved as the process was moving</li> <li>3 forward where there was a little bit of advisory on,</li> <li>4 hey, maybe we flip this around or do this. I think</li> <li>5 that's where we can add a lot of value to Snowflake</li> <li>6 Lodge or even the Incline Beach House, as we become</li> <li>7 a little bit more intimate with the design and the</li> <li>8 plan moving forward. They bring those</li> <li>9 recommendations to us. That could be very helpful.</li> <li>10 I like leaving those more of the general</li> <li>11 manager's advisory committees for that purpose.</li> <li>12 What else do we have on this item?</li> <li>13 TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: As it relates to the</li> <li>14 goal, I don't think that the beginning of the</li> <li>15 sentence should be included, but the ending of it, I</li> <li>16 like, and that would be: To formulate</li> <li>17 recommendations to the board related to optimizing</li> <li>18 all aspects of the golf operations, including growth</li> <li>19 revenues.</li> </ul>	155	<ul> <li>So whether it's tennis, major improvements at the</li> <li>tennis courts, Snowflake Lodge, or the Incline Beach</li> <li>House is how did we effectively plan that out and</li> <li>schedule it, because there's only so much that can</li> <li>be done. That's where at the beginning when I</li> <li>talked about looking at our five-year plan and what</li> <li>needs to be done to say, look, all of those things</li> <li>are sitting on our plan, these are our priorities,</li> <li>but from an implementation perspective, how can we</li> <li>actually deliver on these projects and have a really</li> <li>good road map so that we can start ticking things</li> <li>off? Because it seems like sometimes we just jump</li> <li>from one thing to another. If we know this year</li> <li>we're going to do this, this year we're going to do</li> <li>this, it helps us to have a road map because we need</li> <li>to work on Snowflake Lodge, we need to work on the</li> <li>tennis courts, we need to work on the Beach House.</li> <li>But how do we fit all of those things together, and</li> </ul>	156
<ul> <li>2 few people involved as the process was moving</li> <li>3 forward where there was a little bit of advisory on,</li> <li>4 hey, maybe we flip this around or do this. I think</li> <li>5 that's where we can add a lot of value to Snowflake</li> <li>6 Lodge or even the Incline Beach House, as we become</li> <li>7 a little bit more intimate with the design and the</li> <li>8 plan moving forward. They bring those</li> <li>9 recommendations to us. That could be very helpful.</li> <li>10 I like leaving those more of the general</li> <li>11 manager's advisory committees for that purpose.</li> <li>12 What else do we have on this item?</li> <li>13 TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: As it relates to the</li> <li>14 goal, I don't think that the beginning of the</li> <li>15 sentence should be included, but the ending of it, I</li> <li>16 like, and that would be: To formulate</li> <li>17 recommendations to the board related to optimizing</li> <li>18 all aspects of the golf operations, including growth</li> <li>19 revenues.</li> <li>20 I think that's what it is. It's</li> </ul>	155	<ul> <li>So whether it's tennis, major improvements at the</li> <li>tennis courts, Snowflake Lodge, or the Incline Beach</li> <li>House is how did we effectively plan that out and</li> <li>schedule it, because there's only so much that can</li> <li>be done. That's where at the beginning when I</li> <li>talked about looking at our five-year plan and what</li> <li>needs to be done to say, look, all of those things</li> <li>are sitting on our plan, these are our priorities,</li> <li>but from an implementation perspective, how can we</li> <li>actually deliver on these projects and have a really</li> <li>good road map so that we can start ticking things</li> <li>off? Because it seems like sometimes we just jump</li> <li>from one thing to another. If we know this year</li> <li>we're going to do this, this year we're going to do</li> <li>this, it helps us to have a road map because we need</li> <li>to work on Snowflake Lodge, we need to work on the</li> <li>tennis courts, we need to work on the Beach House.</li> <li>But how do we fit all of those things together, and</li> <li>what's the timing that needs to be done?</li> </ul>	156
<ul> <li>few people involved as the process was moving</li> <li>forward where there was a little bit of advisory on,</li> <li>hey, maybe we flip this around or do this. I think</li> <li>that's where we can add a lot of value to Snowflake</li> <li>Lodge or even the Incline Beach House, as we become</li> <li>a little bit more intimate with the design and the</li> <li>plan moving forward. They bring those</li> <li>recommendations to us. That could be very helpful.</li> <li>I like leaving those more of the general</li> <li>manager's advisory committees for that purpose.</li> <li>What else do we have on this item?</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: As it relates to the</li> <li>goal, I don't think that the beginning of the</li> <li>sentence should be: To formulate</li> <li>recommendations to the board related to optimizing</li> <li>all aspects of the golf operations, including growth</li> <li>revenues.</li> <li>I think that's what it is. It's</li> <li>clarifying you're formulating recommendations to the</li> </ul>	155	<ul> <li>So whether it's tennis, major improvements at the</li> <li>tennis courts, Snowflake Lodge, or the Incline Beach</li> <li>House is how did we effectively plan that out and</li> <li>schedule it, because there's only so much that can</li> <li>be done. That's where at the beginning when I</li> <li>talked about looking at our five-year plan and what</li> <li>needs to be done to say, look, all of those things</li> <li>are sitting on our plan, these are our priorities,</li> <li>but from an implementation perspective, how can we</li> <li>actually deliver on these projects and have a really</li> <li>good road map so that we can start ticking things</li> <li>off? Because it seems like sometimes we just jump</li> <li>from one thing to another. If we know this year</li> <li>we're going to do this, this year we're going to do</li> <li>this, it helps us to have a road map because we need</li> <li>to work on Snowflake Lodge, we need to work on the</li> <li>tennis courts, we need to work on the Beach House.</li> <li>But how do we fit all of those things together, and</li> <li>what's the timing that needs to be done?</li> <li>So, I would see all those things should be</li> </ul>	156
<ul> <li>few people involved as the process was moving</li> <li>forward where there was a little bit of advisory on,</li> <li>hey, maybe we flip this around or do this. I think</li> <li>that's where we can add a lot of value to Snowflake</li> <li>Lodge or even the Incline Beach House, as we become</li> <li>a little bit more intimate with the design and the</li> <li>plan moving forward. They bring those</li> <li>recommendations to us. That could be very helpful.</li> <li>I like leaving those more of the general</li> <li>manager's advisory committees for that purpose.</li> <li>What else do we have on this item?</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: As it relates to the</li> <li>goal, I don't think that the beginning of the</li> <li>sentence should be included, but the ending of it, I</li> <li>like, and that would be: To formulate</li> <li>recommendations to the board related to optimizing</li> <li>all aspects of the golf operations, including growth</li> <li>revenues.</li> <li>I think that's what it is. It's</li> <li>clarifying you're formulating recommendations to the</li> </ul>	155	<ul> <li>So whether it's tennis, major improvements at the</li> <li>tennis courts, Snowflake Lodge, or the Incline Beach</li> <li>House is how did we effectively plan that out and</li> <li>schedule it, because there's only so much that can</li> <li>be done. That's where at the beginning when I</li> <li>talked about looking at our five-year plan and what</li> <li>needs to be done to say, look, all of those things</li> <li>are sitting on our plan, these are our priorities,</li> <li>but from an implementation perspective, how can we</li> <li>actually deliver on these projects and have a really</li> <li>good road map so that we can start ticking things</li> <li>off? Because it seems like sometimes we just jump</li> <li>from one thing to another. If we know this year</li> <li>we're going to do this, this year we're going to do</li> <li>this, it helps us to have a road map because we need</li> <li>to work on Snowflake Lodge, we need to work on the</li> <li>But how do we fit all of those things together, and</li> <li>what's the timing that needs to be done?</li> <li>So, I would see all those things should be</li> <li>addressed as part of that capital investment</li> </ul>	156
<ul> <li>few people involved as the process was moving</li> <li>forward where there was a little bit of advisory on,</li> <li>hey, maybe we flip this around or do this. I think</li> <li>that's where we can add a lot of value to Snowflake</li> <li>Lodge or even the Incline Beach House, as we become</li> <li>a little bit more intimate with the design and the</li> <li>plan moving forward. They bring those</li> <li>recommendations to us. That could be very helpful.</li> <li>I like leaving those more of the general</li> <li>manager's advisory committees for that purpose.</li> <li>What else do we have on this item?</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: As it relates to the</li> <li>goal, I don't think that the beginning of the</li> <li>sentence should be included, but the ending of it, I</li> <li>like, and that would be: To formulate</li> <li>recommendations to the board related to optimizing</li> <li>all aspects of the golf operations, including growth</li> <li>revenues.</li> <li>I think that's what it is. It's</li> <li>clarifying you're formulating recommendations to the</li> <li>Board on those things. So if you're okay with that,</li> <li>that would clarify it.</li> </ul>	155	<ul> <li>So whether it's tennis, major improvements at the</li> <li>tennis courts, Snowflake Lodge, or the Incline Beach</li> <li>House is how did we effectively plan that out and</li> <li>schedule it, because there's only so much that can</li> <li>be done. That's where at the beginning when I</li> <li>talked about looking at our five-year plan and what</li> <li>needs to be done to say, look, all of those things</li> <li>are sitting on our plan, these are our priorities,</li> <li>but from an implementation perspective, how can we</li> <li>actually deliver on these projects and have a really</li> <li>good road map so that we can start ticking things</li> <li>off? Because it seems like sometimes we just jump</li> <li>from one thing to another. If we know this year</li> <li>we're going to do this, this year we're going to do</li> <li>this, it helps us to have a road map because we need</li> <li>to work on Snowflake Lodge, we need to work on the</li> <li>tennis courts, we need to work on the Beach House.</li> <li>But how do we fit all of those things together, and</li> <li>what's the timing that needs to be done?</li> <li>So, I would see all those things should be</li> <li>addressed as part of that capital investment</li> <li>committee.</li> </ul>	156
<ul> <li>few people involved as the process was moving</li> <li>forward where there was a little bit of advisory on,</li> <li>hey, maybe we flip this around or do this. I think</li> <li>that's where we can add a lot of value to Snowflake</li> <li>Lodge or even the Incline Beach House, as we become</li> <li>a little bit more intimate with the design and the</li> <li>plan moving forward. They bring those</li> <li>recommendations to us. That could be very helpful.</li> <li>I like leaving those more of the general</li> <li>manager's advisory committees for that purpose.</li> <li>What else do we have on this item?</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: As it relates to the</li> <li>goal, I don't think that the beginning of the</li> <li>sentence should be included, but the ending of it, I</li> <li>like, and that would be: To formulate</li> <li>recommendations to the board related to optimizing</li> <li>all aspects of the golf operations, including growth</li> <li>revenues.</li> <li>I think that's what it is. It's</li> <li>clarifying you're formulating recommendations to the</li> <li>Board on those things. So if you're okay with that,</li> <li>that would clarify it.</li> <li>From my perspective, the capital</li> </ul>	155	<ul> <li>So whether it's tennis, major improvements at the</li> <li>tennis courts, Snowflake Lodge, or the Incline Beach</li> <li>House is how did we effectively plan that out and</li> <li>schedule it, because there's only so much that can</li> <li>be done. That's where at the beginning when I</li> <li>talked about looking at our five-year plan and what</li> <li>needs to be done to say, look, all of those things</li> <li>are sitting on our plan, these are our priorities,</li> <li>but from an implementation perspective, how can we</li> <li>actually deliver on these projects and have a really</li> <li>good road map so that we can start ticking things</li> <li>off? Because it seems like sometimes we just jump</li> <li>from one thing to another. If we know this year</li> <li>we're going to do this, this year we're going to do</li> <li>this, it helps us to have a road map because we need</li> <li>to work on Snowflake Lodge, we need to work on the</li> <li>tennis courts, we need to work on the Beach House.</li> <li>But how do we fit all of those things together, and</li> <li>what's the timing that needs to be done?</li> <li>So, I would see all those things should be</li> <li>addressed as part of that capital investment</li> <li>committee.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah, I think that's a</li> </ul>	156

		457		450
1	that it's not just the group that sheds light.	157	1 it's much easier for the Board to make a decision,	158
2	They're prioritized in terms of the strategic plan		2 whether it's a sensible investment, whether we want	
3	and where it goes, and also what's doable and what		3 to move ahead with it. I would see it as being able	
4	the financial impacts are.		4 to reduce the time to breaking ground, if you like.	
5	I think one of my frustrations as a board		5 CHAIR DENT: Is there any conflict for,	
6	member in six months has been we lose a lot of time,		6 let's say, community members to sit on multiple	
7	proposals come in from staff, and then going back.		7 committees, or even the audit committee and sit on	
8	And we go back and forth and we miss the		8 something like this? Do we just need to put some	
9	construction season. I would have thought, based on		9 guidelines in here as it relates to that?	
10	the guidance from the board last year, we would be		10 MR. NELSON: That's pretty much up to the	
11	breaking ground on the Beach House project by now.		11 Board's discretion. That would be my only comment.	
12	I see this as a good way cut out a lot of		12 However the Board wants to move forward, and you	
13	wasted time with two weeks between Board meetings,		13 could even authorize staff to start advertising for	
14	if something comes back and there's changes		14 these committees this evening.	
15	required, there's more changes, it could be a six-		15 I would recommend we bring back, taking	
16	to eight-week process, and we lose time.		16 the direction we received this evening, some charter	
17	For a venue manager, I see General Manager		17 or policy or resolution that outlines sort of the	
18	Bandelin nodding his head there. I know Mike gets		18 guidelines that are going to apply to each of these	
19	the same frustrations. If we look at the time it		19 committees. Because, as we've seen with the audit	
20	took to get the RFID project approved and in place		20 committee and others, inevitably, as they try to	
21	and then we ended up missing it for the half the		21 implement things, things come up, and we want to	
22	season.		22 give them guidelines as to how we expect them to	
23	I would see the capital committee as being		23 operate.	
24	a way to make sure most of these questions are		24 CHAIR DENT: What do you need from us on	
25	actually answered before it comes to the Board so		25 that? Or do have enough information to kind of	
		159	1	160
1	draft something up for us to bring back?	159	1 would like to take the lead on the capital	160
1 2	draft something up for us to bring back? MR. NELSON: I think I have enough	159		160
		159	1 would like to take the lead on the capital	160
2	MR. NELSON: I think I have enough	159	<ol> <li>would like to take the lead on the capital</li> <li>investment committee. And I would like to nominate</li> </ol>	160
2 3	MR. NELSON: I think I have enough information, provided the Board's comfortable with	159	<ol> <li>would like to take the lead on the capital</li> <li>investment committee. And I would like to nominate</li> <li>Trustee Dent to work on the golf committee.</li> </ol>	160
2 3	MR. NELSON: I think I have enough information, provided the Board's comfortable with the balance of what's on 1006 that we haven't really	159	<ol> <li>would like to take the lead on the capital</li> <li>investment committee. And I would like to nominate</li> <li>Trustee Dent to work on the golf committee.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I know Trustee Tonking is</li> </ol>	160
2 3 4 5	MR. NELSON: I think I have enough information, provided the Board's comfortable with the balance of what's on 1006 that we haven't really discussed as far as how many people are on the	159	<ol> <li>would like to take the lead on the capital</li> <li>investment committee. And I would like to nominate</li> <li>Trustee Dent to work on the golf committee.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I know Trustee Tonking is</li> <li>the liaison, and she had requested to be on this</li> </ol>	160
2 3 4 5 6	MR. NELSON: I think I have enough information, provided the Board's comfortable with the balance of what's on 1006 that we haven't really discussed as far as how many people are on the committee, talk a little bit about terms. Those are	159	<ol> <li>would like to take the lead on the capital</li> <li>investment committee. And I would like to nominate</li> <li>Trustee Dent to work on the golf committee.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I know Trustee Tonking is</li> <li>the liaison, and she had requested to be on this</li> <li>one. I think she would be a good trustee for golf</li> </ol>	160
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. NELSON: I think I have enough information, provided the Board's comfortable with the balance of what's on 1006 that we haven't really discussed as far as how many people are on the committee, talk a little bit about terms. Those are the nuts and bolts that assuming we're good with	159	<ol> <li>would like to take the lead on the capital</li> <li>investment committee. And I would like to nominate</li> <li>Trustee Dent to work on the golf committee.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I know Trustee Tonking is</li> <li>the liaison, and she had requested to be on this</li> <li>one. I think she would be a good trustee for golf</li> <li>in this instance. I think you have plenty on your</li> </ol>	160
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. NELSON: I think I have enough information, provided the Board's comfortable with the balance of what's on 1006 that we haven't really discussed as far as how many people are on the committee, talk a little bit about terms. Those are the nuts and bolts that assuming we're good with this, I can bring something back.	159	<ol> <li>would like to take the lead on the capital</li> <li>investment committee. And I would like to nominate</li> <li>Trustee Dent to work on the golf committee.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I know Trustee Tonking is</li> <li>the liaison, and she had requested to be on this</li> <li>one. I think she would be a good trustee for golf</li> <li>in this instance. I think you have plenty on your</li> <li>plate, and there's other I think Trustee Tonking</li> </ol>	160
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. NELSON: I think I have enough information, provided the Board's comfortable with the balance of what's on 1006 that we haven't really discussed as far as how many people are on the committee, talk a little bit about terms. Those are the nuts and bolts that assuming we're good with this, I can bring something back. TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'm happy volunteer to	159	<ol> <li>would like to take the lead on the capital</li> <li>investment committee. And I would like to nominate</li> <li>Trustee Dent to work on the golf committee.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I know Trustee Tonking is</li> <li>the liaison, and she had requested to be on this</li> <li>one. I think she would be a good trustee for golf</li> <li>in this instance. I think you have plenty on your</li> <li>plate, and there's other I think Trustee Tonking</li> <li>would be appropriate in this instance.</li> </ol>	160
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. NELSON: I think I have enough information, provided the Board's comfortable with the balance of what's on 1006 that we haven't really discussed as far as how many people are on the committee, talk a little bit about terms. Those are the nuts and bolts that assuming we're good with this, I can bring something back. TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'm happy volunteer to work with general counsel on the capital investment	159	<ol> <li>would like to take the lead on the capital</li> <li>investment committee. And I would like to nominate</li> <li>Trustee Dent to work on the golf committee.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I know Trustee Tonking is</li> <li>the liaison, and she had requested to be on this</li> <li>one. I think she would be a good trustee for golf</li> <li>in this instance. I think you have plenty on your</li> <li>plate, and there's other I think Trustee Tonking</li> <li>would be appropriate in this instance.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: I will respectfully decline</li> <li>for the golf committee, but I appreciate that. I do</li> <li>have a lot going on.</li> </ol>	160
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. NELSON: I think I have enough information, provided the Board's comfortable with the balance of what's on 1006 that we haven't really discussed as far as how many people are on the committee, talk a little bit about terms. Those are the nuts and bolts that assuming we're good with this, I can bring something back. TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'm happy volunteer to work with general counsel on the capital investment committee and refine the charter for that. CHAIR DENT: Would it be a different charter for each committee? Is that where you're	159	<ol> <li>would like to take the lead on the capital</li> <li>investment committee. And I would like to nominate</li> <li>Trustee Dent to work on the golf committee.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I know Trustee Tonking is</li> <li>the liaison, and she had requested to be on this</li> <li>one. I think she would be a good trustee for golf</li> <li>in this instance. I think you have plenty on your</li> <li>plate, and there's other I think Trustee Tonking</li> <li>would be appropriate in this instance.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: I will respectfully decline</li> <li>for the golf committee, but I appreciate that. I do</li> <li>have a lot going on.</li> <li>Is anyone else interested in being on the</li> </ol>	160
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. NELSON: I think I have enough information, provided the Board's comfortable with the balance of what's on 1006 that we haven't really discussed as far as how many people are on the committee, talk a little bit about terms. Those are the nuts and bolts that assuming we're good with this, I can bring something back. TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'm happy volunteer to work with general counsel on the capital investment committee and refine the charter for that. CHAIR DENT: Would it be a different	159	<ol> <li>would like to take the lead on the capital</li> <li>investment committee. And I would like to nominate</li> <li>Trustee Dent to work on the golf committee.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I know Trustee Tonking is</li> <li>the liaison, and she had requested to be on this</li> <li>one. I think she would be a good trustee for golf</li> <li>in this instance. I think you have plenty on your</li> <li>plate, and there's other I think Trustee Tonking</li> <li>would be appropriate in this instance.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: I will respectfully decline</li> <li>for the golf committee, but I appreciate that. I do</li> <li>have a lot going on.</li> <li>Is anyone else interested in being on the</li> <li>golf committee? Okay. If not, then we would assume</li> </ol>	160
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. NELSON: I think I have enough information, provided the Board's comfortable with the balance of what's on 1006 that we haven't really discussed as far as how many people are on the committee, talk a little bit about terms. Those are the nuts and bolts that assuming we're good with this, I can bring something back. TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'm happy volunteer to work with general counsel on the capital investment committee and refine the charter for that. CHAIR DENT: Would it be a different charter for each committee? Is that where you're going or just a general MR. NELSON: I was thinking we will want	159	<ol> <li>would like to take the lead on the capital</li> <li>investment committee. And I would like to nominate</li> <li>Trustee Dent to work on the golf committee.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I know Trustee Tonking is</li> <li>the liaison, and she had requested to be on this</li> <li>one. I think she would be a good trustee for golf</li> <li>in this instance. I think you have plenty on your</li> <li>plate, and there's other I think Trustee Tonking</li> <li>would be appropriate in this instance.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: I will respectfully decline</li> <li>for the golf committee, but I appreciate that. I do</li> <li>have a lot going on.</li> <li>Is anyone else interested in being on the</li> </ol>	160
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. NELSON: I think I have enough information, provided the Board's comfortable with the balance of what's on 1006 that we haven't really discussed as far as how many people are on the committee, talk a little bit about terms. Those are the nuts and bolts that assuming we're good with this, I can bring something back. TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'm happy volunteer to work with general counsel on the capital investment committee and refine the charter for that. CHAIR DENT: Would it be a different charter for each committee? Is that where you're going or just a general	159	<ol> <li>would like to take the lead on the capital</li> <li>investment committee. And I would like to nominate</li> <li>Trustee Dent to work on the golf committee.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I know Trustee Tonking is</li> <li>the liaison, and she had requested to be on this</li> <li>one. I think she would be a good trustee for golf</li> <li>in this instance. I think you have plenty on your</li> <li>plate, and there's other I think Trustee Tonking</li> <li>would be appropriate in this instance.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: I will respectfully decline</li> <li>for the golf committee, but I appreciate that. I do</li> <li>have a lot going on.</li> <li>Is anyone else interested in being on the</li> <li>golf committee? Okay. If not, then we would assume</li> </ol>	160
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. NELSON: I think I have enough information, provided the Board's comfortable with the balance of what's on 1006 that we haven't really discussed as far as how many people are on the committee, talk a little bit about terms. Those are the nuts and bolts that assuming we're good with this, I can bring something back. TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'm happy volunteer to work with general counsel on the capital investment committee and refine the charter for that. CHAIR DENT: Would it be a different charter for each committee? Is that where you're going or just a general MR. NELSON: I was thinking we will want	159	<ol> <li>would like to take the lead on the capital</li> <li>investment committee. And I would like to nominate</li> <li>Trustee Dent to work on the golf committee.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I know Trustee Tonking is</li> <li>the liaison, and she had requested to be on this</li> <li>one. I think she would be a good trustee for golf</li> <li>in this instance. I think you have plenty on your</li> <li>plate, and there's other I think Trustee Tonking</li> <li>would be appropriate in this instance.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: I will respectfully decline</li> <li>for the golf committee, but I appreciate that. I do</li> <li>have a lot going on.</li> <li>Is anyone else interested in being on the</li> <li>golf committee? Okay. If not, then we would assume</li> <li>it would be Trustee Tonking. Yeah. That's fine.</li> <li>Legal, do you need anything else from us?</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Nope. I'm comfortable with</li> </ol>	160
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. NELSON: I think I have enough information, provided the Board's comfortable with the balance of what's on 1006 that we haven't really discussed as far as how many people are on the committee, talk a little bit about terms. Those are the nuts and bolts that assuming we're good with this, I can bring something back. TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'm happy volunteer to work with general counsel on the capital investment committee and refine the charter for that. CHAIR DENT: Would it be a different charter for each committee? Is that where you're going or just a general MR. NELSON: I was thinking we will want one for each committee, but then we also will want	159	<ol> <li>would like to take the lead on the capital</li> <li>investment committee. And I would like to nominate</li> <li>Trustee Dent to work on the golf committee.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I know Trustee Tonking is</li> <li>the liaison, and she had requested to be on this</li> <li>one. I think she would be a good trustee for golf</li> <li>in this instance. I think you have plenty on your</li> <li>plate, and there's other I think Trustee Tonking</li> <li>would be appropriate in this instance.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: I will respectfully decline</li> <li>for the golf committee, but I appreciate that. I do</li> <li>have a lot going on.</li> <li>Is anyone else interested in being on the</li> <li>golf committee? Okay. If not, then we would assume</li> <li>it would be Trustee Tonking. Yeah. That's fine.</li> <li>Legal, do you need anything else from us?</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Nope. I'm comfortable with</li> <li>the direction. Of course, if the Board would prefer</li> </ol>	160
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. NELSON: I think I have enough information, provided the Board's comfortable with the balance of what's on 1006 that we haven't really discussed as far as how many people are on the committee, talk a little bit about terms. Those are the nuts and bolts that assuming we're good with this, I can bring something back. TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'm happy volunteer to work with general counsel on the capital investment committee and refine the charter for that. CHAIR DENT: Would it be a different charter for each committee? Is that where you're going or just a general MR. NELSON: I was thinking we will want one for each committee, but then we also will want general rules that apply. And you'll remember, we	159	<ol> <li>would like to take the lead on the capital</li> <li>investment committee. And I would like to nominate</li> <li>Trustee Dent to work on the golf committee.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I know Trustee Tonking is</li> <li>the liaison, and she had requested to be on this</li> <li>one. I think she would be a good trustee for golf</li> <li>in this instance. I think you have plenty on your</li> <li>plate, and there's other I think Trustee Tonking</li> <li>would be appropriate in this instance.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: I will respectfully decline</li> <li>for the golf committee, but I appreciate that. I do</li> <li>have a lot going on.</li> <li>Is anyone else interested in being on the</li> <li>golf committee? Okay. If not, then we would assume</li> <li>it would be Trustee Tonking. Yeah. That's fine.</li> <li>Legal, do you need anything else from us?</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Nope. I'm comfortable with</li> </ol>	160
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. NELSON: I think I have enough information, provided the Board's comfortable with the balance of what's on 1006 that we haven't really discussed as far as how many people are on the committee, talk a little bit about terms. Those are the nuts and bolts that assuming we're good with this, I can bring something back. TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'm happy volunteer to work with general counsel on the capital investment committee and refine the charter for that. CHAIR DENT: Would it be a different charter for each committee? Is that where you're going or just a general MR. NELSON: I was thinking we will want one for each committee, but then we also will want general rules that apply. And you'll remember, we have a little bit of guidance in this in, I think,	159	<ol> <li>would like to take the lead on the capital</li> <li>investment committee. And I would like to nominate</li> <li>Trustee Dent to work on the golf committee.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I know Trustee Tonking is</li> <li>the liaison, and she had requested to be on this</li> <li>one. I think she would be a good trustee for golf</li> <li>in this instance. I think you have plenty on your</li> <li>plate, and there's other I think Trustee Tonking</li> <li>would be appropriate in this instance.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: I will respectfully decline</li> <li>for the golf committee, but I appreciate that. I do</li> <li>have a lot going on.</li> <li>Is anyone else interested in being on the</li> <li>golf committee? Okay. If not, then we would assume</li> <li>it would be Trustee Tonking. Yeah. That's fine.</li> <li>Legal, do you need anything else from us?</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Nope. I'm comfortable with</li> <li>the direction. Of course, if the Board would prefer</li> </ol>	160
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. NELSON: I think I have enough information, provided the Board's comfortable with the balance of what's on 1006 that we haven't really discussed as far as how many people are on the committee, talk a little bit about terms. Those are the nuts and bolts that assuming we're good with this, I can bring something back. TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'm happy volunteer to work with general counsel on the capital investment committee and refine the charter for that. CHAIR DENT: Would it be a different charter for each committee? Is that where you're going or just a general MR. NELSON: I was thinking we will want one for each committee, but then we also will want general rules that apply. And you'll remember, we have a little bit of guidance in this in, I think, 3.1. So we will try to build on that as much as possible. We don't want a bunch of different rules	159	<ol> <li>would like to take the lead on the capital</li> <li>investment committee. And I would like to nominate</li> <li>Trustee Dent to work on the golf committee.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I know Trustee Tonking is</li> <li>the liaison, and she had requested to be on this</li> <li>one. I think she would be a good trustee for golf</li> <li>in this instance. I think you have plenty on your</li> <li>plate, and there's other I think Trustee Tonking</li> <li>would be appropriate in this instance.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: I will respectfully decline</li> <li>for the golf committee, but I appreciate that. I do</li> <li>have a lot going on.</li> <li>Is anyone else interested in being on the</li> <li>golf committee? Okay. If not, then we would assume</li> <li>it would be Trustee Tonking. Yeah. That's fine.</li> <li>Legal, do you need anything else from us?</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Nope. I'm comfortable with</li> <li>the direction. Of course, if the Board would prefer</li> <li>a motion, you can. If not, we'll start advertising</li> </ol>	160
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. NELSON: I think I have enough information, provided the Board's comfortable with the balance of what's on 1006 that we haven't really discussed as far as how many people are on the committee, talk a little bit about terms. Those are the nuts and bolts that assuming we're good with this, I can bring something back. TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'm happy volunteer to work with general counsel on the capital investment committee and refine the charter for that. CHAIR DENT: Would it be a different charter for each committee? Is that where you're going or just a general MR. NELSON: I was thinking we will want one for each committee, but then we also will want general rules that apply. And you'll remember, we have a little bit of guidance in this in, I think, 3.1. So we will try to build on that as much as possible. We don't want a bunch of different rules for different types of committees. CHAIR DENT: Fair. I like that idea.	159	<ol> <li>would like to take the lead on the capital</li> <li>investment committee. And I would like to nominate</li> <li>Trustee Dent to work on the golf committee.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I know Trustee Tonking is</li> <li>the liaison, and she had requested to be on this</li> <li>one. I think she would be a good trustee for golf</li> <li>in this instance. I think you have plenty on your</li> <li>plate, and there's other I think Trustee Tonking</li> <li>would be appropriate in this instance.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: I will respectfully decline</li> <li>for the golf committee, but I appreciate that. I do</li> <li>have a lot going on.</li> <li>Is anyone else interested in being on the</li> <li>golf committee? Okay. If not, then we would assume</li> <li>it would be Trustee Tonking. Yeah. That's fine.</li> <li>Legal, do you need anything else from us?</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Nope. I'm comfortable with</li> <li>the direction. Of course, if the Board would prefer</li> <li>a motion, you can. If not, we'll start advertising</li> <li>for two committees, and I'll work with Trustee</li> </ol>	160
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. NELSON: I think I have enough information, provided the Board's comfortable with the balance of what's on 1006 that we haven't really discussed as far as how many people are on the committee, talk a little bit about terms. Those are the nuts and bolts that assuming we're good with this, I can bring something back. TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'm happy volunteer to work with general counsel on the capital investment committee and refine the charter for that. CHAIR DENT: Would it be a different charter for each committee? Is that where you're going or just a general MR. NELSON: I was thinking we will want one for each committee, but then we also will want general rules that apply. And you'll remember, we have a little bit of guidance in this in, I think, 3.1. So we will try to build on that as much as possible. We don't want a bunch of different rules for different types of committees.	159	<ol> <li>would like to take the lead on the capital</li> <li>investment committee. And I would like to nominate</li> <li>Trustee Dent to work on the golf committee.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I know Trustee Tonking is</li> <li>the liaison, and she had requested to be on this</li> <li>one. I think she would be a good trustee for golf</li> <li>in this instance. I think you have plenty on your</li> <li>plate, and there's other I think Trustee Tonking</li> <li>would be appropriate in this instance.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: I will respectfully decline</li> <li>for the golf committee, but I appreciate that. I do</li> <li>have a lot going on.</li> <li>Is anyone else interested in being on the</li> <li>golf committee? Okay. If not, then we would assume</li> <li>it would be Trustee Tonking. Yeah. That's fine.</li> <li>Legal, do you need anything else from us?</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Nope. I'm comfortable with</li> <li>the direction. Of course, if the Board would prefer</li> <li>a motion, you can. If not, we'll start advertising</li> <li>for two committees, and I'll work with Trustee</li> <li>Tonking on the golf charter and Trustee Tulloch on</li> <li>the capital improvement investment to be brought</li> <li>back for the Board's consideration and approval.</li> </ol>	160
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. NELSON: I think I have enough information, provided the Board's comfortable with the balance of what's on 1006 that we haven't really discussed as far as how many people are on the committee, talk a little bit about terms. Those are the nuts and bolts that assuming we're good with this, I can bring something back. TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'm happy volunteer to work with general counsel on the capital investment committee and refine the charter for that. CHAIR DENT: Would it be a different charter for each committee? Is that where you're going or just a general MR. NELSON: I was thinking we will want one for each committee, but then we also will want general rules that apply. And you'll remember, we have a little bit of guidance in this in, I think, 3.1. So we will try to build on that as much as possible. We don't want a bunch of different rules for different types of committees. CHAIR DENT: Fair. I like that idea. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I would like for us to advertise for these so that we can get these moving	159	<ol> <li>would like to take the lead on the capital</li> <li>investment committee. And I would like to nominate</li> <li>Trustee Dent to work on the golf committee.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I know Trustee Tonking is</li> <li>the liaison, and she had requested to be on this</li> <li>one. I think she would be a good trustee for golf</li> <li>in this instance. I think you have plenty on your</li> <li>plate, and there's other I think Trustee Tonking</li> <li>would be appropriate in this instance.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: I will respectfully decline</li> <li>for the golf committee, but I appreciate that. I do</li> <li>have a lot going on.</li> <li>Is anyone else interested in being on the</li> <li>golf committee? Okay. If not, then we would assume</li> <li>it would be Trustee Tonking. Yeah. That's fine.</li> <li>Legal, do you need anything else from us?</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Nope. I'm comfortable with</li> <li>the direction. Of course, if the Board would prefer</li> <li>a motion, you can. If not, we'll start advertising</li> <li>for two committees, and I'll work with Trustee</li> <li>Tonking on the golf charter and Trustee Tulloch on</li> <li>the capital improvement investment to be brought</li> <li>back for the Board's consideration and approval.</li> </ol>	160
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. NELSON: I think I have enough information, provided the Board's comfortable with the balance of what's on 1006 that we haven't really discussed as far as how many people are on the committee, talk a little bit about terms. Those are the nuts and bolts that assuming we're good with this, I can bring something back. TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I'm happy volunteer to work with general counsel on the capital investment committee and refine the charter for that. CHAIR DENT: Would it be a different charter for each committee? Is that where you're going or just a general MR. NELSON: I was thinking we will want one for each committee, but then we also will want general rules that apply. And you'll remember, we have a little bit of guidance in this in, I think, 3.1. So we will try to build on that as much as possible. We don't want a bunch of different rules for different types of committees. CHAIR DENT: Fair. I like that idea. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I would like for us to	159	<ol> <li>would like to take the lead on the capital</li> <li>investment committee. And I would like to nominate</li> <li>Trustee Dent to work on the golf committee.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: I know Trustee Tonking is</li> <li>the liaison, and she had requested to be on this</li> <li>one. I think she would be a good trustee for golf</li> <li>in this instance. I think you have plenty on your</li> <li>plate, and there's other I think Trustee Tonking</li> <li>would be appropriate in this instance.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: I will respectfully decline</li> <li>for the golf committee, but I appreciate that. I do</li> <li>have a lot going on.</li> <li>Is anyone else interested in being on the</li> <li>golf committee? Okay. If not, then we would assume</li> <li>it would be Trustee Tonking. Yeah. That's fine.</li> <li>Legal, do you need anything else from us?</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Nope. I'm comfortable with</li> <li>the direction. Of course, if the Board would prefer</li> <li>a motion, you can. If not, we'll start advertising</li> <li>for two committees, and I'll work with Trustee</li> <li>Tonking on the golf charter and Trustee Tulloch on</li> <li>the capital improvement investment to be brought</li> <li>back for the Board's consideration and approval.</li> </ol>	160

161 162 1 MR. NELSON: We don't. Unless you'd like TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: It's actually called --1 2 to. on their invoice, it actually is called the 2 3 CHAIR DENT: We're good. We'll pass. 3 "condensed version." And so I believe they send to 4 That closes out item G 5. Moving on to item G 6, 4 staff this version and then the condensed version. 5 formerly G 5. 5 And if the condensed version is legible in print and 6 G 6. 6 it's much less paper, I would suggest that. 7 7 CHAIR DENT: That would be half or a CHAIR DENT: Review, discuss, and possibly 8 provide direction on Board meeting minutes. 8 quarter of this 654 pages for meeting minutes. All 9 Requesting trustee Trustee Schmitz. And I think 9 right. Is there anything else to discuss on this 10 this was put on the agenda by accident, but we came 10 item? up with a reason why we're discussing this tonight. 11 All right. As far as direction, Josh? 11 12 TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: Thank you. I believe 12 MR. NELSON: No. I was getting ready for 13 that Ms. Herron has shared with me in the past what 13 the next one. I'm sorry. 14 we are delivered from our meeting minutes from the 14 CHAIR DENT: All right. So I think we 15 court reporter, and I believe there's something 15 will go to Ms. Herron, general manager, see if we called a "condensed version," and it isn't anything 16 can put the condensed version in here, and that will 16 17 other than multiple pages on one page. Given how close out item G 5. Moving on to item G 6. 17 18 large this font is, you know, I read these, I find 18 G 6. CHAIR DENT: Reconsider Policy 22.1.0, 19 them easy to read, but it's heck of a lot of paper. 19 20 If we could use the condensed version, as long as disclosure of external entity involvement, discuss, 20 21 it's legible, I think that would greatly reduce the 21 and potentially adopt edits to Policy 22.1.0, potentially suspend Policy 22.1.0 as the Board of 22 paper, because they are what they are at this moment 22 23 in time, but that's my suggestion. Trustees considers potential edits. Requesting 23 24 CHAIR DENT: The suggestion is to use the 24 staff member District General Counsel Josh Nelson. 25 meeting minutes that have two or four pages? Okay. 25 Found on pages 1082 to 1082 of your board packet. 163 164 Legal counsel? of its consideration requires a written request from 1 1 two trustees. And I received requests from Trustees 2 MR. NELSON: Policy 22.1 does require 2 3 disclosure of internal/external entity involvement. 3 Tonking and Noble, so it is on the agenda for this 4 That was adopted earlier this year. 4 evening. 5 5 The policy provides that qualifying In addition to the requests about the BRET 6 trustees, audit committee members, and staff need to 6 and types of entities that need to be disclosed, I 7 disclose their involvement in certain entities, 7 wanted to make sure the Board was aware that there 8 those disclosures are filed guarterly, and the first 8 actually was a bill that was ultimately adopted by report on the policy is actually due in a couple of 9 9 the legislature this year that would have 10 days on July 15th. 10 prohibited, in part, local governments from 11 Staff distributed the draft disclosure 11 requiring members or those who have donated funds to 12 forms in accordance with the policy. We received 12 non-profits to disclose their identity, and would 13 some concerns from some required filers. Those have made that information not a public record. 13 14 concerns are set forth in a little bit more detail 14 You'll remember that the policy requires all 15 in the staff report, but just generally, we would 15 disclosures to be public record. say they could be summarized as concerns the policy, 16 16 So, stated simply, if this bill had become 17 as drafted, requires disclosure of entities that may law, our policy would have been inconsistent with 17 18 not have a connection to IVGID. it. The Governor ultimately vetoed that bill, so it 18 19 That those concerns, I think, echoed some 19 is not law. I want to clarify. That being said, I 20 of the considerations the Board discussed when you think it does raise public policy considerations 20 21 were considering the initial policy. For that 21 that if the Board was to reconsider the policy, I 22 reason, I sent out a note to the Board asking if 22 wanted to make sure you were aware of so you can 23 anyone was interested in reconsidering the policy. 23 consider. 24 As a reminder, under Policy 3.1, reconsidering any 24 So this -- you have a lot of discretion as 25 item that's gone to the Board within the six months 25 to how to move forward this evening. The first

	165		·
1	thing you could do is make no changes to the policy	1	Previously, we had a very broad, very broad
2	and continue as is. The second is you could	2	definition of that, which included associations,
3	consider the proposed edits, which we've included	3	businesses, or entities, however organized. There
4	for your consideration, and I'll go through those in	4	was some concerns that that could include things
5	a second. Or a third, you could decide this	5	like personal trusts that own property. It could
6	requires a little bit more discussion, so why don't	6	include employer stock program. It could include
7	we suspend the policy, and then give us direction as	7	potentially one person's clients. So as drafted, it
8	to how you would like to see future edits brought	8	would be limited to corporations, limited liability
9	back at a meeting down the road.	9	companies, partnerships, and sole proprietors/sole
10	For the second item, we have included red	10	proprietorships.
11	lines in the packet which make changes. You could	11	Then the last potential modification
12	consider all or any of those red lines. The first	12	consistent with the bill that would have been
13	proposed type of red line would be to limit the	13	proposed and considered by the legislature would
14	geographic location in which qualifying groups are	14	exclude members and would focus on those who have an
15	located in. I want to emphasize as set forth in the	15	ownership interest, employees, or an officer of a
16	red lines, it isn't just those that are	16	qualifying group.
17	headquartered in the local community. Rather, as	17	And then the last consideration is just a
18	drafted, qualifying groups would be limited to those	18	timing one. If you do decide to make edits to the
19	entities that are located in, operated within, or	19	policy, would request that you either suspend the
20	owner/lease property within the California/Nevada	20	policy, or if you adopt edits this evening for this
21	counties that sort of comprise the Reno/Tahoe area.	21	quarter only, disclosure forms would be due at the
22	The second potential type of edit or red	22	end of the month, as opposed to July 15th, just to
23	line for the Board to consider would be providing	23	provide folks an opportunity to get their form and
24	more clarity as to the types of groups that are	24	submit in time.
25	qualified for disclosure under the policy.	25	With that, I'd be happy to answer any
		1	

167

105

1 questions.

2 CHAIR DENT: Any questions? 3 TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I have a question. In 4 the paragraph where it talks about sole proprietors 5 that are located in or operate on, operating on 6 doesn't necessarily insinuate influence, because 7 with things being online, is it -- could we also add 8 that there would be, you know, having the ability to 9 influence in these locations? I just don't know 10 "operating on," that's like a physical place, but we 11 have a lot of things that are potentially on the internet, they're not operating on anything. 12 They're out there, but they potentially could have 13 14 influence on our area and on our community. 15 So, that's sort of one of my questions. 16 Because this is looking very physical, like it's 17 located or operating on, but sometimes there's 18 things that can have an influence on our community 19 that -- via internet question there. 20 Then my only other question is, and I can 21 only use this as an example, I believe that our 22 former general manager was identified as a community 23 liaison for a non-profit. How does that -- how does 24 a community liaison fit in? Is that an officer? I 25 don't think it is. So, that's the only other

question I have. 1 2 MR. NELSON: Yeah, community liaison, it 3 would depend on how the organization is set up 4 whether it would be an officer. But that's 5 certainly one we could add as a red line, if we 6 wanted to call that out specifically given the 7 concerns we ran into with that type of issue. That 8 would be a very easy add-on on that one. 9 Influence, we can certainly add that. I 10 guess my only hesitation would just be that could be 11 a difficult term to interpret or apply, depending on 12 the type of entity and the situation it was. We can 13 try influence or we might try to think and 14 brainstorm some other language that I think would 15 get to the point. Maybe doing business in or could do business in, something like that, or market 16 17 themselves as. Language to that effect might get us 18 there. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I'm open to the 19 20 language. I was really trying to understand or explain the intent. And when I see where it's 21 22 things like physical, sometimes it's not physical. 23 MR. NELSON: No. It's a good point. 24 TRUSTEE NOBLE: I do like the suggestion 25 of, instead of operate on, does business in. I

166

168

	400	
1 think it goes to what I think the majority of the	169 1 be covered, I think, either they operate under or	170
2 Board is getting at when adopting this. I would	2 doing business in. I think to your broader points,	
3 think community liaison or something like that would	3 absolutely, this is reconsideration, and the reason	
4 also be appropriate.	4 it's being reconsidered is because when we sent out	
5 It's something more than just a member.	5 the forms, we received some pretty strong pushback	
6 It's somebody who has influence, and I think that's	6 from some folks who were filers. They provided some	
7 also what the majority of the the intent of the	7 specific examples of types of interests that they	
8 majority of the Board in putting this together.	8 didn't feel needed to be disclosed.	
9 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah, I'm a little bit	9 I felt that they were good points, and I	
10 confused. I've got deja vu here and a bit confused.	10 wanted the Board have the opportunity to reconsider	
11 We seem to be revisiting discussions we've had.	11 its policy.	
12 We've had about three board meetings discussing	12 I would go back to the comments I made at	
13 several things here. You're suggesting changes to	13 the beginning that one option you have is to do	
14 comply with a law that was actually vetoed and	14 absolutely nothing, and the only reason this is	
15 things.	15 before you is because I sent the request: Do you	
16 I think with regard to the geographical	16 want to reconsider this out?	
17 restriction, I think we hashed that out pretty	17 And per 3.1, I received two requests to do	
18 throughly at a previous board meeting, because we	18 so.	
19 deal with national contracts with companies and	19 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: In terms of doing	
20 things, and this would completely remove some of	20 business and somebody could be bidding on a project	
21 these things. I think we made that we left it	21 here, but not currently doing business. You can	
22 wide open to cover that situation.	22 certain have that. I mean, unless they are actually	
23 MR. NELSON: A couple of responses. I	23 physically already doing business, that could be	
24 think the last your last point. A national	24 excluded.	
25 company that was doing business in, the area would	25 I think we've also heard from the public,	
		172
1 we've heard various things, we covered it in the	1 other people and other things.	172
2 FAQ. Talks about ethics complaints, about people	<ol> <li>other people and other things.</li> <li>I mean, I'm for transparency in terms of</li> </ol>	172
<ul><li>2 FAQ. Talks about ethics complaints, about people</li><li>3 not disclosing things. I think that message from</li></ul>	<ol> <li>other people and other things.</li> <li>I mean, I'm for transparency in terms of</li> <li>this, so I'm not sure why we would then restrict it.</li> </ol>	172
<ul><li>2 FAQ. Talks about ethics complaints, about people</li><li>3 not disclosing things. I think that message from</li><li>4 the public was loud and clear, well, we want people</li></ul>	<ol> <li>other people and other things.</li> <li>I mean, I'm for transparency in terms of</li> <li>this, so I'm not sure why we would then restrict it.</li> <li>I thought we hashed all that through.</li> </ol>	172
<ul> <li>2 FAQ. Talks about ethics complaints, about people</li> <li>3 not disclosing things. I think that message from</li> <li>4 the public was loud and clear, well, we want people</li> <li>5 disclosing things. Now we're suggesting that we</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>other people and other things.</li> <li>I mean, I'm for transparency in terms of</li> <li>this, so I'm not sure why we would then restrict it.</li> <li>I thought we hashed all that through.</li> <li>In terms once you start trying to write</li> </ol>	172
<ul> <li>2 FAQ. Talks about ethics complaints, about people</li> <li>3 not disclosing things. I think that message from</li> <li>4 the public was loud and clear, well, we want people</li> <li>5 disclosing things. Now we're suggesting that we</li> <li>6 don't disclose things? It seems weird.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>other people and other things.</li> <li>I mean, I'm for transparency in terms of</li> <li>this, so I'm not sure why we would then restrict it.</li> <li>I thought we hashed all that through.</li> <li>In terms once you start trying to write</li> <li>language to try and cover these different situations</li> </ol>	172
<ul> <li>2 FAQ. Talks about ethics complaints, about people</li> <li>3 not disclosing things. I think that message from</li> <li>4 the public was loud and clear, well, we want people</li> <li>5 disclosing things. Now we're suggesting that we</li> <li>6 don't disclose things? It seems weird.</li> <li>7 MR. NELSON: Just a clarification, I'm not</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>other people and other things.</li> <li>I mean, I'm for transparency in terms of</li> <li>this, so I'm not sure why we would then restrict it.</li> <li>I thought we hashed all that through.</li> <li>In terms once you start trying to write</li> <li>language to try and cover these different situations</li> <li>and try to do all sorts of carve outs, you end up</li> </ol>	172
<ul> <li>2 FAQ. Talks about ethics complaints, about people</li> <li>3 not disclosing things. I think that message from</li> <li>4 the public was loud and clear, well, we want people</li> <li>5 disclosing things. Now we're suggesting that we</li> <li>6 don't disclose things? It seems weird.</li> <li>7 MR. NELSON: Just a clarification, I'm not</li> <li>8 suggesting this isn't intended as a policy</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>other people and other things.</li> <li>I mean, I'm for transparency in terms of</li> <li>this, so I'm not sure why we would then restrict it.</li> <li>I thought we hashed all that through.</li> <li>In terms once you start trying to write</li> <li>language to try and cover these different situations</li> <li>and try to do all sorts of carve outs, you end up</li> <li>with errors of omission in terms of that. That's</li> </ol>	172
<ul> <li>2 FAQ. Talks about ethics complaints, about people</li> <li>3 not disclosing things. I think that message from</li> <li>4 the public was loud and clear, well, we want people</li> <li>5 disclosing things. Now we're suggesting that we</li> <li>6 don't disclose things? It seems weird.</li> <li>7 MR. NELSON: Just a clarification, I'm not</li> <li>8 suggesting this isn't intended as a policy</li> <li>9 recommendation from me. I'm not a policy maker. It</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>other people and other things.</li> <li>I mean, I'm for transparency in terms of</li> <li>this, so I'm not sure why we would then restrict it.</li> <li>I thought we hashed all that through.</li> <li>In terms once you start trying to write</li> <li>language to try and cover these different situations</li> <li>and try to do all sorts of carve outs, you end up</li> <li>with errors of omission in terms of that. That's</li> <li>why I prefer the broader language we had originally.</li> </ol>	172
<ul> <li>2 FAQ. Talks about ethics complaints, about people</li> <li>3 not disclosing things. I think that message from</li> <li>4 the public was loud and clear, well, we want people</li> <li>5 disclosing things. Now we're suggesting that we</li> <li>6 don't disclose things? It seems weird.</li> <li>7 MR. NELSON: Just a clarification, I'm not</li> <li>8 suggesting this isn't intended as a policy</li> <li>9 recommendation from me. I'm not a policy maker. It</li> <li>10 was for me to put something for the Board to react</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>other people and other things.</li> <li>I mean, I'm for transparency in terms of</li> <li>this, so I'm not sure why we would then restrict it.</li> <li>I thought we hashed all that through.</li> <li>In terms once you start trying to write</li> <li>language to try and cover these different situations</li> <li>and try to do all sorts of carve outs, you end up</li> <li>with errors of omission in terms of that. That's</li> <li>why I prefer the broader language we had originally.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Anyone else?</li> </ol>	172
<ul> <li>2 FAQ. Talks about ethics complaints, about people</li> <li>3 not disclosing things. I think that message from</li> <li>4 the public was loud and clear, well, we want people</li> <li>5 disclosing things. Now we're suggesting that we</li> <li>6 don't disclose things? It seems weird.</li> <li>7 MR. NELSON: Just a clarification, I'm not</li> <li>8 suggesting this isn't intended as a policy</li> <li>9 recommendation from me. I'm not a policy maker. It</li> <li>10 was for me to put something for the Board to react</li> <li>11 to on paper.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>other people and other things.</li> <li>I mean, I'm for transparency in terms of</li> <li>this, so I'm not sure why we would then restrict it.</li> <li>I thought we hashed all that through.</li> <li>In terms once you start trying to write</li> <li>language to try and cover these different situations</li> <li>and try to do all sorts of carve outs, you end up</li> <li>with errors of omission in terms of that. That's</li> <li>why I prefer the broader language we had originally.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Anyone else?</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I have a proposal:</li> </ol>	172
<ul> <li>2 FAQ. Talks about ethics complaints, about people</li> <li>3 not disclosing things. I think that message from</li> <li>4 the public was loud and clear, well, we want people</li> <li>5 disclosing things. Now we're suggesting that we</li> <li>6 don't disclose things? It seems weird.</li> <li>7 MR. NELSON: Just a clarification, I'm not</li> <li>8 suggesting this isn't intended as a policy</li> <li>9 recommendation from me. I'm not a policy maker. It</li> <li>10 was for me to put something for the Board to react</li> <li>11 to on paper.</li> <li>12 I think if the issue is somebody who is</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>other people and other things.</li> <li>I mean, I'm for transparency in terms of</li> <li>this, so I'm not sure why we would then restrict it.</li> <li>I thought we hashed all that through.</li> <li>In terms once you start trying to write</li> <li>language to try and cover these different situations</li> <li>and try to do all sorts of carve outs, you end up</li> <li>with errors of omission in terms of that. That's</li> <li>why I prefer the broader language we had originally.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Anyone else?</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I have a proposal:</li> <li>Instead of saying "operating on," if we could say</li> </ol>	172
<ul> <li>2 FAQ. Talks about ethics complaints, about people</li> <li>3 not disclosing things. I think that message from</li> <li>4 the public was loud and clear, well, we want people</li> <li>5 disclosing things. Now we're suggesting that we</li> <li>6 don't disclose things? It seems weird.</li> <li>7 MR. NELSON: Just a clarification, I'm not</li> <li>8 suggesting this isn't intended as a policy</li> <li>9 recommendation from me. I'm not a policy maker. It</li> <li>10 was for me to put something for the Board to react</li> <li>11 to on paper.</li> <li>12 I think if the issue is somebody who is</li> <li>13 advertising to do business in the area, but doesn't</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>other people and other things.</li> <li>I mean, I'm for transparency in terms of</li> <li>this, so I'm not sure why we would then restrict it.</li> <li>I thought we hashed all that through.</li> <li>In terms once you start trying to write</li> <li>language to try and cover these different situations</li> <li>and try to do all sorts of carve outs, you end up</li> <li>with errors of omission in terms of that. That's</li> <li>why I prefer the broader language we had originally.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Anyone else?</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I have a proposal:</li> <li>lnstead of saying "operating on," if we could say</li> <li>"doing or intending to operate in." I started out</li> </ol>	172
<ul> <li>2 FAQ. Talks about ethics complaints, about people</li> <li>3 not disclosing things. I think that message from</li> <li>4 the public was loud and clear, well, we want people</li> <li>5 disclosing things. Now we're suggesting that we</li> <li>6 don't disclose things? It seems weird.</li> <li>7 MR. NELSON: Just a clarification, I'm not</li> <li>8 suggesting this isn't intended as a policy</li> <li>9 recommendation from me. I'm not a policy maker. It</li> <li>10 was for me to put something for the Board to react</li> <li>11 to on paper.</li> <li>12 I think if the issue is somebody who is</li> <li>13 advertising to do business in the area, but doesn't</li> <li>14 have a contract yet, I think there's language that</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>other people and other things.</li> <li>I mean, I'm for transparency in terms of</li> <li>this, so I'm not sure why we would then restrict it.</li> <li>I thought we hashed all that through.</li> <li>In terms once you start trying to write</li> <li>language to try and cover these different situations</li> <li>and try to do all sorts of carve outs, you end up</li> <li>with errors of omission in terms of that. That's</li> <li>why I prefer the broader language we had originally.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Anyone else?</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I have a proposal:</li> <li>lnstead of saying "operating on," if we could say</li> <li>"doing or intending to operate in." I started out</li> <li>with "doing" because it was going to be business, so</li> </ol>	772
<ul> <li>2 FAQ. Talks about ethics complaints, about people</li> <li>3 not disclosing things. I think that message from</li> <li>4 the public was loud and clear, well, we want people</li> <li>5 disclosing things. Now we're suggesting that we</li> <li>6 don't disclose things? It seems weird.</li> <li>7 MR. NELSON: Just a clarification, I'm not</li> <li>8 suggesting this isn't intended as a policy</li> <li>9 recommendation from me. I'm not a policy maker. It</li> <li>10 was for me to put something for the Board to react</li> <li>11 to on paper.</li> <li>12 I think if the issue is somebody who is</li> <li>13 advertising to do business in the area, but doesn't</li> <li>14 have a contract yet, I think there's language that</li> <li>15 we could propose to at least address that issue.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>other people and other things.</li> <li>I mean, I'm for transparency in terms of</li> <li>this, so I'm not sure why we would then restrict it.</li> <li>I thought we hashed all that through.</li> <li>In terms once you start trying to write</li> <li>language to try and cover these different situations</li> <li>and try to do all sorts of carve outs, you end up</li> <li>with errors of omission in terms of that. That's</li> <li>why I prefer the broader language we had originally.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Anyone else?</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I have a proposal:</li> <li>lnstead of saying "operating on," if we could say</li> <li>"doing or intending to operate in." I started out</li> <li>with "doing" because it was going to be business, so</li> <li>doing doesn't work. But it's intending to operate</li> </ol>	172
<ul> <li>2 FAQ. Talks about ethics complaints, about people</li> <li>3 not disclosing things. I think that message from</li> <li>4 the public was loud and clear, well, we want people</li> <li>5 disclosing things. Now we're suggesting that we</li> <li>6 don't disclose things? It seems weird.</li> <li>7 MR. NELSON: Just a clarification, I'm not</li> <li>8 suggesting this isn't intended as a policy</li> <li>9 recommendation from me. I'm not a policy maker. It</li> <li>10 was for me to put something for the Board to react</li> <li>11 to on paper.</li> <li>12 I think if the issue is somebody who is</li> <li>13 advertising to do business in the area, but doesn't</li> <li>14 have a contract yet, I think there's language that</li> <li>15 we could propose to at least address that issue.</li> <li>16 And what I've seen in these types of situations in</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>other people and other things.</li> <li>I mean, I'm for transparency in terms of</li> <li>this, so I'm not sure why we would then restrict it.</li> <li>I thought we hashed all that through.</li> <li>In terms once you start trying to write</li> <li>language to try and cover these different situations</li> <li>and try to do all sorts of carve outs, you end up</li> <li>with errors of omission in terms of that. That's</li> <li>why I prefer the broader language we had originally.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Anyone else?</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I have a proposal:</li> <li>lnstead of saying "operating on," if we could say</li> <li>"doing or intending to operate in." I started out</li> <li>with "doing" because it was going to be business, so</li> <li>doing doesn't work. But it's intending to operate</li> <li>in. Operating in or intending to operate in, that</li> </ol>	172
<ul> <li>2 FAQ. Talks about ethics complaints, about people</li> <li>3 not disclosing things. I think that message from</li> <li>4 the public was loud and clear, well, we want people</li> <li>5 disclosing things. Now we're suggesting that we</li> <li>6 don't disclose things? It seems weird.</li> <li>7 MR. NELSON: Just a clarification, I'm not</li> <li>8 suggesting this isn't intended as a policy</li> <li>9 recommendation from me. I'm not a policy maker. It</li> <li>10 was for me to put something for the Board to react</li> <li>11 to on paper.</li> <li>12 I think if the issue is somebody who is</li> <li>13 advertising to do business in the area, but doesn't</li> <li>14 have a contract yet, I think there's language that</li> <li>15 we could propose to at least address that issue.</li> <li>16 And what I've seen in these types of situations in</li> <li>17 other context would be doing business or intending</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>other people and other things.</li> <li>I mean, I'm for transparency in terms of</li> <li>this, so I'm not sure why we would then restrict it.</li> <li>I thought we hashed all that through.</li> <li>In terms once you start trying to write</li> <li>language to try and cover these different situations</li> <li>and try to do all sorts of carve outs, you end up</li> <li>with errors of omission in terms of that. That's</li> <li>why I prefer the broader language we had originally.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Anyone else?</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I have a proposal:</li> <li>lnstead of saying "operating on," if we could say</li> <li>"doing or intending to operate in." I started out</li> <li>with "doing" because it was going to be business, so</li> <li>doing doesn't work. But it's intending to operate</li> <li>in. Operating in or intending to operate in, that</li> <li>doesn't imply physical locale; right? The word "in"</li> </ol>	172
<ul> <li>2 FAQ. Talks about ethics complaints, about people</li> <li>3 not disclosing things. I think that message from</li> <li>4 the public was loud and clear, well, we want people</li> <li>5 disclosing things. Now we're suggesting that we</li> <li>6 don't disclose things? It seems weird.</li> <li>7 MR. NELSON: Just a clarification, I'm not</li> <li>8 suggesting this isn't intended as a policy</li> <li>9 recommendation from me. I'm not a policy maker. It</li> <li>10 was for me to put something for the Board to react</li> <li>11 to on paper.</li> <li>12 I think if the issue is somebody who is</li> <li>13 advertising to do business in the area, but doesn't</li> <li>14 have a contract yet, I think there's language that</li> <li>15 we could propose to at least address that issue.</li> <li>16 And what I've seen in these types of situations in</li> <li>17 other context would be doing business or intending</li> <li>18 to do business. In that case, if you're advertising</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>other people and other things.</li> <li>I mean, I'm for transparency in terms of</li> <li>this, so I'm not sure why we would then restrict it.</li> <li>I thought we hashed all that through.</li> <li>In terms once you start trying to write</li> <li>language to try and cover these different situations</li> <li>and try to do all sorts of carve outs, you end up</li> <li>with errors of omission in terms of that. That's</li> <li>why I prefer the broader language we had originally.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Anyone else?</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I have a proposal:</li> <li>Instead of saying "operating on," if we could say</li> <li>"doing or intending to operate in." I started out</li> <li>with "doing" because it was going to be business, so</li> <li>doing doesn't work. But it's intending to operate</li> <li>in. Operating in or intending to operate in, that</li> <li>doesn't imply physical locale; right? The word "in"</li> </ol>	172
<ul> <li>2 FAQ. Talks about ethics complaints, about people</li> <li>3 not disclosing things. I think that message from</li> <li>4 the public was loud and clear, well, we want people</li> <li>5 disclosing things. Now we're suggesting that we</li> <li>6 don't disclose things? It seems weird.</li> <li>7 MR. NELSON: Just a clarification, I'm not</li> <li>8 suggesting this isn't intended as a policy</li> <li>9 recommendation from me. I'm not a policy maker. It</li> <li>10 was for me to put something for the Board to react</li> <li>11 to on paper.</li> <li>12 I think if the issue is somebody who is</li> <li>13 advertising to do business in the area, but doesn't</li> <li>14 have a contract yet, I think there's language that</li> <li>15 we could propose to at least address that issue.</li> <li>16 And what I've seen in these types of situations in</li> <li>17 other context would be doing business or intending</li> <li>18 to do business. In that case, if you're advertising</li> <li>19 that you're doing making a proposal, you would be</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>other people and other things.</li> <li>I mean, I'm for transparency in terms of</li> <li>this, so I'm not sure why we would then restrict it.</li> <li>I thought we hashed all that through.</li> <li>In terms once you start trying to write</li> <li>language to try and cover these different situations</li> <li>and try to do all sorts of carve outs, you end up</li> <li>with errors of omission in terms of that. That's</li> <li>why I prefer the broader language we had originally.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Anyone else?</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I have a proposal:</li> <li>Instead of saying "operating on," if we could say</li> <li>"doing or intending to operate in." I started out</li> <li>with "doing" because it was going to be business, so</li> <li>doing doesn't work. But it's intending to operate</li> <li>in. Operating in or intending to operate in, that</li> <li>doesn't imply physical locale; right? The word "in"</li> </ol>	172
<ul> <li>2 FAQ. Talks about ethics complaints, about people</li> <li>3 not disclosing things. I think that message from</li> <li>4 the public was loud and clear, well, we want people</li> <li>5 disclosing things. Now we're suggesting that we</li> <li>6 don't disclose things? It seems weird.</li> <li>7 MR. NELSON: Just a clarification, I'm not</li> <li>8 suggesting this isn't intended as a policy</li> <li>9 recommendation from me. I'm not a policy maker. It</li> <li>10 was for me to put something for the Board to react</li> <li>11 to on paper.</li> <li>12 I think if the issue is somebody who is</li> <li>13 advertising to do business in the area, but doesn't</li> <li>14 have a contract yet, I think there's language that</li> <li>15 we could propose to at least address that issue.</li> <li>16 And what I've seen in these types of situations in</li> <li>17 other context would be doing business or intending</li> <li>18 to do business. In that case, if you're advertising</li> <li>19 that you're doing making a proposal, you would be</li> <li>20 covered under that language.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1 other people and other things.</li> <li>2 I mean, I'm for transparency in terms of</li> <li>3 this, so I'm not sure why we would then restrict it.</li> <li>4 I thought we hashed all that through.</li> <li>5 In terms once you start trying to write</li> <li>6 language to try and cover these different situations</li> <li>7 and try to do all sorts of carve outs, you end up</li> <li>8 with errors of omission in terms of that. That's</li> <li>9 why I prefer the broader language we had originally.</li> <li>10 CHAIR DENT: Okay. Anyone else?</li> <li>11 TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I have a proposal:</li> <li>12 Instead of saying "operating on," if we could say</li> <li>13 "doing or intending to operate in." I started out</li> <li>14 with "doing" because it was going to be business, so</li> <li>15 doing doesn't work. But it's intending to operate</li> <li>16 in. Operating in or intending to operate in, that</li> <li>17 doesn't imply physical locale; right? The word "in"</li> <li>18 instead of "on," does that make sense? Because I</li> <li>19 understand boing business, I understand intending to</li> <li>20 do business. Does that work?</li> </ul>	172
<ul> <li>2 FAQ. Talks about ethics complaints, about people</li> <li>3 not disclosing things. I think that message from</li> <li>4 the public was loud and clear, well, we want people</li> <li>5 disclosing things. Now we're suggesting that we</li> <li>6 don't disclose things? It seems weird.</li> <li>7 MR. NELSON: Just a clarification, I'm not</li> <li>8 suggesting this isn't intended as a policy</li> <li>9 recommendation from me. I'm not a policy maker. It</li> <li>10 was for me to put something for the Board to react</li> <li>11 to on paper.</li> <li>12 I think if the issue is somebody who is</li> <li>13 advertising to do business in the area, but doesn't</li> <li>14 have a contract yet, I think there's language that</li> <li>15 we could propose to at least address that issue.</li> <li>16 And what I've seen in these types of situations in</li> <li>17 other context would be doing business or intending</li> <li>18 to do business. In that case, if you're advertising</li> <li>19 that you're doing making a proposal, you would be</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1 other people and other things.</li> <li>2 I mean, I'm for transparency in terms of</li> <li>3 this, so I'm not sure why we would then restrict it.</li> <li>4 I thought we hashed all that through.</li> <li>5 In terms once you start trying to write</li> <li>6 language to try and cover these different situations</li> <li>7 and try to do all sorts of carve outs, you end up</li> <li>8 with errors of omission in terms of that. That's</li> <li>9 why I prefer the broader language we had originally.</li> <li>10 CHAIR DENT: Okay. Anyone else?</li> <li>11 TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I have a proposal:</li> <li>12 Instead of saying "operating on," if we could say</li> <li>13 "doing or intending to operate in." I started out</li> <li>14 with "doing" because it was going to be business, so</li> <li>15 doing doesn't work. But it's intending to operate</li> <li>16 in. Operating in or intending to operate in, that</li> <li>17 doesn't imply physical locale; right? The word "in"</li> <li>18 instead of "on," does that make sense? Because I</li> <li>19 understand boing business, I understand intending to</li> <li>20 do business. Does that work?</li> </ul>	172
<ul> <li>2 FAQ. Talks about ethics complaints, about people</li> <li>not disclosing things. I think that message from</li> <li>4 the public was loud and clear, well, we want people</li> <li>5 disclosing things. Now we're suggesting that we</li> <li>6 don't disclose things? It seems weird.</li> <li>7 MR. NELSON: Just a clarification, I'm not</li> <li>8 suggesting this isn't intended as a policy</li> <li>9 recommendation from me. I'm not a policy maker. It</li> <li>10 was for me to put something for the Board to react</li> <li>11 to on paper.</li> <li>12 I think if the issue is somebody who is</li> <li>13 advertising to do business in the area, but doesn't</li> <li>14 have a contract yet, I think there's language that</li> <li>15 we could propose to at least address that issue.</li> <li>16 And what I've seen in these types of situations in</li> <li>17 other context would be doing business or intending</li> <li>18 to do business. In that case, if you're advertising</li> <li>19 that you're doing making a proposal, you would be</li> <li>20 covered under that language.</li> <li>21 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I wasn't suggesting</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1 other people and other things.</li> <li>2 I mean, I'm for transparency in terms of</li> <li>3 this, so I'm not sure why we would then restrict it.</li> <li>4 I thought we hashed all that through.</li> <li>5 In terms once you start trying to write</li> <li>6 language to try and cover these different situations</li> <li>7 and try to do all sorts of carve outs, you end up</li> <li>8 with errors of omission in terms of that. That's</li> <li>9 why I prefer the broader language we had originally.</li> <li>10 CHAIR DENT: Okay. Anyone else?</li> <li>11 TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I have a proposal:</li> <li>12 Instead of saying "operating on," if we could say</li> <li>13 "doing or intending to operate in." I started out</li> <li>14 with "doing" because it was going to be business, so</li> <li>15 doing doesn't work. But it's intending to operate</li> <li>16 in. Operating in or intending to operate in, that</li> <li>17 doesn't imply physical locale; right? The word "in"</li> <li>18 instead of "on," does that make sense? Because I</li> <li>19 understand boing business, I understand intending to</li> <li>20 do business. Does that work?</li> <li>21 And I think this is the same language that</li> </ul>	172
<ul> <li>2 FAQ. Talks about ethics complaints, about people</li> <li>3 not disclosing things. I think that message from</li> <li>4 the public was loud and clear, well, we want people</li> <li>5 disclosing things. Now we're suggesting that we</li> <li>6 don't disclose things? It seems weird.</li> <li>7 MR. NELSON: Just a clarification, I'm not</li> <li>8 suggesting this isn't intended as a policy</li> <li>9 recommendation from me. I'm not a policy maker. It</li> <li>10 was for me to put something for the Board to react</li> <li>11 to on paper.</li> <li>12 I think if the issue is somebody who is</li> <li>13 advertising to do business in the area, but doesn't</li> <li>14 have a contract yet, I think there's language that</li> <li>15 we could propose to at least address that issue.</li> <li>16 And what I've seen in these types of situations in</li> <li>17 other context would be doing business or intending</li> <li>18 to do business. In that case, if you're advertising</li> <li>19 that you're doing making a proposal, you would be</li> <li>20 covered under that language.</li> <li>21 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I wasn't suggesting</li> <li>22 you're making policy, Josh. I was thinking out</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1 other people and other things.</li> <li>2 I mean, I'm for transparency in terms of</li> <li>3 this, so I'm not sure why we would then restrict it.</li> <li>4 I thought we hashed all that through.</li> <li>5 In terms once you start trying to write</li> <li>6 language to try and cover these different situations</li> <li>7 and try to do all sorts of carve outs, you end up</li> <li>8 with errors of omission in terms of that. That's</li> <li>9 why I prefer the broader language we had originally.</li> <li>10 CHAIR DENT: Okay. Anyone else?</li> <li>11 TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I have a proposal:</li> <li>12 Instead of saying "operating on," if we could say</li> <li>13 "doing or intending to operate in." I started out</li> <li>14 with "doing" because it was going to be business, so</li> <li>15 doing doesn't work. But it's intending to operate</li> <li>16 in. Operating in or intending to operate in, that</li> <li>17 doesn't imply physical locale; right? The word "in"</li> <li>18 instead of "on," does that make sense? Because I</li> <li>19 understand boing business, I understand intending to</li> <li>20 do business. Does that work?</li> <li>21 And I think this is the same language that</li> <li>22 we nixed the last time. It is. But when I looked</li> </ul>	172
<ul> <li>2 FAQ. Talks about ethics complaints, about people</li> <li>3 not disclosing things. I think that message from</li> <li>4 the public was loud and clear, well, we want people</li> <li>5 disclosing things. Now we're suggesting that we</li> <li>6 don't disclose things? It seems weird.</li> <li>7 MR. NELSON: Just a clarification, I'm not</li> <li>8 suggesting this isn't intended as a policy</li> <li>9 recommendation from me. I'm not a policy maker. It</li> <li>10 was for me to put something for the Board to react</li> <li>11 to on paper.</li> <li>12 I think if the issue is somebody who is</li> <li>13 advertising to do business in the area, but doesn't</li> <li>14 have a contract yet, I think there's language that</li> <li>15 we could propose to at least address that issue.</li> <li>16 And what I've seen in these types of situations in</li> <li>17 other context would be doing business or intending</li> <li>18 to do business. In that case, if you're advertising</li> <li>19 that you're doing making a proposal, you would be</li> <li>20 covered under that language.</li> <li>21 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: I wasn't suggesting</li> <li>22 you're making policy, Josh. I was thinking out</li> <li>23 loud. If we're talking of it, we hear all sorts of</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>other people and other things.</li> <li>I mean, I'm for transparency in terms of</li> <li>this, so I'm not sure why we would then restrict it.</li> <li>I thought we hashed all that through.</li> <li>In terms once you start trying to write</li> <li>language to try and cover these different situations</li> <li>and try to do all sorts of carve outs, you end up</li> <li>with errors of omission in terms of that. That's</li> <li>why I prefer the broader language we had originally.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Anyone else?</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I have a proposal:</li> <li>Instead of saying "operating on," if we could say</li> <li>"doing or intending to operate in." I started out</li> <li>with "doing" because it was going to be business, so</li> <li>doing doesn't work. But it's intending to operate</li> <li>in. Operating in or intending to operate in, that</li> <li>doesn't imply physical locale; right? The word "in"</li> <li>instead of "on," does that make sense? Because I</li> <li>understand boing business, I understand intending to</li> <li>do business. Does that work?</li> <li>And I think this is the same language that</li> <li>we nixed the last time. It is. But when I looked</li> <li>at the examples that were being provided, it made</li> </ul>	172

		173	1	174
	some way or another, they would be covered by this.		1 specific could you list off the specific examples	
1	2 I was one of them who wanted the locations		2 as to why this is being brought back?	
:	3 removed, but I'm understanding the impacts. And, to		3 MR. NELSON: Sure. Those examples just	
4	1 me, as long as we've got things where it's clear		4 for those folks following along are on page 1080,	
!	5 that if they're doing things here in any way and we		5 the second paragraph under background, the third	
(	6 left it open enough that it went to Placer County,		6 sentence. And some of the examples that we listed	
	7 it is more than just physically here in Incline		7 in there are not intended to be exhaustive, but I	
8	3 Village/Crystal Bay, it includes Placer County, El		8 thought they were good ones to give flavor,	
9	Dorado County.		9 partnership to purchase a foreign vacation home,	
1	0 So, I guess I felt a little comfortable		10 trust form to hold personal residences, interest in	
1	1 because of that, and the fact that we're talking		11 employers stock pension programs, clients located in	
1	2 about having an influence in this community.		12 other states.	
1	3 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yeah, I think, perhaps		13 CHAIR DENT: I just wanted to circle back.	
1	4 Josh can explain how you can define intent. It		14 Thanks.	
1	5 becomes a very subjective phrase.		15 TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Perhaps maybe I've	
1	6 MR. NELSON: Yeah, it is. And the way you		16 missing something. I didn't see anything in the	
1	7 look at it is it's sort of indicia of intent. And I		17 language that would require you to disclose	
1	8 was proposing that language based on the example		18 participation in an employer stock options scheme.	
	9 that was put forward, which I thought was a good		19 Perhaps you could explain why that's covered.	
	0 one, which is somebody who is about to open an		20 MR. NELSON: I think well, I don't	
	1 office in an area and doesn't have a single		21 think it was our intent to cover that. I think what	
	2 contract, but they're in the process of getting a		22 was brought out by the person who raised this was	
	3 business license, making proposals, negotiating		23 the breadth of the definition of a qualifying group,	
	4 contracts, that would be intent.		24 and the fact it applied to all association,	
	5 CHAIR DENT: Could we go back to the		25 business, or entities, however organized. And if	
		475		
	someone had an interest, a financial interest in	175		176
	<ol> <li>someone had an interest, a financial interest in</li> <li>that type of entity or group, it's possible it could</li> </ol>	175	1 to the list of qualifying groups to include	176
2	2 that type of entity or group, it's possible it could	175	<ol> <li>to the list of qualifying groups to include</li> <li>community liaison.</li> </ol>	176
	<ul><li>2 that type of entity or group, it's possible it could</li><li>3 be included, given how broad that was.</li></ul>	175	<ol> <li>to the list of qualifying groups to include</li> <li>community liaison.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Motion's been made.</li> </ol>	176
	<ul> <li>that type of entity or group, it's possible it could</li> <li>be included, given how broad that was.</li> <li>I think that concern is at least partially</li> </ul>	175	<ol> <li>to the list of qualifying groups to include</li> <li>community liaison.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Motion's been made.</li> <li>Is there a second?</li> </ol>	176
	<ul> <li>that type of entity or group, it's possible it could</li> <li>be included, given how broad that was.</li> <li>I think that concern is at least partially</li> <li>addressed by the definition or adding additional</li> </ul>	175	<ol> <li>to the list of qualifying groups to include</li> <li>community liaison.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Motion's been made.</li> <li>Is there a second?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Second.</li> </ol>	176
	<ul> <li>that type of entity or group, it's possible it could</li> <li>be included, given how broad that was.</li> <li>I think that concern is at least partially</li> <li>addressed by the definition or adding additional</li> <li>clarity as to what types of groups qualify, which we</li> </ul>	175	<ol> <li>to the list of qualifying groups to include</li> <li>community liaison.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Motion's been made.</li> <li>Is there a second?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Second.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made and</li> </ol>	176
	<ul> <li>that type of entity or group, it's possible it could</li> <li>be included, given how broad that was.</li> <li>I think that concern is at least partially</li> <li>addressed by the definition or adding additional</li> <li>clarity as to what types of groups qualify, which we</li> <li>haven't talked about, we've been more focused on the</li> </ul>	175	<ol> <li>to the list of qualifying groups to include</li> <li>community liaison.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Motion's been made.</li> <li>Is there a second?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Second.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made and</li> <li>seconded. Any further discussion by the Board?</li> </ol>	176
	<ul> <li>that type of entity or group, it's possible it could</li> <li>be included, given how broad that was.</li> <li>I think that concern is at least partially</li> <li>addressed by the definition or adding additional</li> <li>clarity as to what types of groups qualify, which we</li> <li>haven't talked about, we've been more focused on the</li> <li>geographic issue, but that's where that came from.</li> </ul>	175	<ol> <li>to the list of qualifying groups to include</li> <li>community liaison.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Motion's been made.</li> <li>Is there a second?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Second.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made and</li> <li>seconded. Any further discussion by the Board?</li> <li>All right. Seeing none, I'll call for the</li> </ol>	176
	<ul> <li>that type of entity or group, it's possible it could</li> <li>be included, given how broad that was.</li> <li>I think that concern is at least partially</li> <li>addressed by the definition or adding additional</li> <li>clarity as to what types of groups qualify, which we</li> <li>haven't talked about, we've been more focused on the</li> <li>geographic issue, but that's where that came from.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: But if somebody's</li> </ul>	175	<ol> <li>to the list of qualifying groups to include</li> <li>community liaison.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Motion's been made.</li> <li>Is there a second?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Second.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made and</li> <li>seconded. Any further discussion by the Board?</li> <li>All right. Seeing none, I'll call for the</li> <li>question. All those in favor, state aye.</li> </ol>	176
	<ul> <li>that type of entity or group, it's possible it could</li> <li>be included, given how broad that was.</li> <li>I think that concern is at least partially</li> <li>addressed by the definition or adding additional</li> <li>clarity as to what types of groups qualify, which we</li> <li>haven't talked about, we've been more focused on the</li> <li>geographic issue, but that's where that came from.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: But if somebody's</li> <li>participating in an employer stock option scheme,</li> </ul>	175	<ol> <li>to the list of qualifying groups to include</li> <li>community liaison.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Motion's been made.</li> <li>Is there a second?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Second.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made and</li> <li>seconded. Any further discussion by the Board?</li> <li>All right. Seeing none, I'll call for the</li> <li>question. All those in favor, state aye.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Aye.</li> </ol>	176
	<ul> <li>that type of entity or group, it's possible it could</li> <li>be included, given how broad that was.</li> <li>I think that concern is at least partially</li> <li>addressed by the definition or adding additional</li> <li>clarity as to what types of groups qualify, which we</li> <li>haven't talked about, we've been more focused on the</li> <li>geographic issue, but that's where that came from.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: But if somebody's</li> <li>participating in an employer stock option scheme,</li> <li>wouldn't they be declaring their employment already?</li> </ul>	175	<ol> <li>to the list of qualifying groups to include</li> <li>community liaison.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Motion's been made.</li> <li>Is there a second?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Second.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made and</li> <li>seconded. Any further discussion by the Board?</li> <li>All right. Seeing none, I'll call for the</li> <li>question. All those in favor, state aye.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Aye.</li> </ol>	176
	<ul> <li>that type of entity or group, it's possible it could</li> <li>be included, given how broad that was.</li> <li>I think that concern is at least partially</li> <li>addressed by the definition or adding additional</li> <li>clarity as to what types of groups qualify, which we</li> <li>haven't talked about, we've been more focused on the</li> <li>geographic issue, but that's where that came from.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: But if somebody's</li> <li>participating in an employer stock option scheme,</li> <li>wouldn't they be declaring their employment already?</li> <li>So that would already be still be covered.</li> </ul>	175	<ol> <li>to the list of qualifying groups to include</li> <li>community liaison.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Motion's been made.</li> <li>Is there a second?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Second.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made and</li> <li>seconded. Any further discussion by the Board?</li> <li>All right. Seeing none, I'll call for the</li> <li>question. All those in favor, state aye.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Aye.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Aye.</li> </ol>	176
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	<ul> <li>that type of entity or group, it's possible it could</li> <li>be included, given how broad that was.</li> <li>I think that concern is at least partially</li> <li>addressed by the definition or adding additional</li> <li>clarity as to what types of groups qualify, which we</li> <li>haven't talked about, we've been more focused on the</li> <li>geographic issue, but that's where that came from.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: But if somebody's</li> <li>participating in an employer stock option scheme,</li> <li>wouldn't they be declaring their employment already?</li> <li>So that would already be still be covered.</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Yeah. But I think this was a</li> </ul>	175	<ol> <li>to the list of qualifying groups to include</li> <li>community liaison.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Motion's been made.</li> <li>Is there a second?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Second.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made and</li> <li>seconded. Any further discussion by the Board?</li> <li>All right. Seeing none, I'll call for the</li> <li>question. All those in favor, state aye.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Aye.</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: Aye.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Aye.</li> <li>Opposed?</li> </ol>	176
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	<ul> <li>that type of entity or group, it's possible it could</li> <li>be included, given how broad that was.</li> <li>I think that concern is at least partially</li> <li>addressed by the definition or adding additional</li> <li>clarity as to what types of groups qualify, which we</li> <li>haven't talked about, we've been more focused on the</li> <li>geographic issue, but that's where that came from.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: But if somebody's</li> <li>participating in an employer stock option scheme,</li> <li>wouldn't they be declaring their employment already?</li> <li>So that would already be still be covered.</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Yeah. But I think this was a</li> <li>pension program which may have investments that are</li> </ul>	175	<ol> <li>to the list of qualifying groups to include</li> <li>community liaison.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Motion's been made.</li> <li>Is there a second?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Second.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made and</li> <li>seconded. Any further discussion by the Board?</li> <li>All right. Seeing none, I'll call for the</li> <li>question. All those in favor, state aye.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Aye.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Aye.</li> <li>Opposed?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Nay.</li> </ol>	176
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	<ul> <li>that type of entity or group, it's possible it could</li> <li>be included, given how broad that was.</li> <li>I think that concern is at least partially</li> <li>addressed by the definition or adding additional</li> <li>clarity as to what types of groups qualify, which we</li> <li>haven't talked about, we've been more focused on the</li> <li>geographic issue, but that's where that came from.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: But if somebody's</li> <li>participating in an employer stock option scheme,</li> <li>wouldn't they be declaring their employment already?</li> <li>So that would already be still be covered.</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Yeah. But I think this was a</li> <li>pension program which may have investments that are</li> <li>not anywhere near where we're located. But, you</li> </ul>	175	<ol> <li>to the list of qualifying groups to include</li> <li>community liaison.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Motion's been made.</li> <li>Is there a second?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Second.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made and</li> <li>seconded. Any further discussion by the Board?</li> <li>All right. Seeing none, I'll call for the</li> <li>question. All those in favor, state aye.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Aye.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Aye.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Aye.</li> <li>Opposed?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Nay.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion passes three to one.</li> </ol>	176
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	<ul> <li>that type of entity or group, it's possible it could</li> <li>be included, given how broad that was.</li> <li>I think that concern is at least partially</li> <li>addressed by the definition or adding additional</li> <li>clarity as to what types of groups qualify, which we</li> <li>haven't talked about, we've been more focused on the</li> <li>geographic issue, but that's where that came from.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: But if somebody's</li> <li>participating in an employer stock option scheme,</li> <li>wouldn't they be declaring their employment already?</li> <li>So that would already be still be covered.</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Yeah. But I think this was a</li> <li>pension program which may have investments that are</li> <li>not anywhere near where we're located. But, you</li> <li>know, we're located in other areas, not necessarily</li> </ul>	175	<ul> <li>to the list of qualifying groups to include</li> <li>community liaison.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Motion's been made.</li> <li>Is there a second?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Second.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made and</li> <li>seconded. Any further discussion by the Board?</li> <li>All right. Seeing none, I'll call for the</li> <li>question. All those in favor, state aye.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Aye.</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: Aye.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Aye.</li> <li>Opposed?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Nay.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion passes three to one.</li> <li>That will close out item G 6 or G 7. Moving on</li> </ul>	176
	<ul> <li>that type of entity or group, it's possible it could</li> <li>be included, given how broad that was.</li> <li>I think that concern is at least partially</li> <li>addressed by the definition or adding additional</li> <li>clarity as to what types of groups qualify, which we</li> <li>haven't talked about, we've been more focused on the</li> <li>geographic issue, but that's where that came from.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: But if somebody's</li> <li>participating in an employer stock option scheme,</li> <li>wouldn't they be declaring their employment already?</li> <li>So that would already be still be covered.</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Yeah. But I think this was a</li> <li>pension program which may have investments that are</li> <li>not anywhere near where we're located. But, you</li> <li>know, we're located in other areas, not necessarily</li> <li>just the company itself that created the pension</li> </ul>	175	<ol> <li>to the list of qualifying groups to include</li> <li>community liaison.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Motion's been made.</li> <li>Is there a second?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Second.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made and</li> <li>seconded. Any further discussion by the Board?</li> <li>All right. Seeing none, I'll call for the</li> <li>question. All those in favor, state aye.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Aye.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Aye.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Aye.</li> <li>Opposed?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Nay.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion passes three to one.</li> <li>That will close out item G 6 or G 7. Moving on</li> <li>to item H 1.</li> </ol>	
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	<ul> <li>that type of entity or group, it's possible it could</li> <li>be included, given how broad that was.</li> <li>I think that concern is at least partially</li> <li>addressed by the definition or adding additional</li> <li>clarity as to what types of groups qualify, which we</li> <li>haven't talked about, we've been more focused on the</li> <li>geographic issue, but that's where that came from.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: But if somebody's</li> <li>participating in an employer stock option scheme,</li> <li>wouldn't they be declaring their employment already?</li> <li>So that would already be still be covered.</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Yeah. But I think this was a</li> <li>pension program which may have investments that are</li> <li>not anywhere near where we're located. But, you</li> <li>know, we're located in other areas, not necessarily</li> <li>just the company itself that created the pension</li> <li>program, if that makes sense.</li> </ul>	175	<ul> <li>to the list of qualifying groups to include</li> <li>community liaison.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Motion's been made.</li> <li>Is there a second?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Second.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made and</li> <li>seconded. Any further discussion by the Board?</li> <li>All right. Seeing none, I'll call for the</li> <li>question. All those in favor, state aye.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Aye.</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: Aye.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Aye.</li> <li>Opposed?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Nay.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion passes three to one.</li> <li>That will close out item G 6 or G 7. Moving on</li> <li>to item H 1.</li> <li>REDACTIONS FOR PENDING PUBLIC RECORDS REQUES</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>that type of entity or group, it's possible it could</li> <li>be included, given how broad that was.</li> <li>I think that concern is at least partially</li> <li>addressed by the definition or adding additional</li> <li>clarity as to what types of groups qualify, which we</li> <li>haven't talked about, we've been more focused on the</li> <li>geographic issue, but that's where that came from.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: But if somebody's</li> <li>participating in an employer stock option scheme,</li> <li>wouldn't they be declaring their employment already?</li> <li>So that would already be still be covered.</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Yeah. But I think this was a</li> <li>pension program which may have investments that are</li> <li>not anywhere near where we're located. But, you</li> <li>know, we're located in other areas, not necessarily</li> <li>just the company itself that created the pension</li> <li>program, if that makes sense.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other questions? What</li> </ul>	175	<ul> <li>to the list of qualifying groups to include</li> <li>community liaison.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Motion's been made.</li> <li>Is there a second?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Second.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made and</li> <li>seconded. Any further discussion by the Board?</li> <li>All right. Seeing none, I'll call for the</li> <li>question. All those in favor, state aye.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Aye.</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: Aye.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Aye.</li> <li>Opposed?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Nay.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion passes three to one.</li> <li>That will close out item G 6 or G 7. Moving on</li> <li>to item H 1.</li> <li>H. REDACTIONS FOR PENDING PUBLIC RECORDS REQUES</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: We do not have anything in</li> </ul>	
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 1	<ul> <li>that type of entity or group, it's possible it could</li> <li>be included, given how broad that was.</li> <li>I think that concern is at least partially</li> <li>addressed by the definition or adding additional</li> <li>clarity as to what types of groups qualify, which we</li> <li>haven't talked about, we've been more focused on the</li> <li>geographic issue, but that's where that came from.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: But if somebody's</li> <li>participating in an employer stock option scheme,</li> <li>wouldn't they be declaring their employment already?</li> <li>So that would already be still be covered.</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Yeah. But I think this was a</li> <li>pension program which may have investments that are</li> <li>not anywhere near where we're located. But, you</li> <li>know, we're located in other areas, not necessarily</li> <li>just the company itself that created the pension</li> <li>program, if that makes sense.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other questions? What</li> </ul>	175	<ul> <li>to the list of qualifying groups to include</li> <li>community liaison.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Motion's been made.</li> <li>Is there a second?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Second.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made and</li> <li>seconded. Any further discussion by the Board?</li> <li>All right. Seeing none, I'll call for the</li> <li>question. All those in favor, state aye.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Aye.</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: Aye.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Aye.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Aye.</li> <li>Opposed?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Nay.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion passes three to one.</li> <li>That will close out item G 6 or G 7. Moving on</li> <li>to item H 1.</li> <li>H. REDACTIONS FOR PENDING PUBLIC RECORDS REQUES</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: We do not have anything in</li> <li>the packet, I believe, Josh, on each one?</li> </ul>	
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	<ul> <li>that type of entity or group, it's possible it could</li> <li>be included, given how broad that was.</li> <li>I think that concern is at least partially</li> <li>addressed by the definition or adding additional</li> <li>clarity as to what types of groups qualify, which we</li> <li>haven't talked about, we've been more focused on the</li> <li>geographic issue, but that's where that came from.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: But if somebody's</li> <li>participating in an employer stock option scheme,</li> <li>wouldn't they be declaring their employment already?</li> <li>So that would already be still be covered.</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Yeah. But I think this was a</li> <li>pension program which may have investments that are</li> <li>not anywhere near where we're located. But, you</li> <li>know, we're located in other areas, not necessarily</li> <li>just the company itself that created the pension</li> <li>program, if that makes sense.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other questions? What</li> <li>does the Board want to do with this item?</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I'll make a motion that</li> </ul>	175	<ul> <li>to the list of qualifying groups to include</li> <li>community liaison.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Motion's been made.</li> <li>Is there a second?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Second.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made and</li> <li>seconded. Any further discussion by the Board?</li> <li>All right. Seeing none, I'll call for the</li> <li>question. All those in favor, state aye.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Aye.</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: Aye.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Aye.</li> <li>Opposed?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Nay.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion passes three to one.</li> <li>That will close out item G 6 or G 7. Moving on</li> <li>to item H 1.</li> <li>H. REDACTIONS FOR PENDING PUBLIC RECORDS REQUES</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: We do not have anything in</li> <li>the packet, I believe, Josh, on each one?</li> <li>MR. NELSON: That's correct. There are no</li> </ul>	
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	<ul> <li>that type of entity or group, it's possible it could</li> <li>be included, given how broad that was.</li> <li>I think that concern is at least partially</li> <li>addressed by the definition or adding additional</li> <li>clarity as to what types of groups qualify, which we</li> <li>haven't talked about, we've been more focused on the</li> <li>geographic issue, but that's where that came from.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: But if somebody's</li> <li>participating in an employer stock option scheme,</li> <li>wouldn't they be declaring their employment already?</li> <li>So that would already be still be covered.</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Yeah. But I think this was a</li> <li>pension program which may have investments that are</li> <li>not anywhere near where we're located. But, you</li> <li>know, we're located in other areas, not necessarily</li> <li>just the company itself that created the pension</li> <li>program, if that makes sense.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other questions? What</li> <li>does the Board want to do with this item?</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I'll make a motion that</li> </ul>	175	<ul> <li>to the list of qualifying groups to include</li> <li>community liaison.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Motion's been made.</li> <li>Is there a second?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Second.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made and</li> <li>seconded. Any further discussion by the Board?</li> <li>All right. Seeing none, I'll call for the</li> <li>question. All those in favor, state aye.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Aye.</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: Aye.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Aye.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Aye.</li> <li>Opposed?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Nay.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion passes three to one.</li> <li>That will close out item G 6 or G 7. Moving on</li> <li>to item H 1.</li> <li>H. REDACTIONS FOR PENDING PUBLIC RECORDS REQUES</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: We do not have anything in</li> <li>the packet, I believe, Josh, on each one?</li> <li>MR. NELSON: That's correct. There are no</li> </ul>	
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	<ul> <li>that type of entity or group, it's possible it could</li> <li>be included, given how broad that was.</li> <li>I think that concern is at least partially</li> <li>addressed by the definition or adding additional</li> <li>clarity as to what types of groups qualify, which we</li> <li>haven't talked about, we've been more focused on the</li> <li>geographic issue, but that's where that came from.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: But if somebody's</li> <li>participating in an employer stock option scheme,</li> <li>wouldn't they be declaring their employment already?</li> <li>So that would already be still be covered.</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Yeah. But I think this was a</li> <li>pension program which may have investments that are</li> <li>not anywhere near where we're located. But, you</li> <li>know, we're located in other areas, not necessarily</li> <li>just the company itself that created the pension</li> <li>program, if that makes sense.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other questions? What</li> <li>does the Board want to do with this item?</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I'll make a motion that</li> </ul>	175	<ul> <li>to the list of qualifying groups to include</li> <li>community liaison.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Motion's been made.</li> <li>Is there a second?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Second.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made and</li> <li>seconded. Any further discussion by the Board?</li> <li>All right. Seeing none, I'll call for the</li> <li>question. All those in favor, state aye.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Aye.</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: Aye.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Aye.</li> <li>Opposed?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Nay.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion passes three to one.</li> <li>That will close out item G 6 or G 7. Moving on</li> <li>to item H 1.</li> <li>H. REDACTIONS FOR PENDING PUBLIC RECORDS REQUES</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: We do not have anything in</li> <li>the packet, I believe, Josh, on each one?</li> <li>MR. NELSON: That's correct. There are no</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Moving on to item I 1.</li> </ul>	
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	<ul> <li>that type of entity or group, it's possible it could</li> <li>be included, given how broad that was.</li> <li>I think that concern is at least partially</li> <li>addressed by the definition or adding additional</li> <li>clarity as to what types of groups qualify, which we</li> <li>haven't talked about, we've been more focused on the</li> <li>geographic issue, but that's where that came from.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: But if somebody's</li> <li>participating in an employer stock option scheme,</li> <li>wouldn't they be declaring their employment already?</li> <li>So that would already be still be covered.</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Yeah. But I think this was a</li> <li>pension program which may have investments that are</li> <li>not anywhere near where we're located. But, you</li> <li>know, we're located in other areas, not necessarily</li> <li>just the company itself that created the pension</li> <li>program, if that makes sense.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other questions? What</li> <li>does the Board want to do with this item?</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I'll make a motion that</li> <li>the Board approves the revisions to Policy 22.1.0,</li> <li>disclosure of community groups, with the language</li> <li>modifications, changing the word "operating on" to</li> </ul>	175	<ul> <li>to the list of qualifying groups to include</li> <li>community liaison.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Motion's been made.</li> <li>Is there a second?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Second.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made and</li> <li>seconded. Any further discussion by the Board?</li> <li>All right. Seeing none, I'll call for the</li> <li>question. All those in favor, state aye.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Aye.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Aye.</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: Aye.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion passes three to one.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Nay.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion passes three to one.</li> <li>That will close out item G 6 or G 7. Moving on</li> <li>to item H 1.</li> <li>H. REDACTIONS FOR PENDING PUBLIC RECORDS REQUES</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: We do not have anything in</li> <li>the packet, I believe, Josh, on each one?</li> <li>MR. NELSON: That's correct. There are no</li> <li>redactions this evening.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Moving on to item I 1.</li> <li>LONG RANGE CALENDAR</li> </ul>	
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	<ul> <li>that type of entity or group, it's possible it could</li> <li>be included, given how broad that was.</li> <li>I think that concern is at least partially</li> <li>addressed by the definition or adding additional</li> <li>clarity as to what types of groups qualify, which we</li> <li>haven't talked about, we've been more focused on the</li> <li>geographic issue, but that's where that came from.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: But if somebody's</li> <li>participating in an employer stock option scheme,</li> <li>wouldn't they be declaring their employment already?</li> <li>So that would already be still be covered.</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Yeah. But I think this was a</li> <li>pension program which may have investments that are</li> <li>not anywhere near where we're located. But, you</li> <li>know, we're located in other areas, not necessarily</li> <li>just the company itself that created the pension</li> <li>program, if that makes sense.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other questions? What</li> <li>does the Board want to do with this item?</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I'll make a motion that</li> </ul>	175	<ul> <li>to the list of qualifying groups to include</li> <li>community liaison.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Motion's been made.</li> <li>Is there a second?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Second.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made and</li> <li>seconded. Any further discussion by the Board?</li> <li>All right. Seeing none, I'll call for the</li> <li>question. All those in favor, state aye.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Aye.</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: Aye.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Aye.</li> <li>Opposed?</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Nay.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion passes three to one.</li> <li>That will close out item G 6 or G 7. Moving on</li> <li>to item H 1.</li> <li>H. REDACTIONS FOR PENDING PUBLIC RECORDS REQUES</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: We do not have anything in</li> <li>the packet, I believe, Josh, on each one?</li> <li>MR. NELSON: That's correct. There are no</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Moving on to item I 1.</li> </ul>	
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	<ul> <li>that type of entity or group, it's possible it could</li> <li>be included, given how broad that was.</li> <li>I think that concern is at least partially</li> <li>addressed by the definition or adding additional</li> <li>clarity as to what types of groups qualify, which we</li> <li>haven't talked about, we've been more focused on the</li> <li>geographic issue, but that's where that came from.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: But if somebody's</li> <li>participating in an employer stock option scheme,</li> <li>wouldn't they be declaring their employment already?</li> <li>So that would already be still be covered.</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Yeah. But I think this was a</li> <li>pension program which may have investments that are</li> <li>not anywhere near where we're located. But, you</li> <li>know, we're located in other areas, not necessarily</li> <li>just the company itself that created the pension</li> <li>program, if that makes sense.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Any other questions? What</li> <li>does the Board want to do with this item?</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I'll make a motion that</li> <li>the Board approves the revisions to Policy 22.1.0,</li> <li>disclosure of community groups, with the language</li> <li>modifications, changing the word "operating on" to</li> </ul>	175	<ul> <li>to the list of qualifying groups to include</li> <li>community liaison.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Okay. Motion's been made.</li> <li>Is there a second?</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Second.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion's been made and</li> <li>seconded. Any further discussion by the Board?</li> <li>All right. Seeing none, I'll call for the</li> <li>question. All those in favor, state aye.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Aye.</li> <li>TRUSTEE NOBLE: Aye.</li> <li>TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: Aye.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion passes three to one.</li> <li>TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Nay.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Motion passes three to one.</li> <li>That will close out item G 6 or G 7. Moving on</li> <li>to item H 1.</li> <li>H. REDACTIONS FOR PENDING PUBLIC RECORDS REQUES</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: We do not have anything in</li> <li>the packet, I believe, Josh, on each one?</li> <li>MR. NELSON: That's correct. There are no</li> <li>redactions this evening.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Moving on to item I 1.</li> <li>LONG RANGE CALENDAR</li> </ul>	

1	17 1083 through 1087 of your board packet.	77	We earlier this week, we provided	178
2	MR. BANDELIN: Thank you. On July 26th, I	2	notice to the Board that we will be unable to serve	
3	think I was listening that we would bring back	3	as general counsel after the expiration of our	
4	director of HR, and talk about the general manager	4	current agreement.	
5	recruitment process.	5	I just want to note how much of an honor	
6	Then I would just like to say, I had the	6	it's been to serve as your general counsel for the	
7	privilege of sitting in the dog park committee	7	past three years, and how much I personally have	
8	yesterday afternoon for quite some time, and they	8	enjoyed working with the Board, each individual	
9	will be bringing back that report.	9	trustee, and all the staff members.	
10	Then I don't think I need to sit here and	10	l also want to emphasize, as l know	
11	list all the other items that are on the 26th. We	11	there's been a lot of discussion in the community,	
12	will go through as you can see as you go down the	12	this decision solely reflects an internal BBK	
13	list, through August we get kind of slim, but I can	13	decision based on personnel changes that we've	
14	tell you that there will probably be some other	14	experienced, and it is unrelated to any recent	
15	reports. I know that I'll have a couple items from	15	changes at IVGID.	
16	ski on August 9th, of consideration for capital	16	To that end, we're providing this notice	
17	procurement of the Snowcat that is in the plan, and	17	now simply based on a decision to agendize the	
18	the 14-passenger van. So, there might be a couple	18	consideration of a potential extension of our	
19	of other items that pop up on the calendar.	19	agreement for the July 26th meeting, and to ensure	
20	Any questions about long range?	20	that the District had adequate time to select a	
21	MR. NELSON: I have an announcement. One	21	replacement.	
22	of the items that is agendized for the July 26th	22	We are committed to honoring the term of	
23	meeting would be for the Board to consider the	23	our agreement, but certainly would be willing to	
	general counsel services, as our contract expires		step down earlier if a replacement firm is	
25	December 31st of 2023.	25	identified before that time.	
		79		180
1	Just, ultimately, we and I are completely	1	any of the trustees as it relates to this item. I	180
2	Just, ultimately, we and I are completely committed to ensuring a seemless transition to the	1	believe it's really important for us to have a	180
2 3	Just, ultimately, we and I are completely committed to ensuring a seemless transition to the new general counsel under the timeline and terms	1 2 3	believe it's really important for us to have a HR/legal report as it relates to this hostile work	180
2 3 4	Just, ultimately, we and I are completely committed to ensuring a seemless transition to the new general counsel under the timeline and terms directed by the Board.	1 2 3 4	believe it's really important for us to have a HR/legal report as it relates to this hostile work environment slash micromanagement. And I think that	180
2 3 4 5	Just, ultimately, we and I are completely committed to ensuring a seemless transition to the new general counsel under the timeline and terms directed by the Board. And just, again, would like to thank the	1 2 3 4 5	believe it's really important for us to have a HR/legal report as it relates to this hostile work environment slash micromanagement. And I think that is what we should be receiving from HR, a report on	180
2 3 4 5 6	Just, ultimately, we and I are completely committed to ensuring a seemless transition to the new general counsel under the timeline and terms directed by the Board. And just, again, would like to thank the Board and staff for the opportunity to serve as your	1 2 3 4 5 6	believe it's really important for us to have a HR/legal report as it relates to this hostile work environment slash micromanagement. And I think that is what we should be receiving from HR, a report on that, and that's why I earlier said we can hold off	180
2 3 4 5 6 7	Just, ultimately, we and I are completely committed to ensuring a seemless transition to the new general counsel under the timeline and terms directed by the Board. And just, again, would like to thank the Board and staff for the opportunity to serve as your general counsel the past three years.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	believe it's really important for us to have a HR/legal report as it relates to this hostile work environment slash micromanagement. And I think that is what we should be receiving from HR, a report on that, and that's why I earlier said we can hold off on that FAQ item until after that meeting. Just see	180
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Just, ultimately, we and I are completely committed to ensuring a seemless transition to the new general counsel under the timeline and terms directed by the Board. And just, again, would like to thank the Board and staff for the opportunity to serve as your general counsel the past three years. Thank you.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	believe it's really important for us to have a HR/legal report as it relates to this hostile work environment slash micromanagement. And I think that is what we should be receiving from HR, a report on that, and that's why I earlier said we can hold off on that FAQ item until after that meeting. Just see it being more of a report to the Board with possible	180
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Just, ultimately, we and I are completely committed to ensuring a seemless transition to the new general counsel under the timeline and terms directed by the Board. And just, again, would like to thank the Board and staff for the opportunity to serve as your general counsel the past three years. Thank you. CHAIR DENT: General Manager, could we	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	believe it's really important for us to have a HR/legal report as it relates to this hostile work environment slash micromanagement. And I think that is what we should be receiving from HR, a report on that, and that's why I earlier said we can hold off on that FAQ item until after that meeting. Just see it being more of a report to the Board with possible questions.	180
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Just, ultimately, we and I are completely committed to ensuring a seemless transition to the new general counsel under the timeline and terms directed by the Board. And just, again, would like to thank the Board and staff for the opportunity to serve as your general counsel the past three years. Thank you. CHAIR DENT: General Manager, could we bring back an RFQ for approval for legal counsel?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	believe it's really important for us to have a HR/legal report as it relates to this hostile work environment slash micromanagement. And I think that is what we should be receiving from HR, a report on that, and that's why I earlier said we can hold off on that FAQ item until after that meeting. Just see it being more of a report to the Board with possible questions. Any other items?	180
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Just, ultimately, we and I are completely committed to ensuring a seemless transition to the new general counsel under the timeline and terms directed by the Board. And just, again, would like to thank the Board and staff for the opportunity to serve as your general counsel the past three years. Thank you. CHAIR DENT: General Manager, could we bring back an RFQ for approval for legal counsel? Thank you.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	believe it's really important for us to have a HR/legal report as it relates to this hostile work environment slash micromanagement. And I think that is what we should be receiving from HR, a report on that, and that's why I earlier said we can hold off on that FAQ item until after that meeting. Just see it being more of a report to the Board with possible questions. Any other items? TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yes. And one of the	180
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Just, ultimately, we and I are completely committed to ensuring a seemless transition to the new general counsel under the timeline and terms directed by the Board. And just, again, would like to thank the Board and staff for the opportunity to serve as your general counsel the past three years. Thank you. CHAIR DENT: General Manager, could we bring back an RFQ for approval for legal counsel? Thank you. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I don't see the skate	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	believe it's really important for us to have a HR/legal report as it relates to this hostile work environment slash micromanagement. And I think that is what we should be receiving from HR, a report on that, and that's why I earlier said we can hold off on that FAQ item until after that meeting. Just see it being more of a report to the Board with possible questions. Any other items? TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yes. And one of the August meetings, we should have the Moss Adams final	180
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Just, ultimately, we and I are completely committed to ensuring a seemless transition to the new general counsel under the timeline and terms directed by the Board. And just, again, would like to thank the Board and staff for the opportunity to serve as your general counsel the past three years. Thank you. CHAIR DENT: General Manager, could we bring back an RFQ for approval for legal counsel? Thank you. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I don't see the skate	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	believe it's really important for us to have a HR/legal report as it relates to this hostile work environment slash micromanagement. And I think that is what we should be receiving from HR, a report on that, and that's why I earlier said we can hold off on that FAQ item until after that meeting. Just see it being more of a report to the Board with possible questions. Any other items? TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yes. And one of the August meetings, we should have the Moss Adams final report come forward. I'd love to see it on August	180
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Just, ultimately, we and I are completely committed to ensuring a seemless transition to the new general counsel under the timeline and terms directed by the Board. And just, again, would like to thank the Board and staff for the opportunity to serve as your general counsel the past three years. Thank you. CHAIR DENT: General Manager, could we bring back an RFQ for approval for legal counsel? Thank you. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I don't see the skate park. I thought the skate park was supposed to come back.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	believe it's really important for us to have a HR/legal report as it relates to this hostile work environment slash micromanagement. And I think that is what we should be receiving from HR, a report on that, and that's why I earlier said we can hold off on that FAQ item until after that meeting. Just see it being more of a report to the Board with possible questions. Any other items? TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yes. And one of the August meetings, we should have the Moss Adams final report come forward. I'd love to see it on August 9th; I suspect it's more likely to be August the	180
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Just, ultimately, we and I are completely committed to ensuring a seemless transition to the new general counsel under the timeline and terms directed by the Board. And just, again, would like to thank the Board and staff for the opportunity to serve as your general counsel the past three years. Thank you. CHAIR DENT: General Manager, could we bring back an RFQ for approval for legal counsel? Thank you. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I don't see the skate park. I thought the skate park was supposed to come back. CHAIR DENT: I thought we were bringing it	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	believe it's really important for us to have a HR/legal report as it relates to this hostile work environment slash micromanagement. And I think that is what we should be receiving from HR, a report on that, and that's why I earlier said we can hold off on that FAQ item until after that meeting. Just see it being more of a report to the Board with possible questions. Any other items? TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yes. And one of the August meetings, we should have the Moss Adams final report come forward. I'd love to see it on August 9th; I suspect it's more likely to be August the 30th, based on the current schedule. We should add	180
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Just, ultimately, we and I are completely committed to ensuring a seemless transition to the new general counsel under the timeline and terms directed by the Board. And just, again, would like to thank the Board and staff for the opportunity to serve as your general counsel the past three years. Thank you. CHAIR DENT: General Manager, could we bring back an RFQ for approval for legal counsel? Thank you. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I don't see the skate park. I thought the skate park was supposed to come back.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	believe it's really important for us to have a HR/legal report as it relates to this hostile work environment slash micromanagement. And I think that is what we should be receiving from HR, a report on that, and that's why I earlier said we can hold off on that FAQ item until after that meeting. Just see it being more of a report to the Board with possible questions. Any other items? TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yes. And one of the August meetings, we should have the Moss Adams final report come forward. I'd love to see it on August 9th; I suspect it's more likely to be August the 30th, based on the current schedule. We should add that to it.	180
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Just, ultimately, we and I are completely committed to ensuring a seemless transition to the new general counsel under the timeline and terms directed by the Board. And just, again, would like to thank the Board and staff for the opportunity to serve as your general counsel the past three years. Thank you. CHAIR DENT: General Manager, could we bring back an RFQ for approval for legal counsel? Thank you. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I don't see the skate park. I thought the skate park was supposed to come back. CHAIR DENT: I thought we were bringing it back the end of July or first meeting in August? I don't recall, but we could check with director	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 77	believe it's really important for us to have a HR/legal report as it relates to this hostile work environment slash micromanagement. And I think that is what we should be receiving from HR, a report on that, and that's why I earlier said we can hold off on that FAQ item until after that meeting. Just see it being more of a report to the Board with possible questions. Any other items? TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yes. And one of the August meetings, we should have the Moss Adams final report come forward. I'd love to see it on August 9th; I suspect it's more likely to be August the 30th, based on the current schedule. We should add that to it.	180
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Just, ultimately, we and I are completely committed to ensuring a seemless transition to the new general counsel under the timeline and terms directed by the Board. And just, again, would like to thank the Board and staff for the opportunity to serve as your general counsel the past three years. Thank you. CHAIR DENT: General Manager, could we bring back an RFQ for approval for legal counsel? Thank you. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I don't see the skate park. I thought the skate park was supposed to come back. CHAIR DENT: I thought we were bringing it back the end of July or first meeting in August? I don't recall, but we could check with director Underwood on that. That definitely is something we	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 77	believe it's really important for us to have a HR/legal report as it relates to this hostile work environment slash micromanagement. And I think that is what we should be receiving from HR, a report on that, and that's why I earlier said we can hold off on that FAQ item until after that meeting. Just see it being more of a report to the Board with possible questions. Any other items? TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yes. And one of the August meetings, we should have the Moss Adams final report come forward. I'd love to see it on August 9th; I suspect it's more likely to be August the 30th, based on the current schedule. We should add that to it. CHAIR DENT: Good idea. Anyone else have anything?	180
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Just, ultimately, we and I are completely committed to ensuring a seemless transition to the new general counsel under the timeline and terms directed by the Board. And just, again, would like to thank the Board and staff for the opportunity to serve as your general counsel the past three years. Thank you. CHAIR DENT: General Manager, could we bring back an RFQ for approval for legal counsel? Thank you. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I don't see the skate park. I thought the skate park was supposed to come back. CHAIR DENT: I thought we were bringing it back the end of July or first meeting in August? I don't recall, but we could check with director Underwood on that. That definitely is something we	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	believe it's really important for us to have a HR/legal report as it relates to this hostile work environment slash micromanagement. And I think that is what we should be receiving from HR, a report on that, and that's why I earlier said we can hold off on that FAQ item until after that meeting. Just see it being more of a report to the Board with possible questions. Any other items? TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yes. And one of the August meetings, we should have the Moss Adams final report come forward. I'd love to see it on August 9th; I suspect it's more likely to be August the 30th, based on the current schedule. We should add that to it. CHAIR DENT: Good idea. Anyone else have anything? TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: Do we want to target	180
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Just, ultimately, we and I are completely committed to ensuring a seemless transition to the new general counsel under the timeline and terms directed by the Board. And just, again, would like to thank the Board and staff for the opportunity to serve as your general counsel the past three years. Thank you. CHAIR DENT: General Manager, could we bring back an RFQ for approval for legal counsel? Thank you. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I don't see the skate park. I thought the skate park was supposed to come back. CHAIR DENT: I thought we were bringing it back the end of July or first meeting in August? I don't recall, but we could check with director Underwood on that. That definitely is something we need to bring back, and if there's	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	believe it's really important for us to have a HR/legal report as it relates to this hostile work environment slash micromanagement. And I think that is what we should be receiving from HR, a report on that, and that's why I earlier said we can hold off on that FAQ item until after that meeting. Just see it being more of a report to the Board with possible questions. Any other items? TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yes. And one of the August meetings, we should have the Moss Adams final report come forward. I'd love to see it on August 9th; I suspect it's more likely to be August the 30th, based on the current schedule. We should add that to it. CHAIR DENT: Good idea. Anyone else have anything? TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: Do we want to target anything in fall with Waste Management? Do we want	180
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Just, ultimately, we and I are completely committed to ensuring a seemless transition to the new general counsel under the timeline and terms directed by the Board. And just, again, would like to thank the Board and staff for the opportunity to serve as your general counsel the past three years. Thank you. CHAIR DENT: General Manager, could we bring back an RFQ for approval for legal counsel? Thank you. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I don't see the skate park. I thought the skate park was supposed to come back. CHAIR DENT: I thought we were bringing it back the end of July or first meeting in August? I don't recall, but we could check with director Underwood on that. That definitely is something we need to bring back, and if there's General Manager, if there's clarification	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	believe it's really important for us to have a HR/legal report as it relates to this hostile work environment slash micromanagement. And I think that is what we should be receiving from HR, a report on that, and that's why I earlier said we can hold off on that FAQ item until after that meeting. Just see it being more of a report to the Board with possible questions. Any other items? TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yes. And one of the August meetings, we should have the Moss Adams final report come forward. I'd love to see it on August 9th; I suspect it's more likely to be August the 30th, based on the current schedule. We should add that to it. CHAIR DENT: Good idea. Anyone else have anything? TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: Do we want to target anything in fall with Waste Management? Do we want to tag it?	180
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Just, ultimately, we and I are completely committed to ensuring a seemless transition to the new general counsel under the timeline and terms directed by the Board. And just, again, would like to thank the Board and staff for the opportunity to serve as your general counsel the past three years. Thank you. CHAIR DENT: General Manager, could we bring back an RFQ for approval for legal counsel? Thank you. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I don't see the skate park. I thought the skate park was supposed to come back. CHAIR DENT: I thought we were bringing it back the end of July or first meeting in August? I don't recall, but we could check with director Underwood on that. That definitely is something we need to bring back, and if there's General Manager, if there's clarification we need on that in getting that in the packet or	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	believe it's really important for us to have a HR/legal report as it relates to this hostile work environment slash micromanagement. And I think that is what we should be receiving from HR, a report on that, and that's why I earlier said we can hold off on that FAQ item until after that meeting. Just see it being more of a report to the Board with possible questions. Any other items? TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yes. And one of the August meetings, we should have the Moss Adams final report come forward. I'd love to see it on August 9th; I suspect it's more likely to be August the 30th, based on the current schedule. We should add that to it. CHAIR DENT: Good idea. Anyone else have anything? TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: Do we want to target anything in fall with Waste Management? Do we want to tag it?	180
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Just, ultimately, we and I are completely committed to ensuring a seemless transition to the new general counsel under the timeline and terms directed by the Board. And just, again, would like to thank the Board and staff for the opportunity to serve as your general counsel the past three years. Thank you. CHAIR DENT: General Manager, could we bring back an RFQ for approval for legal counsel? Thank you. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I don't see the skate park. I thought the skate park was supposed to come back. CHAIR DENT: I thought we were bringing it back the end of July or first meeting in August? I don't recall, but we could check with director Underwood on that. That definitely is something we need to bring back, and if there's General Manager, if there's clarification we need on that in getting that in the packet or what that is, I'm happy to jump in on that.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	believe it's really important for us to have a HR/legal report as it relates to this hostile work environment slash micromanagement. And I think that is what we should be receiving from HR, a report on that, and that's why I earlier said we can hold off on that FAQ item until after that meeting. Just see it being more of a report to the Board with possible questions. Any other items? TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yes. And one of the August meetings, we should have the Moss Adams final report come forward. I'd love to see it on August 9th; I suspect it's more likely to be August the 30th, based on the current schedule. We should add that to it. CHAIR DENT: Good idea. Anyone else have anything? TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: Do we want to target anything in fall with Waste Management? Do we want to tag it? And then the other question I have for the	180
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Just, ultimately, we and I are completely committed to ensuring a seemless transition to the new general counsel under the timeline and terms directed by the Board. And just, again, would like to thank the Board and staff for the opportunity to serve as your general counsel the past three years. Thank you. CHAIR DENT: General Manager, could we bring back an RFQ for approval for legal counsel? Thank you. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I don't see the skate park. I thought the skate park was supposed to come back. CHAIR DENT: I thought we were bringing it back the end of July or first meeting in August? I don't recall, but we could check with director Underwood on that. That definitely is something we need to bring back, and if there's General Manager, if there's clarification we need on that in getting that in the packet or what that is, I'm happy to jump in on that. Any other items? I want to address one.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	believe it's really important for us to have a HR/legal report as it relates to this hostile work environment slash micromanagement. And I think that is what we should be receiving from HR, a report on that, and that's why I earlier said we can hold off on that FAQ item until after that meeting. Just see it being more of a report to the Board with possible questions. Any other items? TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yes. And one of the August meetings, we should have the Moss Adams final report come forward. I'd love to see it on August 9th; I suspect it's more likely to be August the 30th, based on the current schedule. We should add that to it. CHAIR DENT: Good idea. Anyone else have anything? TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: Do we want to target anything in fall with Waste Management? Do we want to tag it? And then the other question I have for the Board is that we want to have, at some point in time	180
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Just, ultimately, we and I are completely committed to ensuring a seemless transition to the new general counsel under the timeline and terms directed by the Board. And just, again, would like to thank the Board and staff for the opportunity to serve as your general counsel the past three years. Thank you. CHAIR DENT: General Manager, could we bring back an RFQ for approval for legal counsel? Thank you. TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: I don't see the skate park. I thought the skate park was supposed to come back. CHAIR DENT: I thought we were bringing it back the end of July or first meeting in August? I don't recall, but we could check with director Underwood on that. That definitely is something we need to bring back, and if there's General Manager, if there's clarification we need on that in getting that in the packet or what that is, I'm happy to jump in on that. Any other items? I want to address one. The second item down, I don't know where all this	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	believe it's really important for us to have a HR/legal report as it relates to this hostile work environment slash micromanagement. And I think that is what we should be receiving from HR, a report on that, and that's why I earlier said we can hold off on that FAQ item until after that meeting. Just see it being more of a report to the Board with possible questions. Any other items? TRUSTEE TULLOCH: Yes. And one of the August meetings, we should have the Moss Adams final report come forward. I'd love to see it on August 9th; I suspect it's more likely to be August the 30th, based on the current schedule. We should add that to it. CHAIR DENT: Good idea. Anyone else have anything? TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: Do we want to target anything in fall with Waste Management? Do we want to tag it? And then the other question I have for the Board is that we want to have, at some point in time it's not urgent, but do we want to have a	180

	181		100
1	to be applied so that we have some element of	1 strategy and discussing the strategy. We should	182
2	consistency and understanding? I don't see that as	2 pencil that in, the Moss Adams report, probably the	
3	being urgent, but I think it's something that we	3 end of September, start of October, so we can review	
4	should at least get on our calendar somewhere.	4 the overall strategic plan.	
5	CHAIR DENT: I would say it's urgent as	5 TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: We also need to put on	
6	staff starts to develop their budgets for next year,	6 our calendar the appointments to the committees.	
7	and we know that does happen towards the end of this	7 would think that I don't know whether it's	
8	year, even in the fall. I think it's important that	8 realistic by August 9th. We could tag it for August	
9	we would have something like that, say, maybe a	9 9th.	
10		10 CHAIR DENT: It could definitely be a	
	little be pushed off, I don't think we need to be	11 business item to appoint committee members. I would	
	set to that.	12 be really exited if we had community members	
13		13 interested. We don't have a good success rate with	
	that back as need be.	14 the prior committees. We get a few of them, and	
15		15 thank you guys for serving, but there's always only	
	on that.	16 a few out there. Let's tag that for August 9th.	
17	TRUSTEE SCHMITZ: The agenda item for	17 Anything else? I think that closes out	
	the second agenda item, then, that you were just	18 long range calendar. Item J.	
	discussing, that is going to be an agenda item	19 J. BOARD OF TRUSTEES UPDATE	
	that's being brought forward by HR and legal?	20 CHAIR DENT: Any updates? All right.	
21	CHAIR DENT: Yes.	21 Seeing none, I'll just take the floor for a second.	
22		22 This, under the long range calendar item, and I	
23	-	23 think it's important, just came to me, I think we	
	earlier, and General Manager Bandelin had an	24 should definitely reconsider or revisit the idea of	
	excellent response to it in terms of the Board's	25 the Board writing a letter regarding some of these	
20			
1	issues that are out there, whether it's the	1. Livet want to make cure you're gware of these	184
1	issues that are out there, whether it's the	1 I just want to make sure you're aware of those.	184
2	issues that are out there, whether it's the elementary school or some of these.	2 You've got to be balanced. You've got to	184
2 3	issues that are out there, whether it's the elementary school or some of these. We talked about it earlier this year, and	<ol> <li>You've got to be balanced. You've got to</li> <li>dispel, not just those vapid rumors that are</li> </ol>	184
2 3 4	issues that are out there, whether it's the elementary school or some of these. We talked about it earlier this year, and we know there's some of these big items out there	<ol> <li>You've got to be balanced. You've got to</li> <li>dispel, not just those vapid rumors that are</li> <li>convenient to you, but you need to dispel all of</li> </ol>	184
2 3 4 5	issues that are out there, whether it's the elementary school or some of these. We talked about it earlier this year, and we know there's some of these big items out there that are being considered by other agencies that a	<ul> <li>You've got to be balanced. You've got to</li> <li>dispel, not just those vapid rumors that are</li> <li>convenient to you, but you need to dispel all of</li> <li>them. As we know, this community is split right</li> </ul>	184
2 3 4 5 6	issues that are out there, whether it's the elementary school or some of these. We talked about it earlier this year, and we know there's some of these big items out there that are being considered by other agencies that a huge portion of our community is either in favor of	<ul> <li>You've got to be balanced. You've got to</li> <li>dispel, not just those vapid rumors that are</li> <li>convenient to you, but you need to dispel all of</li> <li>them. As we know, this community is split right</li> <li>now, and the community views the Board as being</li> </ul>	184
2 3 4 5 6 7	issues that are out there, whether it's the elementary school or some of these. We talked about it earlier this year, and we know there's some of these big items out there that are being considered by other agencies that a huge portion of our community is either in favor of or against, and I think we could stand behind and	<ul> <li>You've got to be balanced. You've got to</li> <li>dispel, not just those vapid rumors that are</li> <li>convenient to you, but you need to dispel all of</li> <li>them. As we know, this community is split right</li> <li>now, and the community views the Board as being</li> <li>split in a three-to-two fashion. Those comments</li> </ul>	184
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	issues that are out there, whether it's the elementary school or some of these. We talked about it earlier this year, and we know there's some of these big items out there that are being considered by other agencies that a huge portion of our community is either in favor of or against, and I think we could stand behind and make a statement from the Board level that would be	<ul> <li>You've got to be balanced. You've got to</li> <li>dispel, not just those vapid rumors that are</li> <li>convenient to you, but you need to dispel all of</li> <li>them. As we know, this community is split right</li> <li>now, and the community views the Board as being</li> <li>split in a three-to-two fashion. Those comments</li> <li>today that were political in nature were largely in</li> </ul>	184
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	issues that are out there, whether it's the elementary school or some of these. We talked about it earlier this year, and we know there's some of these big items out there that are being considered by other agencies that a huge portion of our community is either in favor of or against, and I think we could stand behind and make a statement from the Board level that would be very beneficial in that process.	<ul> <li>You've got to be balanced. You've got to</li> <li>dispel, not just those vapid rumors that are</li> <li>convenient to you, but you need to dispel all of</li> <li>them. As we know, this community is split right</li> <li>now, and the community views the Board as being</li> <li>split in a three-to-two fashion. Those comments</li> <li>today that were political in nature were largely in</li> <li>one camp. And, you know, that's fine, but you need</li> </ul>	184
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	issues that are out there, whether it's the elementary school or some of these. We talked about it earlier this year, and we know there's some of these big items out there that are being considered by other agencies that a huge portion of our community is either in favor of or against, and I think we could stand behind and make a statement from the Board level that would be very beneficial in that process. So, I'd like to see us bring something	<ul> <li>You've got to be balanced. You've got to</li> <li>dispel, not just those vapid rumors that are</li> <li>convenient to you, but you need to dispel all of</li> <li>them. As we know, this community is split right</li> <li>now, and the community views the Board as being</li> <li>split in a three-to-two fashion. Those comments</li> <li>today that were political in nature were largely in</li> <li>one camp. And, you know, that's fine, but you need</li> <li>to dispel the rumors on the order side of the</li> </ul>	184
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	issues that are out there, whether it's the elementary school or some of these. We talked about it earlier this year, and we know there's some of these big items out there that are being considered by other agencies that a huge portion of our community is either in favor of or against, and I think we could stand behind and make a statement from the Board level that would be very beneficial in that process. So, I'd like to see us bring something like that back. And I know there was a whole list	<ul> <li>You've got to be balanced. You've got to</li> <li>dispel, not just those vapid rumors that are</li> <li>convenient to you, but you need to dispel all of</li> <li>them. As we know, this community is split right</li> <li>now, and the community views the Board as being</li> <li>split in a three-to-two fashion. Those comments</li> <li>today that were political in nature were largely in</li> <li>one camp. And, you know, that's fine, but you need</li> <li>to dispel the rumors on the order side of the</li> <li>presentation as well.</li> </ul>	184
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	issues that are out there, whether it's the elementary school or some of these. We talked about it earlier this year, and we know there's some of these big items out there that are being considered by other agencies that a huge portion of our community is either in favor of or against, and I think we could stand behind and make a statement from the Board level that would be very beneficial in that process. So, I'd like to see us bring something like that back. And I know there was a whole list of items that we were considering potentially	<ul> <li>You've got to be balanced. You've got to</li> <li>dispel, not just those vapid rumors that are</li> <li>convenient to you, but you need to dispel all of</li> <li>them. As we know, this community is split right</li> <li>now, and the community views the Board as being</li> <li>split in a three-to-two fashion. Those comments</li> <li>today that were political in nature were largely in</li> <li>one camp. And, you know, that's fine, but you need</li> <li>to dispel the rumors on the order side of the</li> <li>presentation as well.</li> <li>I'll give you a couple of examples that I</li> </ul>	184
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	issues that are out there, whether it's the elementary school or some of these. We talked about it earlier this year, and we know there's some of these big items out there that are being considered by other agencies that a huge portion of our community is either in favor of or against, and I think we could stand behind and make a statement from the Board level that would be very beneficial in that process. So, I'd like to see us bring something like that back. And I know there was a whole list of items that we were considering potentially touching on. So, maybe we revisit that in the next	<ul> <li>You've got to be balanced. You've got to</li> <li>dispel, not just those vapid rumors that are</li> <li>convenient to you, but you need to dispel all of</li> <li>them. As we know, this community is split right</li> <li>now, and the community views the Board as being</li> <li>split in a three-to-two fashion. Those comments</li> <li>today that were political in nature were largely in</li> <li>one camp. And, you know, that's fine, but you need</li> <li>to dispel the rumors on the order side of the</li> <li>presentation as well.</li> <li>I'll give you a couple of examples that I</li> <li>would like you to add.</li> </ul>	184
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	issues that are out there, whether it's the elementary school or some of these. We talked about it earlier this year, and we know there's some of these big items out there that are being considered by other agencies that a huge portion of our community is either in favor of or against, and I think we could stand behind and make a statement from the Board level that would be very beneficial in that process. So, I'd like to see us bring something like that back. And I know there was a whole list of items that we were considering potentially touching on. So, maybe we revisit that in the next long range calendar or whoever, I know a few	<ul> <li>You've got to be balanced. You've got to</li> <li>dispel, not just those vapid rumors that are</li> <li>convenient to you, but you need to dispel all of</li> <li>them. As we know, this community is split right</li> <li>now, and the community views the Board as being</li> <li>split in a three-to-two fashion. Those comments</li> <li>today that were political in nature were largely in</li> <li>one camp. And, you know, that's fine, but you need</li> <li>to dispel the rumors on the order side of the</li> <li>presentation as well.</li> <li>I'll give you a couple of examples that I</li> <li>would like you to add.</li> <li>One being comments that Trustee Schmitz</li> </ul>	184
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	issues that are out there, whether it's the elementary school or some of these. We talked about it earlier this year, and we know there's some of these big items out there that are being considered by other agencies that a huge portion of our community is either in favor of or against, and I think we could stand behind and make a statement from the Board level that would be very beneficial in that process. So, I'd like to see us bring something like that back. And I know there was a whole list of items that we were considering potentially touching on. So, maybe we revisit that in the next long range calendar or whoever, I know a few trustees were drafting stuff like that, potentially	<ul> <li>You've got to be balanced. You've got to</li> <li>dispel, not just those vapid rumors that are</li> <li>convenient to you, but you need to dispel all of</li> <li>them. As we know, this community is split right</li> <li>now, and the community views the Board as being</li> <li>split in a three-to-two fashion. Those comments</li> <li>today that were political in nature were largely in</li> <li>one camp. And, you know, that's fine, but you need</li> <li>to dispel the rumors on the order side of the</li> <li>presentation as well.</li> <li>I'll give you a couple of examples that I</li> <li>would like you to add.</li> <li>One being comments that Trustee Schmitz</li> <li>made, and Tulloch doubled down on, on the \$4 million</li> </ul>	184
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	issues that are out there, whether it's the elementary school or some of these. We talked about it earlier this year, and we know there's some of these big items out there that are being considered by other agencies that a huge portion of our community is either in favor of or against, and I think we could stand behind and make a statement from the Board level that would be very beneficial in that process. So, I'd like to see us bring something like that back. And I know there was a whole list of items that we were considering potentially touching on. So, maybe we revisit that in the next long range calendar or whoever, I know a few trustees were drafting stuff like that, potentially those come up at a future meeting.	<ul> <li>You've got to be balanced. You've got to</li> <li>dispel, not just those vapid rumors that are</li> <li>convenient to you, but you need to dispel all of</li> <li>them. As we know, this community is split right</li> <li>now, and the community views the Board as being</li> <li>split in a three-to-two fashion. Those comments</li> <li>today that were political in nature were largely in</li> <li>one camp. And, you know, that's fine, but you need</li> <li>to dispel the rumors on the order side of the</li> <li>presentation as well.</li> <li>I'll give you a couple of examples that I</li> <li>would like you to add.</li> <li>One being comments that Trustee Schmitz</li> <li>made, and Tulloch doubled down on, on the \$4 million</li> <li>operating loss on golf. Those are wrong. I know</li> </ul>	184
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	issues that are out there, whether it's the elementary school or some of these. We talked about it earlier this year, and we know there's some of these big items out there that are being considered by other agencies that a huge portion of our community is either in favor of or against, and I think we could stand behind and make a statement from the Board level that would be very beneficial in that process. So, I'd like to see us bring something like that back. And I know there was a whole list of items that we were considering potentially touching on. So, maybe we revisit that in the next long range calendar or whoever, I know a few trustees were drafting stuff like that, potentially those come up at a future meeting. That will close out Board of Trustees	<ul> <li>You've got to be balanced. You've got to</li> <li>dispel, not just those vapid rumors that are</li> <li>convenient to you, but you need to dispel all of</li> <li>them. As we know, this community is split right</li> <li>now, and the community views the Board as being</li> <li>split in a three-to-two fashion. Those comments</li> <li>today that were political in nature were largely in</li> <li>one camp. And, you know, that's fine, but you need</li> <li>to dispel the rumors on the order side of the</li> <li>presentation as well.</li> <li>I'll give you a couple of examples that I</li> <li>would like you to add.</li> <li>One being comments that Trustee Schmitz</li> <li>made, and Tulloch doubled down on, on the \$4 million</li> <li>operating loss on golf. Those are wrong. I know</li> <li>that Trustee Schmitz tried to explain in a</li> </ul>	184
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	issues that are out there, whether it's the elementary school or some of these. We talked about it earlier this year, and we know there's some of these big items out there that are being considered by other agencies that a huge portion of our community is either in favor of or against, and I think we could stand behind and make a statement from the Board level that would be very beneficial in that process. So, I'd like to see us bring something like that back. And I know there was a whole list of items that we were considering potentially touching on. So, maybe we revisit that in the next long range calendar or whoever, I know a few trustees were drafting stuff like that, potentially those come up at a future meeting. That will close out Board of Trustees Update. Final public comment, item K.	<ul> <li>You've got to be balanced. You've got to</li> <li>dispel, not just those vapid rumors that are</li> <li>convenient to you, but you need to dispel all of</li> <li>them. As we know, this community is split right</li> <li>now, and the community views the Board as being</li> <li>split in a three-to-two fashion. Those comments</li> <li>today that were political in nature were largely in</li> <li>one camp. And, you know, that's fine, but you need</li> <li>to dispel the rumors on the order side of the</li> <li>presentation as well.</li> <li>I'll give you a couple of examples that I</li> <li>would like you to add.</li> <li>One being comments that Trustee Schmitz</li> <li>made, and Tulloch doubled down on, on the \$4 million</li> <li>operating loss on golf. Those are wrong. I know</li> <li>that Trustee Schmitz tried to explain in a</li> <li>subsequent meeting where those numbers came from. I</li> </ul>	184
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	issues that are out there, whether it's the elementary school or some of these. We talked about it earlier this year, and we know there's some of these big items out there that are being considered by other agencies that a huge portion of our community is either in favor of or against, and I think we could stand behind and make a statement from the Board level that would be very beneficial in that process. So, I'd like to see us bring something like that back. And I know there was a whole list of items that we were considering potentially touching on. So, maybe we revisit that in the next long range calendar or whoever, I know a few trustees were drafting stuff like that, potentially those come up at a future meeting. That will close out Board of Trustees Update. Final public comment, item K. K. FINAL PUBLIC COMMENTS	<ul> <li>You've got to be balanced. You've got to</li> <li>dispel, not just those vapid rumors that are</li> <li>convenient to you, but you need to dispel all of</li> <li>them. As we know, this community is split right</li> <li>now, and the community views the Board as being</li> <li>split in a three-to-two fashion. Those comments</li> <li>today that were political in nature were largely in</li> <li>one camp. And, you know, that's fine, but you need</li> <li>to dispel the rumors on the order side of the</li> <li>presentation as well.</li> <li>I'll give you a couple of examples that I</li> <li>would like you to add.</li> <li>One being comments that Trustee Schmitz</li> <li>made, and Tulloch doubled down on, on the \$4 million</li> <li>operating loss on golf. Those are wrong. I know</li> <li>that Trustee Schmitz tried to explain in a</li> <li>subsequent meeting where those numbers came from. I</li> <li>looked at that. It's wrong. Numbers were double</li> </ul>	184
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	issues that are out there, whether it's the elementary school or some of these. We talked about it earlier this year, and we know there's some of these big items out there that are being considered by other agencies that a huge portion of our community is either in favor of or against, and I think we could stand behind and make a statement from the Board level that would be very beneficial in that process. So, I'd like to see us bring something like that back. And I know there was a whole list of items that we were considering potentially touching on. So, maybe we revisit that in the next long range calendar or whoever, I know a few trustees were drafting stuff like that, potentially those come up at a future meeting. That will close out Board of Trustees Update. Final public comment, item K. K. FINAL PUBLIC COMMENTS MR. HOLMAN: Mick Holman, Incline Village.	<ul> <li>You've got to be balanced. You've got to</li> <li>dispel, not just those vapid rumors that are</li> <li>convenient to you, but you need to dispel all of</li> <li>them. As we know, this community is split right</li> <li>now, and the community views the Board as being</li> <li>split in a three-to-two fashion. Those comments</li> <li>today that were political in nature were largely in</li> <li>one camp. And, you know, that's fine, but you need</li> <li>to dispel the rumors on the order side of the</li> <li>presentation as well.</li> <li>I'll give you a couple of examples that I</li> <li>would like you to add.</li> <li>One being comments that Trustee Schmitz</li> <li>made, and Tulloch doubled down on, on the \$4 million</li> <li>operating loss on golf. Those are wrong. I know</li> <li>that Trustee Schmitz tried to explain in a</li> <li>subsequent meeting where those numbers came from. I</li> <li>looked at that. It's wrong. Numbers were double</li> <li>counted to come up to that 4 million. Let's dispel</li> </ul>	184
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	issues that are out there, whether it's the elementary school or some of these. We talked about it earlier this year, and we know there's some of these big items out there that are being considered by other agencies that a huge portion of our community is either in favor of or against, and I think we could stand behind and make a statement from the Board level that would be very beneficial in that process. So, I'd like to see us bring something like that back. And I know there was a whole list of items that we were considering potentially touching on. So, maybe we revisit that in the next long range calendar or whoever, I know a few trustees were drafting stuff like that, potentially those come up at a future meeting. That will close out Board of Trustees Update. Final public comment, item K. K. FINAL PUBLIC COMMENTS MR. HOLMAN: Mick Holman, Incline Village. I made some public comments earlier about	<ul> <li>You've got to be balanced. You've got to</li> <li>dispel, not just those vapid rumors that are</li> <li>convenient to you, but you need to dispel all of</li> <li>them. As we know, this community is split right</li> <li>now, and the community views the Board as being</li> <li>split in a three-to-two fashion. Those comments</li> <li>today that were political in nature were largely in</li> <li>one camp. And, you know, that's fine, but you need</li> <li>to dispel the rumors on the order side of the</li> <li>presentation as well.</li> <li>I'll give you a couple of examples that I</li> <li>would like you to add.</li> <li>One being comments that Trustee Schmitz</li> <li>made, and Tulloch doubled down on, on the \$4 million</li> <li>operating loss on golf. Those are wrong. I know</li> <li>that Trustee Schmitz tried to explain in a</li> <li>subsequent meeting where those numbers came from. I</li> <li>looked at that. It's wrong. Numbers were double</li> <li>counted to come up to that 4 million. Let's dispel</li> <li>that.</li> </ul>	184
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	issues that are out there, whether it's the elementary school or some of these. We talked about it earlier this year, and we know there's some of these big items out there that are being considered by other agencies that a huge portion of our community is either in favor of or against, and I think we could stand behind and make a statement from the Board level that would be very beneficial in that process. So, I'd like to see us bring something like that back. And I know there was a whole list of items that we were considering potentially touching on. So, maybe we revisit that in the next long range calendar or whoever, I know a few trustees were drafting stuff like that, potentially those come up at a future meeting. That will close out Board of Trustees Update. Final public comment, item K. K. FINAL PUBLIC COMMENTS MR. HOLMAN: Mick Holman, Incline Village. I made some public comments earlier about the topic on frequently asked questions. You chose	<ul> <li>You've got to be balanced. You've got to</li> <li>dispel, not just those vapid rumors that are</li> <li>convenient to you, but you need to dispel all of</li> <li>them. As we know, this community is split right</li> <li>now, and the community views the Board as being</li> <li>split in a three-to-two fashion. Those comments</li> <li>today that were political in nature were largely in</li> <li>one camp. And, you know, that's fine, but you need</li> <li>to dispel the rumors on the order side of the</li> <li>presentation as well.</li> <li>I'll give you a couple of examples that I</li> <li>would like you to add.</li> <li>One being comments that Trustee Schmitz</li> <li>made, and Tulloch doubled down on, on the \$4 million</li> <li>operating loss on golf. Those are wrong. I know</li> <li>that Trustee Schmitz tried to explain in a</li> <li>subsequent meeting where those numbers came from. I</li> <li>looked at that. It's wrong. Numbers were double</li> <li>counted to come up to that 4 million. Let's dispel</li> <li>that.</li> </ul>	184
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	issues that are out there, whether it's the elementary school or some of these. We talked about it earlier this year, and we know there's some of these big items out there that are being considered by other agencies that a huge portion of our community is either in favor of or against, and I think we could stand behind and make a statement from the Board level that would be very beneficial in that process. So, I'd like to see us bring something like that back. And I know there was a whole list of items that we were considering potentially touching on. So, maybe we revisit that in the next long range calendar or whoever, I know a few trustees were drafting stuff like that, potentially those come up at a future meeting. That will close out Board of Trustees Update. Final public comment, item K. K. FINAL PUBLIC COMMENTS MR. HOLMAN: Mick Holman, Incline Village. I made some public comments earlier about the topic on frequently asked questions. You chose not to take my counsel on dropping it, and that's	<ul> <li>You've got to be balanced. You've got to</li> <li>dispel, not just those vapid rumors that are</li> <li>convenient to you, but you need to dispel all of</li> <li>them. As we know, this community is split right</li> <li>now, and the community views the Board as being</li> <li>split in a three-to-two fashion. Those comments</li> <li>today that were political in nature were largely in</li> <li>one camp. And, you know, that's fine, but you need</li> <li>to dispel the rumors on the order side of the</li> <li>presentation as well.</li> <li>I'll give you a couple of examples that I</li> <li>would like you to add.</li> <li>One being comments that Trustee Schmitz</li> <li>made, and Tulloch doubled down on, on the \$4 million</li> <li>operating loss on golf. Those are wrong. I know</li> <li>that Trustee Schmitz tried to explain in a</li> <li>subsequent meeting where those numbers came from. I</li> <li>looked at that. It's wrong. Numbers were double</li> <li>counted to come up to that 4 million. Let's dispel</li> <li>that.</li> </ul>	184
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	issues that are out there, whether it's the elementary school or some of these. We talked about it earlier this year, and we know there's some of these big items out there that are being considered by other agencies that a huge portion of our community is either in favor of or against, and I think we could stand behind and make a statement from the Board level that would be very beneficial in that process. So, I'd like to see us bring something like that back. And I know there was a whole list of items that we were considering potentially touching on. So, maybe we revisit that in the next long range calendar or whoever, I know a few trustees were drafting stuff like that, potentially those come up at a future meeting. That will close out Board of Trustees Update. Final public comment, item K. K. FINAL PUBLIC COMMENTS MR. HOLMAN: Mick Holman, Incline Village. I made some public comments earlier about the topic on frequently asked questions. You chose not to take my counsel on dropping it, and that's fine, you're the trustees, that's your view. But	<ul> <li>You've got to be balanced. You've got to</li> <li>dispel, not just those vapid rumors that are</li> <li>convenient to you, but you need to dispel all of</li> <li>them. As we know, this community is split right</li> <li>now, and the community views the Board as being</li> <li>split in a three-to-two fashion. Those comments</li> <li>today that were political in nature were largely in</li> <li>one camp. And, you know, that's fine, but you need</li> <li>to dispel the rumors on the order side of the</li> <li>presentation as well.</li> <li>I'll give you a couple of examples that I</li> <li>would like you to add.</li> <li>One being comments that Trustee Schmitz</li> <li>made, and Tulloch doubled down on, on the \$4 million</li> <li>operating loss on golf. Those are wrong. I know</li> <li>that Trustee Schmitz tried to explain in a</li> <li>subsequent meeting where those numbers came from. I</li> <li>looked at that. It's wrong. Numbers were double</li> <li>counted to come up to that 4 million. Let's dispel</li> <li>that.</li> <li>I heard one of the community members</li> <li>making salacious comments about a lady in the</li> <li>audience that does business with the District and</li> </ul>	184
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	issues that are out there, whether it's the elementary school or some of these. We talked about it earlier this year, and we know there's some of these big items out there that are being considered by other agencies that a huge portion of our community is either in favor of or against, and I think we could stand behind and make a statement from the Board level that would be very beneficial in that process. So, I'd like to see us bring something like that back. And I know there was a whole list of items that we were considering potentially touching on. So, maybe we revisit that in the next long range calendar or whoever, I know a few trustees were drafting stuff like that, potentially those come up at a future meeting. That will close out Board of Trustees Update. Final public comment, item K. K. FINAL PUBLIC COMMENTS MR. HOLMAN: Mick Holman, Incline Village. I made some public comments earlier about the topic on frequently asked questions. You chose not to take my counsel on dropping it, and that's	<ul> <li>You've got to be balanced. You've got to</li> <li>dispel, not just those vapid rumors that are</li> <li>convenient to you, but you need to dispel all of</li> <li>them. As we know, this community is split right</li> <li>now, and the community views the Board as being</li> <li>split in a three-to-two fashion. Those comments</li> <li>today that were political in nature were largely in</li> <li>one camp. And, you know, that's fine, but you need</li> <li>to dispel the rumors on the order side of the</li> <li>presentation as well.</li> <li>I'll give you a couple of examples that I</li> <li>would like you to add.</li> <li>One being comments that Trustee Schmitz</li> <li>made, and Tulloch doubled down on, on the \$4 million</li> <li>operating loss on golf. Those are wrong. I know</li> <li>that Trustee Schmitz tried to explain in a</li> <li>subsequent meeting where those numbers came from. I</li> <li>looked at that. It's wrong. Numbers were double</li> <li>counted to come up to that 4 million. Let's dispel</li> <li>that.</li> </ul>	184
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	issues that are out there, whether it's the elementary school or some of these. We talked about it earlier this year, and we know there's some of these big items out there that are being considered by other agencies that a huge portion of our community is either in favor of or against, and I think we could stand behind and make a statement from the Board level that would be very beneficial in that process. So, I'd like to see us bring something like that back. And I know there was a whole list of items that we were considering potentially touching on. So, maybe we revisit that in the next long range calendar or whoever, I know a few trustees were drafting stuff like that, potentially those come up at a future meeting. That will close out Board of Trustees Update. Final public comment, item K. K. FINAL PUBLIC COMMENTS MR. HOLMAN: Mick Holman, Incline Village. I made some public comments earlier about the topic on frequently asked questions. You chose not to take my counsel on dropping it, and that's fine, you're the trustees, that's your view. But	<ul> <li>You've got to be balanced. You've got to</li> <li>dispel, not just those vapid rumors that are</li> <li>convenient to you, but you need to dispel all of</li> <li>them. As we know, this community is split right</li> <li>now, and the community views the Board as being</li> <li>split in a three-to-two fashion. Those comments</li> <li>today that were political in nature were largely in</li> <li>one camp. And, you know, that's fine, but you need</li> <li>to dispel the rumors on the order side of the</li> <li>presentation as well.</li> <li>I'll give you a couple of examples that I</li> <li>would like you to add.</li> <li>One being comments that Trustee Schmitz</li> <li>made, and Tulloch doubled down on, on the \$4 million</li> <li>operating loss on golf. Those are wrong. I know</li> <li>that Trustee Schmitz tried to explain in a</li> <li>subsequent meeting where those numbers came from. I</li> <li>looked at that. It's wrong. Numbers were double</li> <li>counted to come up to that 4 million. Let's dispel</li> <li>that.</li> <li>I heard one of the community members</li> <li>making salacious comments about a lady in the</li> <li>audience that does business with the District and</li> </ul>	184

185 hire her, and you've been hiring her for years. You big undertaking. And I agree with Dave, you're 1 1 need to dispel that rumor, that you're getting value 2 heading down a rabbit hole. You haven't agreed to 2 3 for what she's providing. 3 anything yet, you have time to back out. 4 Another one that I was involved with, the 4 MR. CLING: The logic you used for the 5 rumor that the golf clubs are taking all of the 5 necessity for a committee -- an advisory committee prime-time slots. I prepared a sheet going through 6 on golf, and I may have this wrong, I believe, in 6 7 every single day of the season showing you that the 7 essence, you were saying that we need to get started golf clubs, which comprise about 50 percent of the 8 by December because it's a spring sport, because of 8 golfers in the community, taking somewhere in the 30 9 the season. I urge you to apply the same logic to a 9 10 to 35 percent range of the tee timings. That was tennis committee. It's the same thing here, and I 10 subsequently raised to show a narrower slice of the 11 believe that -- right now, there's an informal 11 season, but that was still about 40 percent. Okay? group, four or five people, who have taken that 12 12 13 Underrepresented. That rumor needs to be dispelled. 13 role, and I believe that had we not, we wouldn't be 14 14 aware of the condition of the tennis courts. You need to think about who is going to 15 15 police this. You got all sorts of trash going on on If you look at the numbers of tennis and 16 social media, people spreading false, on both sides. 16 pickleball, the participation is up there. There 17 Okay? Someone needs to monitor that. Who's going more people coming last year than the year before, 17 18 to do that? You need to think about this. Who's 18 we had COVID, but more people coming this year than going to fact-check all the comments in these public next year. And pickleball and tennis are like 19 19 competitive siblings. They're fighting for court 20 meetings? And there's a lot of lies in these public 20 21 meetings that come up. Someone's got to take 21 space right now. There's some inherent conflicts, 22 responsibility for that. 22 but with this group, we have worked through it. And 23 23 if we wait to put that committee together formally So if you're going to go down that path, 24 fine. Be balanced so both sides -- and you need to 24 until later, we're going to miss out on -- I believe 25 be diligent. It needs to be complete. This is a 25 we're going to miss out on a lot of opportunities to 187 1 create revenue there. 1 venues. 2 My background, Bill (inaudible)'s 2 I've listed below my public comment, a background is business, this is something we talk suggested draft, of such a memorandum of 3 3 4 about all the time, the potential for revenue there. 4 understanding. I respectively ask that a member of 5 So, I would just urge you to reconsider 5 the Board officially request the drafting and 6 and, perhaps, put that committee together at the 6 signing such MOU be agendized for an upcoming 7 same time you go golf. 7 meeting of the Board of Trustees, so the five 8 Appreciate it. Thank you. 8 trustees can approve the wording, each trustee can

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

Арргесіате Іт. тпалк уой.

9 MS. SHACKFORD: I was deeply relieved in

10 reading question number 4 on the Board of Trustees

11 frequently asked questions, to see the Board does

12 not intend to privatize our recreational venues.

13 However, such a statement is completely meaningless

14 unless also backed up by some kind of permanently

15 visible memorandum of understanding. Such a

16 documents could be signed by each trustee,

17 confirming that you recognize that being the case

18 recognize our recreational venues are legitimately

19 the responsibility of IVGID, but they are first and

20 foremost for the enjoyment of community members, and

21 that in your tenure on the Board, you would never

22 ask for a study of or approve the privatizing or

23 outsourcing of any of our recreational venues or

24 otherwise invite an outside agency to take

25 managerial and/or financial control of any of these

188

on social media that are just not true, and then after a couple of months, they become true in the

23 after a couple of months, they become true in the24 minds of people like Mary Becker, Alice McDonald,

21 fantasy fanatics that have come up with statements

sign his or her name on it as being a hundred

permanently on IVGID's website.

Thank you.

percent in agreement, and so it can then be posted

agreed upon, and individually and collective signed,

such a statement on the frequently asked questions

page about question 4 is, as I mentioned, completely

meaningless, so please do the right thing.

Should such an MOU not be discussed,

CHAIR DENT: Matt, can go to Zoom?

Just to play off what I said earlier, the

MR. WRIGHT: Frank Wright, Crystal Bay.

25 and many others that are on there that just keep

	190	100
1 rashing the same stuff over and over and over. It's	189 1 told us that we need to follow his lead because he	190
2 a lie to begin with. Myles Riner is one of the	2 knows everything and his numbers are always accurate	
3 worst at it. He just makes stuff up, and then a	3 and always right. Well, you know, show me. Show me	
4 couple days later, somebody on social media will	4 the records. Show me the documentation that shows	
5 say, wow, that's really sounding good. Let's use	5 these things are right. Show me that Ms. Schmitz	
6 that.	6 costs us \$25 million. Show me that in writing.	
7 Well, all these things that made its way	7 When you show me that, I'll believe, but you can't.	
8 into the petition that are going after to the	8 It's not in writing, never will be, never was. We	
9 trustees, totally, totally inaccurate information.	9 didn't have a donation for \$25 million, but they	
10 It's wrong. It's so wrong.	10 keep saying it over and over and over again. It's	
11 And these people that are on these social	11 unbelievable.	
12 media sites that actually believe the stuff that's	12 Then Tim Callicrate with the petition.	
13 (inaudible) and comes through with reality at the	13 You can't do it, Tim. It's a felony.	
14 end, it's not real. It's all phony.	14 Thank you.	
15 Some Alice McDonald calls me David M.	15 MR. DOBLER: Cliff Dobler, 995 Fairway.	
16 David M is David Mitchell, he runs another website.	16 Belief, intent, or written contract of \$25	
17 Mary Becker keeps calling me David M. I'm not David	17 million. I would like to introduce you to the	
18 M; I'm Frank Wright. I'm always me. I always	18 statue of frauds. The statute of frauds has its	
19 acknowledge who I am.	19 roots in the active prevention of frauds and	
20 Stuff like this has just got to stop. And	20 perjuries, which was passed by the English	
21 these people have to look at what the Board is	21 Parliament in 1677. The legislation, which	
22 trying to do to make things better here. And for a	22 stipulated that a written contract be used for	
23 long time, things haven't been very good here.	23 transactions where a large amount of money was at	
24 They've been very bad.	24 stake, aimed to prevent some of the	
25 Previous speaker just now sat there and	25 misunderstandings and fraudulent activities that can	
1 occur when relying on oral contracts		192
<ol> <li>occur when relying on oral contracts.</li> <li>Indeed, the English legal system of the</li> </ol>	1 Thank you very much.	192
<ol> <li>occur when relying on oral contracts.</li> <li>Indeed, the English legal system of the</li> <li>time suffered from a lack of written evidence. The</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Thank you very much.</li> <li>MATT: There are no other callers in the</li> </ol>	192
2 Indeed, the English legal system of the 3 time suffered from a lack of written evidence. The	<ol> <li>Thank you very much.</li> <li>MATT: There are no other callers in the</li> <li>queue at this time.</li> </ol>	192
<ul> <li>Indeed, the English legal system of the</li> <li>time suffered from a lack of written evidence. The</li> <li>courts were clogged with lawsuits, and cases were</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Thank you very much.</li> <li>MATT: There are no other callers in the</li> <li>queue at this time.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: That will close public</li> </ol>	192
<ul> <li>Indeed, the English legal system of the</li> <li>time suffered from a lack of written evidence. The</li> <li>courts were clogged with lawsuits, and cases were</li> <li>often settled by professional witnessed who were</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Thank you very much.</li> <li>MATT: There are no other callers in the</li> <li>queue at this time.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: That will close public</li> </ol>	192
<ul> <li>Indeed, the English legal system of the</li> <li>time suffered from a lack of written evidence. The</li> <li>courts were clogged with lawsuits, and cases were</li> <li>often settled by professional witnessed who were</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Thank you very much.</li> <li>MATT: There are no other callers in the</li> <li>queue at this time.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: That will close public</li> <li>comment.</li> </ol>	192
<ul> <li>Indeed, the English legal system of the</li> <li>time suffered from a lack of written evidence. The</li> <li>courts were clogged with lawsuits, and cases were</li> <li>often settled by professional witnessed who were</li> <li>paid for their testimony. Perjury and corruption</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Thank you very much.</li> <li>MATT: There are no other callers in the</li> <li>queue at this time.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: That will close public</li> <li>comment.</li> <li>L. ADJOURNMENT</li> </ol>	192
<ul> <li>Indeed, the English legal system of the</li> <li>time suffered from a lack of written evidence. The</li> <li>courts were clogged with lawsuits, and cases were</li> <li>often settled by professional witnessed who were</li> <li>paid for their testimony. Perjury and corruption</li> <li>became the norm.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Thank you very much.</li> <li>MATT: There are no other callers in the</li> <li>queue at this time.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: That will close public</li> <li>comment.</li> <li>L. ADJOURNMENT</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Our last item, it is 10:26.</li> </ol>	192
<ul> <li>Indeed, the English legal system of the</li> <li>time suffered from a lack of written evidence. The</li> <li>courts were clogged with lawsuits, and cases were</li> <li>often settled by professional witnessed who were</li> <li>paid for their testimony. Perjury and corruption</li> <li>became the norm.</li> <li>The purpose of the statute of frauds is to</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Thank you very much.</li> <li>MATT: There are no other callers in the</li> <li>queue at this time.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: That will close public</li> <li>comment.</li> <li>L. ADJOURNMENT</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Our last item, it is 10:26.</li> <li>I want to thank staff for staying a little bit later</li> </ol>	192
<ul> <li>Indeed, the English legal system of the</li> <li>time suffered from a lack of written evidence. The</li> <li>courts were clogged with lawsuits, and cases were</li> <li>often settled by professional witnessed who were</li> <li>paid for their testimony. Perjury and corruption</li> <li>became the norm.</li> <li>The purpose of the statute of frauds is to</li> <li>prevent fraud or other injury. These purposes are</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Thank you very much.</li> <li>MATT: There are no other callers in the</li> <li>queue at this time.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: That will close public</li> <li>comment.</li> <li>L. ADJOURNMENT</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Our last item, it is 10:26.</li> <li>I want to thank staff for staying a little bit later</li> <li>tonight. We are adjourned.</li> </ol>	192
<ul> <li>Indeed, the English legal system of the</li> <li>time suffered from a lack of written evidence. The</li> <li>courts were clogged with lawsuits, and cases were</li> <li>often settled by professional witnessed who were</li> <li>paid for their testimony. Perjury and corruption</li> <li>became the norm.</li> <li>The purpose of the statute of frauds is to</li> <li>prevent fraud or other injury. These purposes are</li> <li>often described as being evidentiary or cautionary.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Thank you very much.</li> <li>MATT: There are no other callers in the</li> <li>queue at this time.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: That will close public</li> <li>comment.</li> <li>L. ADJOURNMENT</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Our last item, it is 10:26.</li> <li>I want to thank staff for staying a little bit later</li> <li>tonight. We are adjourned.</li> <li>(Meeting ended at 10:26 P.M.)</li> </ol>	192
<ul> <li>Indeed, the English legal system of the</li> <li>time suffered from a lack of written evidence. The</li> <li>courts were clogged with lawsuits, and cases were</li> <li>often settled by professional witnessed who were</li> <li>paid for their testimony. Perjury and corruption</li> <li>became the norm.</li> <li>The purpose of the statute of frauds is to</li> <li>prevent fraud or other injury. These purposes are</li> <li>often described as being evidentiary or cautionary.</li> <li>The evidentiary function is to provided</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Thank you very much.</li> <li>MATT: There are no other callers in the</li> <li>queue at this time.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: That will close public</li> <li>comment.</li> <li>L. ADJOURNMENT</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Our last item, it is 10:26.</li> <li>I want to thank staff for staying a little bit later</li> <li>tonight. We are adjourned.</li> <li>(Meeting ended at 10:26 P.M.)</li> </ol>	192
<ul> <li>Indeed, the English legal system of the</li> <li>time suffered from a lack of written evidence. The</li> <li>courts were clogged with lawsuits, and cases were</li> <li>often settled by professional witnessed who were</li> <li>paid for their testimony. Perjury and corruption</li> <li>became the norm.</li> <li>The purpose of the statute of frauds is to</li> <li>prevent fraud or other injury. These purposes are</li> <li>often described as being evidentiary or cautionary.</li> <li>The evidentiary function is to provided</li> <li>documentation that a legal, binding agreement</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Thank you very much.</li> <li>MATT: There are no other callers in the</li> <li>queue at this time.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: That will close public</li> <li>comment.</li> <li>L. ADJOURNMENT</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Our last item, it is 10:26.</li> <li>I want to thank staff for staying a little bit later</li> <li>tonight. We are adjourned.</li> <li>(Meeting ended at 10:26 P.M.)</li> <li>11</li> </ol>	192
<ul> <li>Indeed, the English legal system of the</li> <li>time suffered from a lack of written evidence. The</li> <li>courts were clogged with lawsuits, and cases were</li> <li>often settled by professional witnessed who were</li> <li>paid for their testimony. Perjury and corruption</li> <li>became the norm.</li> <li>The purpose of the statute of frauds is to</li> <li>prevent fraud or other injury. These purposes are</li> <li>often described as being evidentiary or cautionary.</li> <li>The evidentiary function is to provided</li> <li>documentation that a legal, binding agreement</li> <li>exists. The cautionary function is meant to make</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Thank you very much.</li> <li>MATT: There are no other callers in the</li> <li>queue at this time.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: That will close public</li> <li>comment.</li> <li>L. ADJOURNMENT</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Our last item, it is 10:26.</li> <li>I want to thank staff for staying a little bit later</li> <li>tonight. We are adjourned.</li> <li>(Meeting ended at 10:26 P.M.)</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> </ol>	192
<ul> <li>Indeed, the English legal system of the</li> <li>time suffered from a lack of written evidence. The</li> <li>courts were clogged with lawsuits, and cases were</li> <li>often settled by professional witnessed who were</li> <li>paid for their testimony. Perjury and corruption</li> <li>became the norm.</li> <li>The purpose of the statute of frauds is to</li> <li>prevent fraud or other injury. These purposes are</li> <li>often described as being evidentiary or cautionary.</li> <li>The evidentiary function is to provided</li> <li>documentation that a legal, binding agreement</li> <li>exists. The cautionary function is meant to make</li> <li>each party more serious and deliberate in their</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Thank you very much.</li> <li>MATT: There are no other callers in the</li> <li>queue at this time.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: That will close public</li> <li>comment.</li> <li>L. ADJOURNMENT</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Our last item, it is 10:26.</li> <li>I want to thank staff for staying a little bit later</li> <li>tonight. We are adjourned.</li> <li>(Meeting ended at 10:26 P.M.)</li> <li>(Meeting ended at 10:26 P.M.)</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> </ol>	192
<ul> <li>Indeed, the English legal system of the</li> <li>time suffered from a lack of written evidence. The</li> <li>courts were clogged with lawsuits, and cases were</li> <li>often settled by professional witnessed who were</li> <li>paid for their testimony. Perjury and corruption</li> <li>became the norm.</li> <li>The purpose of the statute of frauds is to</li> <li>prevent fraud or other injury. These purposes are</li> <li>often described as being evidentiary or cautionary.</li> <li>The evidentiary function is to provided</li> <li>documentation that a legal, binding agreement</li> <li>exists. The cautionary function is meant to make</li> <li>each party more serious and deliberate in their</li> <li>transactions.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Thank you very much.</li> <li>MATT: There are no other callers in the</li> <li>queue at this time.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: That will close public</li> <li>comment.</li> <li>L. ADJOURNMENT</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Our last item, it is 10:26.</li> <li>I want to thank staff for staying a little bit later</li> <li>tonight. We are adjourned.</li> <li>(Meeting ended at 10:26 P.M.)</li> <li>(Meeting ended at 10:26 P.M.)</li> </ol>	192
<ul> <li>Indeed, the English legal system of the</li> <li>time suffered from a lack of written evidence. The</li> <li>courts were clogged with lawsuits, and cases were</li> <li>often settled by professional witnessed who were</li> <li>paid for their testimony. Perjury and corruption</li> <li>became the norm.</li> <li>The purpose of the statute of frauds is to</li> <li>prevent fraud or other injury. These purposes are</li> <li>often described as being evidentiary or cautionary.</li> <li>The evidentiary function is to provided</li> <li>documentation that a legal, binding agreement</li> <li>exists. The cautionary function is meant to make</li> <li>each party more serious and deliberate in their</li> <li>transactions.</li> <li>The U.S. has adopted the statue of frauds.</li> <li>Now, the intent or meaning of a subject means</li> <li>nothing. The requirements must be within the four</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Thank you very much.</li> <li>MATT: There are no other callers in the</li> <li>queue at this time.</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: That will close public</li> <li>comment.</li> <li>L. ADJOURNMENT</li> <li>CHAIR DENT: Our last item, it is 10:26.</li> <li>I want to thank staff for staying a little bit later</li> <li>tonight. We are adjourned.</li> <li>(Meeting ended at 10:26 P.M.)</li> <li>(Meeting ended at 10:26 P.M.)</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> </ol>	192
<ul> <li>Indeed, the English legal system of the</li> <li>time suffered from a lack of written evidence. The</li> <li>courts were clogged with lawsuits, and cases were</li> <li>often settled by professional witnessed who were</li> <li>paid for their testimony. Perjury and corruption</li> <li>became the norm.</li> <li>The purpose of the statute of frauds is to</li> <li>prevent fraud or other injury. These purposes are</li> <li>often described as being evidentiary or cautionary.</li> <li>The evidentiary function is to provided</li> <li>documentation that a legal, binding agreement</li> <li>exists. The cautionary function is meant to make</li> <li>each party more serious and deliberate in their</li> <li>transactions.</li> <li>The U.S. has adopted the statue of frauds.</li> <li>Now, the intent or meaning of a subject means</li> </ul>	1Thank you very much.2MATT: There are no other callers in the3queue at this time.4CHAIR DENT: That will close public5comment.6L. ADJOURNMENT7CHAIR DENT: Our last item, it is 10:26.8I want to thank staff for staying a little bit later9tonight. We are adjourned.10(Meeting ended at 10:26 P.M.)1112131415161717	192
<ul> <li>Indeed, the English legal system of the</li> <li>time suffered from a lack of written evidence. The</li> <li>courts were clogged with lawsuits, and cases were</li> <li>often settled by professional witnessed who were</li> <li>paid for their testimony. Perjury and corruption</li> <li>became the norm.</li> <li>The purpose of the statute of frauds is to</li> <li>prevent fraud or other injury. These purposes are</li> <li>often described as being evidentiary or cautionary.</li> <li>The evidentiary function is to provided</li> <li>documentation that a legal, binding agreement</li> <li>exists. The cautionary function is meant to make</li> <li>each party more serious and deliberate in their</li> <li>transactions.</li> <li>The U.S. has adopted the statue of frauds.</li> <li>Now, the intent or meaning of a subject means</li> <li>nothing. The requirements must be within the four</li> </ul>	1Thank you very much.2MATT: There are no other callers in the3queue at this time.4CHAIR DENT: That will close public5comment.6L. ADJOURNMENT7CHAIR DENT: Our last item, it is 10:26.8I want to thank staff for staying a little bit later9tonight. We are adjourned.10(Meeting ended at 10:26 P.M.)1112131415161718	192
<ul> <li>Indeed, the English legal system of the</li> <li>time suffered from a lack of written evidence. The</li> <li>courts were clogged with lawsuits, and cases were</li> <li>often settled by professional witnessed who were</li> <li>paid for their testimony. Perjury and corruption</li> <li>became the norm.</li> <li>The purpose of the statute of frauds is to</li> <li>prevent fraud or other injury. These purposes are</li> <li>often described as being evidentiary or cautionary.</li> <li>The evidentiary function is to provided</li> <li>documentation that a legal, binding agreement</li> <li>exists. The cautionary function is meant to make</li> <li>each party more serious and deliberate in their</li> <li>transactions.</li> <li>The U.S. has adopted the statue of frauds.</li> <li>Now, the intent or meaning of a subject means</li> <li>nothing. The requirements must be within the four</li> <li>coroners of a document, and only that proves what is</li> <li>and what isn't.</li> </ul>	1Thank you very much.2MATT: There are no other callers in the3queue at this time.4CHAIR DENT: That will close public5comment.6L. ADJOURNMENT7CHAIR DENT: Our last item, it is 10:26.8I want to thank staff for staying a little bit later9tonight. We are adjourned.10(Meeting ended at 10:26 P.M.)111213141516171819202121	192
<ul> <li>Indeed, the English legal system of the</li> <li>time suffered from a lack of written evidence. The</li> <li>courts were clogged with lawsuits, and cases were</li> <li>often settled by professional witnessed who were</li> <li>paid for their testimony. Perjury and corruption</li> <li>became the norm.</li> <li>The purpose of the statute of frauds is to</li> <li>prevent fraud or other injury. These purposes are</li> <li>often described as being evidentiary or cautionary.</li> <li>The evidentiary function is to provided</li> <li>documentation that a legal, binding agreement</li> <li>exists. The cautionary function is meant to make</li> <li>each party more serious and deliberate in their</li> <li>transactions.</li> <li>The U.S. has adopted the statue of frauds.</li> <li>Now, the intent or meaning of a subject means</li> <li>nothing. The requirements must be within the four</li> <li>coroners of a document, and only that proves what is</li> <li>and what isn't.</li> <li>Now, Mr. Noble, you need to brush up on</li> <li>this because what intent or what your belief is</li> </ul>	1Thank you very much.2MATT: There are no other callers in the3queue at this time.4CHAIR DENT: That will close public5comment.6L. ADJOURNMENT7CHAIR DENT: Our last item, it is 10:26.8I want to thank staff for staying a little bit later9tonight. We are adjourned.10(Meeting ended at 10:26 P.M.)111213141516171819202122	192
<ul> <li>Indeed, the English legal system of the</li> <li>time suffered from a lack of written evidence. The</li> <li>courts were clogged with lawsuits, and cases were</li> <li>often settled by professional witnessed who were</li> <li>paid for their testimony. Perjury and corruption</li> <li>became the norm.</li> <li>The purpose of the statute of frauds is to</li> <li>prevent fraud or other injury. These purposes are</li> <li>often described as being evidentiary or cautionary.</li> <li>The evidentiary function is to provided</li> <li>documentation that a legal, binding agreement</li> <li>exists. The cautionary function is meant to make</li> <li>each party more serious and deliberate in their</li> <li>transactions.</li> <li>The U.S. has adopted the statue of frauds.</li> <li>Now, the intent or meaning of a subject means</li> <li>nothing. The requirements must be within the four</li> <li>coroners of a document, and only that proves what is</li> <li>and what isn't.</li> <li>Now, Mr. Noble, you need to brush up on</li> <li>this because what intent or what your belief is</li> <li>means absolutely nothing. It must be in writing.</li> </ul>	1       Thank you very much.         2       MATT: There are no other callers in the         3       queue at this time.         4       CHAIR DENT: That will close public         5       comment.         6       L. ADJOURNMENT         7       CHAIR DENT: Our last item, it is 10:26.         8       I want to thank staff for staying a little bit later         9       tonight. We are adjourned.         10       (Meeting ended at 10:26 P.M.)         11       12         13       14         15       16         17       18         19       20         21       22         23       3	192
<ul> <li>Indeed, the English legal system of the</li> <li>time suffered from a lack of written evidence. The</li> <li>courts were clogged with lawsuits, and cases were</li> <li>often settled by professional witnessed who were</li> <li>paid for their testimony. Perjury and corruption</li> <li>became the norm.</li> <li>The purpose of the statute of frauds is to</li> <li>prevent fraud or other injury. These purposes are</li> <li>often described as being evidentiary or cautionary.</li> <li>The evidentiary function is to provided</li> <li>documentation that a legal, binding agreement</li> <li>exists. The cautionary function is meant to make</li> <li>each party more serious and deliberate in their</li> <li>transactions.</li> <li>The U.S. has adopted the statue of frauds.</li> <li>Now, the intent or meaning of a subject means</li> <li>nothing. The requirements must be within the four</li> <li>coroners of a document, and only that proves what is</li> <li>and what isn't.</li> <li>Now, Mr. Noble, you need to brush up on</li> <li>this because what intent or what your belief is</li> <li>means absolutely nothing. It must be in writing.</li> <li>And when we come to \$25 million, I would suggest it</li> </ul>	1       Thank you very much.         2       MATT: There are no other callers in the         3       queue at this time.         4       CHAIR DENT: That will close public         5       comment.         6       L. ADJOURNMENT         7       CHAIR DENT: Our last item, it is 10:26.         8       I want to thank staff for staying a little bit later         9       tonight. We are adjourned.         10       (Meeting ended at 10:26 P.M.)         11       12         13       14         15       16         17       18         19       20         21       22         23       24	192
<ul> <li>Indeed, the English legal system of the</li> <li>time suffered from a lack of written evidence. The</li> <li>courts were clogged with lawsuits, and cases were</li> <li>often settled by professional witnessed who were</li> <li>paid for their testimony. Perjury and corruption</li> <li>became the norm.</li> <li>The purpose of the statute of frauds is to</li> <li>prevent fraud or other injury. These purposes are</li> <li>often described as being evidentiary or cautionary.</li> <li>The evidentiary function is to provided</li> <li>documentation that a legal, binding agreement</li> <li>exists. The cautionary function is meant to make</li> <li>each party more serious and deliberate in their</li> <li>transactions.</li> <li>The U.S. has adopted the statue of frauds.</li> <li>Now, the intent or meaning of a subject means</li> <li>nothing. The requirements must be within the four</li> <li>coroners of a document, and only that proves what is</li> <li>and what isn't.</li> <li>Now, Mr. Noble, you need to brush up on</li> <li>this because what intent or what your belief is</li> <li>means absolutely nothing. It must be in writing.</li> </ul>	1       Thank you very much.         2       MATT: There are no other callers in the         3       queue at this time.         4       CHAIR DENT: That will close public         5       comment.         6       L. ADJOURNMENT         7       CHAIR DENT: Our last item, it is 10:26.         8       I want to thank staff for staying a little bit later         9       tonight. We are adjourned.         10       (Meeting ended at 10:26 P.M.)         11       12         13       14         15       16         17       18         19       20         21       22         23       3	192
<ul> <li>Indeed, the English legal system of the</li> <li>time suffered from a lack of written evidence. The</li> <li>courts were clogged with lawsuits, and cases were</li> <li>often settled by professional witnessed who were</li> <li>paid for their testimony. Perjury and corruption</li> <li>became the norm.</li> <li>The purpose of the statute of frauds is to</li> <li>prevent fraud or other injury. These purposes are</li> <li>often described as being evidentiary or cautionary.</li> <li>The evidentiary function is to provided</li> <li>documentation that a legal, binding agreement</li> <li>exists. The cautionary function is meant to make</li> <li>each party more serious and deliberate in their</li> <li>transactions.</li> <li>The U.S. has adopted the statue of frauds.</li> <li>Now, the intent or meaning of a subject means</li> <li>nothing. The requirements must be within the four</li> <li>coroners of a document, and only that proves what is</li> <li>and what isn't.</li> <li>Now, Mr. Noble, you need to brush up on</li> <li>this because what intent or what your belief is</li> <li>means absolutely nothing. It must be in writing.</li> <li>And when we come to \$25 million, I would suggest it</li> </ul>	1       Thank you very much.         2       MATT: There are no other callers in the         3       queue at this time.         4       CHAIR DENT: That will close public         5       comment.         6       L. ADJOURNMENT         7       CHAIR DENT: Our last item, it is 10:26.         8       I want to thank staff for staying a little bit later         9       tonight. We are adjourned.         10       (Meeting ended at 10:26 P.M.)         11       12         13       14         15       16         17       18         19       20         21       22         23       24	192

4		193
1	STATE OF NEVADA ) ) ss.	
2 3	COUNTY OF WASHOE )	
3 4	I, BRANDI ANN VIANNEY SMITH, do hereby	
	certify:	
6	That I was present on July 12, 2023, at	
	the Public Meeting via Zoom, and took stenotype	
8	notes of the proceedings entitled herein, and	
	thereafter transcribed the same into typewriting as	
	herein appears.	
11	That the foregoing transcript is a full,	
	true, and correct transcription of my stenotype	
	notes of said proceedings consisting of 193 pages.	
14	DATED: At Reno, Nevada, this 20th day of	
	July, 2023.	
16		
17	/s/ Brandi Ann Vianney Smith	
18		
19	BRANDI ANN VIANNEY SMITH	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

			1	
\$	<b>\$325</b> 98:12	187:2	<b>1076</b> 43:25	<b>14</b> 92:22 126:18 129:5
	<b>\$35,000</b> 27:23	1	<b>1079</b> 143:12	
<b>\$1,000</b> 82:14 101:25	<b>\$397,000</b> 27:13	<b>1</b> 9:10 26:15,20,	<b>1080</b> 174:4	<b>14-passenger</b> 177:18
<b>\$10</b> 112:22	<b>\$4</b> 184:15	21 36:12 37:1,3 41:24 114:19	<b>1082</b> 162:25	<b>141-1895</b> 29:2
<b>\$100</b> 100:9 101:25	<b>\$4,000</b> 27:18	126:12 129:2 176:17,23	<b>1083</b> 177:1	<b>1437</b> 13:10
\$102,513.34	<b>\$5</b> 44:6	<b>1.1</b> 119:7	<b>1087</b> 177:1	<b>1480</b> 130:9,11
27:18	<b>\$5,000</b> 27:6	<b>10</b> 21:7 48:12 112:5 114:17	<b>10:26</b> 192:7,10	<b>149</b> 76:22
\$117 125:7	<b>\$50</b> 127:16	<b>10-</b> 107:25	<b>11</b> 11:25 44:20 53:12 54:8	<b>15</b> 10:15 53:20 54:5 106:10
<b>\$120,000</b> 27:5 <b>\$16</b> 107:2	<b>\$500</b> 101:25 102:7	<b>1000</b> 130:7	57:18 65:9 114:17	<b>15th</b> 163:10 166:22
<b>\$16,100</b> 17:23	<b>\$53,000</b> 27:22	<b>1003</b> 123:3 128:14	<b>11.1</b> 53:17 54:8 60:22	<b>16</b> 55:5 58:17 59:4
<b>\$160</b> 98:20	<b>\$726,000</b> 28:1	<b>1004</b> 143:12	<b>12</b> 4:1,8 21:14 28:8 31:2 45:15	<b>16-week</b> 45:14
<b>\$18</b> 88:24	<b>\$767</b> 17:24	<b>1006</b> 150:8	53:16 54:7 76:23 114:18	4077 400 04
<b>\$2,800</b> 27:14	<b>\$800</b> 102:7	159:4	115:11 130:2	<b>1677</b> 190:21
<b>\$20,000</b> 28:1	<b>\$900</b> 82:13	<b>1007</b> 148:5,6	<b>120/48</b> 112:20	<b>1895</b> 32:1
<b>\$25</b> 14:3 114:20,	<b>\$91</b> 98:23	<b>1013</b> 148:9	<b>120/72</b> 112:20	<b>193.130</b> 24:6
22 116:18,23 118:7,8 190:6,	101:22 107:1	<b>1016</b> 148:10	12th 7:6 26:25	<b>1975</b> 46:5
9,16 191:24	(	<b>1018</b> 148:8	<b>13</b> 21:14 31:2	<b>1988</b> 18:20
<b>\$27,000</b> 27:8	(inaudible)'s	<b>1040</b> 148:5	115:11 119:20	<b>1992</b> 46:7

Index: 1995..6

r				
<b>1995</b> 44:3 46:8	<b>2020</b> 53:18	<b>26</b> 17:21	<b>3022</b> 22:7	<b>40-play</b> 108:1
<b>1996</b> 17:14	<b>2021</b> 27:23 45:6	<b>26th</b> 140:22 177:2,11,22	<b>306.210</b> 24:1	<b>40-year</b> 9:18
<b>19th</b> 96:15	<b>2022</b> 10:23 11:5 17:14 27:23	178:19	<b>30th</b> 180:15	<b>44</b> 28:12
<b>1st</b> 53:18	29:8 84:20 94:8	27th 10:23	<b>31st</b> 177:25	<b>45</b> 128:6,10
2	<b>2022/'23</b> 29:3	281A.520 22:2	<b>32</b> 45:21 55:2	<b>4th</b> 5:14
<b>2</b> 20:8 21:10	<b>2023</b> 4:1,8 10:25 26:25 28:8 29:9	28th 7:16	<b>321</b> 7:8	5
26:24 28:7,9 36:6,12 41:24,	107:9 112:8 177:25	<b>290</b> 49:9	<b>33</b> 86:18	<b>5</b> 20:16 26:23
25 63:18 70:16 76:1 114:19 116:7 121:5	<b>21</b> 17:21	<b>2:00</b> 30:2	<b>35</b> 9:11 185:10	81:15 142:17, 18 161:4,5
122:17	<b>22.1</b> 163:2	3	4	162:17
<b>20</b> 29:17 48:16 126:22 130:6,8	<b>22.1.0</b> 162:19, 21,22 175:22	<b>3</b> 8:22 36:12 43:10 63:18,19	<b>4</b> 13:12 20:16 22:10,22 26:11,	<b>5/0</b> 94:10 <b>5/13</b> 107:15
<b>20-</b> 107:25	<b>23/'24</b> 33:14	76:2,17 80:1 122:16,21	12 36:25 80:2 122:21,22	<b>5/19/23</b> 95:21
<b>2008</b> 100:15	<b>23rd</b> 17:1	<b>3.1</b> 159:19 163:24 170:17	126:11,12 127:2 130:2 142:16 184:20	<b>50</b> 10:8 38:4
<b>2010</b> 100:15	<b>24</b> 9:6	<b>3.1.0</b> 22:12	187:10 188:15	88:10 185:8
<b>2011</b> 100:15	<b>241</b> 49:9	143:9	<b>4,000</b> 18:21	<b>50,000</b> 27:2
<b>2013/'14</b> 136:18	<b>24th</b> 8:2 141:9	<b>30</b> 76:17 77:20 128:11 185:9	<b>4.5</b> 44:6	<b>501</b> 31:6,16
<b>2016</b> 8:19 11:12 47:20	<b>250</b> 48:17	<b>30-</b> 9:18 108:1	<b>4/0</b> 36:24 41:23	<b>515</b> 5:10
<b>2017</b> 70:24	<b>25th</b> 10:25 141:10	<b>300</b> 6:7	<b>40</b> 37:25 76:18 77:21 185:12	6
				<b>6</b> 28:11 94:5

	_	_	_	
129:21 161:4,6 162:17,18	<b>7:00</b> 7:7	<b>922</b> 42:5	absolutely 25:25 39:20	accident 161:10
176:16	<b>7:18</b> 63:16	<b>986</b> 42:5	64:22,23 72:22 73:12 75:4 87:23 88:3	accidentally 37:21
<b>60</b> 38:4 77:22	<b>7:25</b> 63:15	<b>987</b> 63:24	115:5 116:13 137:10,12	accomplish
<b>654</b> 162:8	<b>7:28</b> 63:16	<b>990</b> 63:25	149:17 170:3, 14 191:23	85:17
<b>6:00</b> 4:1,7	8	<b>991</b> 123:3	abstain 5:24	accordance 126:12 143:9
6th 17:2	<b>8</b> 11:25 20:24	<b>995</b> 190:15	71:1	163:12
7	48:23 104:17 106:19 107:5	<b>999</b> 126:11	abstained 76:7	according 96:15
<b>7</b> 8:22 9:11 20:24 76:22	<b>8.57</b> 76:19	<b>9th</b> 177:16 180:14 182:8,9,	abstaining 5:22 6:3,5	account 25:4 33:21 48:3
94:17 95:8,10 98:1 105:3,12 130:2 147:18	<b>81-year-old</b> 19:1	16	absurdly 106:22	accuracy 30:7
176:16	<b>893</b> 4:9	A	<b>abundance</b> 71:21	accurate 190:2
<b>7.5</b> 101:9	<b>8:45</b> 122:19	<b>Aaron</b> 22:6	abuse 112:23	accusations 91:15
<b>7/6</b> 112:12	<b>8:51</b> 122:19	abbreviated 44:8	accept 36:9,12 104:13	accused 57:4
<b>70</b> 19:20	9	<b>ability</b> 23:10	accepted 85:21	72:18 84:23
<b>72/48</b> 112:19	• 40 40 00 04	103:11 167:8	129:23	accusing 80:14 184:25
<b>75</b> 19:20 96:7 <b>767</b> 18:21	<b>9</b> 48:19,20,21 49:1,3,4 107:6 143:10	able 33:9 35:16 69:5 74:23 79:14 98:19,25 152:12 158:3	accepting 114:20	achieve 150:17
775-831-2971	<b>917</b> 37:6	<b>above</b> 46:6	access 14:13 19:19 40:23	acknowledge 7:21 189:19
50:2	<b>921</b> 37:7	48:19,20,21 49:3,4 58:8	98:22 105:6	

Index: acknowledgement..afterwards

1			
85:1 86:15 88:13,21 91:10	addition 13:2 22:23 140:10	178:20	advert 125:5
93:24 97:14 100:23 102:9 104:2 111:6		<b>adjourned</b> 192:9	advertise 78:3,8 142:25 159:24
113:14,16,24 118:17 130:22 139:13 150:5	45:9 46:1 47:11 54:15 58:17	ADJOURNMENT 192:6	<b>advertising</b> 158:13 160:19
156:11 157:25 162:1,2 163:9	124:3,9 144:1,3 175:5	<b>adjust</b> 70:15	171:13,18 adverts 78:11
164:8 169:14 170:22 189:12	Additionally 7:12	<b>adjusting</b> 100:10	advice 24:24
Adams 33:7,19 34:2 148:17	additions 42:10	adjustment	26:1 132:16 advise 145:17
180:12 182:2	100:20	53:17,21	advise 145:17
add 34:2 39:25 41:6 46:19,22 47:17 58:3	address 13:11 38:10 43:16 61:11 64:4.5.11	<b>administered</b> 95:2	advisory 12:5 19:9 143:5,8,10 145:19 146:22
68:16 73:19 79:2 92:22	66:5 69:22 74:23 77:2 79:6	administering 94:16 95:1,6,7	155:3,11 186:5
103:24 105:15 119:24 137:16	89:6,24 91:7 93:11 101:20	administration	advocate 154:14
155:5 167:7 168:5,9 180:15	143:19 154:19 171:15 179:23	adopt 162:21	affect 16:8,9
184:13		166:20	afoul 75:21
<b>add-on</b> 168:8	66:20 70:24 90:8 156:22	adopted 163:4 164:8 191:16	<b>after</b> 48:4 65:10 84:5,9 151:2
added 22:8 40:10 47:13,14		adopting 169:2	178:3 180:7 188:23 189:8
adding 18:24 124:9 144:1	14:12 65:14,17 66:14 68:2 70:1	adult 7:12 10:18	afternoon 129:1 177:8
175:5,25	30.0 33.22	advantage 59:1	
	<ul> <li>88:13,21 91:10</li> <li>93:24 97:14</li> <li>100:23 102:9</li> <li>104:2 111:6</li> <li>113:14,16,24</li> <li>118:17 130:22</li> <li>139:13 150:5</li> <li>152:13 153:19</li> <li>156:11 157:25</li> <li>162:1,2 163:9</li> <li>164:8 169:14</li> <li>170:22 189:12</li> </ul> Adams 33:7,19 <ul> <li>34:2 148:17</li> <li>180:12 182:2</li> </ul> add 34:2 39:25 <ul> <li>41:6 46:19,22</li> <li>47:17 58:3</li> <li>68:16 73:19</li> <li>79:2 92:22</li> <li>100:11 101:11</li> <li>103:24 105:15</li> <li>119:24 137:16</li> <li>149:16 151:3</li> <li>155:5 167:7</li> <li>168:5,9 180:15</li> <li>184:13</li> </ul> add-on 168:8 <ul> <li>added 22:8</li> <li>40:10 47:13,14</li> </ul> adding 18:24 <ul> <li>124:9 144:1</li> </ul>	88:13,21 91:10       22:23 140:10         93:24 97:14       164:5         100:23 102:9       104:2 111:6         113:14,16,24       additional 44:6         113:14,16,24       45:9 46:1 47:11         118:17 130:22       58:17         139:13 150:5       52:13 153:19         156:11 157:25       162:1,2 163:9         164:8 169:14       175:5         162:1,2 163:9       124:3,9 144:1,3         170:22 189:12       Additionally         7:12       Adams 33:7,19         34:2 148:17       additions 42:10         180:12 182:2       additions 42:10         100:20       100:20         add 34:2 39:25       address 13:11         41:6 46:19,22       74:23 77:2 79:6         79:2 92:22       74:23 77:2 79:6         100:11 101:11       84:6,12 87:17         103:24 105:15       89:6,24 91:7         119:24 137:16       93:11 101:20         149:16 151:3       122:12,14         155:5 167:7       143:19 154:19         168:5,9 180:15       171:15 179:23         184:13       addressed         add-on 168:8       66:20 70:24         90:8 156:22       175:5         addee 22:8	88:13,21 91:10       22:23 140:10       adjourned         93:24 97:14       164:5       adjourned         100:23 102:9       additional 44:6       45:9 46:1 47:11         113:14,16,24       45:9 46:1 47:11       54:15 58:17         139:13 150:5       74:7 100:25       adjust 70:15         156:11 157:25       175:5       adjust 70:15         164:8 169:14       Additionally       7:12         712       addistions 42:10       100:10         Adams 33:7,19       additions 42:10       100:20         add 34:2 39:25       address 13:11       38:10 43:16         47:17 58:3       61:11 64:4,5,11       64:5 89:52         100:11 101:11       84:6,12 87:17       administering         93:11 101:20       administration       46:18         149:16 151:3       122:12,14       46:18         155:5 167:7       143:19 154:19       166:20         addoressed

Index: again..along

126:21	18,19	50:24 117:6 121:18 178:4,	19:13,18 20:1 24:13,15,22	155:18 156:1,8, 19,21 162:8,11,
again 22:17 37:20 38:5	agendas 147:22	19,23 188:10 191:12	25:4,8,21,22 26:17 27:18	14 164:14 165:12 171:23,
45:22 46:3 54:4 57:25 59:6 89:8 90:22 91:2 99:2 106:12 108:14	<b>agendize</b> 178:17	ahead 41:6 43:22 158:3	28:6 32:4,15,18 33:6 35:8 36:18 38:12 40:11 41:18,23 44:5	24 172:4,7 174:24 176:8,9 177:11 178:9 179:24 182:20
109:8 112:17 113:6 124:10 125:2 135:15	agendized 177:22 188:6	<b>aide</b> 29:15	46:14 47:17 50:5,6 51:7,8 55:11 57:20	184:4 185:5,15, 19 187:4 189:7, 14
146:22 153:11 179:5 190:10	agent 94:17	<b>aimed</b> 190:24	58:6 60:5 62:1, 9 63:17 64:2 66:11,24 70:3,	allegations 24:9
<b>against</b> 16:4 32:23 33:3 46:4	agent's 94:11	<b>Air</b> 18:6	5,14 71:17 72:24 73:13 74:9 76:1,13,16	allegiance 4:12, 13,14 6:6
73:6,16 88:13 114:22 115:1	aggregate 9:21	<b>Airbnb</b> 98:18	77:3 79:7,21 80:1 81:13,17	alligations
183:7	<b>ago</b> 35:7,15 37:12 40:21	Airbus 18:5	82:4,5,18 83:5, 6 85:23 87:8 89:10,20 90:7	92:14
<b>age</b> 9:8	61:21 66:21 85:1 107:25 114:4 131:10	Airlines 18:6	92:3,13 94:23 97:10 101:15	<b>allow</b> 44:6,8 57:13 58:20
agencies 45:13 127:23,24 133:2 135:6,23	139:24 148:16 154:8	<b>Airway</b> 18:7	103:6 107:4,9 108:1,4,12 110:14,18	115:14 116:5 132:5
138:18 183:5	<b>agree</b> 61:18 77:14 79:1 81:3	Alice 188:24 189:15	111:2,4,7,12, 22,23 112:1,5 114:3,4,16	allowed 42:13 120:4
agency 27:25 136:6 187:24	103:22 113:9 114:15 120:15 122:12 131:22	<b>align</b> 130:8,10 143:18 147:7,8	115:17 117:11, 20 118:1,11 119:4 122:2,16,	<b>allows</b> 61:2 115:22
agency's 91:8	137:15 151:25 186:1	alignment 8:4 12:8 146:25	20 124:10 128:7,24 131:23 132:5	almost 9:25
agenda 5:17 12:3 13:11 22:11,22 26:8,	<b>agreed</b> 118:6 186:2 188:13	<b>aligns</b> 152:20	131.23 132.5 133:4,19 134:1 136:11 138:8 140:13 141:20	49:1 64:12 154:23
9,11,12,16,17 35:24 141:7 144:7 161:10	agreement 29:20 33:9	<b>all</b> 4:5 6:5,14 12:22 14:7	142:5,9 146:10, 23 148:14	alone 18:13
164:3 181:17,	43:10 45:21	15:17 16:23	149:8 150:12, 19,20 153:12	along 24:12,23

Index: already..appropriate

29:13,24 67:14 96:20 102:3	88:22 128:9 182:15 189:18	another 32:25 35:14 99:8,14	96:2,9,25 104:2 106:19 107:4	146:1,2 150:1
113:25 117:1, 18 174:4	190:2,3	104:10 117:7, 16 119:3	118:9 137:17 160:16 161:16 162:0 167:12	applied 90:11 113:22,24
already 23:6 34:3 78:8	amazing 25:25	156:14 173:1 185:4 189:16	162:9 167:12 174:16 176:19 180:18,20	174:24 181:1
170:23 175:11, 12	ambitious 151:21	<b>answer's</b> 109:16	182:17 186:3	apply 44:22,23 146:2 158:18 159:17 168:11
<b>also</b> 14:3 15:2,	amenities 93:7	answering	Anytime 38:16	186:9
10 17:24 19:22 28:24 29:3,10 30:3 34:25 35:5	American 18:6	121:12	anyway 136:9	appoint 15:8,14 142:22 182:11
36:3 42:11,21 47:17 48:20 50:18,21 51:24	amortize 44:9	answers 48:11, 17	anywhere 24:8, 20 38:4 84:16 85:14 137:2 175:15	<b>appointed</b> 142:20,23
53:1,22 57:9 60:11,18 62:2 64:4,13 70:9	amount 83:19 86:16 98:7 102:9 190:23	anticipate 58:22 179:25	apart 10:6	145:22
74:5 77:15 84:22 88:7,12	analogy 10:3	anticipated 44:5 76:21	151:11	appointments 146:4 182:6
101:11,20 105:15 111:1 113:19 120:2 125:10 126:10	<b>analysis</b> 107:10	anybody 50:1 84:10 91:6 96:3	<b>apologize</b> 37:15,18 38:6	appreciate 7:22 14:11 70:2 145:8 160:11
127:4,22,24 132:18 133:16	and/or 5:8 14:8 29:15 187:25	97:2	<b>appear</b> 56:5 85:3	187:8
138:12 143:2 144:18 147:4 154:1 157:3 159:16 167:7	<b>ands</b> 68:12	anyone 16:4 67:3 70:14 82:16 106:8	<b>appearance</b> 46:9	approach 62:2 93:3 110:19
169:4,7 170:25 178:10 182:5 187:14	announcement 177:21	112:15 160:13 163:23 172:10 180:17	appeared 37:24 113:20	<b>approaching</b> 10:7
<b>although</b> 28:17 113:20	annual 13:1 27:5,6,25 28:25 29:11 53:21 81:22	anything 24:10 34:9 55:10 56:16,21 57:1, 21 58:7 70:6	<b>applicable</b> 40:13 146:25 147:7	appropriate 77:2,12 84:11 91:10 92:12 95:18 138:25 151:10 160:9
<b>always</b> 38:1 43:4 47:23 49:5	annually 98:7	74:2 75:13 76:1,7 80:16	applicants	169:4

Index: approval..aye

		-	•	-
approval 26:8,9 58:9 138:18 160:23 179:10	<b>arise</b> 79:4 <b>Arizona</b> 8:19	<b>assist</b> 20:1 24:2 140:14	attend 10:25 18:22 39:6 68:22 69:3,5,9	authorization 134:4
	A112011a 0.19	assistance	70:6	authoriza
<b>approve</b> 21:8 22:4 23:13 37:4 39:15,16 41:12 108:15 122:24	around 24:14 64:11 89:10 114:7 132:10	assistance 123:19 assisting	<b>attendance</b> 17:15 70:10	authorize 122:25 142:25 158:13
140:21 142:20 187:22 188:8	142:14 155:4 <b>Asia</b> 13:14	150:11 153:3,6, 19	attended 17:13	authorizing 132:7
approved 26:17 36:25 41:7 94:9	aspects 20:2	association 174:24	attending 4:25 67:1	availability 21:3
104:3 108:22 144:6,7 157:20	42:19 150:12 155:18	<b>associations</b> 166:2	attention 25:21	available 11:2 47:5 50:6 57:20,21
approves	assertion 23:1		attest 38:3	
111:18 175:22		assume 17:10		average 17:23
approving	assessed 81:21	160:14	audience 52:15 184:24	44:24
39:18 111:19	Assessment 8:17	assuming 55:21 159:7	audit 34:22 76:9	aviation 18:5
<b>April</b> 30:16 82:1	asset 7:14 8:23	<b>at-large</b> 142:25 145:22 146:14	146:17 158:7, 19 163:6	<b>avoid</b> 14:1 99:25
arbitrary 102:8 106:12	9:4 10:9 80:10 86:1,14 144:17	atop 86:17	audited 30:19	<b>aware</b> 62:7 71:16 72:5 73:7
<b>area</b> 27:20 79:18 165:21 167:14 169:25	assets 12:14,19 86:1 103:19	Attached 17:11	August 8:19 33:21 35:2 177:13,16	74:2,18 79:10 95:22 116:12 154:16 164:7, 22 184:1
171:13 173:21	<b>assign</b> 146:1 150:1	<b>attack</b> 16:18 19:1,2	179:16 180:12, 13,14 182:8,16	186:14
areas 120:4 142:13 175:16	<b>assigned</b> 145:24 147:11	attacked 17:2 25:16	authorities 145:18	<b>away</b> 6:15 16:6 23:8 44:15 131:16 154:17
argument 99:14			authority 20.16	
arguments 99:3	assignments 94:11,18	attempt 19:19 24:2 92:5	authority 39:16 145:10	<b>aye</b> 36:19,20,21, 22,23 41:18,19,

Index: back..being

20,21,22 176:9, 10,11,12	backed 187:14	<b>base</b> 9:21 29:18 128:22	157:11	157:25 170:15 178:25 186:17
B	<b>background</b> 139:11 152:21 174:5 187:2,3	<b>based</b> 18:15 76:4 127:7 138:2 146:2	beaches 6:20 13:24 16:5,6 95:13 100:23 103:12 106:8	<b>began</b> 11:4 134:23
<b>back</b> 6:2 8:15 31:23 35:12 37:9,10 41:3 54:4 57:15 60:1	backpacking 122:4	157:9 173:18 178:13,17 180:15	131:6 144:21 bear 139:22	<b>begin</b> 11:23 29:3 45:17 68:20 138:19
63:1,5,15,17 67:7 68:11 76:8 82:1 84:4 86:20	<b>backroom</b> 110:9	Basha 12:21	<b>beautiful</b> 10:4 49:20	143:23 189:2 beginning
87:8 89:12 90:22 91:5 93:2,21 97:19, 21 99:9 100:13	bad 91:4 189:24 balance 159:4	basically 56:17 105:15 133:21 136:2 138:17 141:2 146:5	<b>became</b> 31:20 81:1 191:7	33:13 51:9 53:17 118:16 155:14 156:6 170:13
101:18 102:13 107:24 108:4, 21 109:6,8,23 110:25 112:13,	balanced 184:2 185:24	152:7 <b>basin</b> 45:7	<b>Becker</b> 188:24 189:17	<b>behind</b> 30:15 183:7
25 115:12 120:20 122:8 126:22 127:24 130:13 132:3	balances 73:14 ball 142:1	<b>basis</b> 81:22 139:19	<b>become</b> 16:18 37:1 134:24 155:6 164:16 188:23	<b>being</b> 4:8 14:1 32:4,5 34:24 35:11 36:1
133:14 134:10, 17 136:18 137:5,22 138:9	ballot 21:25	basket 93:10 Bay 23:23 173:8	<b>becomes</b> 173:15	37:21 57:23 62:17 79:3 86:16 94:19 95:2 98:23
140:17,21 142:11 151:12, 22 152:9 154:4, 6,14 157:7,8,14	Bandaids 11:20 Bandelin 27:19,	188:19 <b>BBK</b> 178:12	<b>becoming</b> 10:20 128:10	105:8 113:22, 24 115:24 125:18 129:8,
158:15 159:1,8 160:23 165:9 170:12 173:25 174:2,13 177:3,	20 28:11,13,14 31:20 33:5 34:11 38:2 39:3	<b>beach</b> 14:13 21:4 98:1,4,22	<b>before</b> 8:1 10:22 12:20 40:8	14 135:24 136:15 142:5 147:14 148:13 152:4,8,12
9 179:10,14,16, 19 181:10,14 183:11 186:3	123:6 142:7 151:4 157:18 177:2 181:24	101:10 104:21 106:4,22,23 107:1,2 143:6 144:12 152:7	46:21 47:18 54:24 71:19 82:14 90:18 123:20 127:21	157:23 158:3 160:13 164:19 167:7 170:4 172:23 174:2
backbone 6:24	Bandelin's 127:15	154:21 155:6 156:3,18	129:22 131:25 151:20 152:18	180:8 181:3,20 183:5 184:6,14

Index: belief..board-appointed

187:17 188:9 191:10	21:15 24:16,17 26:2 32:1 46:2 77:22 82:7 96:1	<b>binding</b> 191:12	13 8:3,16 10:22,24 11:22 12:4 13:20	16,17 120:20 121:7,11,18 123:4 124:5
<b>belief</b> 190:16 191:22	129:14 146:19 150:16 189:22	bins 48:24 biometric 27:7	15:4,24 16:19, 20 17:1,9 20:1, 7,23 21:7 23:13	126:13,15,17 134:5,10,11,17, 20,25 135:3
<b>believe</b> 7:13,23 18:23,25 19:10 20:21 21:4	<b>betterment</b> 14:20	<b>bit</b> 30:15 31:3, 22 38:20 40:15	26:19,23 28:12 29:7,11 31:22 32:9,10,11,18, 21 33:11 34:13	136:2,20 138:1, 9,10 139:2,4 142:21 143:13, 21 144:13
26:12 35:1,7 62:19 84:25 100:16 134:5	<b>between</b> 8:4 87:16 140:12 157:13	43:19,21 68:17 71:3 73:24 88:4 90:2 95:22 99:19 100:11,	35:24 36:17 37:7 42:5,12, 14,16 43:1 58:8	145:23 146:8, 24 147:8,13,17 148:19 149:20
135:18 137:12, 25 148:18 150:9 161:12, 15 162:3	Beyond 58:10	14 101:1 102:25 104:25 110:5 113:3 124:2 128:10	61:22,23 62:6 63:21,25 64:25 65:7,11 66:10 67:15 68:4,14,	150:3,12 152:8, 14,17 155:17, 22 157:5,10,13, 25 158:1,12
167:21 176:20 180:2 186:6,11, 13,24 189:12 190:7	<b>bidding</b> 170:20 <b>big</b> 29:24 46:7 48:24 93:9	129:14 131:3, 20 132:8 133:12 140:9	17 69:2,13,24 71:6,7,11,12,16 72:1,2,20 74:4, 23,24 79:10	160:18 161:8 162:22,25 163:20,22,25 164:7,21
below 188:2	48.24 93.9 123:17 125:8 129:18 151:14 183:4 186:1	141:2,6 142:13 154:24 155:3,7 159:6,18 163:14 165:6	80:4,5,9,13 81:2,15 84:1,3, 18,19 85:2 87:16 88:7	165:23 169:2,8, 12,18 170:10 171:10 175:20, 22 176:7 177:1,
beneficial 183:9 benefit 15:25	<b>bigger</b> 126:2	169:9,10 192:8 <b>bite</b> 91:22	90:7,8,10,16, 18,23 91:16,23 92:12 93:4,13	23 178:2,8 179:4,6 180:8, 23 182:19,25
23:18 31:11 33:23 40:3 71:24	bike 83:24 bill 8:3 10:13	biweekly 134:20	94:6,9 96:14,25 99:22 100:2,7 101:23 102:13, 14,18 103:4	183:8,17 184:6 187:10,11,21 188:5,7 189:21
benefited 76:25	28:5 49:10,12, 13 98:13 117:21 164:8,	black 67:8,21 68:12 99:17	105:4 106:24 107:6,8 108:9, 11 109:5,16,25	<b>board's</b> 20:17, 19 75:4 80:2 81:11 106:14
<b>benefits</b> 44:11 45:9 46:1	16,18 166:12 187:2	block 113:15 blue 129:21	111:4,17,18,19, 25 112:6,13,19 113:1,7,12	107:11 142:20 146:6 158:11 159:3 160:23
<b>best</b> 17:11 39:8 132:25	<b>billing</b> 27:17 49:5,7	BMP 47:8	114:2,19 115:11,16 116:4 117:19 118:14,22	181:25 <b>board-</b>
better 18:12	<b>bills</b> 26:22	board 5:7,11,12,	119:10,11,15,	appointed 62:8

15 159:19
<b>building</b> 14:2 44:8 46:5,6,7,
1 17,18,22,23,24 47:13,14
2:14 116:20 3
22 <b>built</b> 46:5,6,8 5,7,
9:25 5:8 <b>Bull</b> 29:17
<b>bunch</b> 122:3 159:20
25 <b>burdensome</b> 79:23
21
Bureau 44:25
8 Burnt 155:1
3 11:15 <b>busiest</b> 30:1
1 husiness 37.2
8,20       50:15 51:11         9:12       52:6,9,10 125:8         7:7       126:5 127:15,
18 129:13
86:5 131:13,19 153:7 168:15,
22 16,25 169:25
2:11 170:2;20,21,23 171:13,17,18 172:14,19,20
173:23 174:25 182:11 184:24 5 18:9 187:3
2

PUBLIC MEETING - 07/12/2023
Index: business-to-business..caused

	-			
business-to- business 18:3	California 49:22 California/	<b>can't</b> 6:11 10:5 24:19 56:8,9 58:10 59:6	104:22 142:23 143:24 144:19 148:6,9 151:14	career 152:22
<b>businesses</b> 44:1,14 127:15 166:3	nevada 165:20	64:24 68:13 73:21 83:25 84:15 87:17	152:1,11 153:25 155:24 156:1,22	78:6 127:23 136:16 153:2, 10
	<b>call</b> 4:5,15,17 41:17 43:5	91:23 97:12 103:5 106:8	157:23 159:10 160:1,22	
buts 68:12	49:10 106:6 168:6 176:8	119:15 127:16 133:9 136:11	177:16	cars 29:23
<b>buyer's</b> 104:12	called 49:11	190:7,13	Capitol 50:15	<b>cart</b> 123:20
С	161:16 162:1,2	Canada 18:6	<b>capped</b> 45:1 53:2,11,15	carve 172:7
<b>C3</b> 31:6	callers 192:2	cancellation 21:8 109:9 112:6,7,9	54:16	<b>case</b> 43:16 59:21 126:2
<b>C3s</b> 31:16	Callicrate 23:25 24:7,11 26:2 80:15 92:19	113:1,21	caps 44:21	171:18 187:17
calculating 55:7	190:12	candidate 135:8	captain 7:12	<b>cases</b> 8:8 113:23 191:4
calculation	calling 189:17	candidates 14:23 15:1	<b>car</b> 48:25	<b>cast</b> 128:3
102:15 calculations	<b>calls</b> 140:11 189:15	21:25 134:22 135:10,14 136:19	<b>card</b> 20:25 21:3 94:15 101:10 102:7 105:15	casting 131:8
56:19 86:16	came 37:23	cannot 34:23	106:4 107:3	catch 57:17
calculators 110:10,16	60:2 80:23,25 82:1,9,18 89:12 109:6,8 110:23	<b>cap</b> 44:22 53:3,	card's 107:1	categories 40:6
<b>calendar</b> 27:22, 23 36:7,8,10,	111:4,13,14 116:19,24 127:10 128:2	10 54:20 57:7,8 58:4	<b>cards</b> 6:18 94:7, 20 96:5,10 97:7,11 98:20,	<b>category</b> 37:22 38:1 39:5,7
12,25 53:19 63:8 86:23 176:24,25	143:10 144:5 148:20 155:1 161:10 175:8	capital 7:17 21:3 43:22	24 101:25 104:18 105:17, 22 106:7	caught 30:17
176.24,25 177:19 181:4 182:6,18,22	179:25 182:23 184:18	51:23 80:9 85:20,22,24 98:8 101:12,14,	<b>care</b> 46:16 83:6	cause 37:17
183:14	<b>camp</b> 184:9	15 103:25	131:16	caused 38:7

Index: caution..chose

caution 20:7 71:21	41:10,15,22 42:1 50:8 60:6,	21 182:10,20 188:18 192:4,7	128:13 131:1 132:2 136:8,12	160:21
cautionary	23 61:18 62:4, 23 63:12,17,20 67:17,19,23	<b>Chair's</b> 66:25	157:14,15 165:1,11 169:13 178:13,	<b>charts</b> 86:11
191:10,13	68:8,15 69:11, 19 70:5,11,13	Chairman 42:25	15	<b>chase</b> 84:5
<b>Cedar</b> 155:1	72:1,8,25 73:18 74:11,21 75:7	63:24 123:6 137:4	<b>changing</b> 20:17 55:10,11 71:14	chasing 84:9
<b>center</b> 7:13,14 8:5,16 10:21 11:5 12:12,22	76:1,14 77:14 78:13 79:1,25 81:3 83:12	challenge 80:15	81:16 82:4 83:17 87:12 175:24	<b>Chateau</b> 115:13
39:6,8 81:19 83:1,2,24 116:2,3	88:16 90:13,16 91:11 92:20 93:18 96:22 97:22 99:18	<b>challenges</b> 79:11	character 46:2	<b>check</b> 30:7 60:1 116:18 179:17
Center's 87:1,2	100:1 101:17 102:23 104:14 105:13 106:2	<b>chance</b> 37:13 124:18	<b>charge</b> 83:7 121:10	<b>check-ins</b> 141:25
central 114:25	107:4 108:21 109:13,21 112:3 113:3,8	<b>change</b> 6:12 56:13,14,15	<b>charged</b> 44:14 60:9 98:7	checked 54:24
cents 122:2	112.3 113.3,8 114:8,15 115:9 116:6,10 117:1	82:23 83:14 87:4 89:2		checking 73:10
<b>certain</b> 14:23 61:2 86:25 87:1 99:12 163:7	119:19 120:15 122:20,23 124:24 130:25	105:16 136:9 150:18	<b>charges</b> 51:1 81:20 83:7 84:17	<b>checks</b> 27:2 73:14,16
170:22 certainly 22:15	137:19 139:4 141:13 142:4, 19 144:4 145:25 148:15	<b>changed</b> 6:14, 18 66:13 81:15, 17 82:22	<b>charging</b> 102:10	<b>chief</b> 14:15 127:10 128:18
28:19 97:21 111:5 152:19 168:5,9 178:23	149:11 153:22 158:5,24 159:12,22	101:13 110:6 112:19,20,21 130:6	charities 31:6	children 10:20
<b>chair</b> 4:5,15,18,	160:10,24 161:3,7,24	changes 26:16	charity 80:18	chime 34:20
20,22,24 5:6 23:21 26:6,10,	162:7,14,19 167:2 172:10 172:25 174:12	37:11 39:18 42:10 61:15 67:4 70:15 88:8	<b>chart</b> 28:21 38:21 88:5	chipping 27:9
21 28:5,10 33:25 34:14 36:6,9,13,16,23	173:25 174:13 175:19 176:3,6, 12,15,19,23,25	94:9,20 95:15 104:19 112:16	148:7	<b>choice</b> 14:6 80:18
37:4 40:20	179:9,15 180:17 181:5,	122:24 123:11 124:3 126:23	<b>charter</b> 158:16 159:11,13	<b>chose</b> 16:12

183:22	39:25 59:14 72:11 77:6	<b>clients</b> 166:7 174:11	coatings 9:20	31:23 32:21 50:11,18 63:15
Christmas 151:20	95:11 97:20 110:22 171:7 179:20	<b>Cliff</b> 190:15	cocaptain 7:12	65:22,23 69:23, 24 80:15 85:22 89:7 90:22
<b>chunk</b> 131:17	<b>clarified</b> 87:10 96:10	<b>CLING</b> 186:4	code 47:9 coded 37:21	92:17 99:9 108:9 115:22 119:15 122:11
<b>CIP</b> 7:19	90.10	clogged 191:4		124:4 133:14 139:12 140:17
circle 174:13	<b>clarify</b> 33:22 39:22 50:9 51:4 52:2,17 53:7	<b>close</b> 26:6 28:6 29:3 36:6 45:12	collaboration 12:22	143:23 151:12 152:9 157:7 158:21 179:13
circulated 70:23	56:25 63:5 71:22 72:22 88:15 91:18	115:24 142:16 162:17 176:16 183:17 192:4	<b>collect</b> 48:23	180:13 181:10 183:16 184:20 185:21 188:21
circumstances 21:19	97:9,21 106:20 120:13 155:23 164:19	<b>closed</b> 30:19,20	<b>collection</b> 44:12,13,17 45:6,10,14 55:2	191:24 comes 34:22
cities 65:15		closely 47:19	58:18	62:15 95:13
<b>citizen</b> 120:6	<b>clarifying</b> 52:15 56:4 57:6 150:7 155:21	133:3 closer 76:22	collective 188:13	97:21 106:18 135:17 154:19 157:14,25
citizen's 22:21	clarity 87:5		collector 53:20	189:13
<b>city</b> 132:18	100:11 143:17 165:24 175:6	<b>closes</b> 5:3 114:17 122:16 161:4 182:17	<b>collector's</b> 53:18 60:20	comfortable 47:23 74:5 138:12 140:1
<b>Civil</b> 8:18	<b>clear</b> 31:21 38:14,21,25 41:5 57:16	closing 12:20	color 137:16	141:8 149:20 159:3,25 160:17 173:10
claim 6:12 66:24 101:2	76:15 118:10, 23 119:13 129:17 145:15	<b>club</b> 31:12	combination 20:6	<b>coming</b> 25:10 59:15 66:4
<b>claimed</b> 104:1 106:21,25	171:4 173:4	<b>clubs</b> 19:19 31:11 185:5,8	combine 115:11	68:19 69:14 87:11 91:5,20
<b>claims</b> 52:16 104:9	clearer 109:3	<b>clue</b> 82:3 89:13	117:4 119:21 Combined 21:2	140:21 141:17 143:20 144:9 152:5 186:17,
	clearly 13:14 21:7	<b>coach</b> 7:10		18
clarification			come 7:5 12:2	

Index: comment..complete

		I		I
comment 5:4	Commission	158:7,20 159:6,	33:23 37:17	compare 62:18
17:12 26:7	70:20 71:22	11,13,16 160:2,	43:4 46:3 59:13	79:17 90:20
32:13 65:24	73:2 74:6,9,13,	3,11,14 163:6	63:22 65:25	10.11 00.20
73:7 76:5 81:7	19 75:1,6,10,	177:7 182:11	66:2 68:13	
82:16,21,23	12,19,22	186:5,10,23	70:23 79:22	compared
88:13 89:18	116:10	187:6	80:10 81:17	79:17 90:17
	110.10	107.0		
91:15 100:22			83:3,15 86:13,	
101:3,18	commissioned	committee's	14 87:16,20	comparing
106:21 126:16	8:1	109:23	89:15 93:5,9,	78:14
158:11 171:24			16,21 103:11	
183:18 188:2		•	105:18,20,22	comparison
192:5	commissioners	committees	115:12 116:17	111:16
	135:6	12:5 19:9	127:7 128:9	
commenting		142:21 143:1,5,	130:14,16,22	
20:22	commitment	10,22 144:3	132:23,25	compatible
	115:4 118:4,16	145:21 146:2,7,	135:12 142:25	114:5
	110.4 110.4,10	20,23 147:15	144:16,25	
comments 5:5,7		148:1,23	145:1,16,22	competence
11:1 17:3 19:2,	commitments	149:22 150:4,	146:12 147:1,	22:18,19
8,16 25:3 26:4	118:24	19 152:21	24 150:15	22.10,19
33:3 59:12		153:18 154:12,	158:6 165:17	
60:25 62:16		22 155:11	167:14,18,22,	competent
65:22 67:7 79:5	committed	158:7,14,19	24 168:2 169:3	146:18
91:16,19,24	178:22 179:2	159:21 160:20	173:12 175:23	
92:3 95:16		182:6,14	176:2 178:11	
98:14 104:1	committee	,	182:12 183:6	competition
105:13 120:5,	13:13 15:9		184:5,6,22	136:24
24 124:24	19:10,24 22:21,	<b>common</b> 87:15	185:9 187:20	
125:11 131:22,	23 62:8 76:9	92:8	100.0 101.20	competitive
23 145:6 148:1,	100:2 105:3,12			23:10 186:20
8 170:12	110:1 111:1	communication	community's	
183:19,21	120:6 142:22,	47:21 87:18,19	75:2	
184:7,14,23	24 143:24	71.2101.10,13		complaint
185:19	144:12,14,23		companies 51:9	72:12,13 73:22
100.19	145:4,24,25	communities	52:14 166:9	96:15,18
	146:3,17 147:9,	16:10 45:8		
commercial	10,12,18,19		169:19	complaints
48:21 100:24	149:1,5,23,24	community		73:6,11,13,20
125:10	150:8 152:2,3,	-	company 17:7	74:18 171:2
		7:14,16 8:5	50:12,21 51:1,7	
	12,20,25 153:6,	10:17 11:3	56:23,24	
commericial	12,24 154:1,5,	12:15,23 15:18,	169:25 175:17	complete 14:14
127:14	9,11,15 155:25	20,25 20:4,15	-	30:12 77:7,13
	156:23 157:23	23:18 31:9		
	I	I	I	I

Index: completed..continued

118:19 119:16	concerned	25:6	164:23 165:3,	construction
185:25	113:12		12,23 177:23	44:7 118:5
				157:9
a a mum la ta al		confirmed		
completed	<b>concerns</b> 11:6	70:21	consideration	a a matrix a d
11:19 30:8 61:9	20:14 45:12		10:11 42:11	construed
	163:13,14,16,	confirming	107:11 149:7,	19:18 21:24
completely	19 166:4 168:7	187:17	10 160:23	
30:19 169:20			164:1 165:4	consultants
179:1 187:13	concierge's	eenflict 5:05	166:17 177:16	128:1
188:15	94:19	<b>conflict</b> 5:25	178:18	
		70:18 71:2,10,		
		13,18 158:5	considerations	consumer
compliant 46:10	concise 38:14		163:20 164:20	44:24
		conflicts 69:10		
complimentary	conclusion	186:21		contact 48:10
28:25	11:16		considered	49:18 126:7
			40:2,12 166:13	
		confused 31:3	183:5	a and a a time
comply 22:12	conclusions	38:20 169:10		contacting
98:9 110:24	115:15,22		considering	95:19 96:14
169:14	116:5	confusion 38:6,	163:21 183:12	
		15 94:10,22		contacts 48:2
component	concrete 84:10		considers	
131:6		congratulate	162:23	contained 29:9
	<b>concur</b> 60:24	15:23	102.23	contained 29.9
components		10.20		
131:13			consistency	contending
101.10	condensed	conifers 27:10	130:5 181:2	24:18
	161:16,20			
comprise	162:3,4,5,16	connection	consistent	context 15:6
165:21 185:8		163:18	10:15 29:1	90:3 112:18
	condition		166:12	113:7 148:4
concept 20:9	186:14			171:17
64:2		<b>consent</b> 36:7,8,		
		10,12,24	conspire 24:3	
	conditions 8:6			<b>continue</b> 14:1,4,
concern 11:10	11:9 116:20,25	consequences	constantly	10 30:7 31:25
33:1 37:17 38:6		183:25	134:21 136:9	43:13,24 52:5
49:10,11,12	conducts			165:2
93:12 112:23,	107:10	consider 135:16	oonotitutod	
25 175:4		144:11 150:23	constituted	continued 9:1
	conference	144.11 100.23	143:9	
			l	

	_	_	_	_
21:16 47:25	184:4	112:2 115:5 127:2 144:4	<b>costs</b> 44:9 50:25 51:17,18,	141:18,19 147:19 163:9
continues 9:7	<b>conversation</b> 122:5 124:13,	176:21	19,20,23,25 55:3,5,6,19,20, 21,22,23,24	169:23 177:15, 18 184:12 188:23 189:4
continuing 12:13	22 132:14 137:16 140:8	correction 30:8	56:10,11 57:10, 13 58:17 59:3	
		corrections	61:1 82:6 190:6	course 16:1 19:20,23 27:11
contract 33:9	conversations	30:11		49:5 86:12,14
42:2,13,20	63:3 132:9		costs/expenses	160:18
43:9,13 44:16,		corrective 95:4	28:22	
18,20 45:4,19,	conversion			courses 19:15
23 47:20,22	11:24	correctly 70:21	counsel 22:3	81:10,18 85:24
50:11,13,14,19		95:14 119:8	61:13 62:2	114:7 131:5
51:10,16 52:5, 11,22 53:3,12,	<b>copy</b> 8:20 50:14		94:13,14,25	
16 54:8,10,19	138:3,23	correlation 98:3	95:9 96:11	court 8:6 9:16
56:4,6,13 57:2,			97:14,15	11:9,19 15:22
6,8,12,19,20	core 147:25	corruption	101:19 115:9 116:7 122:8	161:15 186:20
59:12 61:2,14		191:6	126:25 159:10	
139:8 171:14	coroners	10110	162:24 163:1	courts 7:18,20,
173:22 177:24	191:19	cosigners 23:25	177:24 178:3,6	24 8:10 9:10
190:16,22		24:13	179:3,7,10	10:1,4,7 11:25
	corporation		183:23	12:1 25:14
<b>contracts</b> 43:15 169:19 173:24	96:4	<b>cost</b> 17:23 44:5		156:3,18 186:14 191:4
191:1		52:3 55:7	counted 184:20	100.14 191.4
	corporations	150:14		
antrast 00:21	94:6,12 97:23		counties 165:21	<b>cover</b> 52:3
contrast 90:21	166:8	cost-efficiency		169:22 172:6 174:21
		20:3	county 16:8	
<b>control</b> 133:8 187:25	<b>correct</b> 49:25		98:12 127:9	covered 170:1
107.20	50:12,17,21	<b>Costa</b> 42:25	132:15 135:5	171:1,20 173:1
	51:1,20,25	43:1 50:13,22	139:23 140:1	174:19 175:12
controlled 133:6	52:25 53:15 54:3,12 55:23,	51:2,4,6 52:1,	173:6,8,9	_
155.0	25 57:14 58:18	24 53:7,11		<b>COVID</b> 100:19
	59:25 79:1	54:4,11,14 55:9	<b>couple</b> 19:8	186:18
controller 34:22,25	83:21 85:15	56:1,8,12 57:3, 15 58:15,19	34:8 35:15,22 40:21 63:4	
04.22,20	88:3,12 95:24	59:6,19,22	40:21 63:4	<b>CPI</b> 45:2 53:15,
	96:24 106:24	62:19	108:23 111:5	21 58:4 60:22
convenient				
	-	-	-	-

Index: create..deflecting

	_	_		
<b>create</b> 18:11 19:9 145:12	<b>customer</b> 39:13 46:3 48:8	185:7	decided 73:3	<b>deeply</b> 37:18 187:9
156:1 187:1	125:21,22,23 126:1,8,18 129:7	<b>day's</b> 139:15	decides 101:23	defend 21:21
created 112:6	120.1	days 89:13	decision 16:16	
148:15 175:17		112:10,14	42:15 102:13	defender
	customers	141:18,19	107:15 114:2	132:19 133:4
crews 30:2	44:11 45:9,13 46:1 48:13 51:1	163:10 189:4	158:1 178:12,	
	55:7,13,24		13,17	Defender's
critical 14:16,20	127:17	deadline 141:7		133:1
92:10 119:17	121.11		decisions	100.1
02.10 110.11			14:21,24 15:25	
	<b>cut</b> 15:12 88:18,	deadlines	92:11 126:17	defense 137:14
critically 87:9,	19 104:2	141:21	130:15,20	
14,23	157:12		, ,	defer 61:5,15
		<b>deal</b> 18:10	<b>deck</b> 142:5	139:2 141:10
critics 16:2,19	cutting 142:11	20:16 68:10	<b>UECK</b> 142.5	
		169:19		deficit 111:15
cross 29:14	D		declaring	
		dealing 20:24	175:11	
		21:14 22:16		define 81:4
Crystal 23:23 188:19	<b>data</b> 17:11	67:9 91:8	decline 160:10	82:17 88:16 89:5 134:25
100.19	30:16			173:14
	00.10	deals 20:18	declined 21:5	175.14
<b>CS</b> 89:2		171:25		
	date 107:14			defined 93:23
curb 44:14	116:4 118:2		decreases	148:24
		debacle 119:7	103:20	
current 13:20	dates 118:1			defining 93:13
14:17 15:23		debris 45:14	dedicated	
16:19,20 21:25	daughter 10:19		116:21 118:20	definitely 40:18
29:8 44:20 47:9		December 29:8		41:5 154:17
75:16 76:19		78:25 177:25	deducted 55:21	179:18 182:10,
178:4 180:15	Dave 90:2 186:1	186:8		24
			<b>deep</b> 82:5,10	
currently 45:15	<b>David</b> 189:15,	decide 65:5	109:14	definition 166:2
61:23 74:15	16,17	115:19 120:6		174:23 175:5
77:4 170:21		146:8 165:5		
	day 34:24 82:13	166:18	deeper 132:9	
	102:11 106:11			deflecting 91:6
cursory 7:24				

Index: deja..different

				5
<b>deja</b> 169:10	denote 40:5	153:22 158:5, 24 159:12,22	depends 103:17	120:11
<b>delay</b> 61:16 96:3,5 97:6,10,	<b>Dent</b> 4:5,15,18, 20,22,24 5:1,6,	160:3,10,24 161:3,7,24 162:7,14,19	derailed 117:20	<b>detail</b> 68:17 149:19 163:14
11,13	24 23:21 26:6, 10,21 28:5,10 33:25 34:14	167:2 172:10 173:25 174:13	describe 131:2, 3 132:25	determination
delayed 94:15	36:6,9,13,16,23 37:4 40:20	175:19 176:3,6, 12,15,19,23,25	described	74:19 146:6
deliberate 191:14	41:10,15,22 42:1 50:8 60:6,	179:9,15 180:17 181:5, 21 182:10,20	132:17 191:10	<b>determine</b> 72:15 135:7
deliver 126:12,	23 61:18 62:4, 23 63:12,17,20,	188:18 192:4,7	description 85:13 122:25	143:4
18,19 147:24 156:11	24 67:17,19,23 68:8,15 69:11, 19 70:5,11,13,	Dent's 21:10	123:11,22,23 125:13 132:1	determined 38:23 44:23
deliverable 118:24	17,21 71:1 72:1,8,25 73:18 74:11,21 75:7	<b>deny</b> 6:11	135:24 136:5, 11 137:25 138:17	develop 181:6
110.24	76:1,14 77:14	department		developed
deliverables 105:11	78:13 79:1,25 81:3 83:12 88:16 90:13,16	39:14 58:1 62:10 123:13 126:7 130:4	descriptions 124:15 136:8	152:9
delivered	91:11 92:20 93:18 96:22	133:23 134:16, 17 137:7,12	deserves 87:21	developing 31:25
161:14	97:22 99:18 100:1 101:17 102:23 104:14	142:6	<b>design</b> 18:20 115:3 117:8	developments
delivering 118:17	105:13 106:2 107:4 108:21	department- specific 38:23	152:14 155:7	13:15
delivers 125:25	109:13,21 112:3 113:3,8	departmental	designed 9:17	dials 50:2
<b>delivery</b> 125:19	114:8,15 115:9 116:6,10 117:1 119:19 120:15	62:20	designee 38:24	Diamond 6:8 27:22 29:14,15
148:9	122:20,23 123:6 124:24	depend 168:3	desire 70:9	78:10 81:18 83:7 88:14 90:24 127:15
<b>Delta</b> 18:6	130:25 137:4, 19 139:4	dependent 52:21	<b>desk</b> 37:24	
delving 149:19	141:13 142:4, 19 144:4	depending	39:10 95:20 96:15	differences 18:10
demolish 46:19	148:16 149:11	168:11	despite 14:5	different 15:14

Index: difficult..disqualifying

39:18 40:15	42:15 63:10	disappointed	discovered 86:4	114:8,16
49:24 51:8 85:3	107:24 108:9,	16:17	94:12	115:21 116:4
90:10 92:7 99:7	,	10.17	94.12	119:19,22
110:19 115:17	11,13,19 118:11 121:1			,
		disarray 5:12	discretion	165:6 176:7
121:1 130:3	124:23 137:20		158:11 164:24	178:11 180:25
135:23 159:12,	138:16 139:3			
20,21 172:6	142:3 158:16	disclose 70:17		discussions
	160:18 161:8	163:7 164:12	discuss 8:1	60:17 80:4
difficult 14:3	162:11 165:7	171:6 174:17	37:4 42:20 43:6	119:10,12,14
78:1 113:14,16			45:11 63:7,20	169:11
168:11	directly 8:22	disclosed 71:9,	64:17 86:23	
	78:18	13,18 164:6	89:20 117:10	
		170:8	122:23 135:16	disingenuous
dig 107:17			142:19 143:4	23:4
	director 15:7		161:7 162:9,20	
digging 14:11	17:17 26:13	disclosing		dispatch 46:5,
	28:18 37:8	171:3,5	discussed 31:2	17
	38:24 40:25		37:11 64:1	
digits 13:6	41:12 42:3	disclosure	81:12 85:2,16	
	61:21 79:13	71:23 73:9	92:15 97:13	dispel 184:3,4,
diligence 11:15	86:10 107:8	162:20 163:3,	115:14 120:20	10,20 185:2
	113:10 114:5	11,17 165:25	121:6 159:5	
	123:2 128:25	166:21 175:23	163:20 181:23	dispelled
diligent 185:25	131:15 139:11	100.21 175.25	188:12	185:13
	151:12 177:4		100.12	105.15
Dillon's 120:3	179:17	disclosures		
		70:22 163:8	discussing 12:4	disposable
	director's 110:7	164:15	28:23 161:11	43:25
dinner 7:7	directors 110.7		169:12 181:19	
		discount 31:4,7	182:1	disposal 50:12,
dire 8:6	directors 17:18,			15,25 60:9,17
	19		discussion	
		discounted		62:16,22
direct 22:1	directo 115.01	28:25 31:1,13	28:15 33:1,6	
44:12 50:3	directs 115:21		36:17 41:16	disputing 55:18
		discounting	54:24 63:12	
directed 32:14	<b>dis-</b> 71:9	discounting 31:16	64:24 65:1	disqualified
80:6 179:4		31.10	74:15,16 79:8,	disqualified 136:21
00.0179.4			25 81:13 83:20	130.21
	disagree 91:12	discounts 31:19	84:1 89:10 94:4	
directing 153:3		39:18	97:25 100:9	disqualifying
	disagreed		104:14 108:4	127:20
direction 44.6	117:18		109:8 112:3	
direction 41:6		discover 136:1		
	l			

Index: distant..early

distant 128:7	document 24:8, 10,16 37:15,22	<b>door</b> 89:18	163:17 165:18 166:7	Duffields 115:3
distracted 14:1	38:15 40:12 41:7,12 191:19	doorway 7:6	drafting 183:15	during 38:5 44:7 82:20
distributed 163:11	documentation	<b>Dorado</b> 173:9	188:5	88:18
district 4:7 5:8	84:16 190:4 191:12	<b>double</b> 13:6 184:19	drainage 9:19	duties 129:3
9:13 14:19 17:3 19:15,25 21:20,	<b>documented</b> 14:25 15:3 85:9	doubled 184:15	draw 115:15	<b>duty</b> 14:10
24 28:10 29:7 30:4 34:5 37:5 43:18 63:23	documents	doubling 45:20	<b>drive</b> 5:10 16:25 19:24 20:6	E
76:17,23 94:13 119:1,5 120:1, 18 123:8,18,24	136:9 187:16 <b>dog</b> 104:8 143:8	<b>down</b> 15:12 29:24 47:2	<b>driven</b> 104:6 127:13 147:14	<b>e.g.</b> 15:11
129:15 132:13 162:24 178:20 184:24,25	147:19 177:7	56:17 66:3 76:22 79:19 82:20,22 84:7	driver 46:5,17	each 6:16 8:19 14:18 15:11,14, 17 18:15,21
<b>District's</b> 29:5 67:9,10 124:7	dollar 102:9 dollars 18:14 82:13	91:3,13 117:20 124:12,18 132:4 134:6 135:9,17	driving 12:10 19:15,23	35:18 64:13 65:3 66:7,14 82:23 83:2 93:17 98:20
District-wide 129:6	donated 164:11	136:23 140:15, 17 141:17 147:18 154:23	drop 24:25 100:5 139:15	145:24 150:4 158:18 159:13, 16 176:20
<b>dive</b> 49:8 82:5, 10 102:6	donation 190:9	165:9 177:12 178:24 179:24 183:25 184:15	dropped 100:4 142:1	178:8 187:16 188:8 191:14
109:15 132:8	<b>done</b> 9:4,13 36:2 79:3 81:24	185:23 186:2	dropping 101:7 183:23	Eagle 5:10
divine 19:10	85:25 90:10,23 134:19 135:19 136:25 141:19	dozen 117:17	<b>due</b> 11:14 69:9	earlier 31:2 43:20,21 69:18
divisive 19:11	144:13 151:19 152:18,21	draft 33:19 121:3 138:3 159:1 163:11	163:9 166:21	94:23 154:15 163:4 178:1,24 180:6 181:10,
doable 157:3	156:6,8,20	188:3	Duffield 6:10 21:15 114:20	24 183:3,21 188:20
<b>Dobler</b> 190:15	<b>Donna</b> 16:24	drafted 97:8	119:5	early 33:20 62:8

Index: earmarked..enjoyed

96:6 137:25	effect 168:17	election 22:1	emails 82:4	189:14
earmarked 151:5	<b>effectively</b> 100:24 156:4	<b>electionary</b> 75:23	<b>emphasize</b> 165:15 178:10	ended 134:12 149:13 157:21 192:10
easier 16:1 47:4 142:14 158:1	<b>effectiveness</b> 18:16	element 87:3 181:1	employee 10:20 38:21 39:5,7 76:18	<b>ending</b> 102:20 155:15
<b>easily</b> 20:12 21:3,24	efficiency 150:14	<b>elementary</b> 183:2	<b>employee's</b> 25:5	Endurance 29:12
easy 67:9	effluent 13:22	elevate 34:16	omplouses 05:0	<b>F</b> inana 07:47
102:25 161:19 168:8	14:14 27:13 61:7	eligible 38:1	employees 25:8 37:6 40:12 76:25 129:13	Energy 27:17
easy-to-answer 121:8	effort 14:5 21:22 92:1	eliminate 45:17	166:15	engage 120:3,4 123:18 145:15
<b>eat</b> 7:6	115:1 129:6	<b>eliminated</b> 98:10,11 111:4	employer 166:6 174:18 175:10	<b>engaged</b> 146:12,18
eating 39:11	egos 16:21	112:22 129:4	employers 174:11	engagement
echoed 163:19	eight 10:1 59:18 60:3 131:9	eliminating 108:1	employment	12:11 146:9
economy 101:6	eight-week	elimination 98:4	175:11	engineering 8:18 17:19
104:7	157:16 either 25:23	112:8	<b>encourage</b> 16:21 50:2	English 190:20 191:2
<b>edge</b> 115:24	47:1 118:5	<b>else's</b> 93:14		101.2
edit 165:22	130:9 133:18 166:19 170:1 183:6	email 22:11 23:6 35:13	end 30:9,16,20 33:20 45:18 72:23 74:7 82:1	enhancements 12:18
editing 128:17	<b>EI</b> 173:8	40:24 76:20 133:19 153:22	88:22 102:10 119:7 136:11 145:14 154:7	enjoyable 103:12
edits 162:21,23 165:3,8 166:18, 20	<b>elected</b> 15:4 127:10	<b>emailed</b> 8:3,19 150:9	166:22 172:7 178:16 179:16 181:7 182:3	enjoyed 178:8

Index: enjoyment..examination

			l	
enjoyment 187:20	entrance 106:22 107:2	especially 12:9 14:12 95:12 103:4 151:7	51:7 72:2 74:18 78:17,21,24 80:20 103:13	59:23 65:2 82:3 93:21 105:8,9
enough 78:19 118:9 128:4 147:17 158:25	<b>entrances</b> 106:25 107:3	154:4 essence 23:9	110:11 114:12 119:20 141:9 154:16,20	<b>everyone's</b> 89:16
159:2 173:6	entry 106:23	186:7	155:6 158:7,13 181:8	everything 5:20 6:4 7:5 24:11
ensure 69:15 105:5 178:19	environment 65:9 127:8 135:19 180:4	essential 12:8 129:3	evening 7:8 10:12 22:6 28:18 138:7	57:17 77:4 80:15 83:25 92:16 124:17
ensuring 12:8 125:19,25 179:2	<b>envy</b> 91:6	essentially 83:22	158:14,16 164:4,25 166:20 176:22	151:19 190:2 everywhere
	equal 105:10	Establish 8:23		60:10
enter 60:17 117:6 118:15	equality 105:5	established 9:5 22:23	event 7:3 13:1 35:18,19	evidence 73:12 191:3
<b>entertain</b> 41:10 <b>entire</b> 15:16	equally 105:8	ethics 5:22 21:11 22:2	events 35:17 36:4	evidentiary 191:10,11
93:6 100:7 117:21	equity 19:13,18	70:17,20 71:7, 12,15,21,22,25 72:11,15 73:2,	eventually 30:16 108:5	<b>ex-chair</b> 80:13, 15
entities 51:16 94:19 97:7 129:13 163:7,	erected 44:3 Eric 123:2	5,11,20,21 74:6,8,13,18,25 75:10,12,19,21	every 31:12 65:4 69:5 73:21 83:10 88:3	ex-general 84:25
17 164:6 165:19 166:3 174:25	139:25 Erin 37:8 141:10	116:10 171:2 Europe 13:14	105:6 106:10 120:23 185:7	exacerbated 113:19,25
entitled 53:5,21, 24 54:21,23	error 37:15	evaluate 100:3	<b>everybody</b> 5:6 10:12 63:7 76:15	exactly 5:22 31:24 92:23
entity 51:14 127:19 162:20	errors 172:8 escalators 61:4	evaluation 136:20	everybody's 102:7	96:13 115:17 139:20
163:3 168:12 175:2	escape 11:16	<b>even</b> 9:12 25:16 34:15 40:23	everyone 32:7	examination 7:24

example 13:22 20:16 39:5 99:5 103:7 109:1	98:10	<b>expanded</b> 20:3 45:9	111:10,11 113:7 129:14 168:21 173:14	<b>extraordinary</b> 58:5
133:1 167:21 173:18	executive 127:10 128:19	<b>expanding</b> 46:17 150:14	174:19 184:17	extremely 113:11
examples 105:1 170:7 172:23	<b>executive-level</b> 18:2	<b>expansion</b> 11:17 60:19	explanation 73:25 74:6 78:24 86:20	<b>eye</b> 10:2
174:1,3,6 184:12	exemplary 9:13	116:2,3 118:5 119:6	99:25 109:19 explicit 74:9	<b>eyes</b> 137:13
exceed 117:7	exhaustive 174:7	expect 158:22	explore 60:18	F
exceeds 53:20	exhaustively	expectations 147:10	extended 17:16	facilities 9:3
excellent 126:1 129:7 181:25	115:14	expecting 33:19	34:25 45:16	29:1 30:25 31:1,10 32:6 35:25 46:2 47:8
except 42:16	<b>exist</b> 24:19	expenses 88:19	extending 9:14 44:18	99:12
107:9 111:21 122:5 144:2	existed 24:20 123:23	experience	extension 22:14	facility 8:17 9:7 11:18 17:24
exception 110:18	<b>existing</b> 9:2 33:4 46:13,16, 17,18,19,24	12:10 14:25 15:3 48:9 82:8 127:1 146:15	42:3,12 43:9,14 45:21 50:19 51:15 52:22 56:17 58:3	23:15 31:1,13 42:10 47:2 100:15
<b>excited</b> 34:5 154:17	47:7,9 exists 43:9	experienced 178:14	59:16 60:5,8,18 178:18	fact 5:16,18,23 10:9 20:14 30:14 68:10
<b>exclude</b> 166:14	191:13	expertise 7:22 33:10	extent 72:20 138:12	85:21 105:17 113:19,24 147:15 173:11
excluded 170:24	exit 46:23	expiration 13:8	external 162:20	174:24
exclusive 16:7	exited 34:1 182:12	26:3 178:3	externally 18:17	<b>fact-check</b> 185:19
<b>excuse</b> 20:19 50:16 57:5,9	<b>expand</b> 46:18 144:3	<b>expires</b> 177:24	<b>extra</b> 55:5 57:13 58:13,17 59:3,4	facts 16:3,11, 16,20 24:11
00.10 07.0,0		explain 51:13	141:18	10,20 27.11

Index: factual..filings

67:9 68:3	114:22 185:16	faster 147:23	108:17 110:17	140:7,25
factual 21:2 24:19 54:13	falsity 24:21	<b>favor</b> 12:16 36:18 41:18	115:23 120:25 121:11 122:7 130:20 139:23 140:1 145:1	141:23 151:12 few 13:15 16:3
fail 25:4	familiar 144:19	100:8 114:3,4 142:9 176:9 183:6	154:2,21 170:8	29:23 32:19 34:10 62:25 64:9 76:21
failed 110:23	family 10:13 96:2,9	Feast 8:3 10:12,	feeling 130:12	83:18 112:24 154:8 155:2
111:14	fanatics 188:21	13	<b>feelings</b> 16:4, 11,16	182:14,16 183:14
fair 32:4 33:5 65:19 120:25 132:4 159:22	fantastic 132:15	February 117:5	feels 121:17	fiction 5:19
	140:1	Federal 44:25	fees 6:19 20:25	fight 65:20
fairly 113:17 137:7 139:13	fantasy 188:21	fee 6:15,17 17:24 31:13	27:25 28:1 31:1 99:10 104:21	104:8
<b>fairness</b> 19:13, 17	<b>FAQ</b> 65:11 74:7 75:21 91:7	81:21 98:2,4 99:23 100:4,5,	106:22,23	fighting 186:20
<b>Fairway</b> 190:15	171:2 180:7	8,15 101:10,13, 14,21 102:4,14 103:25 104:21	feet 47:24 48:1	figure 118:10 151:18
fall 10:6 13:4	FAQS 84:6,12 102:13 121:5	105:16 106:1 112:9,22	fellow 11:6 12:16 23:25	figured 124:11
40:5 45:16 61:17 63:8	<b>far</b> 23:17 25:3, 15 49:6 62:11,	113:13	felony 190:13	figures 67:9 68:10
124:1 180:20 181:8	15 45:0 02:11, 15 65:14 67:17 71:17 74:22	fee/rec 98:1	felt 138:25 170:9 173:10	
fall/early 151:17	75:1 78:14 96:12 110:6	feedback 48:6 49:6 60:13		file 73:11,13,14
falls 61:3	112:23 118:9 126:1 132:1,7 137:19 141:7	63:21 93:19 104:15 105:18, 20 129:1 138:2,	fence 46:17	filed 72:12,13 73:6,15,22 163:8
false 14:3 21:12	159:5 162:11	5,7,8,9 141:14 142:3 148:2	Feore 37:8,9 39:2,20,23 40:7,18 41:4,9,	filers 163:13
23:3,5,7 66:24 70:19 76:19 80:3 94:8 98:4	fashion 184:7	feel 34:3 48:6	13 123:2,5 128:20 132:12	170:6
103:7,16	<b>fast</b> 147:16	49:2,3 66:22 68:22,24	136:7 137:3,24 138:16 139:11	filings 73:17

	_	_	_	_
fill 48:4,8,10,16 113:16 140:12	<b>find</b> 11:7 18:11 35:12 48:25	165:12 179:16 187:19	floor 182:21	footing 117:21
142:8	50:5 60:1 84:14,15 85:13 88:2 118:4	fiscal 29:4	<b>flow</b> 148:6	footnote 40:17 41:7
filling 13:25 113:15	136:6,22 137:6 161:18	33:13 85:11	<b>fly</b> 110:16	forced 16:12,13
final 14:23 15:13 30:6 33:15 111:3	fine 62:23 67:24 76:14 85:23,25	fiscally 19:21 83:21	focus 99:22 125:15,16 128:18 166:14	foreclosed 23:9
134:10 135:9, 13,18 136:19	115:20 116:11 117:23 141:18	fit 46:2 96:21 125:14 156:19 167:24	focused 12:9	foreign 174:9
152:14 180:12 183:18,19	160:15 183:24 184:9 185:24	five 5:1 7:18	14:20 20:4,10 75:24 143:20 175:7	foremost 187:20
finalize 11:15 138:10	finish 109:21 fire 5:13 47:24	9:23,25 13:3,13 29:19 51:22 59:17 107:3	focusing 13:20	foreseeable 9:23
finalized 135:25 138:17	48:1	112:10 186:12 188:7	<b>folks</b> 17:12 67:1 94:22 107:16	Forest 27:21
finalizing 136:5	firestorm 6:22	five-minute 122:17	117:14 132:15 133:2 135:4,6 138:24 140:11,	forever 101:22 132:14
Finally 15:17 16:17	firm 138:14 178:24	five-year 19:12 20:5 42:12 43:9	13 166:23 170:6 174:4	form 15:9 22:21
finance 52:8	<b>firms</b> 138:22 139:14,18 140:2	59:16 60:4,18 61:8 147:2,8	follow 190:1	111:7 121:3 166:23 174:10
86:10		156:7	follow-up 43:5	formal 133:23 135:24 138:13,
financial 8:14 13:23 14:13,15	first 4:11 13:17, 19 15:20 19:8, 17 22:10 33:19	flavor 174:8	followed 90:17	18 149:4
30:5,7,16,18 35:16,18 126:20 147:5	34:23 35:2 43:7 50:9 66:6,13,20	flexibility 120:8 139:7,9 141:6	<b>following</b> 101:20 106:13	formally 186:23
157:4 175:1 187:25	67:6 70:24 117:5 124:25 125:4 126:6	flexible 141:21	148:11,23 174:4	<b>formating</b> 124:6,19
financials 82:11	143:15,18 163:8 164:25	<b>flip</b> 155:4	font 161:18	former 16:11,18

Index: formerly..general

40:24 68:17 69:16 89:11	158:12 159:25 164:25 173:19	frequent 10:15	125:9,10	G
131:10 167:22	180:13 181:20	frequently 20:8 63:21 183:22	<b>fund</b> 83:16 98:8 104:22	<b>gap</b> 87:18,19
formerly 41:24 63:18 122:17, 21 161:5	found 26:23 28:11 37:6 42:4 44:19 53:4	187:11 188:14 Friday 5:12	fundamental 11:19	garbage 24:15 44:24
<b>forms</b> 163:12 166:21 170:5	63:24 95:2 123:3 143:11, 12 162:25	friends 75:9	funded 81:20	<b>gas</b> 47:10
formulate 19:12 120:13 155:16	foundation 114:20 119:5	<b>frivolous</b> 73:13, 17	101:12,15 103:24 104:3 119:5	<b>gate</b> 106:9 124:1 151:8
formulated 107:7	<b>four</b> 5:1 45:16 66:21 117:4 135:10 145:22	front 25:16 39:10 95:20 96:15 97:4,19	funding 8:25 11:22	<b>gave</b> 18:23 129:1 132:15, 19 134:7
formulates 107:10	161:25 186:12 191:18	142:2 149:13 fruit 122:14	funds 98:9 117:7 119:8,14 120:10 151:5 164:11	<b>general</b> 4:6 13:12 14:16
formulating 155:21	Fourteen 17:22	frustrations	funky 40:10	16:15 17:16 22:3 26:25 28:7,10 32:16
forum 122:1	franchise 22:14 43:10 45:5	157:5,19	further 8:10 9:9	34:18 37:2 42:9 75:8 83:16
forums 65:24	Frank 17:2 18:25 19:4 23:23 188:19	full 29:19 31:4,6 73:9 77:3,8,9 79:20 116:4	16:11 20:21 22:16 36:17 41:16 53:15	89:11 101:6 104:7 116:7,22 120:1 122:24 123:6 125:11,
forward 12:12, 23 62:14 63:11 64:18 65:22	189:18 fraud 191:9	full-time 37:21, 24 76:22,24	107:17 176:7 future 5:24 6:2	24 126:4,5,24 128:8 129:9 131:10 142:7,
76:24 79:6 86:22 106:15 109:7,25	<b>frauds</b> 190:18, 19 191:8,16	<b>fully</b> 101:12,15 103:24	8:25 9:23 14:17 19:14,22 31:25 35:25 56:23 58:11 59:7	15 143:6,7 147:15 151:10 155:10 157:17 159:10,14,17
110:12 111:7 115:4,6 116:12 132:6 136:12 141:5 152:5 154:13 155:3,8	<b>fraudulent</b> 190:25	function 31:12 104:20 191:11, 13	70:25 76:6,10 165:8 183:16	162:15,24 167:22 177:4, 24 178:3,6 179:3,7,9,20
	free 32:5 36:2	functions		181:13,24

Index: generally..great

<b>generally</b> 37:25 43:15 45:7 48:15 128:17 129:23 163:15	109:19 126:16, 20 129:11 147:13 149:6 150:5 158:22	<b>golf</b> 5:15 7:3 17:19 19:10,15, 23 20:2 25:23 27:10 28:17,20	74:11 80:19 86:19 91:21 92:1,4 93:18 99:18 102:23	91:8 115:24 125:9 127:13, 19,21 128:2 131:12,17
<b>generated</b> 27:19	165:7 174:8 184:12 given 11:24 34:4 64:7	31:4,9,11,12 35:9 78:19 81:10,18 82:2, 6,19 83:19,22 84:20 85:16,19,	104:15 107:21 108:7 111:21 114:2 121:25 122:7 123:21 125:2 128:13,	<b>governmental</b> 127:6
gentleman 35:7 gentleman's	107:23 141:5 148:17 161:17 168:6 175:3	24 86:7 90:25 107:8 109:16, 23 110:1 111:1 112:5 113:11	16 131:1,24 138:20 139:3, 12 141:15,24 144:16 147:16	governments 164:10
7:21 geographic	<b>giving</b> 24:24 26:1 48:22	114:5 131:5 142:22 143:24 145:3,4 149:23	151:6 153:24 156:12 157:12 159:7 160:6	Governor 164:18
165:14 175:8 geographical	108:13 glad 84:13 88:1	150:8,12 151:15,16,20 152:25 153:24	161:3 168:23 170:9 173:19 174:8 180:17 182:12 180:5	graduate 18:15 graduates
169:16 geography	glossed 5:20	154:1,5,9,14 155:18 160:3,6, 11,14,21 184:16 185:5,8	182:13 189:5, 23	17:16 18:21 grammar 76:15
172:25 getting 6:19	<b>GM</b> 5:13 16:11 125:16 144:22	186:6 187:7 golfers 153:12,	<b>goofy</b> 25:6 110:14	Granlibakken 17:25
25:10 31:11 48:18 49:4 82:4 90:19 94:23	<b>goal</b> 19:10,12, 17 80:7 93:8 145:15 150:4,8,	14 185:9 golfs 107:7	<b>Google</b> 49:19, 20,22,24	<b>grant</b> 21:15 24:19 114:21,
97:5 115:23 117:25 120:17 125:14 151:1	143:13 130:4,8, 11 153:23,24 155:14	<b>gone</b> 7:1 25:8	gosh 86:25 gotten 118:18	23 116:15,19 117:15,16 118:8,9
154:3 162:12 169:2 173:22 179:21 185:2	<b>goals</b> 147:10 149:6	89:13 112:24 132:17 163:25	governance 65:13	graph 48:13
<b>girl's</b> 7:11	<b>goes</b> 34:6 76:8 101:17 104:24 111:12 148:4	<b>good</b> 7:8,20 10:2,8,12 14:4, 18 20:9 22:6	governed	<b>gray</b> 99:17
<b>give</b> 15:9 18:22 25:11 48:5,11 75:12 102:6	153:7 157:3 169:1	29:4 34:12 37:18 38:16 49:4 64:16 65:13 66:3 72:8	120:2,9 government	<b>great</b> 12:24 70:13 79:8,24 86:6 130:23

Index: greatly..held

132:14,16,19 133:17 135:12,	150:13 155:18	gymnastics 116:21 118:20	47:10 49:15 60:4 114:6	39:9 157:18
20 136:15 142:3 146:11,	guarantee 25:1	н	152:19 159:9 166:25 179:22	heading 186:2
16 153:13 156:25	guaranteeing			headquartered
100.20	25:23		hard 11:16 15:24 56:22	165:17
greatly 161:21		habitual 69:3	57:17 101:8	
	guess 40:11		121:15 141:20	heads 130:4
green 45:10	61:18 83:17	half 117:17 118:18 157:21	148:11 154:3	
55:2 60:19	90:19 100:6 103:17,20	162:7		hear 45:13
	109:13 122:3		hardly 106:25	120:5 122:10 171:23
grids 148:25	153:23 155:25	hand 69:22,23		171.25
	168:10 173:10	136:10	hashed 169:17 172:4	heard 13:17
Grill 82:14	4 400 0		172.4	31:8 55:12
	<b>guest</b> 106:3	handing 116:17	<b>hat</b> 139:16	64:16 66:22
gross 55:22,23			<b>nat</b> 100.10	70:9 74:14
	guests 98:21, 22,23 106:10	hands 134:13	haul 62:21	75:16 84:24 89:19 92:18
<b>ground</b> 157:11 158:4		142:5		105:18,25
150.4	guidance 74:13	hang 40:40	hauled 44:15	141:13 145:1
grounds 22:15	157:10 159:18	hang 48:16		170:25 171:1 184:22
groundo 22.10		happen 16:10	hauling 27:10	104.22
group 14:6	guidelines	25:2 42:21	51:10 52:5,11	hearing 49:6
134:11,15,22	158:9,18,22	45:23 58:6,7,10	56:13	91:20 103:10
145:10 157:1		59:7 69:2		
166:16 174:23 175:2 186:12,	guilty 88:7	100:22 110:17 181:7	having 5:12,13 24:10,11 62:16	hearsay 24:13,
22	auna 102:20		63:6 64:24	22
	guns 102:20	happened 33:24	91:20 103:8	
groups 134:7	guys 63:13	76:11 97:24	106:15 134:23 135:11 145:14	heartened 12:3
165:14,18,24	89:15 91:2	107:14 115:17	147:22 148:11	
175:6,23 176:1	142:5 144:8	116:3 131:9	153:25 167:8	heck 161:19
	182:15 184:25	happening	172:25 173:12	haight 2015
grown 13:6		29:12 69:1		height 38:5
growth 20:2	<b>gym</b> 14:3 116:21 131:4		hazardous 8:8	<b>held</b> 4:8 47:24
910Wtll 20.2	110.21 131.4	happy 30:23	head 7:40.05.40	
	I		head 7:10 25:13	

Index: help..Howard

help 22:21 38:15,17 39:3	129:2 130:7,17 138:2 143:17	high-level 18:4	hold 47:25	178:22
43:2 48:2 81:23 82:6 124:13	147:9 149:5,10 150:17 152:17	higher 45:2 88:4	59:17,22 60:3 65:10 97:1 116:6 174:10	Hoops 132:13
140:11 142:8 145:17 147:4	153:10 158:9 162:16 169:10, 13 170:21	highest 45:3	180:6	hope 118:8
148:15 154:13 helpful 28:23 41:2 63:7 75:3 79:21 81:5	173:5,7 177:10 186:10 189:22, 23	highlight 8:21 133:22	holder 106:10 holders 19:14, 18 88:10,11	hopefully 7:1 30:6 38:14 108:12 142:2
100:12 136:3 148:13 154:10 155:9	here's 27:3 46:11 56:2 68:15 70:1 77:8,10 90:24	highlighted 130:7 himself 113:11	holding 51:7 59:24 83:23 91:21	hoping 30:11 138:23
<b>helping</b> 146:19 156:1	99:4 120:21 132:3 134:18 140:18	hindsight 118:13	<b>hole</b> 25:12 84:7 91:3,14 92:6 186:2	horizon 18:7 20:5 horse 123:20
helps 93:17 156:16	Herron 148:13 149:16 161:13 162:15	hire 185:1	holistically 36:2	hostile 65:9
<b>here</b> 4:19,21 13:10 31:3,13,		Hired 134:2	Holly 5:10	180:3
15 32:19 38:11, 16,20,25 40:1	herself 17:7	hires 34:7 76:21		hot 49:5 125:1
42:8,10 44:1 47:3,5,10 49:19 50:3,10,24	hesitation 168:10	<b>hiring</b> 77:4,19 123:10 185:1	Holman 19:6 150:9 183:20	<b>hours</b> 7:23 37:25 38:4 50:4
52:6,15 55:16 58:21,23 59:15 60:2 64:25	<b>hey</b> 64:15 65:2 69:1 109:23 120:20 132:3	historical 62:5 112:18	Holman's 152:24 153:17	<b>house</b> 10:4 66:2 100:16,18
66:7,24 70:18, 25 78:10 86:17 87:1,2,12,20 89:7 91:4,19	155:4 hidden 117:19	historically 81:24	home 16:8 21:1, 5 49:21 174:9	143:6 144:12 152:7 154:21 155:6 156:4,18 157:11
99:21 103:23			<b>honor</b> 178:5	
105:15 106:2 107:14 112:11 119:4 120:23	high 6:20 7:11 77:15 106:22	history 107:21	honorable	houses 101:7
125:12 126:10	113:11 125:3	hit 39:12 118:1	59:11	Howard 28:18 107:22
	I		honoring	

Index: however..Incline

however 8:2	hypothetically	104:19 153:14,	87:10,14,24	76:14
15:18 34:4	98:16	15	89:6,9,19,23	
38:10 134:19			94:3 100:1	inaccuracies
158:12 166:3		impacted	101:11 103:23	54:13
174:25 187:13	I		106:2,5 107:13	54.15
		130:18,19	117:2,13 118:1	
			119:3,24	inaccurate
HR 15:7 17:20	idea 29:4 51:20	impacting 8:7	122:10 123:16,	189:9
40:24 77:16	64:7,9,15 66:1	101:4 104:10	18 125:3,4	
79:13 123:13	75:7,9 81:2		129:5,18 131:7,	
128:25 133:23	121:7 131:8		11,20 132:5	inadequacies
134:16 137:6,	135:11 143:21	impacts 60:19	135:5 154:4	16:14
12 142:6 177:4	153:25 159:22	147:5 157:4	180:2 181:8,15	
180:5 181:20	180:17 182:24	173:3	182:23	inadequate
			102.20	16:21
HR/LEGAL		imperative 8:12		10.21
180:3	ideally 138:9	9:8 11:25	impression	
100.0	146:14	0.0 11.20	116:16	inappropriate
				21:11,20
huge 31:8	ideas 64:5	implement	improprieties	
100:14,16,19	65:14 124:11	84:18 91:1	70:22	inaudible 41:8
131:16 139:14	00111121111	110:3 126:16	10.22	189:13
142:12 145:13		158:21		103.15
183:6	identified 79:9		improve 34:5	
	138:22 145:20	implementation	46:8 64:23	incident 95:19,
human 26:13	167:22 178:25	92:24 94:11	106:17	21
		156:10		
37:8 38:12	identify 142:21,	150.10	improvement	incidentals
41:12 76:20	22 147:4,6		improvement	39:19
123:2	149:21,24	implemented	4:7 14:19 43:23	39.19
	150:3	86:3 87:9 94:18	45:20 120:1	
hundred 6:7	150.5		151:15 153:25	Incline 4:1,6,9
78:4,9 80:17		implicate 60.04	160:22	7:11 8:16 10:14
122:12 188:9	identifying 55:5	implicate 60:21		12:15,24 13:4,
	_		improvements	10,15 14:7 15:1
	identity 10440	imply 172:17	7:17 42:2 43:22	19:6 44:2,7
hundreds 18:14	identity 164:12		44:3,5 45:23	45:5,7 49:19
			46:12 52:3	50:16 60:11
husband 18:19	immersive 18:2	important	85:21,22,24	65:17 66:17
		23:13,17 34:15	98:8 104:23	67:15 68:3 70:6
	imment 00.04	35:23 40:5 62:6	142:24 156:2	143:6 154:21
	impact 20:24	64:11,13 66:23	112.21100.2	155:6 156:3
hybrid 108:5	04.4 05 44 40			
hybrid 108:5 154:25	21:1,25 44:12 98:5 103:9	68:16 77:17,23 80:12 82:15	improving	173:7 183:20

PUBLIC MEETING - 07/12/2023
Index: inclinevillageatwm.c..intended

<b></b>				
inclinevillageat wm.com. 50:7	57:10 58:5,13 103:14 107:23 110:8	individuals 5:9 16:19 125:13	informed 67:1 68:23 75:2 79:22,23	94:14 111:8 144:16
include 55:22 67:6 166:4,6	increased 18:16	industries 18:5	119:12 134:16 146:13	inquiring 95:20
176:1	45:6 53:22 61:1 113:25	industry 133:4	<b>infringing</b> 104:18 105:9	inside 18:16
included 16:14 17:16 20:11 21:23 28:16,24	<b>increases</b> 53:2, 11,15 60:22	inevitably 158:20	inherent 186:21	insight 33:10
129:11 132:24 155:15 165:3,	88:14 103:20	infested 10:6	initial 5:4,5	insinuate 167:6
10 166:2 175:3	increasing 12:9 51:25 84:17	influence 108:11 137:2	15:12 26:7 110:23 128:12 140:16 163:21	inspection 7:19
includes 173:8	indebted 171:25	167:6,9,14,18 168:9,13 169:6	initially 10:22	inspections 7:25
20:2 81:18 121:14 150:13	index 44:24 79:16	172:25 173:12	107:22	instance 78:2 160:7,9
155:18	indicated 40:8	inform 132:10	initiative 124:6	instead 6:17
incomplete 152:6	137:6	informal 135:14 186:11	initiatives 33:16	15:8,15 22:20 36:1 39:11
inconsistent 164:17	indicates 7:20 99:10	information 30:3 35:9,22	injured 8:9	168:25 172:12, 18
incorporate	indicia 173:17	47:11 49:8,14, 18,25 50:10 54:15 87:24	injury 191:9	insurance 27:24,25
138:8 incorrect 53:8	individual 20:15,22 21:21	92:7,9,11 93:20 106:15 113:4 117:11 118:3	inner-company 62:20	integral 12:14
111:17	64:14 65:19 66:19 74:17,24 103:21 121:13	119:16 120:17, 21 124:9	innuendos 102:18	integrity 133:9
increase 23:3 44:17,23 45:1 53:13 54:23	178:8	129:12 133:19 140:16 141:9 146:24 150:5	inordinate 83:19	intend 187:12
55:1,3,19,20, 23,24 56:10,11	individually 188:13	158:25 159:3 164:13 189:9	input 12:7 15:10	intended 43:2 121:3 144:2

Index: intending..item

			1	
171:8 174:7	175:1	40:5 45:15	142:24 143:24	19:21
		46:21 51:23	149:24 151:15	
		58:3 68:6 82:5,	152:1,11,21	
intending 57:21	interested	10 88:23 96:17	155:25 156:22	issuance 94:15
80:2,21 171:17	160:13 163:23	97:5 99:2,6,17	158:2 159:10	96:5 97:1
172:13,15,16,	182:13			
19 175:25		102:5,6 107:17	160:2,22	iaawa 22,10
		109:15 110:5		issue 22:19
	interests 170:7	115:23 117:6,	investments	49:6 61:10
intense 18:2		25 118:15	9:10 99:11	69:22 71:4
	interface 126:24	149:4,7,10,19	175:14	94:16 97:3
intent 20:17		151:18 153:4	170.14	99:25 103:3
64:5 87:4 99:21		168:7 189:8		138:13 168:7
	interfering 94:6		invitations	171:12,15
117:6 168:21	96:12 153:18		139:17	175:8
169:7 173:14,		introduce 17:11		
17,24 174:21		190:17		
190:16 191:17,	interim 142:8		invite 187:24	issued 94:21
22		introduced 85:1		96:10
	internal 178:12		invoice 162:2	
intention 50.0		88:9		
intention 59:8				issues 14:12
146:20 148:22	internal/external	introducing	invoices 27:12	21:25 62:12
	163:3	84:23		67:3 70:14
intentionally		01.20		72:23 87:17
23:5			involved 14:22	112:15 183:1
20.0	internet 24:14	inventory 27:15	61:13,22,23	
	167:12,19		62:8 65:20	ioouing 07.7
intentions			95:12 119:15	issuing 97:7
20:19,20 59:10		invested 134:24	133:2,5 134:24	
87:22	interpret 87:21	135:1 136:17	136:16 155:2	item 4:11,15 5:3
	168:11		185:4	13:12 22:10,22
		investigation		26:6,7,10,11,
intents 40:11	interpretation	21:13 25:2 73:3		12,14,15,18,24
	103:21	139:12	involvement	28:7 35:24
intor company	100.21	133.12	119:10 132:23	
inter-company			135:12 162:20	36:6,25 37:1
62:21	interrupting	investigations	163:3,7	40:25 41:16,24
	39:13	72:5	,	45:19 63:18
interacted 48:5				65:4,8 70:7,16
			involving	76:1,2,17 79:25
	intimate 155:7	investing 73:4	119:14 132:24	80:2 81:14 94:4
interest 5:25			133:7	95:15,16 97:25
25:21 71:2	into 6:19 25:4	invootment		98:1,14 104:15
104:7 136:1		investment		107:12,19
166:15 174:10	33:21 34:18,21	98:18 103:18	irresponsible	112:4 114:9
		l	l	I

Index: items..larger

	1			<b></b>
117:17 119:20	31:17	26:25 28:8	43:1 55:18	L
121:5 122:11,	01.17	45:18 53:18	62:13	
16.21 126:11,		117:7 118:6	02.10	
22 127:1 129:2	J	163:10 166:22		
139:14 141:14			kept 69:16	labor 45:1 79:16
		177:2,22		
142:16,17	January 8:2	178:19 179:16	Lov. 10:00 15:0	lack 22:18,19
145:7 155:12	10:25 48:14		<b>key</b> 13:20 15:2	114:11 191:3
161:4 162:10,		jump 156:13	125:20,24	114.11 191.3
17 163:25	126:23	179:22		
165:10 175:20		-	kicking 62:25	lady 34:21
176:16,17,23	<b>job</b> 9:14 10:5			184:23
179:24 180:1,7	38:16 95:11	<b>June</b> 7:6,16		
181:17,18,19	122:7,25	17:1 28:17 29:9	<b>kids'</b> 10:19	
182:11,18,22	123:10,22,23	48:14 96:6		Lam 18:7
183:18 192:7	124:15 125:13		kind 29:21 33:7,	
	130:24 132:1	jurisdiction	14 38:9 39:9	landed 67:11
items 28:15	135:24 136:4,5,	74:20	40:25 47:3	
	8,10 137:24	74.20	48:24 62:7 63:2	
36:12 66:8	146:19		66:3 87:24	landing 119:13
117:3 122:13	140.19	к		
143:11 177:11,			110:15 124:5	language 8:21
15,19,22	<b>jobs</b> 25:9		133:21 137:13	11:12 53:4
179:23 180:10		Kat- 00.07	140:9,13,15	
183:4,12	1	Katz 22:6,7	158:25 177:13	58:4,7 76:4
	<b>Joe</b> 15:22 18:19		187:14	87:15 95:24
IVGID 7:23 8:1,5		Kay 16:24 25:24		124:8 129:19,
10:20 13:22	John 7:8 11:4,	,	Klein 7:8	25 130:3,10
14:11,17,25	11			150:9 168:14,
15:7 17:12		keep 5:7 16:21		17,20 171:14,
18:15,16 20:13		17:6 24:25	knew 100:5,6	20 172:6,9,21
	<b>Josh</b> 75:11	52:10 67:20		173:18 174:17
43:12,18 51:15,	96:24 138:5	84:4 85:4 99:11	knowing 41:7	175:23 179:25
19 75:22	162:11,24	116:15 188:25		
119:25 120:1,	171:22 173:14	190:10		large 27:10
17 163:18	176:20		knowledge 62:5	105:21 111:15
178:15 187:19		kooping 60.11	72:13,14,19	113:23 116:16
	iotting 66:2	keeping 68:11		127:17 161:18
IVGID's 11:23	jotting 66:3	134:15		
18:22 20:10			<b>known</b> 13:5	190:23
50:11 188:11	judging 139:16	keeps 35:18		
		47:5 189:17	knows 5:17	largely 184:8
			190:2	
IVGID-	July 4:1,8 5:14			Law 50.05
AFFILIATED	10:23 17:2	Kendra 42:22		larger 58:25
		I	I	I

	1	1	1	1
last 6:20 8:8 12:25 27:2 28:3	lawsuit 8:9	120:17 151:21 154:15 171:15	164:9 166:13	<b>leverage</b> 145:16 146:16
29:7 34:8 53:23 54:3 61:20 64:1 67:7 77:20,21	lawsuits 191:4	175:4 181:4	<b>legitimately</b> 187:18	liability 166:8
82:14 84:7 90:15,17 98:19	lay-down 27:19	leave 71:5 102:22 134:25 135:6 143:7	length 86:6	liaison 62:10
100:18 103:13 105:5,12 107:23 109:24 110:3 112:12	<b>lead</b> 15:8 152:19 160:1 190:1	leaving 15:15 146:8 155:10	<b>lens</b> 22:4	145:5 160:5 167:23,24 168:2 169:3 176:2
110:3 112:12 118:6 119:20 129:22 131:25 137:13 145:2	<b>leader</b> 142:22, 23	Lee 18:13	<b>less</b> 13:5 21:5 98:23 114:3 148:16 162:6	license 173:23
157:10 166:11, 17 169:24 172:22 186:17	leadership 7:2	<b>leeway</b> 135:15	<b>lesson</b> 118:14,	<b>lie</b> 189:2
192:7	130:2 135:2	<b>left</b> 111:15 118:19 146:3	21 119:3	lies 185:20
Lastly 34:7	leads 129:6	169:21 173:6	let 4:24 22:25 23:8 29:22 51:2 68:25 109:21	life 9:15,19
late 13:4 78:5 124:2 151:17	league 10:18 13:2	<b>leg</b> 140:9	134:14 144:4 181:13	<b>lifeguards</b> 78:19
<b>later</b> 10:19 12:24 42:21 44:4 63:8 122:9	<b>learn</b> 25:9 150:24	legal 14:7 61:13 62:2 72:23 94:13,14,24 95:9 96:11	let's-make-you- smile 17:4	<b>light</b> 19:16 157:1
186:24 189:4 192:8	learned 18:15 81:25 82:12 89:22 117:10 118:14,21	101:19 115:9 122:8 133:4 160:16 163:1 179:10 181:20	letter 42:9 43:8, 14 50:10 182:25	<b>like</b> 4:5 5:25 6:1 7:6 8:2,21 10:4 12:20 13:16
latest 30:3	119:3	191:2,12	letting 52:4	14:2 15:23 22:1 25:12,23 29:21
laudable 153:1	<b>learning</b> 18:4,9 75:9	legible 161:21 162:5	141:18	31:5 33:14 34:3,9 35:19 38:8,10 39:19
law 71:25 146:11 147:22 164:17,19 169:14	<b>least</b> 19:3 54:2 63:1 84:1,3 89:9,23 96:8	legislation 190:21	level 15:11,12, 13,14 71:6 79:20 119:6 125:3 149:19 183:8	42:20 43:15,23 45:14 48:7,22, 25 49:3 52:2 55:13 60:16
Laws 120:10	107:2 111:5	legislature	105.0	63:4 66:15,18,

Index: likely..losing

23 67:16,23	138:24 165:13	literally 37:23	loaded 121:23	long-festering
68:22,24 72:21		111:25 139:24		14:12
74:23 75:7,9,20				=
76:11 79:4,16	limited 165:18		local 128:2	
83:7 86:18,25	166:8	little 30:15 31:3,	164:10 165:17	long-range
88:11,20 92:13		22 38:20 40:10,		33:17
97:10 98:21	limiting 127:4,	15 43:19,21	locale 172:17	
99:21 100:2	13	49:7 63:6 68:16		long-term 8:13
107:18 108:17	15	71:3 72:3 88:4		
		90:2 99:19	locally 13:7	
109:9,14 110:7,	line 33:8 48:4,	100:11,13		longer 11:20
17 116:7,24	10 75:3 116:11	102:25 104:25	leasted 105.15	154:3
117:24 118:15,	118:12 165:13,	110:5,14 113:3	located 165:15,	
22 119:21	23 168:5	124:2,8 131:3,	19 167:5,17	
120:25 121:11,		20 132:8 133:6,	174:11 175:15,	looked 25:17
17 122:4,7		8,12,13 135:15,	16	172:22 184:19
124:20 126:8	lines 67:14	21 140:9 141:2,		
128:17,18	89:17 96:20	6 142:13	location 50:4	looking 36:1,2
131:8 134:2	102:3 117:2	154:24 155:3,7	165:14	37:22 43:22
136:10 137:21	148:10,20	159:6,18	100.11	45:24 48:13
138:21 139:10	165:11,12,16	163:14 165:6		52:7 76:11
143:2 147:2		169:9 173:10	locations 167:9	83:19 86:11
148:7 151:3,19	link 74:5 107:14		173:2	127:6,22 128:1,
153:21,22,25	<b>IIIIK</b> 74.5 107.14	181:11 192:8		14 137:20
154:2,21			locks 56:17	14 137.20
155:10,16	Lisa 132:13	live 13:10 49:23	10CKS 50.17	
156:13 158:4,8	140:12	60:1 66:17,24		156:7,25
159:22,23		68:3,13 69:14	lodge 29:14,18,	167:16
160:1,2 161:1	Bat 4:44 70:0	70:5 107:16	20 30:1 151:4	
165:8 166:5	list 4:11 79:3	130:14	154:20 155:6	looks 12:23
167:10,16	140:13 150:22		156:3,17	148:7
168:16,22,24	174:1 176:1		,	_
169:3 177:6	177:11,13	living 136:9		
179:5 181:9	183:11		logic 186:4,9	loop 93:19
183:10,11,15		LLC 95:21 96:4		
184:13 186:19	listed 35:11		long 11:8 63:8	loosely 113:22
	174:6 188:2		86:23 119:11	
188:24 189:20	17 1.0 100.2	LLCS 94:6,11	136:7 144:18	
190:17		96:12 97:11,17,	146:9 161:20	lose 133:8
	listen 137:10	22	172:24 173:4	136:3 138:14
likely 48:18,19			176:24,25	157:6,16
180:14	listening 25:19		177:20 182:18,	
	91:19 177:3	Lloyd 8:18	22 183:14	losing 02.42
	31.131/1.3	11:12		losing 82:12
limit 131:11			189:23	
	I	I	I	

<b>loss</b> 184:16	lowering 98:1,5 99:9 100:8	9:14 44:9	189:22 191:13	management's 11:24
lot 12:16 18:21 29:24 38:3 48:9 49:21 57:16 62:12 88:22 96:7 105:18	103:18 Iunch 39:12 	maintenance 9:2 12:18 17:17 27:5,6 46:22 47:1,13,15,16 51:13	maker 171:9 makes 12:15 47:4 52:9 78:22 133:11 175:18	manager 13:12 14:16 16:15 17:17,18,20 28:11 32:17
108:8 117:11, 14 118:3 121:1 128:13,17 131:17 139:8, 16,17 145:9 152:4,13,16,20 154:21 155:5	made 6:16 7:15 9:10 14:6,24 23:1 25:15 30:10 36:13,16	major 28:3 105:11 127:14 139:14 156:2 majority 13:20	189:3 <b>making</b> 31:10 37:18 38:17 52:19 54:2,9 70:1 75:7 76:20	34:19 39:14 42:9 43:17,18 84:25 89:11 123:6 125:5,6, 24 126:4,5 128:8 129:9 131:10 132:18
157:6,12 160:12 161:19 164:24 167:11 178:11 185:20 186:25	37:15 41:15 52:16 53:1 56:5 59:12 60:25 73:7 81:7 88:8, 13 91:15 92:2 94:20 95:21	15:19 113:23 169:1,7,8 <b>make</b> 14:23 15:24 32:6 33:8	83:20 88:20 91:16 99:10 100:19 112:24 125:17 130:19 132:2 147:6 150:18 171:19,	140:1 142:7,15 143:7 147:15 157:17 162:15 167:22 177:4 179:9,20 181:13,24
lots 59:12 91:15 105:19,25 125:22 loud 171:4,23	99:14 101:2,3 106:21 107:15 113:15 114:2 126:10,23 131:23 136:8 164:13 169:21	35:20 36:11 41:11 59:13 61:14 71:14,20 83:9,12 92:10 93:8 95:13 99:25 101:23 103:23 104:9	22 173:23 184:23 <b>malinformation</b> 92:8,9	<b>manager's</b> 26:25 28:7 122:25 143:8 151:10 155:11
<b>love</b> 46:15 128:8 137:10 145:3 180:13	170:12 172:23 176:3,6 183:21 184:15 189:7 Madonna 47:25	106:17 108:18 111:11 114:5 116:5 123:11, 18 127:8,12,19	manage 8:24 15:7 managed 70:21	managerial 187:25
<b>low-hanging</b> 122:14	magically 37:23	128:4 129:17 130:15 133:9, 10 135:9 136:12,25	125:18 129:14 management	managers 15:2 17:20,21 123:10 125:18 126:6,7 131:12
lower 29:23 45:7 98:2,16 99:4 100:9	main 29:14 30:1 maintained 12:2	141:1,23,25 142:14 146:24 156:25 157:24 158:1 160:25	8:5,23 9:4 22:17 23:1,8 42:1,8,23 43:1	managing 125:7,17
lowered 99:22	maintained 12:2 86:2 maintaining	164:7,22 165:1, 11 166:18 172:18 175:21 183:8 184:1	47:8 49:22 50:11,18,20 51:6,8 60:11 130:3 180:20	128:19 <b>manner</b> 14:21 15:5 94:20

Index: many..memo

Г				
many 7:23 8:8	21:23 22:4	99:21,23 106:2	191:17,23	184:18 188:7
13:3,17 14:19		108:22 121:24		192:10
21:22 37:12	math 106:24	124:8 139:2	meant 100:23	
61:21 64:11	main 100.24	141:6 144:2	191:13	montingo 5:10
70:23 127:17		148:19 150:22	191.15	meetings 5:12,
130:14 159:5	Matt 23:21 26:4	155:4 168:15		13 17:1 37:12
188:25	188:18 192:2	174:15 181:9	mechanism	47:24 68:19
100.20		183:13	44:19,21 55:10	108:23 117:5
		100.10	56:15	136:4 138:15
map 156:12,16	matter 5:22 6:9		00.10	146:10 157:13
	19:2 91:3 102:1	Mcdonald		169:12 180:12
		188:24 189:15	media 24:14	185:20,21
mapped 147:3			65:21,23	,
	matters 12:23		185:16 188:22	
March 82:2	13:21 102:10	Mcgee 34:16	189:4,12	member 7:16
			100.1,12	30:9 37:7 42:3
	Matthew 5:21	<b>meal</b> 39:11		57:22 61:22,23
marching	6:24 63:24	111 <b>Ca</b> i 39.11	meet 45:11	68:18 71:17
141:24	0.24 03.24		111:14 136:21	119:10,15
		mean 6:1 38:2		123:2 126:3
	may 10:2 14:4	44:13 55:4 56:2		149:1 157:6
market 168:16	16:14 17:9,10	57:16 59:14	meet-and-greet	162:24 169:5
	21:5,15 32:15	74:24 78:8	135:13	188:4
marketing	43:3,4 49:16,25	80:20,22 82:25		100.4
17:18	55:16 56:23	84:8,9 88:17	meeting 4:6,25	
17.10		,	7:16 10:25 11:4	member's 66:2
	69:8 70:6 71:20	91:18 92:8		
Mary 188:24	72:4 74:5,17,21	96:19,22 97:9,	20:11 22:8	10.40
189:17	84:20 85:5	10 119:25	64:1,25 65:5,6,	members 10:16,
	94:8,12 96:6,15	135:25 137:10	8 66:22 67:7	24 11:3 16:18
	98:25 99:1	141:4 146:16	69:5 89:7 95:3,	20:15 42:25
master 8:17	112:23 135:4	170:22 172:2	18 107:15,17	65:25 69:2,4
147:1	146:7 149:12		108:22 112:12	74:24 84:3
	151:18 154:24	maning 00.01	113:5 115:13	103:11 108:12
match 114:7	163:17 175:14	meaning 80:21	116:4 117:8,9	115:12,14
111aton 114.7	186:6	150:25 191:17	118:11 120:10	135:3 143:1
			121:7 126:23	145:16,22
matches 124:7		meaningless	135:19 138:10,	146:14,18
	maybe 31:14	187:13 188:16	13 141:7	158:6 163:6
	34:20 35:24		146:11 147:22	164:11 166:14
matching 14:24	39:21 62:9,15		161:8,14,25	178:9 182:11,
	69:7 76:11	means 19:23	162:8 165:9	12 184:22
material 136:8	77:17 78:16	31:24 39:1 62:9		
	81:4 83:14,17	80:20 81:1,8	169:18 177:23	187:20
	89:1,2,24 93:22	129:24 140:22	178:19 179:16	
materials 20:11	, , <u>-</u>	•	180:7 183:16	<b>memo</b> 38:9

Index: memorandum..more

	-	-		
128:15 149:12	103:8 115:11 123:18 141:4,8 146:7 150:16,	22:8 26:3 107:17 161:8, 14,25 162:8	32:15	6:10,17 17:6 18:22 25:10,13 31:10 88:21,22
memorandum 187:15 188:3	20 168:13,17 177:18		Mitchell 189:16	117:23 119:4 120:7 142:13
mend 144:25	<b>Mike</b> 27:24	miscellaneous 27:15	<b>model</b> 6:14,18 20:6,18 81:16,	152:10 190:23
<b>Menif</b> 27:24	28:11 123:6 157:18	miscommunicat ion 97:24	17,25 82:4,9, 16,17,18,22,23 83:14,17 84:9,	monitor 185:17
mention 106:3	miles 128:7,10	misinformation	15 85:8,9,10, 11,12,13 87:12, 13 88:2,17	monitoring 86:3
mentioned 8:15 43:8 47:18	<b>mill</b> 68:7	120:19 misrepresent	89:2,3,5,15,18, 25 93:2,5,13, 14,16,23,25	month 12:24 27:3,19 28:3 30:20,21 33:20
188:15	million 14:3 44:6 51:22	24:2,3 134:13	154:25	35:7 166:22
<b>menu</b> 44:18	88:24 114:20, 22 116:18,23	<b>miss</b> 157:8 186:24,25	modification 166:11	monthly 27:17 45:11 79:10,14,
message 171:3	118:7,8 119:7 125:7 127:16 184:15,20	<b>missed</b> 13:15	modifications	24 134:19 147:12
met 128:25	190:6,9,17 191:24		52:20 175:24	months 31:8
Michaela 12:11	mindful 153:8	missing 35:13 85:5 157:21 174:16	modified 112:10 114:18	35:15 63:1 64:9,12 66:21 83:18 91:14
<b>Mick</b> 19:6 183:20	<b>minds</b> 188:24	misstate 57:21	<b>modify</b> 96:19 97:6	157:6 163:25 188:23
micromanagem ent 153:4 180:4	minimize 38:15	mistakes 37:19 38:17 141:1	<b>Mole</b> 102:17	<b>more</b> 18:21 20:6 21:8 23:17 31:10 37:10
middle 64:7	minimum 54:21	misunderstandi	moment 101:7	38:14 49:7 58:20 65:13
might 6:20	<b>minus</b> 36:25	<b>ng</b> 31:15	135:22 153:5 161:22	68:17 71:5,15 74:16 75:8,9
40:21 70:9 72:10 79:13 92:23 99:25	minute 131:25	misunderstandi ngs 190:25	Monday 7:20	76:15 78:17 84:3 88:21,22 90:2 93:19
100:22 101:18	minutes 13:8	misunderstood	money 5:23	95:18 98:22 100:9,11 101:8
	I			

Index: more-realized..need

٦

103:13 104:7,	138:25 139:14,	23 183:25	mythical 84:14	<b>Nay</b> 176:14
25 105:7	18 141:15			
106:15 108:11	157:24	<b>Mt</b> 6:6	N	<b>near</b> 11:8
109:7,9,11			N	175:15
113:3 114:5	mostly 13:14			175.15
118:23 125:15,	mostly 10.14	<b>much</b> 16:1		
16 127:18		32:13 35:20	naked 10:2	near-term 20:5
129:11 131:3	motion 36:9,11,	38:13 48:22		
133:13 134:6	24 41:10,11,23	68:2 91:13 99:1	name 10:13	necessarily 5:8
137:16 138:7	69:17 111:7	104:6 118:25	13:9 19:4 24:22	58:19 75:18
141:6,8 142:4	160:19,25	121:22 127:8	34:23 66:16,19	95:25 105:19
146:12 148:19	175:21 176:15	137:11 152:15	134:7 188:9	137:9 143:18
149:4 152:10,		154:2 156:5	101.7 100.0	151:10 167:6
15 154:25	Motion's 36:13,	158:1,10		175:16
155:7,10	16 41:15 176:3,	159:19 162:6	names 51:8	175.10
157:15 163:14	6	178:5,7 192:1	133:17 140:8	
165:6,24 169:5	0			necessary
173:7 175:7		multi-year	narrow 19:11	52:20 99:11
180:8,14	<b>MOU</b> 188:6,12	148:6	140:15,17	
186:17,18		140.0	140.10,17	necessity 186:5
191:14	mountain 17:20			necessity 100.0
	27:10 86:12,13	multiple 138:15	narrowed 134:6	
more-realized	27.10 00.12,13	144:19 158:6		need 7:5,17 8:9
		161:17	narrower	9:22 14:14 15:2
133:14	move 54:25		185:11	18:22 25:12
	115:4 132:6	multinurnaca	100.11	35:12,24 46:15
mortar 9:9	141:5 154:13	multipurpose 116:21		67:12 69:14
	158:3,12	110.21	national 169:19,	76:8 79:6 83:6,
	164:25		24	21 88:16 90:5
mortgage 101:9		municipal 125:6		95:13 102:10,
	moved 26:15	127:6	nationally 13:6	13 103:24
Moss 33:6,19	moveu 20.15			106:4 111:11
34:1 148:17		municipality		117:24 118:16
180:12 182:2	moving 5:3	43:17	natural 47:9	121:12,21
	26:7,18 36:7	43.17		125:15 127:8,
most 11:10	37:16 41:24		nature 16:9	12,19,23
most 11:19	63:18 70:16	must 14:21 54:2	20:12 120:11	130:14 131:2
20:10,12,14	83:16 104:17	67:14 68:3,13	145:19 146:22	138:4 140:22
23:13 24:21	107:6 115:6	119:14 191:18,	184:8	141:5,16,18
37:14 49:12	116:12 122:20	23	101.0	142:8,13 143:5
65:15 78:7	142:16 155:2,8			149:7,9 152:9
108:17 110:17	159:24 161:4		Navazio 86:10	153:2,8,10,14
131:16,22	162:17 176:16,	<b>Myles</b> 189:2		154:18 156:16,
	-			-

Index: needed..notice

	I	I		
17,18 158:8,24	negotiatingsolut	120:2,9	44:21 53:2,5,25	non-profits
160:16,25	ions.com 19:4	,0	54:2,16,22	164:12
163:6 164:6			131:10	
177:10 179:19,		never 5:15 6:8	101110	
21 181:11,14	negotiation	7:4 9:4 24:20		none 26:17 28:6
182:5 184:4,9	18:3	40:10 47:4 80:4	nixed 172:22	36:18 41:17
185:2,14,18,24		81:7 82:25		68:23 73:6
186:7 190:1	negotiations	83:2,10 84:24	Noble 4:20,21	176:8 182:21
191:21	61:24 62:1	87:18 89:19	36:21 41:14,20	
	01.24 02.1	102:20 187:21	60:16 67:5,18,	norm 191:7
		190:8	20 68:1,9 69:7	
needed 26:11	neighbor's		74:12 77:1	
28:16 32:22	95:20	new 5:16 7:2	78:16 83:18	Northern 60:10
80:8 81:21		10:4 26:15	90:5,20 91:13	62:17
88:21 98:9	Nelson 70:8,12	33:16 46:8,13,	95:17 98:15	
104:21,22	71:19 72:2,20	19,22 47:13,15	102:12 104:5	notation 69:25
138:5 170:8	74:4,14 75:4,15	67:23 114:14	107:12,20	72:10
	96:17 97:3,18	148:14,25	107:12,20	72.10
needing 84:2	99:20 115:10	179:3	112:17 113:6,	
needing 04.2	116:9,13 138:6,	179.5	10 114:24	note 8:2 13:19
	20 141:4		115:20 148:3	28:16,20 29:10
needs 14:24	158:10 159:2,	next 18:25	150:7 152:1	66:7 83:12
15:1 32:11	15 160:17	26:24 28:20	160:4 164:3	163:22 178:5
45:11 86:1	161:1 162:12,	32:13 33:13	168:24 176:5,	
108:15 126:25	24 163:2 168:2,	54:25 65:5,6,8	10 191:21	noted 8:10 29:6
129:4 156:8,20	23 169:23	79:12 89:7 94:5	10 101.21	
181:10 185:13,	171:7 173:16	95:18 102:5		
17,25	174:3,20	106:16 120:7	Noble's 92:21	notes 70:11
	175:13 176:21	133:20 137:19,	93:2,11 96:19	97:18 126:11,
negative 21:1	177:21	25 138:10	100:21 103:7	21 128:21
103:9	177.21	140:24 142:2	110:22	137:5,6 144:5
100.0		151:2,18,22		
	nervous 133:12	162:13 181:6	nodding 157:18	nothing 6:4
negatively 8:7		183:13 186:19	nouting 107.10	20:13 54:20
	net 55:6 128:4			65:13 93:19
negotiated	131:9,20 140:5	nice 15:1	nominate	96:23,25
61:20			144:14 160:2	170:14 191:18,
				23
	<b>Nevada</b> 4:1,9	<b>Nick</b> 150:9	non-pass 88:10	
negotiating	22:2 24:5			
17:13 22:16	27:12,25 60:10	night 5:13,14	<b>C</b> (	<b>notice</b> 113:14,
61:13 173:23	62:17 70:19		non-profit	17 139:15
	79:17 98:10		167:23	178:2,16
		nine 27:12		
	•		•	•

Index: noticed..only

r				
noticed 148:25	187:10	<b>occur</b> 8:11 191:1	49:19	18 130:7 132:21 136:20
noticing 179:25	numbers 86:11 184:18,19	<b>October</b> 117:10	<b>old</b> 9:12 10:8 37:22 113:1	142:4,23 148:7 150:22 151:2,3, 14 152:2
notification 43:11	186:15 190:2	118:2 182:3	137:5	156:14 157:5 159:16 160:6
notified 73:1	<b>nuts</b> 159:7	off 5:17 7:3 25:24 34:10 39:13 48:23	omission 172:8	161:17 162:13 166:7,18 167:15 168:5 8
notify 73:4	NV 27:17	62:25 65:10 67:7 91:6	on-video 70:10	167:15 168:5,8 170:13 173:2, 20 176:15,20
noting 8:3	0	134:13 137:11 156:13 174:1	once 40:4,8 106:12 112:24	177:21 179:23 180:11 184:9,
November 78:5	<b>object</b> 22:16	180:6 181:11 184:25 188:20	148:20 150:20 153:2 172:5	14,22 185:4 189:2
now's 23:19	<b>objective</b> 81:1 93:8 104:6	<b>offer</b> 15:19 34:25 116:8	<b>one</b> 5:17 11:10 12:5 13:18	one's 68:23
<b>NRS</b> 22:2 24:1 98:10 139:7	<b>objectives</b> 126:20 149:6	offered 37:5 74:25	15:15 23:9,14, 16 30:5 31:18 34:4 37:22 38:2,19 39:5,7	one-fifth 101:21 102:4 105:16
nuance 108:14	objects 14:2	<b>office</b> 11:18 46:3 49:18,24	40:22,23 47:15 48:5 49:7 64:13 65:6,10 66:6,7,	ones 78:7 144:1 150:22 151:9 154:20 174:8
nuanced 109:11	<b>observe</b> 60:24	50:3,4 70:20 116:22 133:2 173:21	13 74:12 75:18 78:4 79:3 80:12 81:22 83:12	ongoing 72:5
number 8:22 11:10 23:14,16 49:25 52:16	<b>observing</b> 154:5	officer 14:16	84:7,8,14 88:2, 24 89:8 91:24 92:14 95:10	146:7 online 48:4
76:21 81:15 92:22 94:5	obtaining 94:7	65:16 166:15 167:24 168:4	99:16 100:1,25 101:17 103:8,	167:7
98:19 102:8,9 104:17 106:19 107:5,6 112:5	<b>obviously</b> 32:11 113:23 125:12	officially 188:5	15 104:9 105:2, 11 107:20 108:11 109:4	only 6:11 8:7 13:18 24:21 26:10 38:23
114:18 116:7 119:20 129:2,5, 8,12,21 130:2,	128:16 135:1,3, 25	offset 27:18	110:24 111:25 114:22,25	40:2,22 48:7,16 56:23 69:23
6,8 140:11 146:4 152:2	occupancy	often 191:5,10	117:7,17 118:2, 25 119:20 120:24 129:8,	82:8 84:14 88:2,24 92:16,
	19:20	Oftentimes		17 97:11,16

Index: open..oversight

100:17 103:12	operation 13:21	150:14	other's 93:17	outsourcing
120:3 121:20	14:11 28:22,24			80:24 134:7
124:5 144:24	126:2 153:20	antimining 201	others 18:8 24:3	187:23
154:11 156:5		optimizing 20:1 150:12 155:17	31:5 72:6	
158:11 166:21	operational	150.12 155.17	158:20 188:25	over 5:20 9:11
167:20,21,25	14:17,24 64:5		150.20 100.25	16:3,7 17:21
168:10 170:14	122:13	option 170:13		18:20 24:25
182:15 191:19	122.13	175:10	otherwise 16:13	27:2 38:9 52:8
			115:23 128:13	54:3 62:15 90:7
open 12:24	operations	options 174:18	187:24	97:17 116:17
21:12 55:13,14	13:24 17:20			136:4 153:11
76:12 120:9	20:2,10 67:10,		ourselves	189:1 190:10
135:12 146:3,	11 78:20,24	<b>oral</b> 191:1	118:20 136:22	100.1 100.10
11 147:22	80:8 81:23			
168:19 169:22	83:20 98:8	order 4:7 68:4		over-budgeted
173:6,20	104:22 150:13	87:14 184:10	outcome 114:6	88:25
, -	155:18			
<b>O</b> menony 2014		orders 141:25	outcry 31:8	over-budgeting
<b>Opengov</b> 30:4,	opine 75:19	<b>Olders</b> 141.25		80:7 82:10
12,22 35:4	-		outdated 124:8	88:20 89:1,3
	opinion 71:21	ordinance 94:9,		
opening 55:12	75:1 115:19	17,25 95:3,8,10		over-collecting
	116:11	102:2 105:3,12	outline 132:20	54:1 88:25 89:1
opens 58:20	110.11	147:18	153:1	01.1 00.20 00.1
1 100 10	opportunities	organization	outlines 40:9	overall 48:19
operate 139:18	20:3 150:13	168:3	53:13 158:17	99:13 110:8
158:23 167:5	186:25			153:23 182:4
168:25 170:1 172:13,15,16		organizations	outright 91:20	
175:25	opportunity	31:3,5,17 32:5		overloaded
170.20	23:17 39:15	65:16		142:6
	40:3 45:24 61:4	55.15	outs 172:7	
operated	118:22 123:21			overnight 8:11,
165:19	124:21 135:13	organized 166:3	outside 47:1	12
	166:23 170:10	174:25	64:25 71:24	
operating 78:20	179:6		81:10 124:19	
167:5,10,12,17		original 107:24	133:7 187:24	oversee 14:10
172:12,16	opposed 36:24	111:13		
175:24,25	166:22 176:13		outsource	overseen 15:4
184:16		originally 66:10	80:21	
	optimization	172:9	00.21	oversight 15:11

Index: overstate..payments

				1
overstate 72:7	141:7 143:13 144:6 162:25 165:11 176:20	parking 29:24 parks 17:18	18:1 20:4 150:15 174:18 186:16	108:2,5 110:15, 19 176:15
overwhelmed	177:1 179:21	83:15,24 125:5 131:6	particularly	passthrough 51:18 58:4
150:21	pages 28:11 42:5 63:24 123:3 124:12	Parliament	31:4 32:3 92:14 125:19	<b>past</b> 6:1 10:9
own 6:11 62:21 83:23 92:10	143:12 148:9 161:17,25	190:21	parties 123:19	20:20 56:24 69:15,24 76:7, 11 81:9 83:18
108:16 115:15, 19,22 116:5 166:5	162:8,25 176:25	part 12:6,15 14:6 17:8 19:17 29:18 45:21	partner 49:2	85:17 86:13 90:10,14 102:1, 4 111:24
owned 51:14	<b>paid</b> 6:6 17:14, 23 29:20 191:6	50:24 77:3,10, 18 78:1 110:17 121:25 125:20,	partners 32:8	114:13 125:12 138:22 154:9 161:13 178:7
owner 52:18,21	<b>paint</b> 10:5	24 131:16 133:24 141:15 143:2,15,16,18,	partnership 174:9	179:7
owner/lease 165:20	paper 161:19,22 162:6 171:11	19,25 144:2 145:2 149:13 156:22 164:10	<b>partnerships</b> 166:9	path 185:23 Paul 13:9
owners 10:14 12:17 16:6 81:22	<b>paragraph</b> 143:10 167:4 174:5	Partial 46:16	<b>party</b> 118:5 191:14	<b>pause</b> 94:24
ownership 21:5 166:15	Parasol 14:2	partially 175:4 participants	<b>pass</b> 6:7,20 19:14,18 57:13 69:12 88:10	<b>pavement</b> 9:16, 20 46:13,15
P	parcel 16:6 81:21 105:6	17:21 18:4	106:10 108:1 111:3,5,8,22,23 112:1 161:3	<b>pay</b> 25:20 28:6 46:20 104:12
		participate 69:8	112.1 101.5	
<b>P.M.</b> 4:1,7 63:16 122:19 192:10	paring 15:12 park 83:24	participated 10:19	<b>passed</b> 24:14 50:25 51:24 69:17 84:19	<b>paying</b> 84:3 105:23
packet 26:24 28:12 37:7 42:5	143:6,8 147:19 152:7 177:7	participating	190:20	payment 26:22
63:25 66:10 75:17 117:19	179:13 parked 29:23	175:10 participation	<b>passes</b> 36:24 41:23 94:23 100:25 107:9	<b>payments</b> 27:2, 9 28:2,3
123:4 132:1		Participation	100.20 101.0	

Index: pays..Placer

	•	1	1	•
pays 18:21	percent 6:7	period 8:14	12:7 61:12	11:17,25 12:6
46:21	19:20 44:21	45:10 54:1	93:15 155:24	143:6 149:23
	45:2,3,4 48:16	119:11	156:10	186:16,19
Bask 0.007.00	49:11 53:2,5,	-		
Peak 6:8 27:22	12,16,20,25			
29:14,15 78:10	54:2,5,22	perjuries	perspectives	pickup 59:5
81:18 83:7	76:18,19,22	190:20	115:18	
88:14 90:24	77:20,21,22			picture 69:16
127:15	86:18 88:10	Perjury 191:6	petition 19:3	77:7,13 93:9
	101:9 107:23		24:4,12,18	
pencil 182:2	122:12 185:8,	permanently	25:20 189:8	pictures 47:10
	10,12 188:10	187:14 188:11	190:12	
PENDING		107.14 100.11		
176:18	percentage		petitions 14:8	<b>piece</b> 52:8
	105:21	permits 27:21		131:17
	100.21			
pension 174:11	_	person 69:6,9,	phonetic 12:21	pieces 52:9
175:14,17	perception	15 72:12,18	27:24	
	114:13 116:23	117:17 121:19		
people 15:2		129:5 130:22	phony 17:4	piggybacking
24:23 25:18,19,	perfect 41:9	174:22	189:14	33:25
21,23 30:1	64:22			
48:10,15,17	•			Pinkerton
49:9,11,12	marfaatha 00.00	person's 166:7	phrase 153:5	127:25 131:10
58:21,25 70:2	perfectly 69:20		173:15	136:18
73:11 87:11		personal 69:9		
89:25 91:5,16	performance	71:24 75:23	phrased 74:16	<b>PIO</b> 65:16
92:7,18 99:8	16:15 35:17,19	115:25 130:12		
105:19 113:15		166:5 174:10	physical	
115:21 116:5	performed 8:17		167:10,16	pipeline 13:22
120:12 127:20		personally 14:4,	168:22 172:17	14:14 23:14
128:2 146:1		22 15:18		27:14 61:7
149:21 150:2	perhaps 32:10	139:23 149:2		141:17
155:2 159:5	51:12 53:2,9,14	178:7	physically	
171:2,4,25	54:18 61:17		170:23 173:7	<b>pivot</b> 74:16
172:1 185:16	76:4 79:9,13			
186:12,17,18	113:25 147:23	personnel	<b>pick</b> 14:18	
188:24 189:11,	173:13 174:15,	78:20 96:16	32:11 84:2	place 154:8,22
21	19 187:6	129:23 133:24		157:20 167:10
		178:13	nickod 124.1	
perceived 84:2	perimeter 46:16		picked 134:1	Placer 173:6,8
		perspective		
			pickleball 10:16	
L	-	-	• •	-

Index: places..premature

<b>places</b> 83:10 134:2	pleased 13:19	<b>policies</b> 20:10 39:19 40:14	<b>pool</b> 27:25 135:8 155:1	posting 133:23
<b>plan</b> 8:17,24 9:5 19:12 29:6	<b>pledge</b> 4:11,13, 14	84:16 85:14 90:25 126:13 133:25 148:14, 17,18,23 149:8,	<b>poor</b> 10:2 48:8	potential 60:19 73:23 162:23 165:22 166:11
32:15,18,19,20, 22,23 33:2,4,8, 12,16,24 34:2	<b>plenty</b> 73:5 160:7	9,16	<b>pop</b> 177:19	178:18 187:4
61:8 132:10 146:25 147:1,3,	<b>plowing</b> 121:10	<b>policy</b> 5:15,23 20:13 21:9	portfolio 83:5	potentially 19:11 42:9 60:21 114:11
8 155:8 156:1, 4,7,9 157:2 177:17 182:4	<b>plug</b> 153:24	22:12 31:16,23 32:9,10 38:25 40:9,17 69:13	portion 183:6	117:3 119:1 120:19 143:25 162:21,22
planned 8:13	<b>plus</b> 28:1	70:10 84:19 85:17 86:2,21 87:8 88:8 90:8,	position 14:18 34:23 35:1 123:14,17	162.21,22 166:7 167:11, 13 183:12,15
<b>planning</b> 8:14 148:6	point 18:14,16 49:17 64:20 72:8,9 74:11,21	11,17 92:24,25 95:1,7,8 109:10 110:24 111:15, 16 112:6,7,9,	<b>positions</b> 76:23 77:3 123:9 140:12	practice 39:3 43:13
plans 20:19,20 23:2 46:8	84:10 85:8 92:22 93:2,12, 18 96:19 103:23 107:12	13,14 113:21 114:14 126:14, 15,17 143:9 145:13,14	<b>positive</b> 153:16	<b>practices</b> 20:14 77:19 106:14 129:23
<b>plate</b> 137:11 160:8	109:22 110:22 111:21 119:13 124:11 126:6	149:4 158:17 162:19,21,22 163:2,5,9,12,	possibility 12:4	<b>Pratt</b> 18:6
platform 30:5, 12	136:15 138:11, 21 154:19 156:25 168:15, 23 169:24	16,21,23,24 164:14,17,20, 21 165:1,7,25 166:19,20	<b>possible</b> 21:11 73:23 128:9 130:21 145:12 159:20 175:2	<b>pre-booking</b> 112:22
<b>play</b> 8:7 10:17 13:3 102:17	180:23	170:11 171:8,9, 22 175:22	180:8	precise 38:14
107:9 108:2,4 110:15,19 111:3,4,8,22,23 112:1 144:20	pointed 66:19 120:20	<b>political</b> 20:12 115:25 184:8	<b>possibly</b> 57:23 122:23 142:19 143:4 161:7	<b>prefer</b> 61:16 66:11 128:11 160:18 172:9
188:20	points 52:15 74:9 153:16 170:2,9	<b>politicians</b> 18:18	<b>post</b> 123:14	preferred 32:8 130:21
players 11:7	police 185:15		posted 188:10	premature
playing 10:16		pond 23:15		premature

22:15	158:10 169:17	185:6	privileges 37:5	17,24 133:5,9,
	170:5		38:2,21,23 39:7	22 134:9,14,18,
prepared 55:1		principles 33:17	40:9 94:17	23,25 135:18,
104:12,13	prevent 190:24			21 136:16
185:6	191:9	print 38:22	probably 29:16	137:2,4,8,23 139:13 140:15
		162:5	30:13 35:15	141:19 142:11,
prerogative	prevention	102.0	78:2 90:9 95:18	14 143:24
75:5	190:19		96:20 101:8	147:18 150:23,
		prior 53:19 78:15 108:23	138:4 139:25	25 151:1,6
present 4:23 5:2	previous 12:11	112:13 182:14	151:6,14 177:14 182:2	152:12 154:7,
21:22 28:18	30:21 32:16	112.13 102.14	177.14 102.2	23 155:2
126:14	84:19 94:9			157:16 173:22
	100:2,5 101:23	priorities 12:8	problem 91:2	177:5 183:9
presentation	106:13,23	147:2 156:9	103:1	
22:14 26:13	112:18 169:18			processes
42:1,23 43:2	189:25	prioritized	procedural	148:24
51:10 58:14		157:2	37:10	
63:2 184:11	previously			procurement
	32:16 166:1	priority 77:15	procedures	177:17
presented		129:9 152:2	85:14	
13:17 41:12	price 44:24 53:1			produce 24:16,
		private 137:16	proceed 21:17	17 150:5
presently 143:8	prices 6:16			
	100:17,18	privatization	proceeded	produced
74.0	,	92:15	116:19	147:16 148:12
presents 71:2	pricing 50.5			
	pricing 50:5 84:19,24,25	privatize 80:3,5,	process 11:15	professional
preserved 12:2	85:4,5,17 86:2,	14 84:8 92:16	14:7 15:6,15,16	7:25 139:8
	20,24 87:8	187:12	42:18 61:22	146:15 191:5
press 34:17	88:8,14 90:8,9,		64:21 72:22	
	11,17,25 92:24,	privatized 81:9	73:25 74:6,17	professionally
pressing 62:12	25 110:24		75:13,14 80:6	12:1
	111:14,15,16		82:12,15 86:5	
pretty 11:13	180:25	privatizing 20:17 80:17,20	88:17 91:18	profit 25:00
24:17 31:20		81:4,7 187:22	94:24 100:3 102:1 109:4	profit 35:20
43:23 104:5	primarily 127:6	01.7,7 107.22	123:1,7,12,15	
115:14 122:14			127:25 131:3,7,	profits 6:18
139:18 151:15	prime-time	privilege 177:7	9 132:5,8,16,	44:21 81:22
				83:8

Index: program..purchase

proper 12:17 73:1 120:10	proposed 7:18 42:2 67:24	98:21 112:17 129:7 133:16,	121:25 126:3 127:11 132:19
129:25 136:25	75:17 107:25 165:3 13	19 140:8 148:4 152:15 161:8	133:1,3 164:13, 15,20 170:25
properly 13:20	166:13	166:23	171:4,24 176:18 183:18,
70:17 71:8,9,13 126:5	proposes 45:20	provided 29:7 35:21 113:6	19,21 185:19, 20 188:2 192:4
properties 96:8 99:1,13	proposing 55:12 173:18	148:13 159:3 170:6 172:23 178:1 191:11	publically 127:2
<b>property</b> 44:15 71:9 80:10 95:4,20 98:2,5,	<b>proprietors</b> 167:4	<b>provides</b> 19:13 43:25 135:14 141:6 163:5	publicly 37:14 50:6 57:7,19 66:20 70:24 127:3
99:4,7,8 100:20 101:4,5,6	<b>proprietors/sole</b> 166:9	providing 19:17	published 44:25
10,11,19,20 165:20 166:5	<b>proprietorships</b> 166:10	56:18 104:25 141:8 165:23 178:16 185:3	pull 26:11,12
proponents	protect 10:9		pulled 140:22
92:17	95:4	prudent 83:21	pulling 115:4
proposal 11:24 19:9 110:23	protecting 19:22	<b>public</b> 5:4,5,7 15:5 16:7,13,18	124:14
111:13,14 152:14 153:17 171:19 172:11	protects 19:14	26:4,7 27:16,25 35:6,11 42:4	<b>punch</b> 6:18 20:25 21:3 96:5,10 97:7,11
proposals	proved 22:18	46:20 57:22 58:1 65:22,23 73:7,20,21 74:8	98:20,24 101:10,25 102:7 104:18
134:10 151:21 152:6,8,25	proves 191:19	76:5 82:21 87:25 88:13 89:18 91:15 16	105:15,17,22 106:4,7 107:1,3
153:13 157:7 173:23	provide 11:22 28:21 29:5,23	19,24 92:3 101:2,3 104:1	punished 24:5
propose 107:24 171:15	31:22 33:9 34:13 42:23 63:20 74:7	115:15 119:4,8,	punitive 21:8
-			purchase 174:9
	73:1 120:10 129:25 136:25 <b>properly</b> 13:20 70:17 71:8,9,13 126:5 <b>properties</b> 96:8 99:1,13 <b>property</b> 44:15 71:9 80:10 95:4,20 98:2,5, 6,12,16,18 99:4,7,8 100:20 101:4,5,6 103:20 104:4,6, 10,11,19,20 165:20 166:5 <b>proponents</b> 92:17 <b>proposal</b> 11:24 19:9 110:23 111:13,14 152:14 153:17 171:19 172:11 <b>proposals</b> 108:8,9 111:3 134:10 151:21 152:6,8,25 153:13 157:7 173:23 <b>propose</b> 107:24	73:1 120:10       42:2 67:24         129:25 136:25       75:17 107:25         126:5       165:3,13         properly 13:20       proposes 45:20         properties 96:8       proposes 45:20         properties 96:8       proposes 45:20         property 44:15       proposes 45:20         71:9 80:10       55:12 173:18         property 44:15       proprietors         71:9 80:10       167:4         95:4,20 98:2,5,       6,12,16,18         99:4,7,8 100:20       101:4,5,6         103:20 104:4,6,       proprietors/sole         165:20 166:5       166:10         proponents       protect 10:9         92:17       p5:4         proposal 11:24       protect 10:9         99:4.1       152:14 153:17         protect 10:9       95:4         proposal 11:24       protect 10:9         99:17       potect 10:9         92:17       protect 10:9         92:17       protect 19:14         proposal 11:24       protect 19:14         19:9 110:23       protect 19:14         111:13,14       proved 22:18         108:8,9 111:3       proved 11:22         13:3:13 157:7       provide 11	73:1 120:10 129:25 136:25       42:2 67:24 75:17 107:25 19 140:8 148:4 165:3,13 166:13       129:7 133:16, 19 140:8 148:4 152:15 161:8 166:23         properly 13:20 70:17 71:8,9,13 126:5       proposing 55:12 173:18       provided 29:7 35:21 113:6 148:13 159:3 170:6 172:23 178:1 191:11         properties 96:8 99:1,13       proposing 55:12 173:18       provided 29:7 35:21 113:6 148:13 159:3 170:6 172:23 178:1 191:11         property 44:15 71:9 80:10 95:4,20 98:2,5, 6,12,16,18 99:4,7,8 100:20 101:4,5,6 100:11,45,6 100:10,11,19,20 165:20 166:5       proprietors/sole 166:9       provides 19:13 43:25 48:17 56:18 104:25 141:8 165:23 178:16 185:3         proponents 92:17       protect 10:9 95:4       protect 10:9 95:4         proposal 11:24 19:9 110:23 111:13,14 152:14 153:17 171:19 172:11       protect 10:9 95:4       public 5:4,5,7 15:5 16:7,13,18 17:3,12 19:1,16 26:4,7 27:16,25 35:6,11 42:4 46:20 57:22         proposals 108:8,9 111:3 134:10 151:21 152:6,8,25 153:13 157:7 173:23       provide 11:22 28:21 29:5,23 101:2,3 104:1 31:22 33:9 106:21 111:8         propose 107:24       34:13 42:23       15:5 119:4,8,

Index: purely..range

<b>purely</b> 39:19 98:6 104:20	98:3 111:6 112:11 114:19	166:16 174:23 176:1	50:8 63:22 64:19 79:4	R
127:13 128:2 purporting	119:1 123:20 124:12,15 133:25 146:4 154:7 158:8	quality 8:7	97:17 98:14 121:9,13,23 124:24 145:10 152:17 157:24	<b>rabbit</b> 84:7 91:3, 13 92:6 186:2
24:12 purpose 13:11	161:10 162:16 171:10 173:19 182:5 186:23	<b>quarter</b> 162:8 166:21	167:1,2,15 175:19 177:20 180:9 183:22	race 29:13
75:8,23 153:9 155:11 191:8	187:6	quarterly 163:8	187:11 188:14	radar 29:21
<b>purposes</b> 39:25 40:11 100:24	puts 25:6	question 5:19 10:7 20:18	<b>queue</b> 26:5 192:3	railroad 48:25
40:11 100:24 115:25 191:9	<b>Putter</b> 15:22	21:7,10,15 30:24 38:19 40:20 49:9	<b>quick</b> 26:13 39:11 109:22	<b>raise</b> 6:7 60:12 164:20
pursue 42:16,17	putting 63:7 69:25 125:1 149:4 154:22	65:25 66:4,8,9, 12 70:23 75:17,	116:6	raised 20:15 174:22 185:11
<b>push</b> 21:8 105:11	169:8	24 77:15 81:6 93:1 95:25 96:6,20 97:6,8,	<b>quickly</b> 24:17 37:13,16 122:15 139:18	raises 60:11
pushback 170:5	pyramid 84:24, 25 85:4,5 86:17,21,24	15 99:19,24 101:19 103:2,3, 6 109:15 115:2	141:1 151:22 152:15	raising 6:16
pushed 181:11	180:25	129:20 132:22 133:11 142:4 167:3,19,20	<b>quiet</b> 16:10	<b>Raley's</b> 19:3 25:16
pushing 80:13 151:18	Q	168:1 176:9 180:22 187:10	<b>quite</b> 13:15 29:23 38:24	<b>ran</b> 124:16
<b>put</b> 5:16 15:20 23:14,15,16	qualifications 124:17,18	188:15 questioned	48:22 49:1 60:4 128:7 139:8 177:8	168:7
24:4 25:13 34:3 40:16 41:5 58:7	127:1	7:17	quote 5:24 7:19	random 126:11
64:17 65:11 67:12,13 69:7 70:19,25 75:20	qualified 165:25	<b>questions</b> 20:9, 16,24 21:14	8:22 9:6	range 19:15,23 63:8 86:23 176:24,25
76:18,24 79:6, 14 80:3,17	qualify 175:6 qualifying 163:5	28:5,19 30:23 33:24 35:3 38:10 41:17	quotes 140:3	177:20 182:18, 22 183:14
86:17,23 89:21 91:4 94:24 97:1	165:14,18	43:3,6 47:11,12 48:18 49:16		185:10
			I	I

Index: rashing..reconsideration

rashing 189:1	reaching 135:22	really 25:12,20	20:25 39:6,8	recommend
U	140:2	30:17 47:18,24	83:1,23 87:1	48:18,19
		57:16 64:10	94:17 98:4	108:17 158:15
rate 19:20		69:22 73:24	99:9,22 100:4,	
44:17,19,20,23	react 171:10	74:16 78:4 79:8	5,8 101:13,14,	
53:13,17 55:10		86:7 89:14	21 103:25	recommendatio
58:5 60:3,9	read 11:14 60:7	93:19 97:4		<b>n</b> 102:6 108:19
61:2,3 62:17,			104:21 116:2,3	110:8 133:15
21,22 76:18,19	70:18 76:5	99:22 101:25	125:5 131:4	171:9
77:5,9,10,18	120:25 143:3	102:8,10 103:5		
107:10 110:8	144:5 161:18,	114:24 115:16	recall 14:5,8,9	
182:13	19	118:1,8 122:14	19:3 21:22	recommendatio
102.10		123:21 124:4,	84:20 88:9	<b>ns</b> 11:17 105:4
	reader 27:7	21 125:24	115:1 119:8	107:11 109:24
rate-making		126:6 129:4,24	131:9 179:17	111:1 124:4,16
56:15		130:13 131:24	131.9 179.17	126:14,15
	readily 11:2	132:14 133:21,		139:10,12
	-	22 141:24	receive 40:3	146:24 147:5,6
rates 23:3,11,12		146:20 147:14,	60:6	148:18 151:13,
44:9,12,13,14	reading 54:9	21 148:19		17 154:8,12,16
45:5,6 53:23	57:1 59:11	149:19 156:11		155:9,17,21
57:19 59:17,23,	187:10	159:4 168:20	received 134:4	
24 60:11 83:21		180:2 182:12	138:2 140:10	
101:9 104:7	reads 97:10	189:5	153:22 158:16	recommended
107:7,23 109:3,	10000 07.10	109.5	163:12 164:2	107:8 122:24
16 111:18,19,			170:5,17	124:10,19
20,25 130:18	ready 30:12	Realtors 103:4		138:18
	138:17 162:12		receiving 26.2	
nother 45.5 47.5			receiving 36:3	
rather 15:5 17:5	real 67:0 105:10	reason 13:16	180:5	recommending
32:19,22 65:2	real 67:8 105:10	31:18 40:14		111:23 140:18
66:14,15,16,18	109:21 116:6	43:20 55:14	recent 19:16	
67:25 71:4	189:14	68:16 102:19	31:8 91:14	recommends
74:15 125:14		110:25 112:21	113:20 132:19	110:13
127:11 165:17	realistic 11:7	154:13 161:11	178:14	
	83:1 140:20	163:22 170:3,		
rattle 34:10 89:8	182:8	14		reconsider
Tattle 07.1003.0	102.0		recently 100:17	162:19 164:21
		rancone 02:6		170:10,16
Ray 6:4 26:22	reality 9:15	reasons 23:6	recess 63:16	182:24 187:5
	101:4 136:23		122:19	
reached 100.5	189:13	reboot 12:25	122.13	rooppideretien
reached 123:5				reconsideration
140:14			recognize 125:4	170:3
	realize 93:23	<b>rec</b> 6:15,17	187:17,18	

Index: reconsidered..remotely

reconsidered 170:4	recruitment 15:11 123:14	127:5	151:20 152:24	18:10
reconsidering	136:18 177:5	referencing 13:12 117:2	regional 79:18 135:4	relative 86:6
163:23,24	red 148:8,10,20 165:10,12,13, 16,22 168:5	<b>referring</b> 40:17	registered 31:6	relatively 125:8
reconstruction 9:22 11:20,23	redactions	75:13 92:18 108:24 116:15	regular 4:6 15:5 27:17 152:16	release 34:17
record 17:9,12 35:6,11 57:7	176:18,22	refers 33:7	regularly 58:2	relevant 11:12 15:3 78:18 146:15,23
59:23 74:1 80:16 85:23 88:12,15 92:18	redirect 116:1	<b>refine</b> 153:9 159:11	regulators	relieved 187:9
137:18 164:13, 15	reduce 19:19 80:6 158:4 161:21	reflect 30:15	18:17	relying 191:1
records 13:23	reduced 98:23	31:23 101:6	reiterate 37:20	remaining 12:1
35:18 73:20 176:18 190:4	103:25	reflected 126:9	relate 20:14	30:5,6
recovering 70:4	reducing 20:25 99:13 101:13	reflects 28:14 178:12	related 6:5 13:21 14:13	remark 7:15
recreation 17:19 19:13	103:18 105:20, 25	regard 104:4 111:2 125:21	20:8 21:10,22 28:21,22 30:4 75:18 101:8	remedied 8:11
37:5 81:19,21 94:7 129:16	<b>reduction</b> 100:14,16	169:16	155:17	remember 127:17 136:17
130:17 recreational	101:9 104:17	regarding 28:5 40:25 63:21 65:9 71:5 82:18	relates 35:6,9 36:4 85:18 93:1 129:19 130:12	159:17 164:14 remembered
12:14 93:7 127:7 187:12,	refer 8:16 41:3 53:3 79:16	117:11 120:22 122:13 182:25	131:19 142:5 155:13 158:9	40:22
18,23	reference 70:10 84:15 107:13	regardless	180:1,3	remind 5:6
recruited 128:1	113:4 115:12	52:11	relationship 45:12	reminder 74:17 163:24
recruiting 123:1 132:8	references 116:2 125:22	regards 90:25 95:23 150:19	relationships	remotely 67:2

Index: removal..respectfully

68:20,22 69:8, 14 70:6	47:7,9	request 12:7 22:10 35:11	164:11	resident-owned 13:24
removal 23:11 111:23 113:13	replacement 9:1 46:16 178:21,24	42:2 50:10,18 75:5 92:2 111:9 164:1 166:19	rescind 5:14	Residential 48:21
111.25 115.15	170.21,24	170:15 188:5	rescinded 21:9	40.21
remove 14:6 22:10 47:7 94:18 130:10 169:20	report 8:1,2,15, 20,22 10:1 11:12 26:21,25 28:7,14,17,20,	<b>requested</b> 22:13 94:14 149:12 160:5	research 18:7 118:4	residents 10:14 14:7 18:17 20:21 21:4 44:1
removed 101:14 137:7 173:3	24,25 29:8,10 30:25 31:1,23, 25 33:20 34:9,	<b>requesting</b> 26:22 35:8 37:7	researching 137:4	residing 128:6,8 130:22
renegotiating	12 35:16 36:3 65:7,11 78:21 79:10,15,24	42:3,9 50:19 63:23 123:1 161:9 162:23	resent 22:14	<b>resign</b> 16:12
55:9 56:3	147:12 154:6 163:9,15 177:9 180:3,5,8,13	requests 35:6,	reservation 112:9 113:13	resolution 29:2 32:1 158:17
Reno 47:2 50:12,15,25 60:12,17	182:2	11 139:16 164:2,5 170:17 176:18	reservations 112:24	<b>resolutions</b> 124:14
	reporter 161:15		<u> </u>	
Reno/tahoe 165:21	reporting 14:13 27:1 30:18	require 163:2 174:17	reserve 88:24	resolved 94:16
	27.1 30.10		reserves 88:23	resort 131:5
renovation 11:18	reports 13:23 26:19 32:16 35:8 177:15	required 43:11 137:17 157:15 163:13	<b>reset</b> 113:1	resorts 78:7
rent 98:25	<b>represent</b> 11:6	requirement 67:16,21 69:21	reside 67:14	<b>resources</b> 21:20,24 26:14
<b>repair</b> 11:19			residences 174:10	37:8 41:13 75:22 76:20
<b>repairs</b> 51:13 52:20	represented 11:11 111:16 153:12	requirements 46:10 191:18	residency 128:6	115:24 123:2 133:7,17,18
<b>repeating</b> 139:21	representing 13:4	<b>requires</b> 163:17 164:1,14 165:6	130:13 resident 15:10,	<b>respect</b> 7:22 98:25
replace 46:12		requiring 70:3	14,15 19:7	respectfully

Index: respectively..rug

160:10	restore 111:7	20:2 53:19 60:21 85:18	169:11	Rockwood 27:8
respectively 188:4	restrict 172:3	150:13 187:1,4	<b>RFID</b> 157:20	<b>role</b> 34:15,18,22 119:25 128:18
respond 91:24 92:2	restriction 97:16 169:17	revenues 36:4 82:6 155:19	<b>RFP</b> 33:7 134:5 138:21 140:21	129:18 145:17 186:13
responded 48:14	restrictions 16:4	reverted 112:13 114:12	<b>RFQ</b> 137:22 138:13,19,25 140:21 179:10	roll 4:15,17 48:23
	restroom 11:18	review 11:2 16:14 28:15,17		rolled 47:20
responding 7:15 91:17 92:3 111:8 139:15	<b>result</b> 21:6 37:16 48:1 83:8 102:15 115:3	32:21 35:16 37:4 63:20 122:23 123:10 142:19 143:4	rhyme 31:18 rift 144:25	rolling 56:18 59:3
response 41:8 67:17 73:1 81:4	137:8	146:23 150:1 161:7 182:3	<b>rights</b> 21:1,4 95:5 99:7	<b>room</b> 118:20 124:3 133:10
114:21 138:23 181:25	resulted 115:7	reviewed 137:9	104:19,20 105:7,9,10	135:15
responses	resulting 98:11	reviewing 35:25	<b>Rim</b> 29:11,13	roots 190:19
169:23	<b>results</b> 100:7 147:16	51:19	<b>Riner</b> 92:19	<b>Rose</b> 6:6
responsibilities 129:3 145:18	resurgence	revised 24:6 98:11 120:2,9	189:2	roughly 48:17 94:15 140:23
responsibility 85:12 126:8	100:18	150:10	rip 25:24	round 77:8
149:1 185:22 187:19	retains 44:18	revising 114:4	<b>ripping</b> 184:25	85:18
responsible	return 53:5,19, 25 54:2 57:8	revisions 32:18 175:22	risk 73:22 119:1	rounds 85:18
52:18,21 125:16,25	60:20 61:2,3	<b>revisit</b> 110:25	<b>road</b> 117:20 156:12,16	routinely 45:13
129:15 153:7	returned 13:13	111:1 182:24 183:13	165:9	rubber 109:5
<b>rest</b> 62:17 128:15	revenue 12:10	revisiting	roads 121:10	rug 68:25
	I			I

Index: rule..Scottsdale

	_	_	_	
	24.4.27.24 54.0	17.0	100.04 100.10	05.10 00.10
rule 72:18 120:3	34:1 37:24 51:9	save 17:6	160:24 163:16	95:19 96:13
	58:12 60:2 64:8	152:16	172:12 177:6	103:1 104:5,24
rules 159:17,20	79:4 80:16		181:5,9 189:5	105:2 111:21
	85:23 86:12,13,	saved 18:14		114:10 115:1
	19 89:14 95:23		saying 17:10	118:13 128:24
rumor 64:16	106:6,8 126:18	450.4	31:9 57:3,17	139:20 140:20
66:9,22 67:24	130:25 139:21	saving 152:4	59:15 68:20	143:14,16
68:7 69:23	145:21 146:5,		69:1 78:13	145:8 148:12
70:16 76:17	23 149:17	savings 98:12	87:11 91:5	149:15 155:13
80:2,13 81:15	164:19 180:6	J. J. J.	138:1 139:22	159:23 161:9,
94:5 104:17	188:20		140:4 150:17	12 162:1 167:3
107:6 185:2,5,		saw 58:6 100:16	172:12,24	168:19 172:11
13	salacious	154:25	186:7 190:10	175:21 176:11
	184:23		100.7 100.10	179:12 180:19
rumors 64:3,19		<b>say</b> 11:9 39:10		181:17,22
68:2,11 84:6		44:13 52:2	says 5:23 47:15	182:5 184:14,
102:18 184:3,	same 21:23	56:9,12 57:16,	48:9 60:8 64:18	17 190:5
102.10 104.0,	44:18 58:24	18 58:10 59:16,	66:8 102:3	
10	60:9 62:17,22	22 60:2,12	104:5 110:12	Schmitz' 115:2
	64:17 69:15	64:2,3 65:2,8,	121:4 129:22	
<b>run</b> 6:8 10:8	78:14 89:21	23 66:8,9,14,	144:10	
29:12 52:23	105:6,7,8,10	15,16,18,21,23		scholarships
65:3 81:10	128:3 136:2	67:10 69:21	schedule 53:18	17:15,22 18:23
131:4,14 132:6	138:13 143:4	70:1,7 71:6	141:10 156:5	
135:21 142:15	148:7 157:19	73:15,21 76:6	141.10 156.5	school 7:11
	172:21 186:9,	78:9 81:3 83:14	100.15	
rupping 19:10	10 187:7 189:1			183:2
running 18:19 75:21 78:20		86:16,24,25	scheduled 44:7	
	Operitorion	92:23 93:4,12,	141:11	Schultz 15:22
83:13 126:5	Sanitation	24 95:9 99:9		
127:14 153:6,	50:16	101:24 102:21	aahama 17440	40:0F
20		103:6,8,16,17	scheme 174:18	<b>scope</b> 19:25
	<b>Sara</b> 6:9,23 7:3	107:13,19	175:10	
<b>runs</b> 189:16	24:9 144:14	109:18,23		score 48:19,20
		111:17 115:10	Schmitz 4:18,19	49:1
		117:1,3 118:14	21:17 24:9 35:5	
S	<b>Sara's</b> 144:18	127:14 132:3	36:11,22 38:12	
		134:13,17	39:24 41:21	scores 48:23
	sat 189:25	135:11 137:17	60:24 69:20	
sad 14:5		140:18,25	72:9 73:19 76:3	Scoring 49:3
		146:3 148:22	79:7 80:19	<b>J</b>
and 7:40.47:5	Saturday 30:2	149:5,11,20,25	82:20 85:15	
said 7:19 17:5		156:8 158:6	90:15 92:21	Scottsdale 8:18
	•	•		

Index: screen..seven

r				
			l	
screen 27:6	secret 117:18	128:7 153:5	138:21,23	9:15,18 27:8,21
	171:25	156:13 171:6	162:3	28:1 45:11 46:3
acrimt 00.01.00				47:3 48:9,21
script 89:21,22		00 45 00 4	l' 400.0	81:17 94:19
	Secretary 70:20	seen 33:15 63:1	sending 132:2	98:6 125:21,22,
search 13:12		71:23 148:19		23 126:1,8,19
14:22 15:8.9	section 9:6	152:6 158:19	senior 135:2	127:7 129:7,8
,	34:12 43:10	171:16	Serior 100.2	121.1 129.1,0
127:23,24,25				
128:1 138:13	44:20 53:12,17		sense 52:9 61:6	services 44:1,
143:7 144:23	54:8 57:18	select 178:20	78:22 83:9	19 48:6,20 50:5
151:11	60:22 116:16		123:18 172:18,	55:11 57:14
		adjacted 124.9	,	
00.5	aaatan 407-44	<b>selected</b> 134:8,	24 175:18	58:13 81:20
season 38:5	sector 127:11,	11,23		83:4,8,16 93:5,
44:8 88:19	12		sensible 158:2	10,16 126:19
151:23 157:9,		selection 14:15		139:8 177:24
22 185:7,12	secure 23:10	15:6,13 151:1		
186:9	Secure 23.10	15.0,15 151.1	sent 22:11 42:8	
			43:8 64:15	serving 182:15
	secures 44:16	self-funded	122:3 163:22	
seasonal 37:21,		83:11	170:4,15	set 14:18 99:23
24 38:3 77:3,9		00.11		102:2,7,14
78:1,7,17,18,	<b>seek</b> 15:10			, ,
21,23 79:20		self-supporting	sentence	106:13,23
		83:11	129:22 155:15	109:4,16
	seeking 44:17		174:6	111:25 113:11
seasons 111:24				125:15 137:13
	seem 31:5 88:8,	self-sustaining		147:9 163:14
aget 125:2	20 114:11	93:9	separate 50:21	165:15 168:3
seat 125:2	-		51:11,14,16	181:12
	120:12 128:14		52:14 151:11	_
second 6:15	169:11	sell 85:10 101:8		
14:15 20:7 35:2		104:9		sets 107:7
36:14,15 41:14	seemed 113:21		September	111:17
· ·	114:6	seller's 104:13	117:9 181:10	
49:21 137:13	114.0	<b>SCIICI S</b> 104.13	182:3	
143:2,16,18,25				setting 111:19
144:2 165:2,5,	seeming 16:20	semiconductor		
10,22 174:5		18:5	serious 10:10	settled 132:3
176:4,5 179:24			191:14	191:5
181:18 182:21	seemless 179:2			191.0
		seminars 17:4	convo 07:00	
			serve 87:20	seven 9:24,25
seconded 36:17	seems 25:4		178:2,6 179:6	12:1
41:16 176:7	99:21 114:6	send 43:14		· · ·
	116:16,22	49:23 128:20	service 7:23	
		•	•	•

<b>seven-minute</b> 63:15	Sheila 11:4 12:21	133:11	Silvon 12:21	91:19 142:2 156:9 177:7
<b>several</b> 44:3 85:1 112:7	<b>shift</b> 142:13	<b>show</b> 36:3 53:9 68:18 73:21 78:23 85:8 90:9	<b>similar</b> 94:20 114:18	situation 8:10 136:22 168:12
119:17,24 152:21 169:13	shiny 10:4 14:2	109:2 185:11 190:3,4,5,6,7	<b>simple</b> 10:3 67:20	169:22
<b>sewer</b> 129:15 130:18 131:15	<b>shop</b> 47:16	<b>showing</b> 78:4 185:7	simpler 121:22	situations 171:16 172:6
shack 46:20	short 47:4 113:14,17 139:13 146:7	<b>shows</b> 24:17 53:3 190:4	<b>simply</b> 115:12 134:2 164:16 178:17	<b>six</b> 13:3 27:14 29:19 45:2,3,4 49:11 53:12,16 157:6 163:25
Shackford 16:24 25:24 187:9	short-term 8:13	shrinks 135:8	simultaneously 136:14 154:2,	six- 157:15
Shackford's 25:3	<b>should</b> 5:15,18 11:1 16:10 19:25 20:4,9	shutdown 58:6	18	<b>size</b> 129:12
	22:20 30:8,20 32:6,7 39:25	shuttle 29:25	since 10:1,17 45:6 51:16	skate 83:24
shame 5:11	51:14 52:21 53:20 61:10,13 64:19 69:18	siblings 186:20	66:22 70:24 97:13 112:11 145:4	143:6 152:7 179:12,13
shape 7:20 10:3 share 29:11	71:3 79:9 85:3 86:23 88:10 90:6 92:22 93:3	<b>side</b> 13:18 22:9 47:21,22 184:10	sincerely 38:5	<b>ski</b> 6:5,8 27:22 29:24 78:2 131:5 177:16
30:14 33:10 48:7 49:15 shared 63:22	99:6 103:16,17 118:23 120:13 126:9 127:4 130:6,9,13	<b>sides</b> 99:3,15 185:16,24	<b>single</b> 49:8 120:23 173:21 185:7	<b>skill</b> 125:15
161:13	137:12 140:5 147:4 155:15 156:21 180:5,	<b>sign</b> 14:8 60:4 188:9	<b>sit</b> 25:20 145:3 158:6,7 177:10	<b>skills</b> 14:25 145:16
sharing 6:17 sheds 157:1	12,15 181:4 182:1,24 188:12	<b>signed</b> 187:16 188:13	<b>site</b> 46:12	skipped 62:15
<b>sheet</b> 5:16,18, 23 185:6	<b>shouldn't</b> 76:6 114:25 126:2	signing 51:15 188:6	<b>sites</b> 189:12	Skipping 114:17
23 103.0		100.0	sitting 87:12	slack 84:2

Index: slander..specialist

	_	-	-	
slander 73:23	151:4 154:20 155:5 156:3,17	<b>somebody's</b> 175:9	171:10 174:16 179:18 181:3,9,	153:20
slash 66:25 67:24 142:24	snowplow 64:7,	somehow 89:5	23 183:10 187:3	sounding 189:5
180:4	9	92:15 101:3	something's 29:21	<b>sounds</b> 6:1 63:4 99:18
<b>slice</b> 185:11	<b>social</b> 10:18 24:14 65:21,23	<b>someone</b> 8:9 34:20 68:25		source 81:10
slides 44:4	185:16 188:22 189:4,11	89:7 116:17 131:12 175:1	sometimes 72:4 91:21 130:4	
<b>slim</b> 177:13	software 27:4	185:17	141:1 156:13 167:17 168:22	Southwood 4:9
slopes 27:22	<b>sole</b> 166:9 167:4	<b>Someone's</b> 185:21	<b>somewhere</b> 81:5 117:9	<b>space</b> 41:4 116:22 186:21
<b>slots</b> 185:6		<b>something</b> 6:3 23:18,24 25:2,	181:4 185:9	<b>span</b> 131:20
<b>slow</b> 30:15 132:4 154:23	<b>solely</b> 143:20 145:18 178:12	22 31:14 32:11, 24,25 39:25 41:3 57:4 61:10	<b>soon</b> 7:1 13:3 141:9 151:15	<b>speak</b> 22:13 30:9 37:14 64:2
slowing 147:17	<b>solicit</b> 146:1	66:18 67:13,16 72:17,21 75:20	<b>sorry</b> 6:9 44:22 76:3 109:20	65:25 66:2 132:23 146:9
small 38:22 46:6 125:6	soliciting 135:23	77:22 79:5,9 81:11 84:9 88:9 92:23 98:20	140:6 162:13	<b>speaker</b> 13:17 189:25
smile 25:7,9	<b>solid</b> 14:18 23:10	99:6 102:3 104:9 106:20 107:19 108:16 109:6,7 114:12	<b>sort</b> 33:16 76:9, 10 79:14,17 85:21 108:5 109:9 147:25	speakers 13:17
<b>Smith</b> 13:9	<b>solutions</b> 8:13 11:8 17:13 49:2	116:1,7 117:3, 23 118:15 120:13 122:4	158:17 165:21 167:15 173:17	<b>speaking</b> 13:16 16:4
<b>sneaky</b> 56:21 57:1,4	somebody 48:2 85:8 87:13	123:24 125:6 126:4 127:16 138:1 141:12	<b>sorts</b> 73:13 171:23,24 172:7 185:15	<b>special</b> 15:19 25:21 27:21 94:14,24 95:9
snippet 77:6	93:14 98:17 106:6,21	142:7 143:23 153:7,11		97:13,15
<b>Snowcat</b> 177:17	133:10 136:10 148:11 169:6	154:24,25 157:14 158:8	<b>sound</b> 153:1	specialist
Snowflake	170:20 171:12 173:20 189:4	159:1,8 161:15 168:16 169:3,5	sounded 119:20	132:13

Index: specific..statute

				1
<b>specific</b> 8:21 11:11 39:16 40:8 49:18	<b>spin</b> 6:10 25:11	94:10,13,16,18 95:1,6,7 102:5 107:10 108:7,	standpoint 62:6 83:15 100:10	22:15 53:22 55:1,18 57:6,9 83:10 109:12
116:25 170:7 174:1	spitballing 124:11	13,16 109:5 110:2,3,23	<b>start</b> 13:2 35:1 66:6 78:25 84:3	153:9 164:16
specifically 72:11 80:14	<b>split</b> 184:5,7	111:22 122:25 123:1 132:7 142:25 148:14	113:14 121:8, 22 123:1,9,14, 21 124:13,22	statement 23:3, 4,5,7 52:16 53:1,8 67:24
97:14 120:4 168:6	splitting 101:21	150:11 152:5 153:3,6,19 157:7 158:13	125:2 128:16 132:7 133:7,8	183:8 187:13 188:14
specifics 96:18	<b>spoke</b> 10:22	162:4,24 163:6, 11,15 178:9	135:21,22,23 149:3 151:6	statements 22:7
spectators 30:2	<b>Spooner</b> 29:13	179:6 181:6 192:8	153:2 154:3 156:12 158:13 160:19 172:5	24:3 25:15 56:5 71:20 188:21
speculation	<b>sport</b> 7:4 186:8	staff's 29:5	182:3	<b>states</b> 40:1 174:12
76:13	<b>Sports</b> 8:18	stage 78:11	started 6:22 18:19 61:25	
<b>speed</b> 128:25	<b>spreading</b> 185:16	<b>stake</b> 119:4,8 190:24	63:2 66:3 94:16 100:17 123:25 124:2 133:22	<b>stating</b> 57:11 76:10
<b>spell</b> 119:25	<b>spring</b> 45:16		151:1 172:13 186:7	station 23:2 29:15,16,17
spelling 131:7	186:8	stakeholders 132:23,25 134:24 135:1	starting 43:7	42:11 43:25 44:2,10 46:19,
<b>spend</b> 49:21 51:22 97:5 116:24 120:6	<b>stab</b> 64:21 131:24	stamped 109:5	64:20 78:2,7 84:6 95:7 137:3 150:21 153:4	22,23,24 50:20, 23,25 51:11,14, 17,23,24 52:4, 11,19
152:16	stable 44:9	stand 7:5 106:9	starts 128:10	
<b>spending</b> 17:6 25:5 117:23	staff 20:1 22:16 28:21 29:9	183:7	181:6	statistics 45:1 79:15,18
136:4	33:12 35:18 37:7,25 38:3	standard 43:13 99:12	state 13:23 21:12 36:19 41:18 70:20	<b>statue</b> 190:18 191:16
<b>spent</b> 86:5 119:7	42:3,14 45:11, 22 47:19 48:5 59:4 60:17	standardization 87:3	74:1 120:3 176:9	statute 24:6
<b>Spider</b> 134:2	61:5,15,16 78:3,8 80:6	standby 98:6	stated 19:11	190:18 191:8

Index: statutes..suggestion

٦

statutes 2:2: 24:1,5 98:10,11 120:2,9         stipulated 190:22         streamline 152:12         21:183:15 189:1,3,12,20         146:16 182:13           stay 43:16 48:3, 10 52:10 76:4         stipulation 117:19 118:23         stretch 101:1 128:11         subject 51:15 146:10 191:17         successful 12:25           stay 43:16 48:3, 10 52:10 76:4         stock 27:15 166:6 174:11, 18175:10         strict 116:19         subjective 173:15         succinct 145:12           stealing 17:3         stop 23:11 80:7 189:20         striping 46:14         submit 22:20         such 5:11,12 11:17 34:15           steered 40:25         stops 46:21         stripping 55:4,6 133:20 178:24         storage 23:15         strong 170:5         subsequent 72:17         suddenly 84:23 88:9           stepping 34:18         STR 100:22,25         structure 142:20 143:21         subsequent 12:21 142:3         suffice 11:21           stick 102:20         strategic 29:6 32:14,18 33:7         struggling 84:14         subsidizing 85:23         suffice 11:21           stick 102:20         strategic 29:6 32:14,18 33:7         studf 65:17 67:8 71:5 74:23         substintial 43:23 83:8         suggest 15:13 19:124 01:18:31:8           stick 102:20         strategy 80:5 182:1         stuff 65:17 67:8 71:5 74:23         substantial 43:23 83:8         suggesting 169:13 171:5,8           still 6:24 38:19 9:2         strategy 80:5 182:1         st					
120:2,9       stipulation       stretch       101:11       subject       51:15       12:25         stay       43:16       48:3,       117:19       112:21       subject       51:15       146:10       12:25         staying       48:15       166:6       174:11,       18       175:10       strict       116:19       subjective       17:3:15       succinct       145:12         stealing       17:3       stop       23:11       80:7       strike       22:25       submit       22:0       11:17       34:15       12:14       11:17       34:15       12:14       11:17       34:15       11:17       34:15       11:17       34:15       11:17       34:15       11:17       34:15       11:17       34:15       11:17       34:15       11:17       34:15       11:17       34:15       11:17       34:15       11:17       34:15       11:17       34:15       11:17       34:13       12:3:11:12       11:17       34:13       38:9       34:23       32:66:61:0       72:17       88:9       34:23       38:9       34:24       34:14       34:14       34:14       34:14       34:14       34:14       34:14       34:14       34:14       34:26       34:14       34:26 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>146:16 182:13</td>					146:16 182:13
stay 43:16 48:3, 10 52:10 76:4         stipulation 117:19 118:23         stretch 101:1 128:11         subject 51:15 146:10 191:17         12:25           staying 48:15 192:8         stock 27:15 166:6 174:11, 18 175:10         strict 116:19         subjective 173:15         succinct 145:12           stealing 17:3         stop 23:11 80:7 189:20         strike 22:25         submit 22:20         submit 22:20         such 5:11,12 11:17 34:15           steered 40:25         stops 46:21         striping 55:4,6         submit 22:20         suddenly 84:23 88:9           step 118:25 133:20 178:24         storm 47:8         structural 9:21 10:3         subsequent 7:25 184:18         suffered 191:3           stepping 34:18         STR 100:22,25         struggling 84:14         subsidizing 85:23         suffice 11:21           stekers 58:22, 24         strategic 29:6 32:14,18 33:7         study 187:22         subsidizing 84:14         subsidizing 85:23         suggest 15:13 19:25 150:18, 21 162:6           stick 102:20         strategic 29:6 32:14,18 33:7         study 187:22         subsidizing 85:23         suggest 16:13 19:24 19:24           stick 102:20         strategic 29:6 32:14,18 33:7         study 187:22         subsidi 28:2 39:2         suggest 16:13 19:24 19:24           stick 102:20         strategic 29:6 32:14,18 33:7         study 187:22         subsidi 28:2 39:2         suggest 16:13 1	, , ,	100.22	102.12	100.1,0,12,20	
stay 43:16 48:3, 10 52:10 76:4         117:19 118:23         128:11         146:10 191:17         succinct 145:12           staying 48:15         stock 27:15 166:6 174:11, 18 175:10         strict 116:19         subjective 173:15         succinct 145:12           stealing 17:3         stop 23:11 80:7 189:20         striping 46:14         166:24         such 5:11,12           steered 40:25         stops 46:21         striping 55:4,6 10:3         submitted 8:19 10:23 11:1 22:8 23:6 66:10         suddenly 84:23 72:17         suddenly 84:23 88:9           step 118:25 133:20 178:24         storage 23:15         structural 9:21 10:3         subsequent 72:5 184:18         suffered 191:3 80:9           stepped 34:21         storm 47:8         structure 10:3         subsequent 10:3         subsequent 9:23         suffered 191:3 19:25 150:18, 21 162:6           stick 102:20         strategic 29:6 32:14,18 33:7 24         struggling 84:14         subsidizing 85:23         suggest 15:13 19:24           stick 102:20         strategic 29:6 32:14,18 33:7 24         study 187:22         subsidizing 85:23         suggest 22:24 60:2 129:10 188:3           stick 95:22         strategic 29:6 32:4,18 33:7 24         study 187:22         substantial 42:3 83:8         suggesting 169:13 171:5,8 21           stick 95:22         strategic 29:6 32:4,18 3:7         studf 65:17 67:8 75:24 91:1,8 8:454 8:20         substantiat		stinulation	stretch 101·1	subject 51.15	
10 52:10 76:4       stock 27:15       strict 116:19       subjective       succinct 145:12         staying 48:15       166:6 174:11, 18 175:10       strike 22:25       submit 22:20       subjective         stealing 17:3       stop 23:11 80:7       striping 46:14       166:24       11:17 34:15         stealing 17:3       stop 23:11 80:7       striping 46:14       166:24       121:9 187:3, 15 188:36,12,         steered 40:25       stops 46:21       stripping 55:4,6       submitted 8:19, 10:23 11:1 22:8, 23:6 66:10       suddenly 84:23         step 118:25       storage 23:15       strong 170:5       72:17       suddenly 84:23         stepping 34:18       STR 100:22,25       structural 9:21       subsequent 142:20 143:21       suffered 191:3         stepping 34:18       STR 100:22,25       structure 142:20 143:21       subsequently 10:23 185:11       suffice 11:21         steps 137:19       strategic 29:6       struggling 84:14       subsidizing 85:23       suffice 11:24         stick 102:20       strategic 29:6       struggling 84:14       subsidy 86:16       suggest 6:13 19:24         stick 29:22       strategic 80:5       14:22       subsidy 86:16       suggest 16:13 19:24       suggest 16:13 19:24         stick 102:20       strategic 80:5       14:62:6       191:3       <	stav 43:16 48:3.				12.25
staying 48:15 192:8         stock 27:15 166:6 174:11, 18 175:10         strict 116:19 strike 22:25         subjective 173:15         such 5:11,12 11:17 34:15 72:14 119:14           stealing 17:3         stop 23:11 80:7 189:20         striping 46:14         166:24         submit 22:20 11:17 34:15 72:14 119:14           steered 40:25         stop 33:11 80:7 189:20         striping 55:4,6 stops 46:21         submitted 8:19 10:23 11:1 22:8 23:6 66:10         suddenly 84:23 88:9           step 118:25 133:20 178:24         storage 23:15         strong 170:5         subsequent 7:25 184:18         suffered 191:3           stepped 34:21         storm 47:8         structure 10:3         subsequent 7:25 184:18         suffered 191:3           stepping 34:18         STR 100:22,25         structure 142:20 143:21         subsequent 10:3         subsequent 7:25 184:18         suffice 11:21           steps 137:19         straight 51:17 124:1 126:3         struggling 84:14         subsidizing 85:23         suggest 15:13 19:25 150:18, 21 162:6           stickers 58:22, 24         strategic 29:6 32:14,18 33:7 146:25 157:2 182:4         study 187:22         substantial 43:23 83:8         suggesting 169:13 171:5,8 21           stile 6:24 38:19 52:22 58:24 85:4 86:20 85:19         strategy 80:5 182:1         stuff 65:17 67:8 71:5 74:23 75:24 91:1,8         substantiat 24:9         suggesting 169:13 171:5,8 21					augeinet 145:10
staying 48:15 192:8         166:6 174:11, 18 175:10         strike 22:25         submit 22:20		stock 27.15	strict 116.10	subjective	Succinct 145.12
192:8       18 175:10       strike 22:25       submit 22:20       11:17 34:15         stealing 17:3       stop 23:11 80:7       striping 46:14       166:24       121:9 187:13, 15 188:3,6,12, 14         steered 40:25       stops 46:21       striping 55:4,6       submit 22:20       14         step 118:25       storage 23:15       strong 170:5       72:17       88:9         3:20 178:24       storage 23:15       strong 170:5       72:17       88:9         stepped 34:21       storm 47:8       structural 9:21       subsequent 7:25 184:18       suffered 191:3         steps 137:19       straight 51:17       142:20 143:21       subsequently 10:23 185:11       suggest 15:13         stick 102:20       strategic 29:6       34:14       subsidizing 85:23       suffered 191:3         stick 102:20       strategic 29:6       struggling 84:14       subsidizing 85:23       suggested 22:24 60:2         stick 95:22       strategically 8:24       study 187:22       substantial 43:23 83:8       suggesting 169:13 171:5,8         still 6:24 38:19       strategy 80:5       75:24 91:18       substantial 24:9       substantiae 24:9         still 6:24 38:17 90:6       strategy 80:5       75:24 91:18       substantiae 24:9       suggestion 60:3 150:11         still 6:24 38:17 90:6	staving 48:15				
stealing 17:3       stop 23:11 80:7 189:20       striping 46:14       submit 22:20 166:24       72:14 119:14 121:9 187:13, 15 188:3,6,12, 14         steered 40:25       stops 46:21       stripping 55:4,6 10:23 11:1 22:8 23:6 66:10       submitted 8:19 10:23 11:1 22:8 23:6 66:10       suddenly 84:23         step 118:25 133:20 178:24       storage 23:15       strong 170:5       72:17       suddenly 84:23         stepped 34:21       storm 47:8       structural 9:21 10:3       subsequent 7:25 184:18       suffered 191:3         stepping 34:18       STR 100:22,25       structure 142:20 143:21       subsequently 10:23 185:11       suffice 11:21         steps 137:19       straight 51:17 124:1 126:3       struggling 84:14       subsidizing 85:23       suggest 15:13 19:25 150:18, 21 162:6         stick 102:20       strategic 29:6 32:14,18 33:7 146:25 157:2 182:4       stuck 24:22       subsidizing 85:23       suggested 22:24 60:2 129:10 188:3         sticky 95:22 99:2       strategically 8:24       study 187:22       substantial 43:23 83:8       suggesting 169:13 171:5,8 21         still 6:24 38:19 52:22 58:24 88:17 90:6       strategy 80:5 182:1       stuff 65:17 67:8 71:5 74:23 75:24 91:18 96:13 101:14 102:18 131:18       substantiat 24:9       suggestion 60:3 150:11	• •		strike 22:25		
stealing 17:3       stop 23:11 80:7 189:20       striping 46:14       166:24       121:9 187:13, 15 188:3,6,12, 14         steered 40:25       stops 46:21       stripping 55:4,6       submitted 8:19 10:23 11:1 22:8 23:6 66:10       suddenly 84:23 88:9         step 118:25 133:20 178:24       storage 23:15       strong 170:5       72:17       suddenly 84:23 88:9         stepped 34:21       storm 47:8       structural 9:21 10:3       subsequent 7:25 184:18       suffered 191:3         stepping 34:18       STR 100:22,25       structure 142:20 143:21       subsequently 10:23 185:11       suffice 11:21         steps 137:19       straight 51:17 124:1 126:3       struggling 84:14       subsidizing 85:23       suggest 15:13 19:25 150:18, 21 162:6         stick 102:20       strategic 29:6 32:14,18 33:7 146:25 157:2       stuck 24:22       subsidy 86:16 88:5       suggested 22:24 60:2 129:10 188:3         sticky 95:22 99:2       strategically 8:24       study 187:22       substantial 43:23 83:8       suggesting 169:13 171:5,8 21         still 6:24 38:19 52:22 58:24       strategy 80:5 182:1       r1:5 74:23 75:24 91:18 96:13 101:14 102:18 131:18       substantiate 24:9       suggesting 169:13 171:5,8 21			SUINE 22.25	submit 22.20	
189:20       striping 46:14       15 188:3,6,12, 14         steered 40:25       stops 46:21       stripping 55:4,6       submitted 8:19 10:23 11:1 22:8 23:6 66:10       suddenly 84:23 88:9         step 118:25       storage 23:15       strong 170:5       72:17       suddenly 84:23 88:9         stepped 34:21       storm 47:8       structural 9:21 10:3       subsequent 7:25 184:18       suffered 191:3         stepping 34:18       STR 100:22,25       structure 142:20 143:21 148:1 149:5       subsequent 9:21 10:23 185:11       suggest 15:13 19:25 150:18, 19:25 150:18, 19:25 150:18, 19:25 150:18, 19:25 150:18, 19:25 150:18, 19:25 150:18, 19:25 150:18, 19:24       subsidizing 85:23       suggest 46:20 88:5         stick y 95:22       strategic 29:6 18:24       study 187:22       substantial 43:23 83:8       suggesting 169:13 171:5,8 21         still 6:24 38:19 52:22 58:24 85:4 86:20       strategy 80:5 182:1       71:57 4:23 7:524 91:1,8 9:61:10 101:4 102:18 131:18       substantiate 24:9       suggesting 169:13 171:5,8 21	stealing 17:3	stop 23:11 80:7			
steered 40:25         stops 46:21         stripping 55:4,6         submitted 8:19 10:23 11:1 22:8 23:6 66:10         suddenly 84:23 88:9           step 118:25 133:20 178:24         storage 23:15         strong 170:5         subsequent 7:25 184:18         suddenly 84:23 88:9           stepped 34:21         storm 47:8         structural 9:21 10:3         subsequent 7:25 184:18         suffered 191:3           stepping 34:18         STR 100:22,25         structure 142:20 143:21 124:1 126:3         subsequent 142:20 143:21 148:1 149:5         subsequently 10:23 185:11         suffice 11:21           steps 137:19         strategic 29:6 32:14,18 33:7 146:25 157:2 182:4         struggling 84:14         subsidizing 84:14         subsidizing 85:23         suggest 0 191:24           stick 102:20         strategic 29:6 32:14,18 33:7 146:25 157:2 182:4         study 187:22 182:4         subsidy 86:16 88:5         suggested 22:24 60:2 129:10 188:3           sticky 95:22 99:2         strategic ally 8:24         study 187:22 182:1         substantial 43:23 83:8         suggesting 169:13 171:5,8 21           still 6:24 38:19 52:22 58:24 88:17 90:6         strategy 80:5 182:1         stuff 65:17 67:8 182:1         substantiat 96:13 101:14 102:18 131:18         substantiat 24:9         suggestion 60:3 150:11	j ····-		striping 46:14		,
stops 46:21         stripping 55:4,6 33:20 178:24         10:23 11:1 22:8 23:6 66:10 72:17         suddenly 84:23 88:9           stepped 34:21         storage 23:15         strong 170:5         72:17         suddenly 84:23 88:9           stepped 34:21         storm 47:8         structural 9:21 10:3         subsequent 7:25 184:18         suffice 191:3           stepping 34:18         STR 100:22,25         structure 142:20 143:21         subsequently 142:20 143:21         subsequently 10:23 185:11         suggest 15:13 19:25 150:18, 21 162:6           stick 102:20         strategic 29:6 32:14,18 33:7 146:25 157:2 24         struggling 84:14         subsidizing 85:23         suggested 22:24 60:2 129:10 188:3           stick 95:22 99:2         strategic 29:6 32:14,18 33:7 146:25 157:2 182:4         study 187:22         substantial 43:23 83:8         suggesting 22:24 60:2 129:10 188:3           still 6:24 38:19 52:22 58:24         strategic 80:5 182:1         stuff 65:17 67:8 71:5 74:23 75:24 91:11,8         substantial 24:9         suggesting 169:13 171:5,8 21           still 6:24 38:19 52:22 58:24 88:17 90:6         strategy 80:5 182:1         stuff 65:17 67:8 75:24 91:11,8 96:13 101:14 102:18 131:18         substantiat 24:9         suggestion 60:3 150:11	steered 10.25			submitted 8.10	14
step 118:25 133:20 178:24         storage 23:15         strong 170:5         23:6 66:10 72:17         suddenly 84:23 88:9           stepped 34:21         storm 47:8         structural 9:21 10:3         subsequent 7:25 184:18         suffered 191:3           stepping 34:18         STR 100:22,25         structure 142:20 143:21         subsequently 10:23 185:11         suffice 11:21           steps 137:19         straight 51:17 124:1 126:3         struggling 84:14         subsidizing 85:23         suggest 15:13 19:25 150:18, 21 162:6           stick 102:20         strategic 29:6 32:14,18 33:7 146:25 157:2 182:4         struggling 84:14         subsidi 86:16 88:5         suggest d 22:24 60:2 129:10 188:3           sticky 95:22 99:2         strategically 8:24         study 187:22         substantial 43:23 83:8         suggesting 169:13 171:5,8 21           still 6:24 38:19 52:22 58:24 85:14 66:20 88:17 90:6         strategy 80:5 182:1         stuff 65:17 67:8 75:24 91:1,8 96:13 101:14 102:18 131:18         substiniate 24:9         suggesting 60:3 150:11	<b>Steered</b> 40.20	stops 46:21	stripping 55:4,6		
133:20 178:24       storage 23:15       strong 170:5       72:17       88:9         stepped 34:21       storm 47:8       structural 9:21 10:3       subsequent 7:25 184:18       suffered 191:3         stepping 34:18       STR 100:22,25       structure 142:20 143:21       subsequently 10:23 185:11       suffice 11:21         steps 137:19       straight 51:17 124:1 126:3       148:1 149:5       subsequently 10:23 185:11       suggest 15:13 19:25 150:18, 21 162:6         stick 102:20       strategic 29:6 32:14,18 33:7 146:25 157:2 182:4       struggling 84:14       subsidi 86:16       suggested 22:24 60:2 129:10 188:3         sticky 95:22 99:2       strategically 8:24       study 187:22       substantial 43:23 83:8       suggesting 169:13 171:5,8 21         still 6:24 38:19 52:22 58:24 88:17 90:6       strategy 80:5 182:1       stuff 65:17 67:8 75:24 91:1,8 96:13 101:14 102:18 131:18       substitute 7:24       suggesting 60:3 150:11	otop 119:25				suddenly 84:23
stepped 34:21         storm 47:8         structural 9:21 10:3         subsequent 7:25 184:18         suffice 191:3           stepping 34:18         STR 100:22,25         structure 142:20 143:21 148:1 149:5         subsequently 10:23 185:11         suffice 11:21           steps 137:19         straight 51:17 124:1 126:3         148:1 149:5         subsequently 10:23 185:11         suggest 15:13 19:25 150:18, 21 162:6           stick 102:20         strategic 29:6 32:14,18 33:7 146:25 157:2 182:4         struggling 84:14         subsidizing 85:23         suggested 22:24 60:2 129:10 188:3           sticky 95:22 99:2         strategically 8:24         study 187:22         substantial 43:23 83:8         suggesting 169:13 171:5,8 21           still 6:24 38:19 52:22 58:24 85:4 86:20 88:17 90:6         strategy 80:5 182:1         71:5 74:23 75:24 91:1,8 96:13 101:14 102:18 131:18         substitute 7:24         suggestion 60:3 150:11		storage 23.15	strong 170:5	72:17	88:9
stepped       34:21       storm       47:8       10:3       7:25 184:18       suffice       11:21         stepping       34:18       STR       100:22,25       structure       subsequently       suggest       15:13         steps       137:19       straight       51:17       142:20 143:21       subsequently       suggest       suggest       15:13         stick       10:220       strategic       29:6       struggling       84:14       subsidizing       suggest       suggest       15:13         stickers       58:22,       strategic       29:6       stuck       24:22       subsidy       86:16       suggested         sticky       95:22       strategically       study       187:22       substantial       43:23 83:8       suggesting         still       6:24 38:19       strategy       80:5       169:13 171:5,8       21         52:22 58:24       strategy       80:5       71:5 74:23       substantiate       21       suggestion         88:17 90:6       strategy       80:5       169:13 101:14       102:18 131:18       substitute       7:24       60:3 150:11	100.20 170.24	Storage 20.10			
10:3       7:25 184:18         stepping 34:18       STR 100:22,25       structure 142:20 143:21 148:1 149:5       subsequently 10:23 185:11       suffice 11:21         steps 137:19       straight 51:17 124:1 126:3       struggling 84:14       subsidizing 85:23       suggest 15:13 19:25 150:18, 21 162:6         stick 102:20       strategic 29:6 32:14,18 33:7 146:25 157:2 182:4       struggling 84:14       subsidizing 85:23       suggested 22:24 60:2 129:10 188:3         sticky 95:22 99:2       strategically 8:24       study 187:22       substantial 43:23 83:8       suggesting 169:13 171:5,8 21         still 6:24 38:19 52:22 58:24 85:4 86:20 88:17 90:6       strategy 80:5 182:1       stuff 65:17 67:8 75:24 91:1,8 96:13 101:14 102:18 131:18       substitute 7:24       suggestion 60:3 150:11	stannad 34:21	storm 17.8	structural 9:21	subsequent	suffered 191:3
Stepping         34:18         STR         100:22,25         structure         subsequently         suggest         15:13         19:25         15:13         19:25         15:13         19:25         15:13         19:25         15:13         19:25         15:13         19:25         15:13         19:25         15:13         19:25         15:13         19:25         15:13         19:25         15:13         19:25         15:13         19:25         15:13         19:25         15:13         19:25         15:13         19:25         15:13         19:25         15:13         19:25         15:13         19:25         15:14         19:25         15:13         19:25         15:13         19:25         15:14         19:25         15:13         19:25         15:14         19:25         15:14         19:25         15:14         19:25         15:14         19:25         15:14         19:25         15:14         19:24         19:24         19:24         19:24         19:24         19:24         19:24         19:24         19:24         19:25         19:124         19:25         12:24         19:24         19:25         12:24         10:21:24         10:21:24         12:24         10:21:24         10:21:24         11:24         11:24	Stepped 34.21	<b>Storm</b> 47.0	10:3	7:25 184:18	
steps 137:19         straight 51:17 124:1 126:3         struggling 84:14         subsidizing 85:23         suggest 15:13 19:25 150:18, 21 162:6           stick 102:20         strategic 29:6 32:14,18 33:7 146:25 157:2 182:4         struggling 84:14         subsidizing 85:23         suggest 4 19:25 150:18, 21 162:6           stickers 58:22, 24         strategic 29:6 182:4         stuck 24:22         subsidy 86:16 88:5         suggested 22:24 60:2 129:10 188:3           sticky 95:22 99:2         strategically 8:24         study 187:22         substantial 43:23 83:8         suggesting 169:13 171:5,8 21           still 6:24 38:19 52:22 58:24 85:4 86:20 88:17 90:6         strategy 80:5 182:1         stuff 65:17 67:8 75:24 91:1,8 96:13 101:14 102:18 131:18         substantiate 24:9         suggestion 60:3 150:11	stopping 34:18	<b>STD</b> 100.22.25			suffice 11:21
steps 137:19       straight 51:17 124:1 126:3       148:1 149:5       subsidizing 85:23       suggest 15:13 19:25 150:18, 21 162:6         stick 102:20       strategic 29:6 32:14,18 33:7 146:25 157:2 182:4       struggling 84:14       subsidizing 85:23       suggest 4         stickers 58:22, 24       strategic 29:6 32:14,18 33:7 146:25 157:2 182:4       stuck 24:22       subsidy 86:16 88:5       suggested 22:24 60:2 129:10 188:3         sticky 95:22 99:2       strategically 8:24       study 187:22       substantial 43:23 83:8       suggesting 169:13 171:5,8 21         still 6:24 38:19 52:22 58:24 85:4 86:20 88:17 90:6       strategy 80:5 182:1       stuff 65:17 67:8 75:24 91:1,8 96:13 101:14 102:18 131:18       substantial 24:9       suggestion 60:3 150:11	Stepping 54.10	<b>STR</b> 100.22,23			
steps 137:19       straight 51:17 124:1 126:3       148:1 149:5       19:25 150:18, 21 162:6         stick 102:20       strategic 29:6 32:14,18 33:7 146:25 157:2 182:4       struggling 84:14       subsidizing 85:23       19:25 150:18, 21 162:6         stickers 58:22, 24       32:14,18 33:7 146:25 157:2 182:4       stuck 24:22       subsidy 86:16 88:5       suggested 22:24 60:2 129:10 188:3         sticky 95:22 99:2       strategically 8:24       study 187:22       substantial 43:23 83:8       suggesting 169:13 171:5,8 21         still 6:24 38:19 52:22 58:24 85:4 86:20 88:17 90:6       strategy 80:5 182:1       stuff 65:17 67:8 75:24 91:1,8 96:13 101:14 102:18 131:18       substantial 24:9       suggestion 60:3 150:11	-1			10:23 185:11	suggest 15:13
stick 102:20       strategic 29:6       struggling       85:23       191:24         stickers 58:22, 24       32:14,18 33:7       146:25 157:2       stuck 24:22       subsidy 86:16       suggested         24       146:25 157:2       146:25 157:2       stuck 24:22       subsidy 86:16       suggested         99:2       strategically       stuck 24:22       substantial       43:23 83:8       suggesting         still 6:24 38:19       strategy 80:5       71:5 74:23       substantiate       21         52:22 58:24       strategy 80:5       75:24 91:1,8       24:9       suggestion         85:17 90:6       06:3 150:11       102:18 131:18       substitute 7:24       60:3 150:11	steps 137:19		148:1 149:5		19:25 150:18,
stickers       58:22, 24       strategic 29:6 32:14,18 33:7 146:25 157:2 182:4       84:14       subsidy 86:16 88:5       suggested 22:24 60:2 129:10 188:3         sticky       95:22 182:4       strategically 8:24       study 187:22       substantial 43:23 83:8       suggesting 169:13 171:5,8 21         still       6:24 38:19 52:22 58:24 85:4 86:20       strategy 80:5 182:1       stuff 65:17 67:8 75:24 91:1,8 96:13 101:14 102:18 131:18       substantial 24:9       suggestion 60:3 150:11		124.1 120.5		-	
stickers58:22, 2432:14,18 33:7 146:25 157:2 182:4stuck 24:22subsidy 86:16 88:5suggested 22:24 60:2 129:10 188:3sticky95:22 99:2strategically 8:24study 187:22substantial 43:23 83:8suggesting 169:13 171:5,8 21still6:24 38:19 52:22 58:24 85:4 86:20 88:17 90:6strategy 80:5 182:1stuff 65:17 67:8 75:24 91:1,8 96:13 101:14 102:18 131:18substantial 24:9suggesting 169:13 171:5,8 21	<b>stick</b> 102:20	atratagia 20.6		85:23	191:24
stickers       58:22, 146:25       146:25       157:2 182:4       stuck       24:22       subsidy       86:16 88:5       suggested 22:24       22:24       22:24       60:2 129:10       188:3         sticky       95:22 99:2       strategically 8:24       study       187:22       substantial 43:23       suggesting 169:13       171:5,8       suggesting 169:13       171:5,8       21         still       6:24       strategy       80:5       182:1       stuff       65:17       67:8       substantial 43:23       suggesting 169:13       171:5,8       21         still       6:24       strategy       80:5       71:5       74:23       substantiate 24:9       21         still       6:24       strategy       80:5       182:1       102:18       substantiate 10:114       24:9       60:3       150:11			84.14		
24       182:4       stuck 24:22       88:5       22:24 60:2         sticky 95:22       strategically       study 187:22       substantial       129:10 188:3         99:2       strategically       8:24       stuff 65:17 67:8       suggesting         still 6:24 38:19       strategy 80:5       71:5 74:23       substantiate       21         52:22 58:24       182:1       96:13 101:14       24:9       suggestion         88:17 90:6       102:18 131:18       substitute 7:24       60:3 150:11	,			-	
sticky         95:22         strategically         study         187:22         substantial         suggesting           99:2         8:24         stuff         65:17         67:8         43:23         83:8         suggesting           still         6:24         strategy         80:5         71:5         74:23         substantial         169:13         171:5,8           still         6:24         strategy         80:5         75:24         91:1,8         96:13         101:14         102:18         131:18         substantiate         21	24		Stuck 24:22	88:5	
99:2       strategically 8:24       stuff 65:17 67:8       43:23 83:8       suggesting 169:13 171:5,8         still 6:24 38:19       strategy 80:5       71:5 74:23       substantiate       21         52:22 58:24       85:4 86:20       182:1       96:13 101:14       24:9       suggestion         88:17 90:6       102:18 131:18       substitute 7:24       60:3 150:11					129.10 100.3
99:2       8:24       stuff       65:17       67:8       suggesting         still       6:24       38:19       strategy       80:5       71:5       74:23       substantiate       21         52:22       58:24       182:1       96:13       101:14       24:9       suggesting       169:13       171:5,8         88:17       90:6       102:18       131:18       substitute       7:24       60:3       150:11		strategically	study 187:22		
still 6:24 38:19       strategy 80:5       71:5 74:23       substantiate       21         52:22 58:24       182:1       75:24 91:1,8       24:9       24:9         88:17 90:6       102:18 131:18       substitute 7:24       60:3 150:11	99.2			43:23 83:8	
still         6:24         38:19         strategy         80:5         71:5         74:23         substantiate         24:9         suggestion           52:22         58:24         182:1         96:13         101:14         24:9         suggestion         60:3         150:11					
52:22 58:24       182:1       75:24 91:1,8       24:9         85:4 86:20       182:1       96:13 101:14       suggestion         88:17 90:6       102:18 131:18       substitute 7:24       60:3 150:11		strategy 80:5			
88:17 90:6 102:18 131:18 substitute 7:24 60:3 150:11				24:9	suggestion
		stream 60:1		substitute 7:24	
175:12107:16149:12154:3,168:24	175:12 185:12	107:16	149:12 154:3,		· ·
success 14:17				success 14:17	

Index: summarized..tennis-playing

summarized	surprised 22:3	6	70:11 74:20	tax 98:12
163:16			83:15 154:12	
summary 27:3	surprises 141:17	tabling 74:12	158:15 185:5,9	teaching 25:7
28:2 129:11	141.17	tact 143:7	talent 132:12	team 7:11 8:6
	survey 48:4,8,			11:5 30:10
summer 11:5 13:4 45:17 64:8	14	tag 180:21	talk 25:16 64:6 94:1 118:7	34:25 47:25 125:25 128:19
78:17,18	<b>surveys</b> 48:11,	182:8,16	123:7 130:1 133:18 159:6	135:2 142:14
suppliers 18:17	17	Tahoe 29:11	177:4 187:3	teams 7:13 13:3
	suspect 180:14	43:25 46:4,9 50:3		
supplies 27:15			talked 72:21 80:24 82:25	Technologies 27:4
Supply 27:12	suspend 162:22 165:7 166:19	tailored 125:13	83:2,3 85:20 132:21 142:10	27.4
		take 25:4,13	156:7 175:7	tee 185:10
support 6:16 30:2 81:23	sustain 93:17	33:21 39:11 44:4 50:1 58:25	183:3	tells 53:24,25
82:24 121:21	sustainability	63:14 68:11	talking 54:5	tens 55.24,25
	13:21	75:16 83:6 106:8 110:19	82:2,3 86:6 89:16 143:25	temporary
supporting 83:1,3	sustainable	122:17 123:12 124:5,22	151:4 171:23 173:11	34:15
	19:12 20:6	125:23 133:20	173.11	ten 89:13
supportive 61:16	00-0F	149:7,10 153:17 160:1	talks 167:4	1
	<b>sweep</b> 68:25	182:21 183:23 185:21 187:24	171:2	tenant 114:25
supports 129:6	sword 124:1	103.21 107.24	target 86:24	tennis 7:11,13,
supposed 35:1	aveter 101-2	takedown 27:9	180:19	18 8:5,6,16 9:16 10:16,18,
179:13	system 191:2	taken 16:6 33:3	targets 113:11	21 11:5 12:5, 12,22 13:5
surgery 70:4	systems 9:17,	95:4 186:12		25:13 81:19
	19	takan 17:0	tasked 143:22	83:2 87:2 143:5 149:23 156:2,3,
surplus 142:12	т	takes 47:3 131:16	tasks 34:4	18 186:10,14, 15,19
surprise 100:4				10,10
	table 19:3 65:5,	taking 47:2	taught 10:20	tennis-playing
· · ·	- tion Services		$\frac{1}{2}$	

	•	•	•	-
8:4 11:3 12:23 tenure 187:21	11,18 130:23 131:3 140:5 148:19 161:17 169:5 173:7 186:17,18	89:19 99:8 101:17 102:24 103:10 111:11 117:22 120:7 124:5 129:10	thought 17:11 29:4 31:15 67:8 70:8 83:13 101:18 123:20 128:12 136:19	<pre>thrilled 103:11 through 9:11 11:13,25 17:7</pre>
term 11:8 81:25 82:9 92:8 146:7 168:11 178:22	thanking 105:20	124.5 129.10 130:5 136:21 146:8 156:14 165:1 186:10 188:16	128.12 136.19 144:7 152:8,23 157:9 172:4 173:19 174:8 179:13,15	22:4 28:12 31:12 37:6 42:5,19,24 50:25 51:25
termites 10:6	their 9:15 12:22 15:24 16:21 17:15 20:22 21:4,5 25:8	things 6:5 18:12 23:13 36:1 39:16 47:18,21,	thoughts 151:24	54:19 57:13 63:25 64:13,21 65:3 70:23 72:6 77:16 80:6 81:20 82:12
59:11,13 61:14 73:14 84:17,20 85:2,5 88:5 100:21 111:12, 20 113:2,10	33:8 42:10 44:15 48:3,6 49:10,12,13 72:6 74:6,10 88:4 89:21	22 56:4 57:10 58:14 62:7 63:4 85:11 87:1,10, 21,22 88:11	thousand 82:13 thousands	83:13 86:5 96:11 97:19 115:16 118:19 120:23 122:7,8
126:24 139:17 152:22 153:19 157:2 159:6 170:19 172:2,5, 8 179:3 181:25	94:7,23 98:21, 24 99:1 105:4, 22 115:4,15,19, 22 116:5 127:10 132:18, 24 133:23	91:22,23 92:4, 13 93:6,22 95:2 105:2 113:17 120:14 122:10, 11 130:19 132:21 135:15 136:13 137:1,8	18:14 <b>three</b> 6:20 13:8 15:19 17:2,19 23:16 26:3 27:13 33:13	123:3 131:2 132:18 134:9 137:22 142:10, 15 143:12 144:8 147:23 148:9 149:3 150:23 152:14,
testimony 191:6 text 39:22 60:6 64:15 129:22	134:4,14 145:16,18 162:2 163:7 164:12 166:23 175:11 181:6	141:20 147:23 149:17 155:22 156:8,12,19,21 158:21 166:4 167:7,11,18	52:23 53:23 66:21 106:25 112:10,14 117:4 134:5,6,8 135:9 140:8	22 165:4 172:4 177:1,12,13 185:6 186:22 189:13
texts 122:3	191:6,14 themselves	168:22 169:13, 15,20,21 171:1, 3,5,6,24 172:1	141:13 149:22, 25 169:12 176:15 178:7 179:7	throughly 11:13 169:18
than 18:21 32:20,22 43:20 45:2,7 65:2,13	168:17 therefore 38:1	173:4,5 189:7, 22,23 190:5	three-to-two	throw 133:25
66:14,15,18 67:25 71:4 74:15 75:13	98:9	thinking 92:10 99:20 159:15 171:22	184:7 <b>three-year</b> 60:8	throwing 62:5
77:17 93:19 101:9 109:11 114:3 121:2	thing 8:8 31:18 35:14 55:1 64:16,17 66:7	third 119:16	threw 64:6	Thursdays 5:14 ticket 30:6,10
125:14 127:9,	69:3 76:9 86:4	165:5 174:5	145:21	110REL 30.0,10

Index: ticking..trash

ticking 156:12	25 180:23 186:3 187:4,7	18:11 34:3 52:10 79:14	145:6	<b>town</b> 6:15,18 25:18 42:11
tie 90:23 102:13 128:15	189:23 191:3 192:3	121:16 140:23 141:12 156:19 169:8 186:23 187:6	<b>took</b> 6:15 64:21 74:24 131:24 157:20	track 14:19
tied 86:20	timeframe 45:20		tool 120:16	traditional 96:2
ties 87:8	timeline 97:19 117:10 179:3	told 30:8 35:17 96:8 97:15 109:6 190:1	<b>top</b> 29:15,17 45:19 54:8	Trail 29:12,13
tight 141:20	timeline-wise	tomorrow 30:13 137:25	43.19 54.8 134:8 140:12	training 38:17 129:7
<b>Tim</b> 190:12,13	137:21	ton 25:10 48:15	topic 20:8 183:22	transaction 46:20
time 10:9,10 19:1 22:17	timelines 147:9		topics 20:11,13	
23:19 25:22 26:5 31:22 39:13 40:24	timely 12:17 14:21	tongue 91:22,23	21:23 121:24	transactions 190:23 191:15
43:20 44:6 45:23 49:21 50:6 52:8 58:20,21 60:15	<b>times</b> 17:2 35:15,22 108:8, 17	tonight 13:11, 16 32:25 42:8, 15,22 43:6 49:15 50:1 64:24 161:11	total 17:21,22 27:13 48:17 55:24	transfer 23:2 42:11 43:24 44:2,10 46:7, 19,21,23,24
61:20 62:14 63:6 69:2 72:14 77:3,4,8,9,10, 18 78:1,14,22	<b>timeshares</b> 94:21	192:9 tonight's 4:25	totaling 27:13, 14	50:20,23,24 51:11,13,17,22, 24 52:4,10,19
79:20 86:5 94:5 97:5 109:5	timing 63:11	12:3	totally 189:9	transition 179:2
114:1 115:6 117:11 118:25 122:9 124:16	156:20 166:18 timings 185:10	<b>Tonking</b> 4:24 60:7 64:17 68:19 111:6	touch 27:6 86:7 114:25 128:22	transparency 74:8 172:2
125:19 136:2,3 137:20 138:14 141:5,22 142:2 144:18 148:11	<b>Tirol</b> 13:10	120:22 130:25 143:11 144:10 149:11 160:4,8,	touching 183:13	transparent 57:23
151:7 152:4,16 157:6,13,16,19 158:4 161:23	<b>today</b> 13:5 30:10 97:4	15,21 164:3 Tonking's 12:6	toward 149:7	trash 22:13
166:24 172:22 177:8 178:20,	106:6 184:8	60:13 62:16 66:15 122:2	towards 30:20 116:20 181:7	23:3,12 44:24 45:6 129:15
	together 11:7		I	

Index: travel..Tulloch

131:15 185:15	23	80:11,19 82:20 83:18 84:13 85:15 88:1	174:15 175:9, 21 176:5,10,11, 14 178:9	try 5:7 25:11 28:19 37:19 64:3 66:5 82:17
travel 66:25	truly 15:20 80:8 86:14 90:3	90:5,15,20 91:12,13 92:21	179:12 180:11,	93:8 110:11 111:10 135:23
traveling 13:14	117:15	93:2,11 95:17, 19 96:13,18	19 181:17,22, 23 182:5 184:14,17	158:20 159:19 168:13 172:6,7
treadmill 39:12	<b>trust</b> 96:2,4,9 174:10	97:9 98:15 100:13,21	187:16 188:8	
Treasurer 26:22	trustee 4:18,19,	102:12 103:1,7, 22 104:5,24 105:2,14	trustees 4:16,17 5:1 16:18	trying 25:19,24 46:11 52:2 56:20 65:20
treasurer's 26:21	20,21,22,23,24 5:1,24 12:6 15:8,17 21:10, 17 26:22 27:1	106:20 107:12, 20 108:25 109:18 110:21,	20:16,22 21:21 22:6 29:7 33:11 34:13 40:1,5, 22,23 60:14	82:21 84:5,11 91:6 96:14 98:15 102:17 104:8 105:3
treated 105:8	30:24 32:3 33:18 34:1 35:5	22 111:6,21 112:2,17 113:6, 9 114:10,24	63:21 64:14 65:19 66:17,24	108:18 109:14 110:10 123:19
tree 27:8 96:2	36:11,15,20,21, 22 38:12,16 39:17,21,24	115:1,2,20 116:14 118:13	67:15 68:4 69:8,13 70:5 71:6,11,12	148:15 150:17 151:18 168:20 172:5 189:22
trending 77:19 79:12	40:9,16,17 41:11,14,19,20, 21 50:9,17,23	119:2,23 120:22 122:2 125:1 128:22, 24 130:25	74:17 111:5 121:14 131:23 135:4 141:14	<b>Tuesday</b> 140:23 150:10
tricky 133:7	51:3,5,12 52:13,25 53:9, 14 54:7,12,18	135:20 136:15 137:15 138:11	145:23 162:23 163:6 164:2 180:1 182:19	tuition 17:14,22,
<b>tried</b> 7:4 84:18, 21 145:9,11	55:17 56:3,9,25 57:5 58:12,16 59:2,9,20,25	139:6,20,21 140:20 142:21, 23 143:11,14,	183:15,17,24 187:10 188:7,8 189:9	23
150:20 184:17	60:7,12,16,24, 25 62:9,16	16 144:9,15 145:6,8,23		tuition-free 17:15,22
<b>TRPA</b> 46:10	63:10,23 64:14, 17 66:15,19	147:12 148:3, 12 149:11,15 150:7 151:25	trusts 97:1,12, 13,16,23 166:5	Tulloch 4:22,23
truck 46:25 47:2	67:5,14,18,20 68:1,3,9,19	150:7 151:25 152:1 155:13 156:24 159:9,	trustworthy	6:4 26:23 27:1 30:24 32:3 22:48 24:1
<b>trucks</b> 47:4,5 59:4	69:7,12,16,20, 21 70:17,21 71:1,24 72:9	23,25 160:3,4, 6,8,15,20,21	49:1	33:18 34:1 36:15,20 38:16 39:17,21 40:16
true 65:15 72:23	73:9,19 74:12 75:11,15,18	161:9,12 162:1 167:3 168:19, 24 169:9	<b>truth</b> 17:10	41:11,19 50:9, 17,23 51:3,5,12
92:11 103:6,16 106:9 188:22,	76:3 77:1,25 78:16 79:7	24 169:9 170:19 171:21 172:11 173:13	Truthfully 123:25	52:13,25 53:9, 14 54:7,12,18
	I I			

PUBLIC MEETING - 07/12/2023

Index: Tulloch's..unwarranted

55:17 56:3,9,25 57:5 58:12,16	tweaked 109:9	102:3 123:7,13	Underrepresent ed 185:13	179:18
59:2,9,20,25 60:25 63:10 64:14 69:12	twisting 16:20	U	understand	unfortunate 80:12 119:9
73:9 75:11,16 77:25 80:11 84:13 88:1	<b>two</b> 17:18,19,20 22:24 23:16 24:12,23 27:8,	<b>U.S.</b> 191:16	15:3 38:13,25 39:4 52:1,14 54:11 55:17 59:9 62:4 77:23	<b>unfortune</b> 116:15
91:12 97:9 100:13 103:22 105:14 106:20	21 51:16 53:23 54:3 68:18 85:17 92:17	ugly 49:20	80:8,20 82:6, 11,21 90:4	ungrammaticall
110:21 112:2 113:9 116:14	94:15 97:8 111:24 119:21	ultimate 109:3	93:4,5 97:12 109:14 110:6, 11 168:20	y 17:5
119:2,23 125:1 128:22 135:20 136:15 137:15 139:6,21	121:20 122:2 131:5 132:15 135:18 136:4, 19,23 139:24	ultimately 12:10 109:17 134:9, 11,22 135:8,17 164:8,18 179:1	172:19 understanding	unless 73:2 143:19 149:3 161:1 170:22 187:14
151:25 156:24 159:9,25 160:21 169:9	141:15 143:1, 22 146:5 151:13 157:13	unable 69:8 178:2	16:5 32:2,20 54:16 71:17 87:15 89:25	unpredictable 55:15
170:19 171:21 173:13 174:15 175:9 176:14 180:11 181:23	160:20 161:25 164:2 170:17	unanimous 8:4	123:16 173:3 181:2 187:15 188:4	unrelated 178:14
184:15	<b>Tyler</b> 27:4 <b>type</b> 71:23	unbelievable 190:11	understands 131:13	unsafe 8:8
<b>Tulloch's</b> 138:11	108:10 165:13, 22 168:7,12 175:2	unclear 19:22	understatement 11:9	until 30:19 61:17 72:14
<b>turn</b> 78:9 <b>turned</b> 16:7 136:20	<b>types</b> 71:20 146:20 159:21 164:6 165:24 170:7 171:16	under 21:19 29:21 45:3 68:25 129:2 130:2 139:7	understood 33:2 73:18 118:12	73:7 74:13,18 78:5,22,25 108:18 141:3 180:7 186:24
turning 153:11	175:6	150:8,10 163:24 165:25 170:1 171:20	undertaking 186:1	untoward 137:2
<b>tweak</b> 65:4 75:17 99:19,24	<b>typical</b> 123:9 127:18	174:5 179:3 182:22	Underwood	untruths 91:20
101:19	typically 9:17	<b>underneath</b> 95:24	42:4,6,7 61:21, 25 63:9 131:15	unwarranted 19:21

Index: upcoming..viewed

upcoming 22:1 188:6	<b>USDA</b> 27:21	utilities 17:17	99:13 101:10 102:7 103:14,	84:1,17 85:3 86:8,25 88:6
update 10:24	<b>use</b> 10:3 15:7 16:7 28:25	utilization 35:10	20 104:18 106:12 155:5 185:2	93:8,10,17 130:17 131:14 187:12,18,23
33:15,18 34:7 35:4 124:6,19 147:13 182:19	29:14,20 30:25 32:5 39:4,14 58:21,25 62:20	utilize 19:19 21:20	values 16:9	188:1
183:18	98:24 101:24 103:7,12 105:17,22,24	utilized 133:17	20:25 21:1 80:10 98:2,5,6, 17 99:4,8	verification 73:10
updated 32:17 33:3 38:13 124:7 148:16	114:11 120:10 122:11 130:16 161:20,24	utilizing 21:24	100:20 101:4,5, 7,22 104:4,6,11	version 161:16, 20 162:3,4,5,16
updates 28:15	167:21 189:5	V	<b>van</b> 177:18	versus 16:3,11
29:5,6,9 32:14, 19,23 123:11 126:25 134:20	<b>used</b> 5:15 85:10,11	vacancies	vans 29:25	77:20
182:20	115:24 139:15 186:4 190:22	76:23 78:4,5, 10,11,12	vapid 184:3	vested 130:16
updating 32:22 131:25	user 12:10 88:3	vacancy 13:25 76:18 77:5,18	various 12:5 35:17 102:17	<b>vetoed</b> 164:18 169:14
upfront 118:23	User-based 12:7	123:8	146:1 150:19 171:1	vetted 139:25
upgrade 23:2 46:18	using 6:17 29:25 58:23	vacation 66:25 68:21 82:1 89:12 174:9	vendor 30:6	vetting 14:22 133:13 137:1
upset 89:14	64:20 66:15 98:18 100:23 130:3 147:21	valid 69:21	venue 6:16 28:17,18,21 31:4 38:24 40:4	<b>via</b> 4:10 167:19
urge 11:14,22 14:7 186:9	<b>USTA</b> 7:12	validation 73:11	81:20,23 82:23 83:2,11 88:3,4	video 69:14,18 70:2,3 115:18
187:5	10:18 13:2	valuable 7:14 72:10 74:22	91:10 93:6 98:8 104:22 125:17 126:6 157:17	view 23:12
urgent 61:10 180:24 181:3,5	<b>usual</b> 43:20	99:1 120:16	venues 20:17	86:13 92:7 183:24
<b>usage</b> 12:9	usually 30:1 108:12	value 18:11,24 19:24 34:2 49:4 79:2 83:6 86:1	27:18 80:3,5,9, 17 81:12,18,23 82:10 83:4,5	<b>viewed</b> 20:12 22:4

PUBLIC MEETING - 07/12/2023

Index: viewing..Weber-koch

viewing 28:23	visible 187:15	w	21 159:15,16,	45:10,22 47:19
30:4,11,13			20 164:19 165:15 170:16	49:2,22 50:11, 18,19 51:6,8
viewpoints	<b>visit</b> 40:3	wages 25:5,8	171:4 175:20	55:2 58:1
126:15	visits 6:21		178:5,10 179:23 180:19,	60:10,20 180:20
		wait 30:18 32:24	20,23,24 184:1	100.20
views 11:6 20:22 80:9 184:6	visualize 39:9	64:8 154:2 186:23	192:8	wasted 152:4 157:13
104.0	<b>vocal</b> 14:5	walk 42:24	wanted 29:10, 22 33:22 35:5	
Village 4:1,6,9	105:19		49:15 59:13	watch 7:6 115:18
8:16 10:14 12:15,24 15:1	<b>void</b> 142:9	walked 25:17	72:21 75:20 77:11 80:14	110.10
44:2 45:5,7		89:17	110:3 122:6	water 29:16,24
49:19 65:18 66:17 67:15	volunteer	want 16:3 17:5	138:24 144:11 164:7,22 168:6	47:8 129:15 130:18 131:15
68:4 70:6	152:19 159:9	22:13 32:4	170:10 173:2	
100:17 183:20	vote 14:8 76:9	37:14 38:17 42:16,17,18	174:13	way 7:9 29:24
Village's 44:8	94:10 114:20 115:2,7 117:15,	43:12,15 46:12 47:7,17 49:17	wanting 35:9	37:16 72:6 76:12 89:24
	16	52:5,17 54:4,12	57:4 140:11	91:25 93:3,20, 21 95:6 99:24
Village/crystal 173:8		55:14 57:15,18 59:15 60:14	wants 14:6 17:6	104:10 110:5
170.0	voted 6:10,12, 23 21:17 88:13	65:3,6 66:7,8,9,	88:4 124:25	116:12 118:18 120:11 122:11
violate 70:17	114:3,4,22	20 67:13 68:6 70:8 72:3,7	147:24 158:12	125:23 132:25
	115:7	82:16 83:10	warn 24:1	134:16 136:13 144:24 150:16
violated 5:21 71:12	voters 14:6	85:4 86:24 96:17 97:4		154:23 157:12,
		99:16 108:20 109:3,14 110:4,	warranted	24 173:1,5,16 183:25 189:7
violation 21:11 22:2 24:4 72:16	votes 21:18 117:17	11 115:11	97:20	
22.2 24.4 12.10	117.17	130:15,16,17 131:12 132:4	Washoe 16:8	<b>ways</b> 92:7
violations 71:7,	voting 5:25 71:1	134:13 136:22,	98:12 127:9 132:15 139:23	weather 55:15
16 73:15,16	100.10	25 139:4 145:12 149:3,	102.10 100.20	
virtually 6:19	<b>vu</b> 169:10	21,22 150:4,23	waste 22:17	Weber-koch
		151:16 153:20 154:24 158:2,	23:1,8,11 42:1, 8,22 43:1 44:15	18:13
visibility 51:18				

website 50:4	181:15	whether 21:16	wider 127:8	willingness
63:23 74:10		32:22 42:16	131:21 153:15	15:24
91:8 134:1	weird 171:6	51:20 75:19		
188:11 189:16		92:11 103:19	widow 19:1	window 45:24
		104:10 135:5		55:14,15 58:20,
wedding 35:19,	welcome 43:5	140:4 145:13		25
20	62:1 63:17	156:2 158:2	wife 10:17	20
20		168:4 182:7		
	well-planed	183:1	will 5:24 7:1	winging 110:15
Wednesday	12:18		8:11 9:21 11:20	
140:23 141:3	12.10	while 7:04 40:4	12:4 13:2 14:18	Winguast 95.1
		while 7:21 10:1		Winquest 85:1
	went 17:24 86:4	11:16 20:9 25:5	20:6 23:2,9	
weeds 110:5	88:23 109:8	44:9 85:22 86:5	24:5 26:6,24	winter 78:3,17,
	110:7,13	108:1 123:24	28:6,21 29:25	21,23 151:17
week 5:14 25:7,	115:16 120:23	134:15	30:12,14 33:21	
9 30:9 35:2	121:1 134:9		35:12 36:6,25	
37:25 38:4 40:4	137:5 154:16	white 67:8,22	38:15 41:10	wish 71:20 74:5
75:6 112:12	173:6	68:12 99:17	44:6,12 45:17	116:18 118:10
	173.0	00.12 99.17	46:8 47:13,14	
114:3 137:25			48:7 49:23 50:5	withheld 119:17
140:24 178:1	west 46:23	Whitney 18:7	51:23 52:10	withheid 119.17
		,	54:14 58:4,10	
week-long 18:2			61:1,15 65:9	within 28:24
	Western 27:12	whoever 5:18	67:1 71:1	30:21 37:17
	79:16	183:14	75:23,24 86:9	105:18 125:17,
weekend 29:12			87:18 102:20	18 126:19
	Whack 102:17	whole 6:20,22	120:16 122:8	128:6 163:25
weekly 35:8		20:23 25:9	139:11 140:25	165:19,20
•				191:18
134:19	whatever 74:1	72:1,2 83:4,5	141:23 142:16	191.10
	112:20 116:18	88:18 93:16	143:14 144:24	
weeks 13:13		100:2 111:11	150:1 159:15,	without 75:21
34:8 45:15,16,		119:7 150:25	16,19 160:10	77:5 108:10
21 55:2,5 58:17	whatnot 35:10	183:11	162:15,16	135:24
59:4 91:14	42:20 70:2		176:16 177:9,	
94:15 97:8	79:11,20	whom 133:4	12,14 178:2	
112:24 119:17,	147:22		183:17 189:4	witnessed
18 151:13			190:8 192:4	191:5
157:13	whatsoever	wicket 95:23		
107.10	72:19 73:12	99:2		<b>WM</b> 43:8,12
	12.1913.12		willing 58:2,3	· ·
weigh-in 60:14			60:8 128:20	44:8 45:10,20
75:23 94:25	Wheel 29:17	wide 128:4	178:23	48:2,10,25
		169:22		

Index: Wm's..zoom

<b>WM's</b> 44:21	156:17,18 159:10 160:3, 20 172:15,20	write 33:12 121:16 172:5	94:13,23 98:19, 22 100:4 102:5 103:13 105:5,	<b>yesterday</b> 150:10 177:8
<b>Wolf</b> 5:10	180:3	writers 89:21	12 106:16 109:1 110:3	<b>yet</b> 31:12 61:9
wonderful			112:18,19	85:13 171:14
59:18 153:13	worked 77:16	writing 11:1	113:24 118:6	186:3
03.10 100.10	110:1 127:20	-		
	144:7 186:22	182:25 190:6,8	125:7 127:16	
wondering		191:23,25	147:20 148:16	yourself 11:14
39:24 40:4			151:18 154:7,	
148:3	working 18:9	written 10:24	15 156:14,15	z
140.0	34:17 45:22	22:7 24:8,20	157:10 163:4	
	47:20 72:6	43:11 74:25	164:9 181:6,8	
Woodridge 7:9	86:10 122:7		183:3 186:17,	
•	134:12 178:8	95:1,3 121:2	18,19	<b>zero</b> 31:14
		164:1 190:16,		78:23
word 80:23		22 191:3		
89:21 127:2	works 27:16		year's 12:25	
128:3 172:17	39:8 42:4 58:1	wrong 24:10	90:17 107:23	<b>zoom</b> 4:10
175:24	73:25 134:20	wrong 24:10	109:25	23:21 188:18
		96:24 125:23		
		153:8 184:16,		
word-of-mouth	workshop 17:13	19 186:6	years 9:11,24,	
24:13	18:3,20	189:10	25 10:1,8,15	
			12:2 14:19	
wording 76:10			17:21 29:17,19	
wording 76:12	worse 18:12,13	wrote 5:18 35:8	33:13 40:21	
188:8	91:5	82:20,22 95:10	52:23 53:23	
		147:11	54:3 59:17,18	
words 6:10,12	worst 189:3		60:3 61:21	
17:8 124:12		v	64:12 68:18	
17.0 127.12		I		
	worth 21:5		69:4 70:24	
work 11:7 12:22	73:24 98:20		85:1,17 86:12	
14:4 15:4 37:25	107:1	yard 45:14	90:10,13	
38:4 39:10		-	100:19 102:1	
47:18 50:20			107:25 112:8	
57:25 62:10	worthless 6:19	year 7:19 27:23	113:20 131:10	
63:10 64:13,21		29:4 33:13	139:24 146:5	
•	WOW 100.5	45:18 53:19,21	147:19 154:8	
65:9 66:25	<b>wow</b> 189:5	55:2 69:18	178:7 179:7	
68:21 69:9		77:8,20,21	185:1	
133:3 137:24	Wright 17:2	78:15 79:12		
140:25 142:6	23:23 92:17	82:14 88:22		
145:11 149:7	188:19 189:18	90:9,12,15	yellow 130:7	
			l	l



151 Country Estates Circle Reno, NV 89511 Phone: (800) 330-1112 litigationservices.com

# INVOICE

1 of 1

Invoice No.	Invoice Date	Job No.		
1633080	7/21/2023	999220		
Job Date	Case No.			
7/12/2023				
Case Name				
Incline Village General Improvement District Board of Trustees Meeting				
Payment Terms				
Net 30				

Susan A. Herron, CMC Incline Village General Improvement District 893 Southwood Boulevard Incline Village , NV 89451

#### ORIGINAL AND 1 CERTIFIED COPY OF TRANSCRIPT OF: Public Meeting

TOTAL DUE >>>

\$2,769.45

Location of Job : parties to appear via zoom

The LIT Group 079F

Please note, disputes or refunds will not be honored or issued after 30 days

Charge to 100-11-100-6030 \$500 Base Fee \$7.95 per page = 285.46 pages

S. Herron 07-26-2023

Tax ID: 20-3835523

Please detach bottom portion and return with payment.

Susan A. Herron, CMC Incline Village General Improvement District 893 Southwood Boulevard Incline Village, NV 89451 Job No.: 999220BU ID: RN-CRCase No.:::Case Name: Incline Village General Improvement District<br/>Board of Trustees Meeting:Invoice No.: 1633080Invoice Date : 7/21/2023Total Due: \$2,769.45

PAYMENT WI	TH CREDIT CARD	AMEX	MasterCard	VISA
Cardholder's Na	ame:			
Card Number:				
Exp. Date:	Phone	#:		
Billing Address:				
Zip:	Card Security Cod	le:		
Amount to Cha	rge:			
Cardholder's Sig	gnature:			
Email:				
			Page 1	22 of 38'

Remit To: Sunshine Reporting and Litigation Services, LLC P.O. Box 103091 Pasadena, CA 91189-3091 MY NAME IS BILL FEAST AND MY FAMILY AND I HAVE BEEN OWNER/RESIDENTS IN INCLINE VILLAGE FOR 15 YEARS. WE HAVE BEEN CONSISTENT, FREQUENT MEMBERS OF THE TENNIS & PICKLEBALL PLAYING COMMUNITY EVER SINCE. MY WIFE AND I BOTH PLAY SOCIAL AND USTA LEAGUE TENNIS AND OUR NOW ADULT DAUGHTER PARTICIPATED IN KIDS PROGRAMS, LATER BECOMING AN IVGID EMPLOYEE WHO TAUGHT CHILDREN TENNIS AT THE CENTER.

~...

I SPOKE BEFORE THE BOARD INITIALLY ON JULY 27, 2022 AND SUBSEQUENTLY SUBMITTED A WRITTEN UPDATE TO BOARD MEMBERS FOR THE JANUARY 25, 2023 MEETING, AS I COULD NOT ATTEND. MY COMMENTS WERE SUBMITTED IN WRITING AND SHOULD BE READILY AVAILABLE FOR YOUR REVIEW.

MEMBERS OF THE TENNIS PLAYING COMMUNITY, INCLUDING MYSELF AND JOHN, BEGAN MEETING WITH SHEILA AND TENNIS CENTER TEAM IN SUMMER 2022 TO REPRESENT THE CONCERNS AND VIEWS OF OUR FELLOW PLAYERS AND WORK TOGETHER TO FIND REALISTIC SOLUTIONS, NEAR AND LONG TERM.

IT IS AN UNDERSTATEMENT TO SAY THAT THE COURT CONDITIONS WERE THE #1 CONCERN.

JOHN HAS WELL REPRESENTED THE SPECIFIC, RELEVANT LANGUAGE FROM THE 2016 LLOYD REPORT. I URGE YOU TO READ IT FOR YOURSELF IN YOUR DUE DILIGENCE AS YOU FINALIZE THE BUDGET PROCESS. IT IS HARD TO ESCAPE THE CONCLUSION THAT, WHILE SOME RECOMMENDATIONS SUCH AS EXPANSION OF PICKLEBALL AND THE RENOVATION OF THE OFFICE/RESTROOM FACILITY WERE COMPLETED, THE MOST FUNDAMENTAL COURT REPAIR AND RECONSTRUCTION WAS NOT.

**BANDAIDS WILL NO LONGER SUFFICE.** 

I URGE THE BOARD TO PROVIDE THE FUNDING FOR RECONSTRUCTION TO BEGIN AS PER IVGID MANAGEMENT'S PROPOSAL. GIVEN THE CONVERSION OF COURTS 8-11 TO PICKLEBALL, IT IS IMPERATIVE FOR THE REMAINING 7 COURTS TO BE PROFESSIONALLY MAINTAINED AND PRESERVED NOW AND FOR YEARS TO COME.

I AM HEARTENED TO SEE ON TONIGHT'S AGENDA THAT THE BOARD WILL BE DISCUSSING THE POSSIBILITY OF VARIOUS ADVISORY COMMITTEES, ONE OF WHICH IS TENNIS/PICKLEBALL, AS PART OF TRUSTEE MICHAELA TONKING'S REQUEST. USER BASED INPUT AND PERSPECTIVE ARE ESSENTIAL TO ENSURING ALIGNMENT ON PRIORITIES, ESPECIALLY THOSE FOCUSED ON INCREASING USAGE, REVENUE AND ULTIMATELY THE USER EXPERIENCE. THANKS TO MICHAELA FOR HER PREVIOUS ENGAGEMENT WITH THE TENNIS CENTER AND WE WOULD LOOK FORWARD TO THAT CONTINUING.

OUR RECREATIONAL ASSETS ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF OUR COMMUNITY AND WHAT MAKES INCLINE VILLAGE WHAT IT IS. I AM IN FAVOR, AS ARE A LOT OF FELLOW OWNERS I KNOW, OF THE PROPER, TIMELY AND WELL PLANNED MAINTENANCE AND ENHANCEMENT OF THOSE ASSETS.

BEFORE CLOSING, I WOULD LIKE TO THANK SHEILA, SYLVAIN AND BASIA FOR THEIR WORK AND COLLABORATION ON TENNIS CENTER MATTERS.

THE TENNIS PLAYING COMMUNITY LOOKS FORWARD TO A GREAT INCLINE VILLAGE OPEN LATER THIS MONTH TO BUILD ON LAST YEARS SUCCESSFUL REBOOT.

IN ADDITION, WE WILL START USTA LEAGUE PLAY SOON WITH AS MANY AS 5 OR 6 TEAMS REPRESENTING INCLINE.

LESS WELL KNOWN TODAY IS THAT TENNIS HAS GROWN BY DOUBLE DIGITS NATIONALLY AND WE KNOW THIS LOCALLY AS WELL, THOUGH ANECDOTALLY. INCLINE VILLAGE DESERVES A TENNIS CENTER COMMENSURATE WITH THAT GROWTH.

**BILL FEAST** 

. ~\*

**BOARD OF TRUSTEES MEETING** 

JULY 12, 2023

#### PUBLIC COMMENT - IVGID BOT - JULY 12, 2023. KAYE SHACKFORD. DONNA DRIVE.

At the Board meetings on June 23rd and July 6th, Frank Wright attacked me three times in his Public Comments for "stealing from the district with her phony 'let's make you smile' seminars." He said, rather ungrammatically, that "She doesn't want us to save that money, she wants to keep spending it on herself through her company that she has." Because his words are now part of the Board record, and those who don't know him may assume there may be some truth in what he's saying, I thought it best to introduce some data.

Attached to this Public Comment is my record of IVGID folks who attended our *Negotiating Solutions* workshops from 1996 to 2022, the tuition that was paid for their attendance, and the tuition-free scholarships we extended to them. Graduates include a General Manager, Director of Utilities, Maintenance Manager, Marketing Manager, two Directors of Parks & Recreation, two Directors of Golf, three Engineering Managers, Mountain Operations Manager, and two HR managers. In total, 21 participants over 26 years, 14 tuition-free scholarships, total tuition paid \$16,100, for an average cost for tuition of \$767. There was also a facility fee that went to Granlibakken not to us.

What did they get? Participation in a week-long, immersive, intensive, executive-level workshop in business-to-business negotiation, learning from and with high level participants from the aviation and semi-conductor industries - Airbus, American Airlines, Boeing, Delta, Air Canada, Pratt & Whitney, Horizon Air, Raytheon, Lam Research, and others. Learning how to build the working relationships that can deal well with differences, and how to create value together, to find those things better for you not worse for us, and better for us not worse for you.

Lee Weber Koch alone can point to hundreds of thousands of dollars she saved IVGID based on what she learned. Each graduate can point to increased effectiveness inside IVGID and externally with residents, suppliers, regulators, and politicians.

My husband Joe and I started running this workshop - of my design - in 1988. We have over 4000 graduates; each pays a lot more than \$767 to attend. Do I need IVGID's money? Give me a break. But we gave those scholarships because we believe in adding value. What do you believe in, Frank?

So the next time you attack an 81-year-old widow in your Public Comments, or, for that matter, attack her at the Recall Petition table at Raleys, at least get the name right. That's *Negotiating Solutions.com*, Frank.

Thank you.

۰.,

#### **IVGID Graduates of the Negotiating Solutions Workshop - 1996-2023**

Roger Eggenburg, Director of Utilities	1996	tuition-free scholarship
Mike Workman, Maintenance Manager	1996	tuition-free scholarship
Doug Doolittle, Director of Parks & Recreation	1996	tuition-free scholarship
John Hughes, Director of Golf	1996	tuition-free scholarship
Ned Stock, Ski Resort Manager	1996	tuition-free scholarship
Pat Finnigan, GM	1996	tuition-free scholarship
Janice Lewandowski, Co-manager, Golf Courses	1997	Can't find reference
Kim Kelsch, Director, Finance & Admin	1997	tuition-free scholarship
Dan St. John, Director of Engineering	1997	tuition-free scholarship
Laurie Gwinn, HR Manager	1997	tuition-free scholarship
Lee Weber Koch, Marketing Manager	1997	tuition-free scholarship
Ed Youmans, Mountain Operations Mgr	1997	tuition-free scholarship
Beth Coffey-Curle, Business Office Mgr.	1998	\$1400
Ramona Cruz, Controller	1998	\$1400
Charlie Kent, Director of Golf	2012	tuition-free scholarship
Brad Johnson, Director of Engrg & Asset Mgmt	2017	tuition-free scholarship
Indra Winquist, Director Parks & Recreation	2017	\$3100
Susan Herron, IVGID District Clerk	2018	tuition-free scholarship
Dee Carey, HR Director	2017	(Alternative currency worth \$2600)
Kate Nelson, Engineering Manager	2022	\$3800
Mike Gove, Director of IT	2022	\$3800

21 total participants over 26 years.

Total tuition or equivalent tuition paid for 6 attendees: \$16,100.

14 tuition-free scholarships...

(Data on Janice Lewandowski not available.)

Average out-of-pocket cost for tuition = \$767 apiece

Kaye M Shackford July 12, 2023

ι.

**`**>

#### Mick Homan

#### **Incline Resident**

I have comments on a couple items.

First – The proposal to create advisory committees in Topic G.4., I believe the defined goal for the golf committee is too narrow and potentially divisive.

The stated Goal is to "Formulate a 5-year sustainable plan that <u>provides fairness and</u> <u>equity to all recreation passholders</u> and protects the future of our District golf courses and driving range"

In light of recent public comments, the first part of the goal – providing fairness and equity to all passholders – could be construed as an attempt to reduce access for the clubs that utilize the course. At a 70-75% occupancy rate, this would be both unwarranted and fiscally irresponsible. It's also unclear what "protecting the future of the golf courses and driving range" means. For the committee to drive value for the district, I suggest broadening the scope. It should be to "assist the staff and board in optimizing all aspects of the golf operations, including revenue growth and cost efficiency opportunities and expanding community participation." It should be focused on both a near-term <u>and</u> 5-year time horizon. That combination will drive a more sustainable model.

Second, I'd caution the board on any actions related to Topic G.2 - Frequently Asked Questions. While the concept is good, it should be focused on IVGID's policies and operations. Most of the topics included in the meeting materials can easily be viewed as political in nature. And Most of the topics have nothing to do with IVGID policy and practices. In fact, most relate to concerns community members have raised about individual Trustees.

For example, questions 4 and 5 deal with the board's <u>intent</u> on privatizing venues or changing the model. The question itself deals with the board's intentions or plans – not past actions. Further, I believe residents are commenting on individual trustees and their views and actions – not the board as a whole. Questions 7 and 8 deal with the impact of reducing rec fees and punch card values and the negative impact on home values and rights. There is no factual answer for this. Combined with the cap on punch card availability, I can easily see how residents believe their beach rights have declined and that their home ownership may be worth less as a result. On question 10, the Board very clearly <u>did</u> push for and approve the more punitive golf cancellation policy that they just rescinded. On question 2 related to Trustee Dent's possible ethics violation, it's inappropriate to state this is false when there is an open investigation. And on questions 12 and 13 dealing with the Duffield grant, the better question to ask is whether the project would have continued to proceed if Trustee Schmitz had voted yes on both votes.

Under any circumstances, it's inappropriate to utilize District resources to defend the actions of individual Trustees. At present, with a recall effort related to many of the same topics included in the materials, it could easily be construed as utilizing district resources to impact current ballot issues or candidates in an upcoming election. That would look like a direct violation of Nevada Ethics Statutes - NRS 281.A.520 – and I'd be surprised if General counsel would approve the materials if viewed through that lens.

GOOD EVENING. MY NAME IS JOHN KLEIN. I LIVE AT 321 WOODRIDGE WAY. I AM THE HEAD COACH FOR THE BOYS AND GIRLS TENNIS TEAMS AT INCLINE HIGH SCHOOL. ADDITIONALLY, I CAPTAINED OR CO-CAPTAINED USTA ADULT TENNIS TEAMS AT THE TENNIS CENTER.

I BELIEVE THE TENNIS CENTER IS A VALUABLE COMMUNITY ASSET.

I AM RESPONDING TO A REMARK MADE BY A MEMBER OF THE PUBIC AT THE JUNE 28TH MEETING WHO QUESTIONED THE NEED FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS FOR THE TENNIS COURTS IN THE PROPOSED BUDGET AND THE FIVE-YEAR C I P. HE SAID, AND I QUOTE: *"MY INSPECTION ON MONDAY INDICATES THE COURT ARE IN GOOD SHAPE"*.

WHILE I ACKNOWLEDGE THE GENTLEMAN'S EXPERTISE ON BUDGETS AND RESPECT AND APPRECIATE HIS MANY HOURS OF SERVICE TO IVGID, I DO NOT BELIEVE HIS CURSORY EXAMINATION OF THE COURTS IS A SUBSTITUTE FOR THE PROFESSIONAL INSPECTION AND THE SUBSEQUENT REPORT COMMISSIONED BY IVGID. BEFORE I DISCUSS THAT REPORT I WOULD LIKE TO NOTE...

ON JANUARY 24TH, BILL FEAST EMAILED THIS BOARD, NOTING THERE IS UNANIMOUS ALIGNMENT BETWEEN THE TENNIS PLAYING COMMUNITY, IVGID MANAGEMENT AND TENNIS CENTER TEAM THAT TENNIS COURT CONDITIONS ARE DIRE AND NOT ONLY NEGATIVELY IMPACTING PLAY QUALITY BUT IN MANY CASES ARE UNSAFE AND HAZARDOUS. THE LAST THING WE NEED IS A LAWSUIT BECAUSE SOMEONE GETS INJURED ON THESE COURTS.

HE FURTHER NOTED THIS SITUATION DID NOT OCCUR OVERNIGHT NOR WILL IT BE REMEDIED OVERNIGHT BUT IT IS IMPERATIVE THAT SHORT TERM AND LONG TERM SOLUTIONS BE PLANNED FOR IN THIS FINANCIAL BUDGET PLANNING PERIOD.

NOW, BACK TO THE REPORT I MENTIONED: I REFER THE BOARD TO "INCLINE VILLAGE TENNIS CENTER FACILITIES ASSESSMENT AND MASTER PLAN," PERFORMED BY LLOYD CIVIL & SPORTS ENGINEERING OF SCOTTSDALE, AZ, SUBMITTED AUGUST 2016. I EMAILED EACH OF YOU A COPY OF THIS REPORT. I'D LIKE TO HIGHLIGHT SPECIFIC LANGUAGE DIRECTLY FROM THE REPORT. QUOTE:

PAGE 7"#3: ESTABLISH AN ASSET MANAGEMENT PLAN TO STRATEGICALLY MANAGE THE FUNDING OF FUTURE PROJECTS AND THE CONTINUAL REPLACEMENT AND MAINTENANCE OF EXISTING FACILITIES."

END QUOTE.

THIS WAS NEVER DONE. AN ASSET MANAGEMENT PLAN WAS NOT ESTABLISHED.

QUOTE:

SECTION D; PAGES 24: "AS THE FACILITY CONTINUES TO AGE......, IT IS IMPERATIVE THAT FURTHER BRICK AND MORTAR INVESTMENTS BE MADE."

"COURTS 1-7 ARE NOW OVER 35 YEARS OLD AND EVEN THOUGH THE DISTRICT HAS DONE AN EXEMPLARY JOB MAINTAINING AND EXTENDING THEIR SERVICE LIFE, THE REALITY IS THAT TENNIS COURT PAVEMENT SYSTEMS ARE TYPICALLY DESIGNED FOR A 30 TO 40 YEAR SERVICE LIFE. THE DRAINAGE SYSTEMS, PAVEMENTS, COATINGS AND STRUCTURAL AGGREGATE BASES WILL NEED RECONSTRUCTION IN THE VERY FORESEEABLE FUTURE, 5-7 YEARS." END QUOTE.

5-7 YEARS... IT IS NOW ALMOST 8 YEARS SINCE THAT REPORT, AND THE COURTS, WHILE THEY MAY LOOK GOOD TO THE NAKED EYE, ARE IN POOR STRUCTURAL SHAPE. TO USE A SIMPLE ANALOGY, OUR COURTS LOOKS LIKE A BEAUTIFUL HOUSE WITH A SHINY NEW PAINT JOB, BUT WHAT YOU CAN'T SEE IS IT IS INFESTED WITH TERMITES AND ABOUT TO FALL APART.

THE COURTS IN QUESTION® ARE APPROACHING 50 YEARS OLD. THE'VE HAD A GOOD RUN, BUT IT IS TIME, PAST TIME IN FACT, TO PROTECT THIS ASSET.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME AND SERIOUS CONSIDERATION.

#### COMMENTS TO IVGID BOARD OF TRUSTEE'S

#### 12 JULY 2023

My name is Paul Smith and I live at 1437 Tirol Drive here in Incline Village. My purpose tonight is to address Agenda item G 4 referencing the General Manager Search committee.

I just returned from five weeks traveling mostly in Asia and clearly missed quite a few developments here in Incline. Fortunately, I was able by internet to see parts of recent meetings.

First, I am pleased to note that the current Board majority is properly focusing on key matters related to the operation and sustainability of IVGID. For example, the effluent pipeline, financial records and reports to the State, operations at the resident owned beaches, and now vacancy filing. Thank you.

Please continue to avoid being distracted by "shinney objects" like the Parasol building and the false \$25 million for a gym. Also, as difficult as it may be personally, please continue your good work despite the recall effort. It is sad that a vocal group wants to remove the choice voters made as part of the legal election process. I urge all Incline residents to not sign any recall petitions and/or vote NO on any actual recall.

So...continue doing your duty to oversee the operation of IVGID. I appreciate you digging in and addressing long-festering issues especially related to beach access and financial reporting and the need to complete the effluent pipeline.

Second, the selection of a chief financial officer and a General Manager are critical to the current and future operational success of IVGID. A good solid pick for each position will set our improvement district on track for many years of focused progress and betterment. These are critical decisions that must be made in a timely manner.

Please be personally involved in the search and vetting of the candidates and make certain that the final decisions are made by matching the operational needs of IVGID with the <u>skills and</u> <u>documented experience of the candidates.</u> Incline Village needs nice people but we also need key managers who have relevant and documented experience and understand how to work with an elected Board that is overseen by the public in a rather regular manner.

In the context of the selection process; please do not use the IVGID HR director to manage this search. Instead appoint a Trustee to lead and form a search committee and give the committee a budget. Please also seek resident input and oversight at each level e.g., recruitment level, then at the paring down or initial cut level, and then at the final selection level. I suggest that you appoint a different resident for each level of the process instead of leaving one resident for the entire process.

Finally, thank you to each Trustee for all that you personally do for our community. However I offer a special thanks to the majority three who have truly put the community first. Thank you.

### WRITTEN STATEMENT TO BE ATTACHED TO AND MADE A PART OF THE WRITTEN MINUTES OF THE IVGID BOARD'S REGULAR JULY 12, 2023 MEETING – AGENDA ITEM G(1) – WASTE-MANAGEMENT'S REQUEST FOR A FIVE (5) YEAR EXTEN-SION OF ITS SOLID WASTE FRANCHISE IS CONCERNED

**Introduction**: Well "here's another one" according to my friend DJ Khaled<sup>1</sup>! It doesn't matter what it is this District does/fails to do. Because it's essentially *everything*! Over and over and over again. Essentially everything one examines having anything to do with the District eventually leads to a finding of evilness, incompetence and waste. Which is generally hidden from the IVGID Board and the public by our wonderful staff who are so quick to publicize the "transparency" card. And here we have another example; staff's granting of a request by Waste-Management to address the Board with its request for a premature extension of its solid waste franchise agreement. Members like me can't get an audience before the IVGID Board. But if you're a favored collaborator like Waste-Management, our doors are open to you.

I keep telling the Board and the public that the District is not being properly managed<sup>2</sup> and as a consequence, the facilities and services it furnishes can and should be more efficiently provided by another district<sup>3</sup> or Washoe County. Or more preferably, IVGID should simply be dissolved<sup>3</sup> and its functions taken over by the private sector. Regardless, in the interim, and in order to provide evidence in support of dissolution, let's examine another episode of the waste and incompetence the District engages in which ends up costing local parcel/dwelling unit owners even though this waste

<sup>1</sup> Go to https://www.djkhaledofficial.com/.

<sup>3</sup> NRS 318.490(1)-(2) instruct that "whenever a majority of the members of the board of county commissioners...deem it to be in the best interests of the county and of the district that the district be merged, consolidated or dissolved, or if the board of trustees of a district, by resolution...agrees to such a merger, consolidation or dissolution, the board of county commissioners shall so determine by ordinance, (that)...(b) the services of the district are no longer needed or can be more effectively performed by an existing unit of government (or)...that the district should be dissolved, merged or consolidated."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> NRS 318.515(1)(a) and 318.515(3)(a)-(d) instruct that "upon notification by the Department of Taxation or upon receipt of a petition signed by 20 percent of the qualified electors of the district, that...a district of which the board of county commissioners is not the board of trustees is not being properly managed...the board of county commissioners of the county in which the district is located shall hold a hearing to consider...(a) adopt(ion of) an ordinance constituting the board of county commissioners, *ex officio*, as the board of trustees of the district; (b) adopt(ion of) an ordinance providing for the merger, consolidation or dissolution of the district...(c) fil(ing) a petition in...district court for the...appointment of a receiver for the district; or, (d) determin(ing) by resolution that management and organization of the district...remain unchanged.."

has nothing to do with parcel/dwelling unit owners' "availability" to access and use District recreation and beach facilities and the programs offered thereat<sup>4</sup>. That's the purpose of this written statement.

**The Board's June 28, 2023 Board Meeting**: Agenda item G(1) to the Board's June 28, 2023 meeting<sup>5</sup> sought a staff initiative "that the Board of Trustees makes a motion to accept Waste Management's proposal to...[prematurely (the current solid waste franchise agreement 'is set to expire June 30, 2026))] approve (a) 5-year extension (of the current solid waste franchise)...contract (in consideration of)...expan(sion of) the residential green waste program to a 32 week seasonal collection period." When I and others objected to this stupid proposed agreement staff apparently approved of, this matter was removed from the agenda altogether.

**My Written Statement to be Attached to The Minutes of The Board's June 28, 2023 Meeting:** I prepared a written statement to be attached to the minutes of this meeting. And since those minutes have not as of yet been prepared for approval by the Board, I note that therein I proposed the many reasons why any request on Waste Management's behalf was premature, as well as the fact that the District's acquisition of Refuse, Inc.'s Incline Village transfer station be made a part of the negotiations for any solid waste extension with Waste Management. I refer the reader to this written statement once it becomes available for public viewing.

**This July 12, 2023 Board Meeting**: Now Waste Management is back for a second bite at the apple. Except now rather than seeking approval of this proposed extension, staff are asking the Board for direction as to how to respond. Of course this assumes our staff is competent enough to even be involved in such negotations...WHICH IT IS NOT!

**My E-Mails of July 8 and 12, 2023**: On July 8, 2023 I sent an e-mail<sup>6</sup> to the IVGID Board objecting to this matter being on the agenda. I argued that due to our staff's incompetence, Othere were and are much larger issues at play with any such extension agreement and that a citizens advisory committee should be selected in lieu thereof to deal with Waste-Management. Rather than regurgitate more from my July 8, 2023 e-mail, I refer the reader to the particulars of the same.

On July 12, 2023 I sent another e-mail to the IVGID Board<sup>6</sup> suggesting a series of questions to ask Waste-Management's presenter this evening (who I assume will be Mr. Barry Skolnick), given Mr. Skolnick's misrepresentation that Waste Management is "not seeking a rate increase associated with

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This is the justification staff claims for its involuntary assessment of the Recreation ("RFF") and Beach ("BFF") Facility Fees (go to https://www.yourtahoeplace.com/uploads/pdf-ivgid/G.2.\_-\_\_\_\_Recreation\_Standby\_and\_Service\_Charges.pdf).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Go to https://www.yourtahoeplace.com/uploads/pdf-ivgid/G.1.\_-\_General\_Business\_-\_Waste\_Management.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> That a string of e-mails including this particular one is attached as Exhibit "A" to this written statement.

(its) investment (as) the pricing mechanism will not change under this extension."<sup>7</sup> Rather than regurgitate more from my July 8, 2023 e-mail, I refer the reader to the particulars of the same.

**Agenda Item G(4) to Tonight's Board Meeting**<sup>8</sup>: proposes "approv(al of) the proposed structure of the board committees, assign(ment of) a Trustee to the Golf...and...Capital Improvement/ Investment Committee(s) and authoriz(ation for) staff to advertise for community at-large members for each committee."<sup>9</sup> Why not create a third committee? The Solid Waste Extension Negotiation Committee. Select a Trustee, and advertise for community at large members.

**Conclusion**: The solid waste franchise is one of the most important tasks this Board approves. It is only superseded by replacement of the existing effluent export pipeline, and construction of an effluent storage pond. Unless we control the current transfer station, our fate is doomed insofar as controlling our solid waste disposal fees. And if we let Refuse, Inc. improve that station to the tune of \$5 million or more, we will in essence never, never, ever be able to afford acquisition of the transfer station. So we need to take whatever measures we can now to bring this about. That means saying no to Waste Management's current request. And creating a competent committee to negotiated with Waste Management for real consideration should we agree to the five (5) year extension it requests.

And you wonder why your public utility rates are as high as they are and never seem to go down in amount? I've now provided more answers.

Respectfully, Aaron Katz (Your Community Watchdog Because Nearly No One Else Seems to be Watching).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See page 928 of the packet of materials prepared by staff in anticipation of tonight's Board meeting ("the 7/12/2023 Board packet").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Go to https://www.yourtahoeplace.com/uploads/pdf-ivgid/G.4.\_-\_General\_Business\_-\_Committees.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See page 1004 of the 7/12/2023 Board packet.

**EXHIBIT "A"** 

## The IVGID Board's July 12, 2023 Board Meeting - Agenda Item G(1) -Proposed Extension of The Reno Disposal aka Waste-Management Solid Waste Disposal Franchise - Questions to Ask Mr. Skolnick

From:	<s4s@ix.netcom.com></s4s@ix.netcom.com>
To:	"Matthew Dent" <dent_trustee@ivgid.org></dent_trustee@ivgid.org>
Cc:	"Schmitz Sara" <schmitz_trustee@ivgid.org>, "Tonking Michaela" <tonking_trustee@ivgid.org>, "Tulloch Ray"</tonking_trustee@ivgid.org></schmitz_trustee@ivgid.org>
	<tulloch_trustee@ivgid.org>, "Noble Dave" <noble_trustee@ivgid.org></noble_trustee@ivgid.org></tulloch_trustee@ivgid.org>
Subject	t: The IVGID Board's July 12, 2023 Board Meeting - Agenda Item G(1) - Proposed Extension of The Reno Disposal
	aka Waste-Management Solid Waste Disposal Franchise - Questions to Ask Mr. Skolnick
Date:	Jul 12, 2023 10:53 AM

Chairperson Dent and Other Honorable Members of the IVGID Board:

After Waste-Management's dog and pony show presentation this evening, how about one or more of you asking Mr. Skolnick the following questions:

Is the owner of the transfer station charging Reno Disposal Co. anything to use its transfer station? If so what?
 Does not that cost go into the mix of allowable expenses to determine the minimum return Reno Disposal Co. is guaranteed under the solid waste franchise? In other words, the greater the expense the lower the return to Reno Disposal Co., and the need for higher rates to meet the guaranteed minimum return. Right?

3. If the owner of the transfer station is going to invest \$5+ million in proposed improvements, does it not intend to recoup that investment in the form of the rent it charges Reno Disposal Co?

4. If so, isn't that going to increase the allowable expenses Reno Disposal Co. is entitled to charge against revenues for purposes of determining its guaranteed minimum under the trash franchise?

5. If so, isn't that likely to increase the refuse rates we pay?

6. So how do you get off representing that your proposal doesn't increase the refuse rates we pay based upon the formula contained in the trash franchise?

Thank you, Aaron Katz

-----Original Message-----From: <s4s@ix.netcom.com> Sent: Jul 8, 2023 1:34 PM To: Matthew Dent <dent\_trustee@ivgid.org> Cc: Schmitz Sara <schmitz\_trustee@ivgid.org>, Tonking Michaela <tonking\_trustee@ivgid.org>, Tulloch Ray <tulloch\_trustee@ivgid.org>, Noble Dave <noble\_trustee@ivgid.org> Subject: The IVGID Board's July 12, 2023 Board Meeting - Agenda Item G(1) - Proposed Extension of The Reno Disposal aka Waste-Management Solid Waste Disposal Franchise - Update

Chairperson Dent and the Other Honorable Members of the IVGID Board -

I hope this agenda item is what paragraphs I [see page 923 of the Board packet ("receive a presentation from Waste Management and advise Staff how to proceed")] and V (see page 925 of the Board packet) of the staff memo represent. That is that "this memorandum is for presentation purposes" only. In other words, as paragraph IX of the staff memo (see page 926 of the Board packet) represents, staff are seeking nothing more than "Board of Trustees...direction...on how to move forward with the request from Waste Management...for a 5-year contract extension as stated in Section 3,

Term and Renewal, of the current franchise agreement."

Madonna Dunbar tells us at paragraph VI of the staff memo (see page 925 of the Board packet) that this agenda item is not at the request of staff but rather, Waste-Management: "This presentation has been placed on the agenda at the request of Waste Management." So my question is WHERE DOES WASTE MANAGEMENT GET OFF HAVING AN ITEM IT WANTS ON THE BOARD'S AGENDA, ACTUALLY PLACED THEREON?

There are a number of items I have requested over the years be placed on the agenda of a Board meeting so I can address them to the Board. And NEVER have staff allowed this to take place. Yet when it comes to a favored collaborator like Waste Management, they benefit from special treatment. HOW COME?

Hopefully you will recall that on June 27, 2023 I wrote to each of you concerning problems with this agenda item. Please refer to that e-mail if you don't recall the issues at play, or ask me to send you another copy which I would be happy to do.

Regardless, please understand YOUR STAFF IS NOT COMPETENT TO DEAL WITH THIS MATTER. We already know from past experience that Mr. Underwood is not capable of negotiating anything with anyone. And Madonna Dunbar is nothing more than an admin person with no managerial nor negotiating skills. So why do we want to give either "direction?"

I don't know how many times I need to share this with staff and the Board. The issue here is ownership of the transfer station. Since staff is not equipped to deal with negotiating the issue, turn it over to people who can. And that's what you tell Waste Management. They will be contacted by negotiating representatives of the District (whoever they are) insofar as their request is concerned.

So when Madonna Dunbar asks at page 926 of the Board packet "if the Board desires to pursue the 5-year contract extension (proposed by Waste-Management) at this time," the answer should be no.

When she asks whether "there other contract terms the Board would like to discuss with WM for possible revision?

And if they can't wait or they're not interested in negotiating, then I guess they can wait three (3) more years for the contract to expire.

Respectfully, Aaron Katz...

### WRITTEN STATEMENT TO BE ATTACHED TO AND MADE A PART OF THE WRITTEN MINUTES OF THE IVGID BOARD'S REGULAR JULY 12, 2023 MEETING – AGENDA ITEM C – PUBLIC COMMENTS – SOME OBSERVATIONS INSOFAR AS THE APPARENT VIOLATIONS OF BEACH FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION ZONES ARE CONCERNED

**Introduction**: Well "here's another one" according to my friend DJ Khaled<sup>1</sup>! It doesn't matter what it is this District does/fails to do. Because it's essentially *everything*! Over and over and over again. Essentially everything one examines having anything to do with the District eventually leads to a finding of evilness, incompetence and waste. Which is generally hidden from the IVGID Board and the public by our wonderful staff who are so quick to publicize the "transparency" card. And here we have another example; staff's refusal to enforce board policy insofar as use of the beaches for freedom of expression purposes.

I keep telling the Board and the public that the District is not being properly managed<sup>2</sup> and as a consequence, the facilities and services it furnishes can and should be more efficiently provided by another district<sup>3</sup> or Washoe County. Or more preferably, IVGID should simply be dissolved<sup>3</sup> and its functions taken over by the private sector. Regardless, in the interim, and in order to provide evidence in support of dissolution, let's examine another episode of the waste and incompetence the District engages in which ends up costing local parcel/dwelling unit owners even though this waste

<sup>1</sup> Go to https://www.djkhaledofficial.com/.

<sup>3</sup> NRS 318.490(1)-(2) instruct that "whenever a majority of the members of the board of county commissioners...deem it to be in the best interests of the county and of the district that the district be merged, consolidated or dissolved, or if the board of trustees of a district, by resolution...agrees to such a merger, consolidation or dissolution, the board of county commissioners shall so determine by ordinance, (that)...(b) the services of the district are no longer needed or can be more effectively performed by an existing unit of government (or)...that the district should be dissolved, merged or consolidated."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> NRS 318.515(1)(a) and 318.515(3)(a)-(d) instruct that "upon notification by the Department of Taxation or upon receipt of a petition signed by 20 percent of the qualified electors of the district, that...a district of which the board of county commissioners is not the board of trustees is not being properly managed...the board of county commissioners of the county in which the district is located shall hold a hearing to consider...(a) adopt(ion of) an ordinance constituting the board of county commissioners, *ex officio*, as the board of trustees of the district; (b) adopt(ion of) an ordinance providing for the merger, consolidation or dissolution of the district...(c) fil(ing) a petition in...district court for the...appointment of a receiver for the district; or, (d) determin(ing) by resolution that management and organization of the district...remain unchanged.."

has nothing to do with parcel/dwelling unit owners' "availability" to access and use District recreation and beach facilities and the programs offered thereat<sup>4</sup>. That's the purpose of this written statement.

**My E-Mail of July 4, 2023**: On July 4, 2023 I sent an e-mail<sup>5</sup> to the IVGID Board alerting members to the fact that persons staffing recall petition card tables, on beach property, were likely violating the beach deed and Board Policy 136. This is the policy which permits members of the public to exercise freedom of expression on our beaches, upon designated freedom of expression zones. Rather than reiterating in detail what I shared with the Board, I simply direct the reader to the attached e-mail. I learned that Director of Parks and Recreation Sheila Leijon was aware of the situation and according to her, the restrictions of Policy 136 would be enforced to the "T."

Sometime thereafter someone took a picture of our former Board chairperson, Tim Callicrate, staffing such a card table on beach property<sup>6</sup>, contrary to Policy 136 (he was not located upon a parking lot nor an adjacent parking lor or adjacent walkway.

**Conclusion**: Why do we have Board Policies if staff aren't going to adhere to them? And why won't our staff enforce those very policies? Either enforce your own policies, or rescind them once and for all!

Respectfully, Aaron Katz (Your Community Watchdog Because Nearly No One Else Seems to be Watching).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This is the justification staff claims for its involuntary assessment of the Recreation ("RFF") and Beach ("BFF") Facility Fees (go to https://www.yourtahoeplace.com/uploads/pdf-ivgid/G.2.\_-\_\_\_\_Recreation\_Standby\_and\_Service\_Charges.pdf).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> That e-mail is attached as Exhibit "A" to this written statement.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> That picture is attached as Exhibit "B" to this written statement.

# EXHIBIT "A"

,

Î

## When Are You Going to Make Your Staff Comply With Board Policy, if Ever? Freedom of Expression Zones at Our Beaches

From:	<s4s@ix.netcom.com></s4s@ix.netcom.com>
To:	"Dent Matthew" <dent_trustee@ivgid.org></dent_trustee@ivgid.org>
Cc:	"Schmitz Sara" <schmitz_trustee@ivgid.org>, "Tonking Michaela" <tonking_trustee@ivgid.org>, "Noble Dave"</tonking_trustee@ivgid.org></schmitz_trustee@ivgid.org>
	<noble_trustee@ivgid.org>, "Tulloch Ray" <tulloch_trustee@ivgid.org></tulloch_trustee@ivgid.org></noble_trustee@ivgid.org>
Subject:	When Are You Going to Make Your Staff Comply With Board Policy, if Ever? Freedom of Expression Zones
	at Our Beaches
Date:	Jul 4, 2023 11:53 AM
Date:	at Our Beaches

Chairperson Dent and Other Honorable Members of the IVGID Board -

Why do we have policies if they're not enforced?

Why do we have overpaid senior staff if they refuse to do their jobs?

What are the consequences of noncompliance or less than professional compliance?

Why do members of the public have to do your jobs?

And you wonder why there's a recall effort.

Case in Point. Efforts to secure signatures to recall petitions at Ski Beach.

Today a card table with persons seeking such signatures was set up on the grass area kitty corner to the beach kiosk at the entrance to Ski Beach. According to Policy 136 there are designated freedom of expression zones on all recreational facilities including the beaches. Bottom line, parking lots and within/adjacent walkways. NOT grassy areas close by. And what is our wonderful staff doing to enforce this policy? Bueller? Bueller?

Don't play dumb Sheila. It's your job to monitor the beaches. Especially during 4th of July week. Didn't you walk by and see this violation for yourself? If not why not?

What about you Mike Bandelin. You're acting GM. Didn't you walk by and see for yourself? If not why not?

These matters were expressly called to Sheila's attention last week and her response was apparently that staff were strictly enforcing beach access for this purpose. Yet apparently they aren't!

Please do something Board members. Or maybe you should really resign? Respectfully, Aaron Katz

# EXHIBIT "B"



### WRITTEN STATEMENT TO BE ATTACHED TO AND MADE A PART OF THE WRITTEN MINUTES OF THE IVGID BOARD'S REGULAR JULY 12, 2023 MEETING – AGENDA ITEM F(4) – EXPANDING STAFF RECREATIONAL PRIVILEGES AT LOCAL PARCEL OWNERS' EXPENSE

**Introduction**: Well "here's another one" according to my friend DJ Khaled<sup>1</sup>! It doesn't matter what it is this District does/fails to do. Because it's essentially *everything*! Over and over and over again. Essentially everything one examines having anything to do with the District eventually leads to a finding of evilness, incompetence and waste. Which is generally hidden from the IVGID Board and the public by our wonderful staff who are so quick to publicize the "transparency" card. And here we have another example; staff's request essentially all public employees receive expanded recreational privileges which are paid for by local parcel owners with their Recreation Facility Fees ("RFFs").

I keep telling the Board and the public that the District is not being properly managed<sup>2</sup> and as a consequence, the facilities and services it furnishes can and should be more efficiently provided by another district<sup>3</sup> or Washoe County. Or more preferably, IVGID should simply be dissolved<sup>3</sup> and its functions taken over by the private sector. Regardless, in the interim, and in order to provide evidence in support of dissolution, let's examine another episode of the waste and incompetence the District engages in which ends up costing local parcel/dwelling unit owners even though this waste

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Go to https://www.djkhaledofficial.com/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> NRS 318.515(1)(a) and 318.515(3)(a)-(d) instruct that "upon notification by the Department of Taxation or upon receipt of a petition signed by 20 percent of the qualified electors of the district, that...a district of which the board of county commissioners is not the board of trustees is not being properly managed...the board of county commissioners of the county in which the district is located shall hold a hearing to consider...(a) adopt(ion of) an ordinance constituting the board of county commissioners, *ex officio*, as the board of trustees of the district; (b) adopt(ion of) an ordinance providing for the merger, consolidation or dissolution of the district...(c) fil(ing) a petition in...district court for the...appointment of a receiver for the district; or, (d) determin(ing) by resolution that management and organization of the district...remain unchanged.."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> NRS 318.490(1)-(2) instruct that "whenever a majority of the members of the board of county commissioners...deem it to be in the best interests of the county and of the district that the district be merged, consolidated or dissolved, or if the board of trustees of a district, by resolution...agrees to such a merger, consolidation or dissolution, the board of county commissioners shall so determine by ordinance, (that)...(b) the services of the district are no longer needed or can be more effectively performed by an existing unit of government (or)...that the district should be dissolved, merged or consolidated."

has nothing to do with parcel/dwelling unit owners' "availability" to access and use District recreation and beach facilities and the programs offered thereat<sup>4</sup>. That's the purpose of this written statement.

Instead of Giving Away Severely Discounted Use of Our Recreational Facilities to Our Public Employees, They Should be Sold Pursuant to The Yield Management Tools Which Are Allegedly Available to The District: Most of the District's recreational facilities operate at a financial loss which is involuntarily subsidized by local parcel/dwelling unit owners. Part of the reason for the subsidy is the fact that our facilities are under-utilized. In other words, if we were able to increase the level of utilization, we might be able to increase the amount of revenue, and in turn reduce or eliminate the involuntary financial subsidy. I and others I know believe this can be done utilizing the principles of yield management. But instead staff push for use of our recreational facilities which reduces the under utilization, however, without a comparable increase in revenue.

Former Employee Bee Williams' Social Media (Facebook) Post Where She Admits These Recreational Privileges Are Worth Very Little to Most IVGID Employees: And now we learn our employees don't even care about recreational privileges. Recently Bee Williams, a former employee of the District and wife to a current employee, wrote a post on Facebook wherein she argued that the worth of these privileges is *over*valued<sup>5</sup>. If overvalued, why do we even offer them?

**Conclusion:** If I am a private sector owner of a restaurant, although my employees may be entitled to a free meal before/after their shifts, as the owner *so am* /! But here in IVGIDville that is not the case. Our employees are able to access and use our recreational facilities for a fraction of what you and I must pay in user fees<sup>6</sup>. And this is on top of the RFF local parcel/dwelling unit owners involuntarily pay. Something is very, very wrong with this picture and it's not the messenger.

And now that we learn these privileges are not worth anything near what others represent, why do we continue to offer them? And why are we proposing to expand them via this agenda item? Since there apparently will be little outcry from staff if expanded recreational benefits are eliminated, why don't we just eliminate them? Make our employees pay the same user fees as the public pays?

And you wonder why your RFF is as large as it is and until recently never went down? I've now provided more answers. Respectfully, Aaron Katz (Your Community Watchdog Because Nearly No One Else Seems to be Watching).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This is the justification staff claims for its involuntary assessment of RFF and Beach Facility Fees ("BFFs") [go to https://www.yourtahoeplace.com/uploads/pdf-ivgid/G.2.\_-

\_Recreation\_Standby\_and\_Service\_Charges.pdf].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> A print out of this post is attached as Exhibit "A" to this written statement.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Our former general manager, Indra Winquest, has admitted in public that when an IVGID employee obtains a user fee discount because he/she is an employee, that discount is off of the picture passholder ("PPH") rates. In other words, the user fees an employee pays to use the District's recreational privileges, are less than those paid by those whose properties are assessed the RFF.

# EXHIBIT "A"

## former employee on rec benefits

From:	Judith Miller <pupfarm1@gmail.com></pupfarm1@gmail.com>
To:	Aaron Katz <s4s@ix.netcom.com></s4s@ix.netcom.com>
Subject:	former employee on rec benefits
Date:	Jul 11, 2023 8:07 AM

#### Bee Williams on Facebook

"with the exception of Douglas county there are very few public agencies that run/manage utilities. Recreation access to golf/ski are only good for people who ski and golf (lower number than you may thinkespecially in working class households). The rec center only helps out of town employees if they have the ability to delay returning home after work to care for animals and family. I think you are overvaluing the other benefits but it would be great to have an idea of what the employees - current and perspective - value when looking for employment and at their benefits."

### WRITTEN STATEMENT TO BE ATTACHED TO AND MADE A PART OF THE WRITTEN MINUTES OF THE IVGID BOARD'S REGULAR JULY 12, 2023 MEETING – AGENDA ITEM C – PUBLIC COMMENT – STAFF'S CONTINUED CONCEALMENT OF PUBLIC RECORDS – HERE THE TIME AND AMOUNTS OUR INTERNAL STAFF INCUR WHICH IS HIDDEN TO THE BOARD AND THE PUBLIC

**Introduction**: Well "here's another one" according to my friend DJ Khaled<sup>1</sup>! It doesn't matter what it is this District does/fails to do. Because it's essentially *everything*! Over and over and over again. Essentially everything one examines having anything to do with the District eventually leads to a finding of evilness, incompetence and waste. Which is generally hidden from the IVGID Board and the public by our wonderful staff who are so quick to publicize the "transparency" card. And here we have another example; staff's intentional concealment of public records.

I keep telling the Board and the public that the District is not being properly managed<sup>2</sup> and as a consequence, the facilities and services it furnishes can and should be more efficiently provided by another district<sup>3</sup> or Washoe County. Or more preferably, IVGID should simply be dissolved<sup>3</sup> and its functions taken over by the private sector. Regardless, in the interim, and in order to provide evidence in support of dissolution, let's examine another episode of the waste and incompetence the District engages in which ends up costing local parcel/dwelling unit owners even though this waste

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Go to https://www.djkhaledofficial.com/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> NRS 318.515(1)(a) and 318.515(3)(a)-(d) instruct that "upon notification by the Department of Taxation or upon receipt of a petition signed by 20 percent of the qualified electors of the district, that...a district of which the board of county commissioners is not the board of trustees is not being properly managed...the board of county commissioners of the county in which the district is located shall hold a hearing to consider...(a) adopt(ion of) an ordinance constituting the board of county commissioners, *ex officio*, as the board of trustees of the district; (b) adopt(ion of) an ordinance providing for the merger, consolidation or dissolution of the district...(c) fil(ing) a petition in...district court for the...appointment of a receiver for the district; or, (d) determin(ing) by resolution that management and organization of the district...remain unchanged.."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> NRS 318.490(1)-(2) instruct that "whenever a majority of the members of the board of county commissioners...deem it to be in the best interests of the county and of the district that the district be merged, consolidated or dissolved, or if the board of trustees of a district, by resolution...agrees to such a merger, consolidation or dissolution, the board of county commissioners shall so determine by ordinance, (that)...(b) the services of the district are no longer needed or can be more effectively performed by an existing unit of government (or)...that the district should be dissolved, merged or consolidated."

has nothing to do with parcel/dwelling unit owners' "availability" to access and use District recreation and beach facilities and the programs offered thereat<sup>4</sup>. That's the purpose of this written statement.

**My July 12, 2023 E-Mail to The Board**: On May 26, 2023 I made a public records request addressed to our Public Records Officer ("PRO"), Ms. Herron<sup>5</sup>. After hearing nothing, on July 12, 2023 I sent a follow up e-mail to Ms. Herron asking where my requested records were<sup>5</sup>. Ms. Herron provided a response and then provided an interrogatory response in lieu of the requested records<sup>5</sup>. But not only was that response NOT in accord with the Public Records Act ("NPRA"), but it was FALSE. None of the requested records were evidenced by Ms. Herron's response as I pointed out to Ms. Herron and the IVGID Board<sup>5</sup>. Rather than commenting further on the various e-mails, I direct the reader to the particulars attached as Exhibit "A."

**Conclusion**: Do you the reader see the concealment? What about Ms. Herron's disingenuous attempt to hide the truth? To those who say my records requests are inappropriate and staff are being unnecessarily bullied, do you still think that's the case insofar as this concealment is concerned? I ask the Board compel Ms. Herron to provide the records requested which she continues to conceal.

Respectfully, Aaron Katz (Your Community Watchdog Because Nearly No One Else Seems to be Watching).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This is the justification staff claims for its involuntary assessment of the Recreation ("RFF") and Beach ("BFF") Facility Fees (go to https://www.yourtahoeplace.com/uploads/pdf-ivgid/G.2.\_-\_\_\_Recreation\_Standby\_and\_Service\_Charges.pdf).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> That request is part of an e-mail string attached as Exhibit "A" to this written statement.

# EXHIBIT "A"

÷ .

### RE: Records Request - Unreimbursed Internal Services Staff Time Expended on ARPA Grant Funding as Well as the Skate Board Park Project

From:	<s4s@ix.netcom.com></s4s@ix.netcom.com>
To:	Susan A. Herron <sah@ivgid.org></sah@ivgid.org>
Cc:	Matthew Dent <dent_trustee@ivgid.org>, Sara Schmitz <trustee_schmitz@ivgid.org>, Michaela Tonking</trustee_schmitz@ivgid.org></dent_trustee@ivgid.org>
	<tonking_trustee@ivgid.org>, Dave Noble <noble_trustee@ivgid.org>, Ray Tulloch</noble_trustee@ivgid.org></tonking_trustee@ivgid.org>
	<tulloch_trustee@ivgid.org></tulloch_trustee@ivgid.org>
Subject	RE: Records Request - Unreimbursed Internal Services Staff Time Expended on ARPA Grant Funding as
	Well as the Skate Board Park Project
Date:	Jul 12, 2023 12:50 PM

Thank you Ms Herron -

But again, you haven't responded to my request.

And this is a common problem which plagues the District. Over and over and over again.

We had extensive efforts performed by UNREIMBURSED internal services staff. Primarily Kate Nelson, and likely Bree Waters as well.

I want to know what time was expended by internal services staff, and at what cost? That's what I attempted to learn. But so far NOTHING.

I asked to examine the following:

1. The names of all staff persons furnishing efforts to secure ARPA grant funding from Washoe County since January 1, 2022 ("scope of work"). I believe this included unsuccessful efforts to secure funding for our effluent export pipeline replacement project as well as successful efforts to secure funding for proposed skate board park repairs/renovations. In addition, I asked to examine the records I did with respect to Bree Waters' efforts including preparation of a staff memo in anticipation of the Board's May 25, 2023 meeting, as well as her time expended supporting her request at that meeting.

So to the extent you have responded only with respect to the District's successful grant request, you HAVEN'T responded to my request. Moreover, you have provided NO documents for my examination notwithstanding we know Kate Nelson was one of those staff persons. And records which respond to my request were not included in the GM reports referenced in your most recent response as you have stated.

2. The date(s) they provided these services. Again, you have provided NO documents. And records which respond to my request were not included in the GM reports referenced in your most recent response as you have stated. I want to learn all the dates where staff persons furnished the services they claim to have furnished.

3. A word by word description of the services actually performed by these person(s). Again, you have provided NO documents. And records which respond to my request were not included in the GM reports referenced in your most recent response as you have stated. I want to learn a word by word description of all services actually performed, and on all the dates where staff persons furnished those services (see paragraph 2 above) they claim to have furnished.

4. The time expended for each of the services actually performed. Again, you have provided NO documents. And records which respond to my request were not included in the GM reports referenced in your most recent response as you have stated. I want to learn the time expended for all services actually performed, and on all the dates where staff persons furnished those services (see paragraphs 2 and 3 above) they claim to have furnished.

5. The time billed to a department other than internal services for each of the services actually https://webmail1.earthlink.net/folders/INBOX.Sent/messages/20386/print?path=INBOX.Sent Page 152 of 38/P

#### EarthLink Mail

periormed. Again, you have provided to documents. And records which respond to my request were not included in the GM reports referenced in your most recent response as you have stated. I want to learn the time billed to any District department other than internal services for all services claimed to have been actually performed, and on all the dates where staff persons furnished those services (see paragraphs 2, 3 and 4 above) they claim to have furnished.

6. The hourly rate(s) for the time billed to a department other than internal services for each of the services actually performed. Again, you have provided NO documents. And records which respond to my request were not included in the GM reports referenced in your most recent response as you have stated. I want to learn the hourly amounts charged by/on behalf of every staff person who advanced billable time towards the efforts described above, and on all the dates where staff persons furnished those services they claim to have furnished.

7. The identity of the department(s) other than internal services which were billed for each of the services actually performed. Again, you have provided NO documents. And records which respond to my request were not included in the GM reports referenced in your most recent response as you have stated. I want to learn the identity of all District departments which were billed for all services actually performed, and on all the dates where staff persons furnished those services they claim to have furnished.

8. The identity of each specific CIP to which internal services time with respect to this scope of work was billed. Again, you have provided NO documents. And records which respond to my request were not included in the GM reports referenced in your most recent response as you have stated. I want to learn each specific CIP for which internal services time was expended with respect to the work identified above.

9. Any out of pocket expense incurred in the prosecution of this scope of work which was billed to a department other than internal services. Again, you have provided NO documents. And records which respond to my request were not included in the GM reports referenced in your most recent response as you have stated. I want to learn all out of pocket expenditures incurred by staff with respect to the work identified above which was billed along with unreimbursed internal services staff time.

10. The district chart of account number assigned for each of the services actually performed pursuant to this scope of work as well as out of pocket expense(s) incurred which were billed to a department other than internal services. Again, you have provided NO documents. And records which respond to my request were not included in the GM reports referenced in your most recent response as you have stated. Not only do I want to examine records which will identify each and every billing to the recipient District department with respect to the work identified above, but I want to examine the chart of account number assigned by staff to every such billing.

So do you intend to provide the requested records for my examination and if so when? If you do not, will you please share your justification for refusing to provide the requested records.

Thank you for your cooperation. Aaron Katz

-----Original Message-----From: Susan A. Herron <sah@ivgid.org> Sent: Jul 12, 2023 11:26 AM To: s4s@ix.netcom.com <s4s@ix.netcom.com> Cc: Matthew Dent <dent\_trustee@ivgid.org>, Sara Schmitz <trustee\_schmitz@ivgid.org>, Michaela Tonking <tonking\_trustee@ivgid.org>, Dave Noble <noble\_trustee@ivgid.org>, Ray Tulloch <tulloch\_trustee@ivgid.org> Subject: RE: Records Request - Unreimbursed Internal Services Staff Time Expended on ARPA Grant Funding as Well as the Skate Board Park Project

Mr. Katz,

Thank you for following up on this records request. Our former District General Manager informed me, when this request was received, that he was going to handle this request personally. My recollection is that he told me that he discussed it with you verbally and that no further action was required. By your email of this morning, Lam assuming https://webmail1.earthlink.net/folders/INBOX.Sent/messages/20386/print?path=INBOX.Sent

7/12/23, 4:09 PM

#### EarthLink Mail

abcusses is with you versionly and that no interest action was required, by your entanties morning, rain assuming

that verbal discussion wasn't enough therefore I apologize and provide the following:

The history of this grant, and it is only one grant, was dialogued in the District General Manager's status reports which are available on the website; if you have trouble locating them, please let me know. The award of the grant came before the Board of Trustees, where it was approved, and that is also on our website and again, if you have trouble locating that item, please let me know. Further, I prepared the grant application with review from our Engineering Manager and former District General Manager. I submitted the application and worked with and continue to work with the Washoe County Grants team to ensure receipt of this non-competitive grant and its reporting which continues to date. As to any documents, those were included in the Board packet where this grant was approved.

Respectfully, Susan

From: s4s@ix.netcom.com <s4s@ix.netcom.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 12, 2023 8:10 AM
To: Susan A. Herron <sah@ivgid.org>
Cc: Matthew Dent <dent\_trustee@ivgid.org>; Sara Schmitz <trustee\_schmitz@ivgid.org>; Michaela Tonking
<tonking\_trustee@ivgid.org>; Dave Noble <noble\_trustee@ivgid.org>; Ray Tulloch <tulloch\_trustee@ivgid.org>
Subject: Re: Records Request - Unreimbursed Internal Services Staff Time Expended on ARPA Grant Funding as Well as
the Skate Board Park Project

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders. Hello Ms Herron -

Still waiting on this one.

It has been a boggling 6+ weeks!

Are you going to tell me you sent me records evidencing the same?

Aaron Katz

-----Original Message-----From: <<u>s4s@ix.netcom.com</u>> Sent: May 26, 2023 3:13 PM To: Susan A. Herron <<u>sah@ivgid.org</u>> Subject: Records Request - Unreimbursed Internal Services Staff Time Expended on ARPA Grant Funding as Well as the Skate Board Park Project

Hello Ms. Herron -

I would like to examine two sets of records.

The first involves internal services' staffs' efforts to secure ARPA grant funding from Washoe County since January 1, 2022 ("scope of work"). It was revealed last night that at least Kate Nelson was involved in these efforts. Which ultimately resulted in a \$250K grant for renovations to the skate board park. Prior to that, there were unsuccessful efforts for funding of the export effluent pipeline.

And so we are clear, I want to examine records which reveal:

1. The names of all persons furnishing these services;

2. The date(s) they provided these services;

3. A word by word description of the services actually performed by these person(s);

4. The time expended for each of the services actually performed;

5. The time billed to a department other than internal services for each of the services actually performed;

6. The hourly rate(s) for the time billed to a department other than internal services for each of the services actually performed;

#### EarthLink Mail

7. The identity of the department(s) other than internal services which were billed for each of the services actually performed;

8. The identity of each specific CIP to which internal services time with respect to this scope of work was billed;

9. Any out of pocket expense incurred in the prosecution of this scope of work which was billed to a department other than internal services;

10. The district chart of account number assigned for each of the services actually performed pursuant to this scope of work as well as out of pocket expense(s) incurred which were billed to a department other than internal services.

Please do not provide a recreated summary of all of the above merely demonstrating hours expended and at an applied hourly rate. I want to examine the source documents themselves.

Now let's move on to the skate board park renovation project in particular. I want to examine records which reveal:

1. The names of all persons whose salaries are assigned to internal services who furnished these services;

The date(s) they provided these services;

3. A word by word description of the services actually performed by these person(s). This would include but not be limited to Ms. Waters' research in anticipation of preparing a staff memo in support of last night's agenda item on this subject matter; Ms. Waters' staff memo presented in support of last night's agenda item on this subject matter; Ms. Waters' preparation in anticipation of appearing at last night's agenda item on this subject matter; Ms. Waters' time appearing at last night's agenda item on this subject matter; Ms. Waters' time appearing at last night's agenda item on this subject matter; Ms. Waters' time appearing at last night's agenda item on this subject matter; Ms. Waters' time appearing at last night's agenda item on this subject matter; Ms. Waters' time appearing at last night's agenda item on this subject matter; Ms. Waters has done since last nights Board meeting in prosecution of this scope of work as requested by the Board; etc.

4. The time expended for each of the services actually performed;

5. The time billed to a department other than internal services for each of the services actually performed;

6. The hourly rate(s) for the time billed to a department other than internal services for each of the services actually performed;

7. The identity of the department(s) other than internal services which were billed for each of the services actually performed;

8. The identity of each specific CIP to which internal services time with respect to this scope of work was billed;

9. Any out of pocket expense incurred in the prosecution of this scope of work which was billed to a department other than internal services;

10. The district chart of account number assigned for each of the services actually performed pursuant to this scope of work as well as out of pocket expense incurred which were billed to a department other than internal services.

Please do not provide a recreated summary of all of the above merely demonstrating hours expended and at an applied hourly rate. I want to examine the source documents themselves.

Continuing, in Ms. Waters' staff memo in support of last night's agenda item on this subject matter, she represented that she had obtained or created an updated cost estimate for this project totaling \$500,000. I would like to examine that cost estimate.

Finally, in Ms. Waters' staff memo in support of last night's agenda item on this subject matter, she represented that there were multiple phases to this project. I would like to examine records evidencing the number of phases to this project, and a description of the work involved in each phase.

Thank you for your cooperation. Aaron Katz

### WRITTEN STATEMENT TO BE ATTACHED TO AND MADE A PART OF THE WRITTEN MINUTES OF THE IVGID BOARD'S REGULAR JULY 12, 2023 MEETING – AGENDA ITEM F(4) – THE BOARD'S REFUSAL TO REMOVE THIS AGENDA ITEM FROM TONIGHT'S MEETING AGENDA NOTWITHSTANDING NON-COMPLIANCE WITH BOARD POLICY 3.1.0

**Introduction**: Well "here's another one" according to my friend DJ Khaled<sup>1</sup>! It doesn't matter what it is this District does/fails to do. Because it's essentially *everything*! Over and over and over again. Essentially everything one examines having anything to do with the District eventually leads to a finding of evilness, incompetence and waste. Which is generally hidden from the IVGID Board and the public by our wonderful staff who are so quick to publicize the "transparency" card. And here we have another example; staff's substitution of materials in support barely two (2) hours before tonight's Board meeting. Because Board Policy No. 3.1.0 MANDATES that agenda items such as these go forward, I sent the Board an e-mail asking they do their job and remove this item from tonight's Board meeting agenda<sup>2</sup>. Rather than regurgitating the contents, I direct the reader to its particulars. Since I presume the Board will have ignored my request, this written statement has been prepared to be attached to the minutes of this meeting.

I keep telling the Board and the public that the District is not being properly managed<sup>3</sup> and as a consequence, the facilities and services it furnishes can and should be more efficiently provided by another district<sup>4</sup> or Washoe County. Or more preferably, IVGID should simply be dissolved<sup>3</sup> and its

<sup>1</sup> Go to https://www.djkhaledofficial.com/.

<sup>2</sup> This e-mail is attached as Exhibit "A" to this written statement.

<sup>3</sup> NRS 318.515(1)(a) and 318.515(3)(a)-(d) instruct that "upon notification by the Department of Taxation or upon receipt of a petition signed by 20 percent of the qualified electors of the district, that...a district of which the board of county commissioners is not the board of trustees is not being properly managed...the board of county commissioners of the county in which the district is located shall hold a hearing to consider...(a) adopt(ion of) an ordinance constituting the board of county commissioners, *ex officio*, as the board of trustees of the district; (b) adopt(ion of) an ordinance providing for the merger, consolidation or dissolution of the district...(c) fil(ing) a petition in...district court for the...appointment of a receiver for the district; or, (d) determin(ing) by resolution that management and organization of the district...remain unchanged."

<sup>4</sup> NRS 318.490(1)-(2) instruct that "whenever a majority of the members of the board of county commissioners...deem it to be in the best interests of the county and of the district that the district be merged, consolidated or dissolved, or if the board of trustees of a district, by resolution...agrees to such a merger, consolidation or dissolution, the board of county commissioners shall so determine by ordinance, (that)...(b) the services of the district are no longer needed or can be more effectively performed by an existing unit of government (or)...that the district should be dissolved, merged or consolidated."

functions taken over by the private sector. Regardless, in the interim, and in order to provide evidence in support of dissolution, let's examine another episode of the waste and incompetence the District engages in which ends up costing local parcel/dwelling unit owners even though this waste has nothing to do with parcel/dwelling unit owners' "availability" to access and use District recreation and beach facilities and the programs offered thereat<sup>5</sup>. That's the purpose of this written statement.

**Conclusion**: When is there going to be a consequence to our public employees who arrogantly refuse to comply with Board policy? When is the Board going to impose that consequence? If you members had a back bone, that's exactly what you would do. Send a message that future non-compliance will not be allowed.

Respectfully, Aaron Katz (Your Community Watchdog Because Nearly No One Else Seems to be Watching).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> This is the justification staff claims for its involuntary assessment Recreration ("RFF") and Beach ("BFF") Facility Fees (go to https://www.yourtahoeplace.com/uploads/pdf-ivgid/G.2.\_-\_Recreation\_Standby\_and\_Service\_Charges.pdf).

# EXHIBIT "A"

,

Ň

### Please REMOVE Agenda Item F.4. From Tonight's Board Meeting

From:	<s4s@ix.netcom.com></s4s@ix.netcom.com>
To:	Dent Matthew <dent_trustee@ivgid.org></dent_trustee@ivgid.org>
Cc:	Schmitz Sara <schmitz_trustee@ivgid.org>, Tonking Michaela <tonking_trustee@ivgid.org>, Noble Dave</tonking_trustee@ivgid.org></schmitz_trustee@ivgid.org>
	<noble_trustee@ivgid.org>, Tulloch Ray <tulloch_trustee@ivgid.org></tulloch_trustee@ivgid.org></noble_trustee@ivgid.org>
Subject:	Please REMOVE Agenda Item F.4. From Tonight's Board Meeting
Date:	Jul 12, 2023 5:01 PM
Attachme	nts: <u>F.4 page 921 - updated.pdf</u>

Chairperson Dent and Other Honorable Members of the IVGID Board -

Look below at what I received a little over 2 hours prior to tonight's Board meeting. And I have no time to even take a look.

As you know Policy 3.1.04 states that all materials in support of a matter shall be posted to the District's web site a calendar week before the meeting. ALL MATERIALS means just that. ALL. And a calendar week before means just that. A CALENDAR WEEK BEFORE.

Furthermore, this Policy goes on to state that no matter shall be heard nor acted upon where the materials in support are inaccurate or missing. "Delayed and/or supplemental materials SHALL defer an agenda item."

What you have below are delayed and/or supplemental materials presumably posted to the District's web site two hours before the meeting. Therefore, the matter SHALL be deferred. Please do what your policy states. REMOVE this agenda item.

Furthermore, this matter has been placed on the consent calendar. Per Policy 3.1.04 justification shall be included in the staff memo for placement on the consent calendar. I have examined pages 917-919 of the Board packet and NOWHERE do I see justification for placement on the consent calendar. Nor do I see reasons justifying placement on the consent calendar insofar as the reasons stated in Policy 3.1.04 recite. This placement was and is 100% IMPROPER!

When are we going to sanction staff who just don't seem to be able to comply with Board policies? It makes those policies a mockery!

And why do I have to be the one to call out this improper staff conduct to the Board? It's NOT my job. Since it's YOUR job, please do your jobs. Remove this matter from tonight's agenda and start disciplining staff for non-compliance with Board policies.

Respectfully, Aaron Katz

-----Forwarded Message-----

From: Susan A. Herron <sah@ivgid.org> Sent: Jul 12, 2023 3:39 PM To: Susan A. Herron <sah@ivgid.org> Subject: Remove and Replace - Item F.4. Consent Calendar - page 921

All,

Attached is an updated agenda packet page 921. Director of Human Resources Feore corrected an error and reconfigured the sheet itself. Please remove the existing page in your packet and replace with the attached.

Thank you, Susan Susan A. Herron, CMC Director of Administrative Services IVGID 893 Southwood Boulevard Incline Village, NV 89451 <u>sah@ivgid.org</u> 775-832-1207 (Office Ph#)