

From: [Tim Callicrate](#)
To: [Winquest, Indra S.](#)
Cc: [Herron, Susan](#); joshua.Nelson@bbklaw.com
Subject: Fwd: Tonight's Audit Committee (AC) Meeting
Date: Thursday, August 19, 2021 11:18:01 AM

Just some "light reading" to add to your day. Thank you Susan for sharing with my colleagues providing Josh feels it okay? Thanks!

Get [Outlook for Android](#)

From: Dick Warren <bd1947@icloud.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 18, 2021 9:22:33 PM
To: Tim Callicrate <callicrate_trustee@ivgid.org>
Subject: Re: Tonight's Audit Committee (AC) Meeting

And Tim, I forgot to add that there was little input from Staff (Indra, Navazio, Josh Nelson) at the AC meeting. And that's a good sign because the AC members know that Staff doesn't add much value, so their deliberations are pretty devoid of silly observations from Staff. Normally they only went to Staff for clarification on scheduling, etc.

Tim, if you had a Board meeting without Staff input, how long would that meeting last? Maybe 15 minutes?

Sent from my iPad

> On Aug 18, 2021, at 9:08 PM, Dick Warren <bd1947@icloud.com> wrote:
>
> Tim, I assume you did not listen to the AC meeting tonight; I did, but I must admit it was quite boring. But that's because I'm not a person that likes to quibble over wordings in policies, and obviously tonight's meeting was about getting Board Policy 15.1.0 correctly done. And they did get it done, which I applauded.
>
> What you might learn though, if you do listen to it (and it wasn't that long of a meeting, a mere 2.5 hours), is how well the AC functions. We have 3 great individuals on the AC - Ray Tulloch, Cliff Dobler and Sara Schmitz. All of them do their homework, they come prepared to meetings, and they discuss, deliberate, and reach conclusions in a consensual manner.
>
> Unfortunately, their Modus Operandi is quite different from your Board. Your Board never really discusses issues, you merely listen to Staff pontificate about something, then you say "What's the pleasure of the Board?", and then normally Worthless Wong makes a motion to do what the Staff wants because she is such a Cheerleader for Staff. If Worthless Wong can not make the motion (BTW, will we ever see Worthless Wong in person at a Board Meeting?) Airhead Tonking is more than willing to step in and make the "Dufus Motion". Tim, do you ever go back and review a Board meeting on Livestream just to see how stupid the Board looks collectively?
>
> Listening to the Audit Committee is really interesting, they do think things through, they are thoroughly interesting to listen to, unlike the Board of Trustees. Plus they produce results, positive results that translate to good stuff for the Community.
>
> Tim, you should make it mandatory that the Trustees listen to the AC Meetings (deliberations) Maybe they will learn something. I doubt that you will require this to occur because you couldn't

care less about improving IVGID, you are hook, line & sinker with Indra, who has his own agenda outside of improving IVGID. But if you really want to improve IVGID, talk to the folks on the Audit Committee. They really do know their ass from a hole in the ground, so that's a big improvement over anyTrustee (excluding Sara who always does her homework).

>

> For you Tim, the question is, do you really want to improve IVGID, or are you really wanting to be a local hero because you support Indra?

>

> Your call Big Guy.

>

>

>

>