

## MINUTES

### **REGULAR MEETING OF DECEMBER 8, 2021**

Incline Village General Improvement District

The regular meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Incline Village General Improvement District was called to order by Board Chairman Tim Callicrate on Wednesday, December 8, 2021 at 6:00 p.m. via Zoom.

#### **A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE\***

The pledge of allegiance was recited.

#### **B. ROLL CALL OF TRUSTEES\***

On roll call, present were Trustees Tim Callicrate, Sara Schmitz, Michaela Tonking and Kendra Wong. Trustees Matthew Dent and Michaela Tonking was absent.

Trustee Michaela Tonking joined the meeting in progress at 6:35 p.m.

Members of Staff present were Director of Finance Paul Navazio, Director of Golf/Community Services Darren Howard, Director of Human Resources Erin Feore, Engineering Manager Kate Nelson and District General Counsel Joshua Nelson.

Board Chairman Callicrate said he is pulling I.1. from the agenda and wanted to make that known before public comments.

#### **C. INITIAL PUBLIC COMMENTS\***

Aaron Katz said he has several written statements to the meeting minutes. You Board members keep hearing this from me so you don't need to hear it now but everyone who is listening to this meeting does. It is everything that you do – deceit, non-transparencies, lies designed to protect the guilty, stupidity, wastefulness, everything. What means everything – everything. Just look at this meeting – Staff sneaks in a change order on the Mountain Golf cart path project; retroactively no less to cover his ass and his buddy's Carson's ass and how does the District General Manager respond – oh let's pull it from the meeting, no, let's not pull it, let's just deny it. Staff presents a needless dog and pony show to make you believe that Staff deserves their job and never once, does Staff share, with the public, how much of our Rec Fee that was lost last year. Well, the previous year, it was about \$1.7 million. District General Counsel tells you Dillon's Rule allows our Staff to give away the store at local parcel owners expense when no other municipality in the state engages in these activities because it is wrong and you think we are special so it is right. The District General Manager hides the facts that he has made formal

## Minutes

Meeting of December 8, 2021

Page 2

applications to the Forest Service for a use permit to the parcel across from the high school for a dog park when he has not received Board approval to do so. By the way, one of the things on the application is present the resolution that you are authorized; he hasn't done that. And to ignore the fact that we already have a very suitable parcel that won't cost local parcel owners \$5.5 million or more once we have hired a CMAR because we don't have a compliant in-house Staff. It is everything, everything. Wake up Board members and smell the coffee Mrs. Buehler and do something responsible for a change otherwise you are all worthless. Thank you.

Mike Abel said he would first like this opportunity to make our Trustees aware of the "cease and desist" letter sent to Mr. Nelson on October 1<sup>st</sup> 2021 by Stephanie Rice. He will now send each of you a copy of that letter addressing IVGID's violation of the Beach deed and the potential for a lawsuit resulting from this violation. Moving on, it is not his purpose here to bash any individual Trustee this evening, but rather to address the serious needs and concerns of many citizens. **He thinks that it is time for a change at IVGID.** Mr. Callicrate and Trustees - you have **presided over and sanctioned an amazing level of mismanagement by IVGID staff these past 2 years.** The list of our IVGID's non-accomplishments this past two years is amazing:

1. Another seriously mis-stated CAFR for 2020 and 2021
2. An awesomely overpriced Rec Center Bathroom which should have been finished months ago.
3. A \$1 million plus tennis center where you can dance of the hot foot deck.
4. An awesomely overpriced pool replacement project that will not be finished on time.
5. A Mountain Golf Course clubhouse that is less useful and user friendly than the old one.
6. Material misrepresentations on the Utility Fund
7. The tendering of a long-term sweetheart contract to an unqualified GM
8. IVGID staff stonewalling the release of public records as required by law.  
This to yours truly.
9. Finally the train wreck of the Mountain Golf Course replacement contract.

At the very least that Mr. Callicrate should step down and let Trustee Schmitz be Chair for the next year. We need a chair who will demand accountability from our staff and GM. We need a chair who will address the concerns of our citizens. We need a chair who actually shows up at community events and meetings other than just IVGID board meetings. We need a chair who will work hard at the job rather than just follow staff recommendations. We need a chair who actually answers her telephone. We need Sara Schmitz as the IVGID BOT Chair.

## Minutes

Meeting of December 8, 2021

Page 3

Cliff Dobler said on the agenda tonight we are going to be electing new officers of the Trustees, he would like to ask Tim Callicrate to step aside as the Chairman of the Board and allow Sara Schmitz to become the Chairman. He has never seen a person work so hard on actually reviewing the packets and understanding them and with her expertise in contract administration and with the large pipeline project that is coming up and to his knowledge she is the only one that actually worked on improving the policies and Ms. Wong and Mr. Callicrate haven't done anything for about six years on those so why don't you give somebody a chance that really is involved in the activities of IVGID and can do us some good. He would highly recommend that somebody nominate Sara for the Chairman. Thank you very much.

Judith Miller said is it Groundhog Day and she is sure that you have seen the movie. She feels like she has seen this movie hundreds of times at IVGID meetings. Another golf season wrap up that doesn't give us a clue as to the bottom line. These are enterprise funds – do you think any business would tolerate such a meaningless report to the shareholders and the same old tired arguments for giveaways to nonprofits and employees? When the District's venues get enough revenue from user fees and property taxes to cover their costs then you can talk about giveaways but stop giving away monies from the property owners and using that money to support nonprofits that don't provide any service related to the District's limit power. This is just plain wrong. Get an attorney that really understands the duties of a public agency in setting fees and giving away public assets. Yes, she knows it is more difficult now to attract employees but wouldn't many rather see a pay increase or better educational benefits than discounts on recreation? We have so many more residents now and certainly not the same excess capacity we may have had in the past. Another thing, the dog park, that project recently came to the forefront when a citizen sent out an email with a link to the Forest Service site asking recipients to support a project for which we had never seen any details. We have only seen a few brief remarks in the GM update in the past 6 months. One told us that Staff was working on a formal application that the public never saw. Then in October we were told there would be a community outreach; she guesses she was wrong when she assumed that it would inform the public about what had been learned from the preliminary review and allow a comparison with the site behind the tennis courts before a formal application was submitted. The dog park project is in this year's budget providing a fund to perform a site selection study and if the preferred site turned out to be the USFS parcel then a consultant would be hired to process the SUP. Did Staff not read the project summary? Now we see that dogs won't be allowed in the creek and the schematic plans shows less than 2 acres of fenced area compared to over 3 acres at the IVGID site and no perimeter fence. The USFS use permit should be

put on hold. We need to give the public updated information on both sites, provide an open forum for discussion and let us choose the best location. Please do support Sara as our new Board Chair. Mr. Callicrate, she is sorry, but if you think IVGID is under the auspices of Washoe County, you don't even understand the District that you work for. Thank you.

**D. APPROVAL OF AGENDA (for possible action)**

Board Chairman Callicrate asked if there were any other changes other than the removal of General Business I.1.; there were no other changes requested. The agenda was approved as revised.

**E. DISTRICT GENERAL MANAGER UPDATE (for possible action)**

District General Manager Indra Winquest went over his submitted report with the following updates:

- Recreation Center Pool is repaired and open and back up to normal temperature. Special thanks to our Public Works team for their extra efforts.
- Special Legal Counsel process is moving forward and he will make an announcement once the agreement is signed.
- Dog Park – he has spent maybe 4 or 5 hours on this process and he is doing what has been directed by the Board of Trustees. A large majority of the community is in favor of the dog park and if that were to change, he would stop the work on this effort. He encourages people to not get hung up on the narrative that is being spread. There is no formal design that has been approved.
- Rumor that the District is going to eliminate dogs on Ski Beach – never been said by the Board or at a public meeting. Invited anyone to reach out to him directly so he can ensure that you are getting factual and current information.
- If the Special Use Permit is granted by the United States Forest Service, it will come before the Board of Trustees for adoption/acceptance.

Trustee Schmitz said would like to comment on the Burnt Cedar pool; she would like thank you so much for putting it all together and color coding as she is thrilled that Staff is overseeing it. She was pleased to see that some credits have been applied to the project and very openly and transparently shared descriptions that there was some confusion in the bidding process and that she knows that with this Staff pulling this together and going forward those types of bidding and bidding documents will be something in our past. So she just wanted to acknowledge the efforts that went into putting this report together and say thank you very much for your efforts. Board Chairman Callicrate said he would like to address the

demonstrative comment made during public comments that we were not going to finish on time and be grossly over budget on this project, we will be on time and asked our Engineering Manager if that was correct. Engineering Manager Nelson said we are going to be on time and under budget. Board Chairman Callicrate said he wants everyone to hear that loud and clear and that we are not spending like drunken sailors and that he thanks Trustee Schmitz for her thorough overview and that he appreciates all the hard work that Staff is putting in on this project. Engineering Manager Nelson said that we are on the final stretch and don't foresee any further change orders. We just now need to have Mother Nature cooperate and have a warm spring.

**F. REVIEW OF THE LONG RANGE CALENDAR (for possible action)**

District General Manager Winqest said we do have a budget workshop next Tuesday and it starts at 5 p.m. along with the approval of the Annual Comprehensive Financial Report on that agenda. We are going to push the draft of the Board of Trustees handbook to a later date. At some point in January 2022, we hope to have a special meeting to deliver the Ordinance 7 recommendations depending on the work with the Special Counsel and he will have the Director of Finance populate the calendar with future budget meetings. He would like to solidify the Board of Trustees meeting calendar and we would like to get back to our regular Wednesday meetings. Trustee Schmitz said this is a request for her fellow Trustees - she has been looking for best practices for the Trustees handbook, she hasn't found any resources, if you have any, please share them with her. She has reached out to Moss Adams as well. If you have anything to share, please do.

**G. REPORTS TO THE BOARD\* - Reports are intended to inform the Board and/or the public.**

**G.1. Treasurers Report – Requesting Trustee: Treasurer Michaela Tonking**

**G.1.A. Payment of Bills**

Board Chairman Callicrate asked if there were any specific questions on the submitted report; no questions were asked.

**G.2. Director of Golf/Community Services Darren Howard: Verbal wrap up of the 2020/2021 golf season at the Championship and Mountain Golf Courses**

Director of Golf/Community Services Darren Howard gave an overview of the submitted materials. Trustee Schmitz said she really appreciates, on pages 24 and 31, the operational costs at each golf course; even though we have made an improvement, we are still behind at both courses. Her hope is that this information will be very helpful to the golf committee to bring some really good recommendations going forward. Board Chairman Callicrate said that this does make it clear and that we have been made progress but we have a way to go.

**G.3. A. 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter Budget Update FY2021/2022 – Director of Finance Paul Navazio**

**B. 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter Popular Report FY2021/2022 – Director of Finance Paul Navazio**

Director of Finance Navazio gave an overview of the submitted materials. Trustee Schmitz said on page 84, there is a project, third group down, Burnt Cedar Water Disinfection Project – no financial numbers there but says ongoing. Director of Finance Navazio said he will look into that project and will report back. Engineering Manager Nelson said that in two or three years we may have a budget number and she will get with the Director of Finance to see when that is coming up.

**H. CONSENT CALENDAR (for possible action)**

**H.1. Review, discuss and possibly approve an Additional Services Amendment to the Professional Services Contract for Public Utility Rate Study for Provision of Water and Sewer Services; Vendor: HDR Engineering, Inc.; Amount: \$0; Fund 200 (Utilities) (Requesting Staff Member: Engineering Manager Kate Nelson)**

**H.2. Review, discuss, and approve updated template services agreements (Requesting Staff Member: District General Counsel Joshua Nelson)**

District General Counsel Josh Nelson said regarding Consent Calendar Item H.2., he has received some comments on when the templates would be used and noted that two would be used for smaller amounts. There was a number of good suggestions received from Trustee Schmitz and a member of the public and that we will include those comments under legal review when they are used; he appreciates those comments.

Trustee Wong made a motion to approve the Consent Calendar. Trustee Schmitz seconded the motion. Board Chairman Callicrate called the question and the motion was passed unanimously.

**I. GENERAL BUSINESS (for possible action)**

- I.1. Review, discuss, and possibly authorize or approve Change Orders #3, #4 and #5 for the Mountain Golf Course Cart Path Replacement Phase I Project - 3241LI2001 - Fund: Community Services; Division: Mountain Golf; Vendor: F.W. Carson; total amount \$65,745.12 (Requesting Staff Member: Engineering Manager Kate Nelson) – REMOVED FROM THE AGENDA IN ITS ENTIRETY**
- I.2. Review, discuss and provide direction on possible revisions to Board Policy 15.1.0 – Audit Committee (Requesting Trustee: Trustee Michaela Tonking)**

Trustee Tonking gave an overview of the submitted materials and noted that Trustee Schmitz added something that she had missed and that there were some small wording changes – changing CAFR to AFCR, pg. 225 – in 2.8 – end of that just adding the words “for consideration for approval”, pg. 226 – 3.2 – twice per quarter, unless immediate action is needed, but no less than 4 times per year. There was a deletion on page 225 and everyone should have received an email from the District Clerk on the missing section. Board Chairman Callicrate thanked Trustees Tonking and Schmitz for their work as well as the Audit Committee – he appreciates the hard work and has nothing to add to this. Trustee Tonking said more discussion maybe needed on qualifications; Board Chairman Callicrate said he is fine with that section. Trustee Schmitz said, on page 221, in our meeting, she thinks we decided to delete the need for three references. Trustee Tonking said she was not sure we were in agreement but that Trustee Wong was in agreement with her and that it was a split decision. Board Chairman Callicrate said we live in a small community and we should know all the people and cross reference somebody and if that is something that our Treasurer feels strongly about and asked how our Director of Finance feels? Director of Finance Navazio said you want to make sure the qualifications they are representing are true. Board Chairman Callicrate said it would be important to have references so they legitimize what they are putting forward. Trustee Tonking said it is good to validate and good to have as back up so maybe just one reference? District General Manager Winquest said sometimes that can be a double edge sword as they use people they favor and while there is nothing wrong

with doing that, it is about qualifications and it is extremely important to ensure that the people we put on the Audit Committee don't have personal agendas and making sure they are willing to work collaboratively. District General Manager Winquest then acknowledged that Audit Committee Chairman Tulloch is on the call and would like to offer him the opportunity to speak as well. Trustee Wong said she would like Dr. Mathis' input on this policy because he has worked specifically with government and governance as well as Audit Committees. Audit Committee Chairman Tulloch said regarding references, he will repeat the comment he made last night, if anyone external is asking for your reference from your business, no professional will give a reference of worked or not and that a personal reference will give what the applicant wants. He is not going to fight over it and if it is meaningful for the Board, that is fine. In his personal life, he takes what he knows they have done. Board Chairman Callicrate said he understands. Audit Committee Chairman Tulloch said one other point, in terms of an Audit Committee, about compromising, agree lots of areas where you need to compromise. Compliance is black and white, thus with that qualification, he does agree with the District General Manager. Trustee Tonking that there is one more point and that was the sheet that was omitted and wants to make sure those points are ok as they were missing so she wants to confirm that she can get those back in? Board Chairman Callicrate said having him weigh in would be a valid opportunity. District General Counsel Nelson said that the document has been posted on the website for your review. Board Chairman Callicrate asked if Trustee Wong would be comfortable with Trustee Tonking sending this to Dr. Mathis – Trustee Wong said yes. Trustee Wong said she is not clear on what we are adding back in. Audit Committee Chairman Tulloch said he doesn't have the document in front of him however he believes that he inadvertently made that deletion as it should have been left in. The section highlighted by Trustee Schmitz should have never been deleted. Trustee Wong said nothing is highlighted. Trustee Schmitz said on page 225, begins 2.6, 2.6.1. is supposed to be from the other pages and they weren't supposed to be deleted – all the 2.6's. On page 225, all these things were to go together. Trustee Wong said she disagrees with putting all this back in there. She doesn't have a problem reviewing things and that she doesn't think the Audit Committee should be evaluating management's fraud risk. In 2.6.3, it is not their job to perform the internal control function. In 2.6.4., the Audit Committee doesn't have the authority to engage anybody so it should be taken out. Trustee Tonking said in 2.6.4., it was discussed to remove it in the first round. Would it be ok to say review or do they want to evaluate? Board Chairman Callicrate said review is fine as we have the auditing firm. Trustee Schmitz said external/internal auditor – don't see any problem with identifying the need



as the Board of Trustees makes the decision and the Audit Committee would be bringing it forward to the Board of Trustees. Board Chairman Callicrate said it is about engage. Trustee Schmitz said that the Audit Committee does have that authority once they have the authority and the Audit Committee brought that to the Board of Trustees as we did with the Moss Adams project and got that funding. Trustee Wong said she doesn't understand why it has to be in the policy because to have to be approved by the Board. Board Chairman Callicrate said they can identify needs and then come to the Board for financial outlay. Trustee Wong said what probably needs to be done is to take out 2.6.4.1 through 4 and 2.6.4 with some changes. Trustee Schmitz said that is fine, removing 1, 2, 3, and 4 – she thinks they are important to be in there because management is responsible for engaging the resource not the Audit Committee. She thinks they are important to clarify that it is management's responsibility and not the Audit Committee's responsibility so for her, those things are important to clarify. Trustee Wong said whatever. Board Chairman Callicrate asked Trustee Tonking if she was good and could she chat with Dr. Mathis about this? Trustee Tonking said maybe we can do it with layout and responsibility of management and not Audit Committee; 2.6.4 – review Management's evaluation and not identify. Trustee Wong said on page 225, 2.6.1., would then encapsulate that and not sure the revisions are needed. Trustee Schmitz said on page 225, when the Audit Committee was reviewing all these items on this sheet that was deleted, she had requested that this 2.6.1. be added but this is completely different because this is reviewing management's annual schedule for their internal control and reviewing the documents that are being updated, so this is incredibly different from the other things and that this was something that we had talked with Director of Finance Navazio and that it is something that we had all agreed to. This was going to be scheduled updates to the documents and that the documents would just be provided to the Audit Committee for their review. Trustee Wong said it is clear that her definition of a policy is different from Trustee Schmitz and that she prefers policies that are not so prescriptive and allow management and the Audit Committee to do their job with prescribing exactly what has to be done and so quite frankly, she doesn't care. Board Chairman Callicrate asked Trustee Tonking to reach out to Dr. Mathis in these 2 areas and see if he can help. Trustee Schmitz said we should evaluate the cost of the review and understand what we are going to expend. Board Chairman Callicrate said it won't be an exorbitant amount, we will get that and get a realistic figure.

**I.3. Review, discuss, and provide direction regarding updates to IVGID personnel, facility use, and contribution policies related to**

**Dillon's Rule (Requesting Staff Member: District General Counsel Joshua Nelson)**

District General Counsel Nelson gave an overview of the submitted materials. Following are the comments made:

- Should we combine the various policies into one or more policies?
  - Staff recommendation: Streamline policies where we can (i.e., two policies: use of facilities and contributions)

Board Chairman Callicrate said that the policies need updating, keep them clear, delineate and streamline where possible, and continue along with what you have been doing as your recommendations are pretty spot on. No other Board member made a comment. District General Counsel Nelson said we will bring back two policies.

- Should the District continue to provide free or discounted use of facilities going forward?
  - Staff recommendation: Yes, within limits set by policy

Trustee Wong reminded the Board that we are working on a pricing policy, it should be addressed there and we should be thinking about all pricing and discounts in one policy. Board Chairman Callicrate said that he agrees. Trustee Tonking said she is good with one policy. Trustee Schmitz said that this issue came up before the Audit Committee in March 2021 and the Audit Committee made a motion to share with the Board of Trustees that their recommendation was to price all venues for nonprofits to cover full operating expenses and she just wanted to share that as part of this discussion. Board Chairman Callicrate said he does recall getting that and thinks there is an opportunity to visit that to see how it fits in. District General Counsel Nelson said we have been coordinating with the Director of Finance and Trustee Wong to make sure it is in alignment.

- For free or complimentary use, who should authorize/approve?
  - Options: Always Board, Always Staff, Board/Staff depending on amount?
  - Staff recommendation: Board/Staff depending on amount with potential exceptions

Board Chairman Callicrate said one thing we did in the past is whenever there were requests for the use of the golf course, that always held outside in the shoulder season, those came before the Board before the start of golf

season. This process allowed the charities, etc. to plan accordingly and it worked quite well. On the smaller impacts, don't have an issue with District General Manager or appropriate Staff member doing that action as long as the Board is giving a slate with the impacts to the District – Staff, costs, etc. This will help alleviate any potential conflicts and get that information out. A lot of things have been going on for a long time and they do offer a significant value to the community. Trustee Wong said we already have a signature authority for our Staff and essentially if there is going to be an exception to the pricing policy and whoever is approving/authorizing. She thinks that is a good framework to start that process and then set a threshold for each venue without coming back to the Board for that approval every single time - suggestion for starting point. Trustee Schmitz said she doesn't believe we should be giving away complimentary use of our facilities as our ratepayers' subsidy all these venues. She does understand the non-profits and thinks we should have a pricing policy that is a policy and not incorporate a giveaway access to our venues. Board Chairman Callicrate said point well taken but also remember that these non-profits have community members who are paying the fees and that it is not like we are bringing in an outside agency which may have happened in the past but it was taken care of. Trustee Schmitz said that the non-profits aren't being given complimentary as they are still paying something and she is talking about the policy purely for complimentary. Board Chairman Callicrate said yes, we do need to look at that in its entirety as it might be getting misconstrued in the interpretation but he fully agrees as the use of the term "giving away" implies for free and there are fees associated with it that are being paid by the nonprofits. He agrees with Trustee Schmitz as we are not in the process of giving things away which we are accused of on a daily, sometimes hourly, basis. Trustee Tonking said in terms of complimentary, is that our community events that are free? District General Counsel Nelson said that wouldn't apply to IVGID events or IVGID sponsored events. District General Manager Winquest said he agrees with the Chair and Trustee Schmitz and that he wants to address the whole concept about monetary support. He doesn't believe that the District should be giving away cash and that he has never been requested to do so. He does recall one event during the Red, White and Tahoe Blue effort and his recollection is that this request was brought before the Board at the time and the injection of cash was so that the community could have fireworks. He wouldn't authorize a monetary contribution nor does he think the Board would. Clearly, the policies need to point to the pricing policies wherever we land. Moving forward, at minimum, we recover costs which needs definition. If anyone wants anything complimentary, they should, if the Board wants to allow it, they should be required to come before the Board and pitch their case. These really need to be local nonprofits that benefit

Incline Village and Crystal Bay and that is the direction that has been given to Staff. Trustee Schmitz said she appreciates the comments made by the District General Manager Winquest, and per Dillon's Rule, she doesn't believe we have the authority to give cash donations as it is not within the letter of the law. She liked the term of blackout dates and there was certain language that she really did like and if we could make that use consistent across all venues that would be great. District General Counsel Nelson said that he really appreciates the feedback and his general impression is that whatever we do is that there is a tie back to the pricing policy and that the rates would be handled during that discussion. There is recognition of some situations that should be discussed in the policies such as a preference for blackout dates and then some instances, beyond the pricing policy, that would require Board approval. On the contribution issue, we are not aware of it ever being used but it is on the books so does the Board desire to revise or remove it as it would not prevent a future change as each Board would make that delegation. Board Chairman Callicrate said he likes the idea that it is up to the individual Board moving forward so let's not have it in our policy. Giving an outright contribution does raise some concerns and he likes the blackout dates as well. A cash donation makes him step back and take notice. District General Counsel Nelson said he would recommend we don't have a policy on it and that we remove it thus it would up to the Board and there would be no Staff authority.

- How should fees be set?
  - Options: Standard fee, Standard discount, Discretionary/Yield management, Other
  - Staff recommendation: Standard fee at each venue with a floor based on additional operational costs (as part of budget discussion)
- Does the Board wish to permit monetary contributions to non-profits?
  - If yes, at what limits?
  - If yes, who approves?
  - Options: Always Board, Board/Staff depending on amount, Always Staff

These items were discussed above under complimentary use.

**I.4. Review, discuss and possibly elect Board Officers for Calendar Year 2022 – Effective January 1, 2022**

Trustee Schmitz read the following statement:

*In 2018, I spent many hours campaigning and attending community 'meet and greets' with then candidate Callicrate. I listened while he spoke with great passion about the errors of the past Board and how he intended to make changes. One of those issues was the Board's lack of rotation of leadership, specifically the role of Board Chair. Given the passion of these statements made on the campaign trail, I intend to support Trustee Callicrate's promise and recommend a rotation of the role of Board Chair by nominating a very qualified and long standing Trustee who's never been given the opportunity and is willing to rotate into the Chair position. Therefore, I am nominating Trustee Dent as Chair, Trustee Callicrate to assist as Vice Chair, Trustee Tonking as Treasurer and myself as Secretary.*

Trustee Wong nominated Trustee Callicrate as Chair, Trustee Dent as Vice Chair, Trustee Tonking as Treasurer and Trustee Schmitz as Secretary.

Hearing no further nominations, District Clerk Herron did a roll call vote on Trustee Schmitz's nominations (*Trustee Dent as Chair, Trustee Callicrate as Vice Chair, Trustee Tonking as Treasurer and Trustee Schmitz as Secretary*).

Trustee Callicrate voted opposed, Trustee Schmitz voted in favor, Trustee Tonking voted opposed, and Trustee Wong voted opposed.

The nomination failed.

District Clerk Herron did a roll call vote on Trustee Wong's nominations (*Trustee Callicrate as Chair, Trustee Dent as Vice Chair, Trustee Tonking as Treasurer and Trustee Schmitz as Secretary*).

Trustee Callicrate voted in favor, Trustee Schmitz voted opposed, Trustee Tonking voted in favor, and Trustee Wong voted in favor.

The nomination passed.

**J. MEETING MINUTES (for possible action)**

**J.1. Meeting Minutes of November 3, 2021**

District Clerk Herron said that Ms. Miller has requested changes to her public comment in the November 3, 2021 minutes, on page 1, and Trustee Schmitz has requested changes in the November 3, 2021 minutes, on page 12.

Board Chairman Callicrate said those minutes are approved as revised.

Trustee Wong asked if those were sent out, District Clerk Herron said she believes that Ms. Miller sent her request to the Board and no, she did not send out the ones requested by Trustee Schmitz. Trustee Wong said usually we get to see them, don't we? District Clerk Herron said yes and asked if she would like them sent out. Trustee Wong said yes please and District Clerk Herron agreed to send them out. District General Manager Winquest said he gave direction to the District Clerk to just mention them at the meeting and not send them so he apologizes for that and now that he knows that the Board wants to see them, he will give that direction to the District Clerk to send them out ahead of time, sorry about that. Ms. Wong said usually if she has them before the meeting and not coming up at the meeting, she feels like we have gotten them in the past. She would also really love if at some point if could agendize an item to talk about all of these revisions because she feels like there are revisions to every single set of minutes and she feels like this is taking up way too much of our time as a Board and she would like to talk about how we can improve this process overall. Trustee Schmitz said it is actually one of the things on our long range calendar as she had requested that we have a discussion about our meeting minutes so it is on our long range calendar. Trustee Schmitz then asked to add one thing – starting on page 268, where we are discussing the decision points, could we please just put a note on what the decision point was that we were discussing because it at least gives context to all of the meeting minutes and she thinks that would be helpful especially to people who go back and review these meeting minutes.

#### **J.2. Meeting Minutes of November 22, 2021**

Board Chairman Callicrate asked for any changes, none were received, therefore these meeting minutes were approved as submitted.

#### **K. FINAL PUBLIC COMMENTS\* - Limited to a maximum of three (3) minutes in duration.**

Michael Abel said obviously, he is disappointed with the election. He does not believe that Mr. Callicrate has done a very good job as Chair. He looks forward to another year of more lawsuits and stupid spending with no accountability from our Staff. He expressed his opinion that need a Chair that will express the concerns of our citizens and he thinks we have to give Mr. Callicrate an inadequate in that respect. We need a Chair that shows up

at non-IVGID meetings; Mr. Callicrate never shows up. We needed a Chair that will actually work the job rather than do anything that Staff tells him to do and a Chair that answers his telephone and takes care of public comments. We won't have that for the next year. Moving onto the District General Manager's comments, if he had a dollar for every time the District General Manager says that he has been open and transparent, he would probably be quite wealthy. He has requested public records since October 1 and not once, twice, three, four but five times and his request has not been satisfied as a statutory requirement. Do we have a Chair that will put the hammer down on them and tell them to do their job; he doesn't think so. As for the dog park, this was kind of a pop up thing on the District General Manager's part. He is not going to criticize him for it because obviously he felt he was operating under the direction of the Board but certainly the dog park, opposite the high school, is a very poor location due to its environmental sensitivity and its past history as being a dedicated open space. Finally, his last comment is a challenge to the Chair, the deck at the new pool at Burnt Cedar has not been poured yet. When you pour a deck, you have to let it sit and cure for a couple of weeks. You are telling him that thing is going to be finished on time, he would like to make you eat those words and to that effect, he would like to bet you \$100 that the pool will not be finished and open on June 15. \$100 and if you care to make the bet, he will be happy to shake on it and if it is open on June 15, it will certainly shake his candle because the fact is that this work should have been much further along than it has been and it's a real disappointment to him that it hasn't wound up in better shape than it is.

**L. ADJOURNMENT (for possible action)**

The meeting was adjourned at 7:50 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Susan A. Herron  
District Clerk

**Attachments\*:**

\*In accordance with NRS 241.035.1(d), the following attachments are included but have neither been fact checked or verified by the District and are solely the thoughts, opinions, statements, etc. of the author as identified below.

Minutes

Meeting of December 8, 2021

Page 16

Submitted by Aaron Katz: Written statement to be included in the written minutes of this December 8, 2021 regular IVGID Board meeting – Agenda Item G(2) – Darren Howard’s phony 2020-21 golf wrap up

Submitted by Aaron Katz: Written statement to be included in the written minutes of this December 8, 2021 regular IVGID Board meeting – Agenda Item I(1) – Brad Underwood’s sneaky, dirty, under handed attempt to trick the Board into retroactively curing his incompetence and F.W. Carson’s wrongdoing

Submitted by Aaron Katz: Written statement to be included in the written minutes of this December 8, 2021 regular IVGID Board meeting – Agenda Item C – Public Comments – Notwithstanding the Board has appropriated funds to do nothing more than “identify (possible) public and private propert(ies) within IVGID(‘s) boundaries suitable for a community dog park,” Staff are moving full charge ahead with an application to acquire a use permit on environmentally sensitive United State Forest Service (“USFS”) property across Village Blvd from Incline High School which will unnecessarily cost the District millions of Recreation Facility Fee (“RFF”) dollars

Submitted by Aaron Katz: Written statement to be included in the written minutes of this December 8, 2021 regular IVGID Board meeting – Agenda Item I(3) – Possible updated of Resolution Nos. 1493, 1527, 1619, and 1701

Submitted by Aaron Katz: Written statement to be included in the written minutes of this December 8, 2021 regular IVGID Board meeting – Agenda Item C – Public Comments – Refusal to agendize possible termination of inter-local agreement with Washoe County whereby the District spends hundreds of thousands of dollars annually snow plowing and sanding the County’s dedicated roads in exchange for virtually nothing

Submitted by Michael Abel: Midtown Law letter dated October 1, 2021 addressed to the Board of Trustees c/o Best Best & Krieger; author Stephanie Rice, Esq.