

MINUTES

REGULAR MEETING OF APRIL 27, 2022

Incline Village General Improvement District

The regular meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Incline Village General Improvement District was called to order by Board Chairman Tim Callicrate on Wednesday, April 27, 2022 at 6:00 p.m. at the Boardroom, 893 Southwood Boulevard, Incline Village, Nevada.

A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE*

The pledge of allegiance was recited.

B. ROLL CALL OF TRUSTEES*

On roll call, present were Trustees Tim Callicrate, Matthew Dent, Sara Schmitz, Michaela Tonking, and Kendra Wong (absent).

Members of Staff present were District General Counsel Melissa Crosthwaite, Director of Finance Paul Navazio, Engineering Manager Kate Nelson, General Manager Diamond Peak Ski Resort Mike Bandelin, Director of Public Works Brad Underwood, and Director of Golf and Community Services Darren Howard. Members of the public physically present were Bradley Mindlin, Lisa Mindlin, Ray Tulloch, Bradley Johnson, Yolanda Knaak, Dave Noble, Gail Krolick, and Denise Davis.

C. INITIAL PUBLIC COMMENTS*

Bradley Mindlin said thank you to each one of you as he has sat on committees and it is a lot of work. He is running for IVGID and wanted to introduce himself and stated that he is happy to have the opportunity to serve.

Bradley Johnson said he was here to speak on Consent Calendar Item H.1. Staff has done a great of outlining the items that Mr. Faust has done and the savings to the community. He is speaking on behalf of North Tahoe Public Utility District, as their General Manager. The partnership on fire protection, composed of all the public agencies around the lake, is an important one and IVGID and their participation in the partnership is an essential representative of the Nevada side as his organization and Tahoe City Public Utility District are working on the California side thus the Nevada voice is essential. \$7 million is flowing into this basin and that comes on top of \$17 million in previous years and he is excited about the Federal money that is flowing. We are asking for \$15 million this year and we can't do it from the California side of the lake alone and when we speak

with the delegation, they understand that Nevada is working hard. Strongly encourage you to continue the contract with Mr. Faust for the good of the basin.

Yolanda Knaak said she is a candidate for IVGID and that she hopes you vote for her. She came to speak about the water and sewer charges schedules. The words “as adopted on April 27, 2022” at the top of the page sends a message about having public comment and as such that we have already decided. It would be better to put this out after adoption and the opportunity to have the public give their comments.

Charley Miller said he is a resident and that he would echo everything that Mr. Johnson said and the value of Mr. Faust. It has kept our rates down and it is bi-state which protects our fire protections services so thank you and please endorse this contract. He endorses the Recreation Center expansion and stated that it is so generous of Mr. Duffield to do this. It is a no brainer and he would encourage everyone to vote yes. Water rates – IVGID has held them flat for a couple of years, when you hold rates flat, you have a big make up to do and that is what we are seeing now. We need to keep up.

Ray Tulloch said he is candidate for IVGID and Chair of the Audit Committee. He knows that we are getting Federal money and he would like to know how it is spent. Mr. Miller talked about it and part of his election platform is to see how those costs are covered. Utility rate increase – having spent 45 years in the industry and put forth rate increases, this is the first time to have a rate increase for revenues without expenses. What is expected is to make efficiencies but he is seeing more positions being created. IVGID should be looking at every dollar being spent.

D. APPROVAL OF AGENDA (for possible action)

Board Chairman Callicrate asked for any changes to the agenda; none were submitted; therefore the agenda was approved as submitted.

E. REPORTS TO THE BOARD*

E.1. SUBJECT: Nevada League of Cities; Presentation by Executive Director Wesley Harper

Executive Director Wesley Harper went over the submitted material. Trustee Schmitz asked what have we been paying in dues; Staff replied that it is around \$4,000. Trustee Schmitz asked what can you help us with? Mr. Harper said we have strategies to move the infrastructure projects as Incline Village wasn't included in the ARPA Plan and we looked to petition for the

GID's and towns to be included in those funds. Politics are pretty straight forward, the Governor is looking to fund infrastructure projects and then the infrastructure bill was passed. We are working with GIDs and towns to fund those projects directly. District General Manager Winquest said that Trustee Tonking has been very active with the Nevada League of Cities and that she has been meeting once every 3 weeks with the League and getting updates on a variety of issues – a way to track changes in the NRS as they impact the way that we operate, as a District, and there are some that we weren't aware of; another issue is administering public records and it is becoming a bigger challenge and looking at it from a legislative perspective and we are seeing a lot more lawsuits on this issue; and we are working on the ARPA funding and working with the State and Washoe County as we are looking at all the pots of money out there. Staff feels that the transition, to provide better results, is being seen in motion now and we are very excited about the progress. Yes, the increase in dues is large but we think it will provide us the value. Trustee Dent asked how are you going to track/tie to the Strategic Plan and how are you going to measure it? District General Manager Winquest said that Trustee Tonking and he will track results on things we need such as updates on the NRS which should be easy to track and report the results. Yes, everyone is going after ARPA monies and we need everyone to know that we are out there because there is so much money out there and it is fluid. IVGID doesn't have the resources to do this on our own but rather we rely on our partners and we should be able to justify the value from the League of Cities. Trustee Dent said is this to focus on the same things that Tri-Strategies is focusing on? District General Manager Winquest said there is some crossover and there are some things that League of Cities are doing. Mr. Harper said we didn't talk about Federal and State advocacy; this allows us to be more efficient in this space and there are 2 ways to measure – measure the 4 things we outlined and then nothing else bad happened. That shows up with State advocacy. State lobbyists bring relationships and the League is in a different position as they don't represent a single municipality. When cities are divided, legislators can work against municipalities and, with the League, it is usually on behalf of the whole and they can say this is a problem that can be address. Trustee Schmitz asked what percentage of GID are members? Mr. Harper said 3 members are GIDs. Trustee Schmitz said we are united and is that something you are trying to target? Mr. Harper said the GIDs were optional, cities prefer it be cities, GID's were grandfathered in and other GIDs want to be in. GIDs are considering forming their own group and we are not open to additional GIDs or towns joining the league. Trustee Schmitz said instead of jumping from \$4,000, can we do a stepped in approach in dues membership in order to understand the value received? Mr. Harper said yes, every

municipality is in a different place and there are those that want to see value first. One Nevada Plan is setting out a funding plan for the results and that this can be a chicken and egg scenario such that to the extent we are not funded in full, it takes away from the value we have outlined. GIDs being unique and special, we treat them the same as cities and we don't have membership tiers. Yes, GIDs are special in the politic subdivision but not treated any different than the City of Las Vegas. Trustee Tonking said you can really see a change at the League and a difference. Board Chairman Callicrate thanked Mr. Harper for his presentation and for coming up to Incline Village to make this presentation in person.

E.2. SUBJECT: TREASURERS REPORT – Requesting Trustee: Treasurer Michaela Tonking

E.2.A. Payment of Bills (For District payments exceeding \$10,000 or any item of capital expenditure, in the aggregate in any one transaction, a summary of payments made shall be presented to the Board at a public meeting for review. The Board hereby authorizes payment of any and all obligations aggregating less than \$10,000 provided they are budgeted and the expenditure is approved according to District signing authority policy)

Trustee Tonking went over the submitted material and provided an update on the following items:

- Procurement cards – had their meeting with Wells Fargo yesterday; part of the conversation was to have a card based on department versus an individual;
- There will be a draft update on the Accounting and Finance manual coming out soon.

Trustee Schmitz asked if this is going to result in a significant reduction of the procurement cards that are out in circulation? Director of Finance Navazio said yes and that the goal is to reduce the cards and have what we need. Staff is looking at where we can reduce the number of cards in a work unit and still be able to take advantage of them when needed.

F. REVIEW OF THE LONG RANGE CALENDAR (for possible action)

District General Manager Winquest went over the submitted material. Trustee Schmitz said she had suggested an update for Strategic Plan. District General Manager Winquest said we didn't approve the Strategic Plan until September and

it is Staff's goal to include it in a May General Manager's report. Trustee Schmitz said we might want to put a placeholder in February for Ordinance 7 review; District General Manager Winquest said he will do a beach wrap up earlier. Trustee Schmitz said we need to review Policy 15.1.0 and get that on the agenda at some point. Quite some time ago, Trustee Dent asked about a Fleet plan. Trustee Dent said he doesn't recall making that comment but does recall a statement about holding our vehicles a little longer. Trustee Schmitz said perhaps it would be good for us to learn on how and where are we leveraging Mr. Faust, Tri-Strategies and League of Cities and that it would be good to say how all of those fit together. District General Manager Winquest said he agrees, it should absolutely be discussed by the Board, and noted that he tries to focus on the best interests of the District thus he would encourage that discussion. Trustee Dent said he would agree with that and that he knows we give individual input and he thinks Trustee Schmitz is talking about a Board plan. Trustee Dent said that he has been talking with District General Counsel Nelson about a revision to Policy 15.1.0 and he thinks it is coming before the Board on May 11. Trustee Schmitz asked if the Diamond Peak Master Plan should be revisited? It is a very valuable resource and it needs to be properly maintaining. District General Manager Winquest said he and General Manager Diamond Peak Ski Resort Mike Bandelin spoke about this and that we agreed to give him a little bit of time and that we are targeting mid-summer to put together a District General Manager Advisory Committee to discuss these things. We are waiting a little bit because of bandwidth however we recognize that it is absolutely important to discuss. Trustee Tonking said she would like to have Policy 3.1.0 review sooner rather than later.

Board Chairman Callicrate called for a break at 6:55 p.m. and the Board reconvened at 7:00 p.m.

G. PUBLIC HEARING (for possible action)

Public Comments will be taken on this item. The Board Chairman will set the time limit for these comments at the meeting. It is anticipated that will be 3 minutes.

G.1. SUBJECT: Conduct the Public Hearing for the Proposed Amendments to the Sewer and Water Schedule of Service Charges and Fee Schedule.

Trustee Dent made a motion to open the public hearing. Trustee Schmitz seconded the motion. Board Chairman Callicrate called the question and the motion was passed unanimously.

Board Chairman Callicrate asked Director of Public Works Underwood if the required notices were made; Director of Public Works Underwood confirmed that the required notices have been provided. Board Chairman Callicrate then asked for public comments.

Charley Miller said he is in favor of this and when you hold rates back for 3 years and not keeping up with COLA, etc. that we are basically trying to catch up and that is difficult. He appreciates the work of the team.

Board Chairman Callicrate, hearing no further public comments, turned the item over to the Director of Public Works.

Director of Public Works Underwood and Mr. Shawn Koorn from HDR went over the submitted materials. Trustee Dent said his question is in regards to inflation, 2.7% is what was used, should we adjust that knowing that it is much higher? Mr. Koorn said there is a couple of inflationary components built in and that 2.7% is fairly consistent and averaged right around that, so that's ok. On O&M expense side, the average is 3.5%. In 2022/2023, he made the adjustments to reflect what you are seeing; pretty close. Looking at each year – he feels comfortable. Trustee Schmitz said that Mr. Faust has secured 595 funding and that it is not put into this budget or plan? Mr. Koorn said he has some in 2023 for the Effluent Pond included. Trustee Schmitz said how much? Director of Public Works Underwood said he thinks \$2.2 million is included. Trustee Schmitz said on agenda packet page 11, complete use of all of the funds, she knows that Trustee Dent has brought this up in the past, and that is bonding – do we have that as a contingency? Mr. Koorn said we have \$2.3 million for FY 2023 and started borrowing in FY 2024 with 3 years of borrowing and we could move that forward with full payments. If moved forward, there is a balance in there, and it will fall out a little better. Trustee Schmitz said thank you for doing the additional analysis and putting forth that alternative which she is supportive of. Trustee Tonking said she is in favor of that as well. Trustee Dent said he too is in favor.

Trustee Dent made a motion to close the public hearing. Trustee Schmitz seconded the motion. Board Chairman Callicrate called the question and the motion was passed unanimously.

Trustee Dent made a motion to approve Resolution 1892 (agenda packet page 124) for the proposed amendments and adopting the rates with no contingency (agenda packet pages 468, 470, and 471). Trustee Schmitz seconded the motion. Board Chairman Callicrate called the question and the motion was passed unanimously.

H. CONSENT CALENDAR (for possible action)

- H.1. SUBJECT: Review, discuss, and possibly authorize a three-year contract for Federal Legislative Advocacy Services with Marcus G. Faust, P.C. in the amount of \$67,044 in year one, with a three year not-to-exceed amount of \$215,000. (Requesting Staff Member: Director of Public Works Brad Underwood)**
- H.2. SUBJECT: Review, discuss, and possibly approve the Change Order to contract with CORE Construction (Burnt Cedar Swimming Pool and Site Improvements Project) to crack seal, slurry seal and stripe of all the asphalt located within the Burnt Cedar Beach Property (Attachment A), in the amount of \$61,845,; authorize the re-allocation of \$37,213 in available funding within the Burnt Cedar Pool and Site Improvements Project (CIP3972BD1301) to the Pavement Maintenance – Burnt Cedar Beach Project (CIP3972LI202); and authorize Staff to execute any change orders for additional work not anticipated at this time of approximately 10% of the change order value; up to the amount of \$6,200.00. (Requesting Staff Member: Director of Public Works Brad Underwood)**

Trustee Dent made a motion to approve the Consent Calendar as submitted. Trustee Schmitz seconded the motion. Board Chairman Callicrate called the question and the motion was passed unanimously.

I. GENERAL BUSINESS (for possible action)

- I.1. SUBJECT: Review, discuss, and possibly award the 30% Schematic Design Contract, for the Recreation Center Expansion Project 30% Schematic Design; Vendor: H&K Architect in the amount not to exceed of \$72,000; under Memorandum of Understanding with the David and Cheryl Duffield Foundation; authorize \$29,000 of Public Works Staff support through the 30% Schematic design phase, and authorize an FY2021/22 budget augmentation of \$101,000, within the Community Services – Recreation Center Fund (350) to support this work (Requesting Staff Member: Engineering Manager Kate Nelson)**

Engineering Manager Kate Nelson gave an overview of the submitted materials. District General Manager Winquest said he wanted to clarify that

the Director of Finance is happy to respond on any financial questions. There were no plans, on the District's part, to include any of the items in the Community Services Master Plans however since the design consultant visited the Recreation Center, they identified that the lobby was an issue and there could be the ability to move some of the administrative offices to that area so as to free up some additional space. It wasn't in the original plan but knowing it's in the Master Plan, and that the Board has highly recommended that we use our Master Plans as guides, this is an opportunity to explore, discuss, and maybe check some of the boxes in the Community Services Master Plan. Trustee Tonking said she was sitting on the interview plan and the way Staff went through the process was phenomenal, really well done process and feel it is an opportunity to look at and possibly fix some of things that we have in the Recreation Center. Trustee Dent said the \$101,000 and the \$29,000 of Staff time - how much Staff time are we spending, all the costs would be recovered and he wants to make sure we know what is being spent. District General Winqest said \$72,000 would be reimbursed, \$29,000 of the Staff time was discussed and it is our good faith effort, within the Memorandum of Understanding, we have had full transparency including up until now, and then the Staff time will be included and the donor agrees to reimbursement. Trustee Schmitz said she agrees with the last question, and would like to ask a clarifying question, heard you say if we go with the \$72,000, it would be covered by the donor? District General Manager Winqest clarified that \$65,000 would be covered by the donor and \$7,000 will be covered by District. Trustee Schmitz said how much Staff time have we spent so far? Engineering Manager Nelson said we have captured it under the general line item, we have it through the end of March and then April. Trustee Schmitz said we can capture that and we need to be open and transparent about it. She doesn't see the deliverable, agenda packet page 202, this is what we have committed to deliver, she doesn't see the deliverable for project cost estimation? Engineering Manager Nelson said what we will receive is a high level estimate and that is what we will bring that to the Board. Trustee Schmitz asked if we can add that as a deliverable? Maintenance and cost estimate – not included nor is the last one, what budget estimate do we need to have for those final 2 deliverables? Engineering Manager Nelson said those two deliverables will be coming from the District General Manager and Staff and Boys and Girls Club, and the other item from the Committee. District General Manager Winqest said it will be a deliverable by Staff and our Vision Committee. These are items that our Buildings and Parks Departments already do as well as leveraging another building by the Boys and Girls Club. It will evolve and these things are being worked and working on concurrently; they will be presented in June in total. Trustee Schmitz asked if we are going to see and approve the

budget for internal Staff time and what the District is providing for the 30% design? District General Manager Winqest said he doesn't think is necessary as these types of tasks are incorporated into our everyday job description. Trustee Schmitz said this is beyond the day to day operations and would expect we have a budget and that we are tracking those costs to share with our community. Board Chairman Callicrate said that the \$29,000 is pretty clear. Trustee Schmitz said that the \$29,000 doesn't include this. Engineering Manager Nelson said we track our time down to 15 minutes and that she is not sure that the District General Manager or the Parks and Recreation Superintendent have that ability. District General Manager Winqest said there needs to be a level of trust and managing our workloads. Can we ask Staff to track their time by the minute, yes, however it would be very high level. Trustee Tonking said she is a little confused on Trustee Schmitz' question as the District has a lot of projects that Staff oversees and we don't see it and don't track it on any of those projects so she is confused about what Trustee Schmitz is asking for. Trustee Schmitz said that there are 2 deliverables that are required on this specific project and, to be fully transparent, we should have some element of reporting and it is a deliverable and if we could outsource it well, she thought we were going to be asking the architect to do some of this work. Trustee Tonking said we should believe that our Staff wouldn't put this on their plate if they couldn't do it and it is not taking away from other work. They may have to work a little longer because of what they are doing. Trustee Schmitz said it is about what costs the District is spending and if we could offload that effort, maybe we should do it. Engineering Manager Nelson said because we know the costs so well, to outsource it, it would be spending time to give that information, and the best people to develop this information is Staff. Further, the Boys and Girls Club know their business and they are our best resources so it would be difficult to outsource. Trustee Dent said is this something that we can say a range of hours as he thinks that is all Trustee Schmitz is looking for? District General Manager Winqest said we can give it a rough estimate – up to this point, he has spent about 6 to 8 hours, our Staff has spent 5 or 6 hours, Buildings Team will spend several hours and it would cost us more money to contract this out. Our Staff and the Boys and Girls Club know this effort, we can give you the rough estimate but this is our good faith effort as it could be a \$25 million project and opportunities come up like this very rarely. We can give you the best information that we can as we move through this project. Trustee Dent said we get public records requests which are a huge ask and that this is not about the time that is being spent but that we want to be transparent. Everyone on the Board is in support of this project and the Board just wants to know that the District has spent X amount and Y amount was spent by the donor. Trustee Schmitz said that is

exactly correct and the amount of public records requests we might get, she wants to have the information, understand what this is, and when we get the public records request, it doesn't become a huge burden on Staff.

Trustee Tonking made a motion to award the 30% Schematic Design Contract, Option 2 (Attachment A) for the Recreation Center Expansion Project 30% Schematic Design; Vendor: H&K Architect in the amount of \$72,000 (Option 2); under Memorandum of Understanding with the David and Cheryl Duffield Foundation; authorize \$29,000 of Public Works Staff support through the 30% Schematic design phase, and authorize an FY2021/22 budget augmentation of \$101,000, within the Community Services – Recreation Center Fund (350) to support this work. Trustee Dent seconded the motion. Board Chairman Callicrate asked for further comment; receiving none, he called the question and the motion was passed unanimously.

I.2. SUBJECT: Review, discuss and provide direction related to potential edits to Ordinance 7, An Ordinance Establishing Rates, Rules and Regulations for IVGID Recreation Passes and Recreation Punch Cards by the Incline Village General Improvement District (Requesting Staff Member: District General Manager Indra Winqest)

District General Manager Winqest gave an overview of the submitted materials. The following direction was provided:

LIMIT OF PEOPLE ON THE BEACHES (DAILY)

- ❖ Yes, to a limitation on the number of guests that passholders can bring to the beaches.
- ❖ Dust off Resolution 1575 and evaluate the group reservations and how Staff manages that effort.
- ❖ Think about it as parcels and keep in mind equity.
- ❖ Whatever we come up won't be perfect and the solution will be brought forth at our next meeting.
- ❖ Consider including a group reservation paragraph within Ordinance 7.

FAMILY TREE

- ❖ Purchase up to 3 additional picture passes per parcel but stamped with no guests.

NAME OF PUNCH CARD

- ❖ Not changing the name at this time.

BUY DOWN OF ACCESS

- ❖ Leave it as is but continue to look at it with the committee.

NANNY/CARETAKER ADDITION

- ❖ Consensus was that this idea has merit, it needs to have some really strict punishment tied to it if there is abuse, should be within the 8 allowed, possibility of treating this like a tenant with a written lease however it needs to be thoroughly thought through.

DEFINITION OF GUEST

- ❖ There will be no language included in the Ordinance about reimbursement.

DOMESTIC PARTNER

- ❖ A verification process could be added and the children of a domestic partner should be added.

MISCONDUCT/MISREPRESENTATION

- ❖ Trying to strength this section so the people understand if they don't follow the rules then there are serious consequences.

PUNCH CARDS ARE FOR GUESTS

- ❖ Punch cards are for guests associated with the parcel. Last year, we discovered that some people reached the maximum and they were asking their friends if they could give them to them; we are trying to avoid this situation. Will may be added to misconduct - not yet but it would be.
- ❖ Consider putting "not transferable" on the cards.
- ❖ Clean up the commercial access and access with punch cards as that could help alleviate that situation.

MULTI-OWNERSHIP OF PROPERTIES

- ❖ There should be one point of contact (authorized owner) when there are multiple owners and that is up to the owners to figure out and designate.

District General Manager Winquest thanked the Board for the great feedback as he is confident that we can get this over the finish line. Board Chairman Callicrate said, addressing the public and the Board, that if you have specific concerns, please send us an email to let us know. District

General Manager Winqest said final revisions will be included in the Board packet of May 11. Trustee Schmitz said she has received phone calls, emails, and members of the public talking to her and they really do feel that the survey said 57% of those who responded to the survey said a picture pass holder should escort their guests to the beaches. The beach deed says parcel owner and their guest and you don't have a guest without a host. If you are going to uphold the beach deed, you don't hand someone a card and say that person is my guest. That person isn't a guest, that person has just been given a punch card so that was some of the feedback she was given. She recalls Trustee Tonking gave the example about someone who is elderly and has adult children, etc. and, in reality, they have the ability for those adult children to have a picture pass because they are a family member. So ultimately there are 5 picture pass holders who could accompany a guest to the beach. So it is not saying that Grandma is the one who has to escort somebody to the beach because if Grandma has children who are here, they have the ability to be awarded a picture pass because they are part of the family tree. In thinking about this more, there is the potential for 5 people who could escort their guests and she is bringing this back up because she thinks it is important to support the beach deed and making sure that we are doing the right thing for the residents of the community. Trustee Tonking said she would push back on that as she thinks we are still protecting the beach deed by not having that provision and a perfect example is that her Grandma has 6 kids and 30 cousins and they aren't going to have picture passes; she doesn't agree with it personally but understand where you are coming from. Trustee Dent said that the majority of the community is in favor, it is not a large majority of the community, and something to look into further. We need to figure out a way to move in that direction. From the results we have, we definitely 57% of the community is in favor and we need to listen to them. Don't know if we have a path to completely act but he is in favor to revisit. In favor of it but not sure. Board Chairman Callicrate said yes, and after this summer, revisit the question and we are not ignoring the 57% but it is about how the question was phrased. Out of fairness, we need to monitor it this summer, revisit this fall, and, going to the point brought up by Trustee Schmitz, he knows we have to rethink it. District General Manager Winqest said he got a call from a resident about this topic; she said she was not in favor of this concept and that she will just find a friend to bring her friend down to the beach. We have some really impactful changes and we have an opportunity to reevaluate. Trustee Dent said the next time we have a survey taking that question and several others, we need to dive deeper, and he hasn't thought about enough but definitely do need to revisit it.

J. MEETING MINUTES (for possible action)

J.1. Meeting of March 30, 2022

Board Chairman Callicrate asked for changes, none were received. The meeting minutes of March 30, 2022 were accepted as submitted.

K. FINAL PUBLIC COMMENTS*

Yolanda Knaak said she is a candidate for IVGID and thank you for all your hard work. A lot of people have complained about overcrowding so thanks for working on it.

Denise Davis said she is a member of the Ordinance 7 Committee and that she would like to address some language. When the Trustees were talking about the community survey, she wants to remind everyone about the 57% - that was 57% of the people who took survey and answered the question. While it might seem nitpicky, it is not 57% of the community, so please keep that in mind and that it was 57% of those that took the survey and answered the question.

Charley Miller said to address Trustee Schmitz' concerns about General Business Item 1.1. – regarding the transparency of Staff time to the community. To put the thing into perspective, .01% that Staff will spend on Staff time. You need to remember the scale of this donation, \$25 million, and that this is an identified Board approved project. As someone who spends time at Recreation Center, it is strained. All projects move forward, stall, move forward and then do move forward but to request a report on time is not worth it and don't challenge them on their time on a project that is included in the Master Plan. In his house, he needed to do some remodeling, bathroom would move to the top if someone wanted to pay for it. Public records request is a right and it is not going to stop them for making those requests.

Ray Tulloch said thank you for your deliberations on Ordinance 7 and he thinks you missed one thing on transferable and that is you are penalizing people who can't use them so think through it. Regarding Mr. Miller's comments, who claims to be a good project manager, he is off as it is 1% so he is off by a factor of 100%.

L. ADJOURNMENT (for possible action)

The meeting was adjourned at 9:06 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Susan A. Herron
District Clerk

Attachments*:

*In accordance with NRS 241.035.1(d), the following attachments are included but have neither been fact checked or verified by the District and are solely the thoughts, opinions, statements, etc. of the author as identified below.